

FSD1003

Finnish Voter Barometer 1976

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Voter Barometer 1976 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 3.0 (12.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1003 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Gallup Finland & University of Helsinki: Finnish Voter Barometer 1976 [dataset].

Version 3.0 (2018-07-12). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T>
FSD1003

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	2
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	153
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	153
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	167
A	Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties	181
B	Questionnaire in Finnish	183

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Voter Barometer 1976

Titles and data version in Finnish: Puolueiden ajankohtaistutkimus 1976

This codebook has been generated from the version 3.0 (12.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Gallup Finland
University of Helsinki. Department of Political Science

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Gallup Finland

Date of deposit

10.5.1999

Keywords

elections; Finland; parliamentary elections; political attitudes; political behaviour; voting

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Voter Barometers

The Finnish Voter Barometer surveys are commissioned by the major parties in the Parliament. In election years, other sponsors have also been involved. Up till 1988, the surveys were planned by Gallup Finland (TNS Gallup Ltd) and the Department of Political and Economic Studies at the University of Helsinki, in collaboration with the political parties. After 1988, the planning has been in the hands of Gallup Finland and the parties.

From 1973 to 1990, the annual voter barometers were collected mainly with face-to-face interviews using structured questionnaires, 1000-2000 interviews/barometer. Since 1991, the data have been collected with GallupChannel (PC's installed in respondents' homes). No surveys were conducted in 1985 and 1989.

Survey themes include voting behaviour, opinions on the government and the parties, and attitudes towards national political issues. In addition, respondents' economic expectations and political participation have often been studied, as well as their opinions on local politics, and the significance of the party leader to a party. Background variables have often included the social class of the respondent and the household head, economic activity of the household head, respondent's education, age, mother tongue, gender, party membership, political views, trade union membership, and family's annual income. There are also regional variables. Even though the variables are not always fully comparable from one survey to another, all kinds of comparisons over time are possible. The FSD collections cover data from 1973.

Abstract

The variables describe the following: image of the parties, membership in organisations, interest in politics, important information sources in view of voting, voting in the 1975 parliamentary elections, voting certainty, party choices, satisfaction in the government, forming of the new cabinet, opinions on parties and politics, overall economic situation, agreement reached through collective bargaining, and opinions on the party system. Some variables are connected with the interview situation and the social structure of the sample.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finnish population aged 18 or over

Collection date: April 1976 – April 1976

Data collector(s): Gallup Finland

Data producer(s): Gallup Finland; University of Helsinki. Department of Political Science

Mode of data collection: Face-to-face interview

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 1976

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 393 variables and 1260 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Multistage

Contrary to the previous surveys in this series, the sample was selected using the starting point method. In the first stage, the population was stratified according to the number of inhabitants aged 15 or over in each province and in the second stage, by municipality group within each province. Municipalities were classified into five groups on the basis of their level of industrialisation. The stratifying frame achieved in this manner was used to count how many interviews should be done in the municipalities of each municipality group in order to have a geographically and demographically representative sample. The municipalities in the sample have been selected with the Deming zone selection method. This method is based on the use of random numbers and gives each municipality an equal chance to be selected, although municipalities with large population have a better chance to be selected. A municipality may be sampled more than once - in which case more than one starting point is drawn for that municipality. The interviews were conducted in clusters of four subjects using the starting point method. The starting points were drawn from the population register, selecting individuals born on the 5th of any month, and then selecting starting addresses among them from the sample municipalities at fixed intervals. The first interview was conducted at the starting point; individuals chosen for the interview had to correspond to the age and sex criteria determined by the selection card. If necessary, one follow-up was made for each subject. The number of respondents was 1,260.

1.4 Use of data

Related publications

Borg, Sami (2006). Käsitukset kansalaisuudesta ja omista vaikuttamismahdollisuuksista. Teoksessa: Suomen demokrationdikaattorit (toim. Sami Borg), 115-127. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.

Borg, Sami & Ruostetsaari, Ilkka (2002). Suuret ikäluokat ja valta. Hyvinvointikatsaus 1/2002, 51-58.

Grönlund, Kimmo & Paloheimo, Heikki & Wass, Hanna (2005). Äänestysosallistuminen. Teoksessa: Vaalit ja demokration Suomessa (toim. Heikki Paloheimo), 119-146. Helsinki: WSOY.

Karvonen, Lauri (2014). Parties, Governments and Voters in Finland. Politics under Fundamen-

1. Study description

tal Societal Transformation. Colchester. ECPR Press

Paloheimo, Heikki (2002). Hallituksen toimintaan ja puoluejohdon taitavuuteen kohdistuva arviointi puoluekannatuksen selittäjänä. *Politiikka* 44(2), 124-143.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2003). Miten äänestäjät valitsevat puolueen? *Politiikka* 45(3), 175-193.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2005). Puoluevalinnan tilannetekijät. Teoksessa: Vaalit ja demokratia Suomessa (toim. Heikki Paloheimo), 202-228. Helsinki: WSOY.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2005). Vaalit ja demokratia Suomessa. Helsinki: WSOY.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2006). Asenteet poliittisia instituutioita ja toimijoita kohtaan. Teoksessa: Suomen demokratiaindikaattorit (toim. Sami Borg), 128 - 153. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.

Paloheimo, Heikki & Borg, Sami (2009). Eduskuntavaalit yleisödemokratian aikakaudella. Teoksessa: Vaalit yleisödemokratiassa. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 357-376. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Quinlan, Stephen (2012). The Conundrum of Youth Turnout. A Cross-national Examination of Generational Processes. Dublin, IE: University College Dublin, IE. Doctoral Dissertation.

Suomen demokratiaindikaattorit (2006). Toim. Sami Borg. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.

Vaalit yleisödemokratiassa. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (2009). Toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Wass, Hanna (2007). The effects of age, generation and period on turnout in Finland 1975-2003. *Electoral Studies* 26(3), 648-659.

Wass, Hanna (2008). Generations and turnout: Generational effect in electoral participation in Finland. Helsinki: University of Helsinki. Department of Political Science. *Acta Politica* 35.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1003?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1260
minimum	1003.00
maximum	1003.00
mean	1003.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1260
minimum	3.00
maximum	3.00
mean	3.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1260
minimum	1.00
maximum	1260.00
mean	630.50
standard deviation	363.88

[Q1_1] What are you most worried about?

Question

What are you most worried about?

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1260
minimum	1.00
maximum	25.00
mean	7.07
standard deviation	6.23

[Q1_2] What other things worry you: Nothing in particular

Question

What other things worry you: Nothing in particular

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1098	87.1	87.1
Mentioned	1	162	12.9	12.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_3] What other things worry you: Own employment or general employment situation in Finland

Question

What other things worry you: Own employment or general employment situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1088	86.3	86.3
Mentioned	1	172	13.7	13.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_4] What other things worry you: Own or family livelihood

Question

What other things worry you: Own or family livelihood

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1137	90.2	90.2
Mentioned	1	123	9.8	9.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_5] What other things worry you: Threat of war, world peace

Question

What other things worry you: Threat of war, world peace

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1188	94.3	94.3
Mentioned	1	72	5.7	5.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_6] What other things worry you: Own health, health of family members**Question***What other things worry you: Own health, health of family members***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1145	90.9	90.9
Mentioned	1	115	9.1	9.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_7] What other things worry you: Children's education or future**Question***What other things worry you: Children's education or future***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1182	93.8	93.8
Mentioned	1	78	6.2	6.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_8] What other things worry you: Environmental pollution**Question***What other things worry you: Environmental pollution***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1157	91.8	91.8
Mentioned	1	103	8.2	8.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_9] What other things worry you: Famine in the world**Question***What other things worry you: Famine in the world***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1194	94.8	94.8
Mentioned	1	66	5.2	5.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_10] What other things worry you: Strikes or labour disputes in Finland**Question***What other things worry you: Strikes or labour disputes in Finland***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1087	86.3	86.3
Mentioned	1	173	13.7	13.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_11] What other things worry you: Personal safety in the area of residence**Question***What other things worry you: Personal safety in the area of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1228	97.5	97.5
Mentioned	1	32	2.5	2.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_12] What other things worry you: Finland's political future**Question**

What other things worry you: Finland's political future

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1188	94.3	94.3
Mentioned	1	72	5.7	5.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_13] What other things worry you: Inequality in Finland**Question**

What other things worry you: Inequality in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1223	97.1	97.1
Mentioned	1	37	2.9	2.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_14] What other things worry you: Housing situation**Question**

What other things worry you: Housing situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1192	94.6	94.6
Mentioned	1	68	5.4	5.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_15] What other things worry you: Taxation**Question***What other things worry you: Taxation***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1233	97.9	97.9
Mentioned	1	27	2.1	2.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_16] What other things worry you: Inflation**Question***What other things worry you: Inflation***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1237	98.2	98.2
Mentioned	1	23	1.8	1.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_17] What other things worry you: Issues related to studies**Question***What other things worry you: Issues related to studies***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1254	99.5	99.5
Mentioned	1	6	0.5	0.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_18] What other things worry you: National economy**Question**

What other things worry you: National economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1245	98.8	98.8
Mentioned	1	15	1.2	1.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_19] What other things worry you: Agricultural issues**Question**

What other things worry you: Agricultural issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1253	99.4	99.4
Mentioned	1	7	0.6	0.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_20] What other things worry you: Trade balance**Question**

What other things worry you: Trade balance

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1255	99.6	99.6
Mentioned	1	5	0.4	0.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_21] What other things worry you: Crime**Question***What other things worry you: Crime***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1237	98.2	98.2
Mentioned	1	23	1.8	1.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_22] What other things worry you: Social security**Question***What other things worry you: Social security***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1238	98.3	98.3
Mentioned	1	22	1.7	1.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_23] What other things worry you: Loneliness**Question***What other things worry you: Loneliness***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1253	99.4	99.4
Mentioned	1	7	0.6	0.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_24] What other things worry you: Deficiencies in the neighbourhood**Question**

What other things worry you: Deficiencies in the neighbourhood

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1254	99.5	99.5
Mentioned	1	6	0.5	0.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_25] What other things worry you: Something else**Question**

What other things worry you: Something else

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1238	98.3	98.3
Mentioned	1	22	1.7	1.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q1_26] What other things worry you: empty**Question**

What other things worry you: empty

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty	0	263	20.9	20.9
Thing that worries mentioned	1	997	79.1	79.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q2] How satisfied are you with the performance of the current government (Prime Minister Martti Miettunen)?

Question

How satisfied are you with the performance of the current government (Prime Minister Martti Miettunen)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mostly satisfied	1	476	37.8	37.8
Mostly dissatisfied	2	547	43.4	43.4
Can't say	3	237	18.8	18.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_1] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Maintaining the value of money

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Maintaining the value of money

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	73	5.8	5.8
	4	161	12.8	12.8
	5	202	16.0	16.0
	6	346	27.5	27.5
	7	296	23.5	23.5
	8	149	11.8	11.8
	9	15	1.2	1.2
	10	18	1.4	1.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_2] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Stabilising economy

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Stabilising economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	72	5.7	5.7
	4	166	13.2	13.2
	5	244	19.4	19.4
	6	331	26.3	26.3
	7	294	23.3	23.3
	8	128	10.2	10.2
	9	11	0.9	0.9
	10	14	1.1	1.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_3] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Improving employment

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Improving employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	61	4.8	4.8
	4	207	16.4	16.4
	5	237	18.8	18.8
	6	317	25.2	25.2
	7	260	20.6	20.6
	8	133	10.6	10.6
	9	27	2.1	2.1
	10	18	1.4	1.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_4] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Stabilising foreign trade

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Stabilising foreign trade

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	105	8.3	8.3
	4	178	14.1	14.1
	5	234	18.6	18.6
	6	340	27.0	27.0
	7	270	21.4	21.4
	8	105	8.3	8.3
	9	16	1.3	1.3
	10	12	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_5] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Improving the circumstances in the less well-off regions in Finland

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Improving the circumstances in the less well-off regions in Finland

Frequencies

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	121	9.6	9.6
	4	107	8.5	8.5
	5	161	12.8	12.8
	6	315	25.0	25.0
	7	343	27.2	27.2
	8	171	13.6	13.6
	9	24	1.9	1.9
	10	17	1.3	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_6] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Housing policy

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Housing policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	103	8.2	8.2
	4	152	12.1	12.1
	5	194	15.4	15.4
	6	340	27.0	27.0
	7	306	24.3	24.3
	8	141	11.2	11.2
	9	14	1.1	1.1
	10	10	0.8	0.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_7] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Taxation

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Taxation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	76	6.0	6.0
	4	506	40.2	40.2
	5	260	20.6	20.7
	6	224	17.8	17.8
	7	124	9.8	9.8
	8	53	4.2	4.2
	9	6	0.5	0.5
	10	10	0.8	0.8
	System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_8] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Educational and cultural policy

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Educational and cultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	122	9.7	9.7
	4	87	6.9	6.9
	5	135	10.7	10.7
	6	233	18.5	18.5
	7	381	30.2	30.2
	8	240	19.0	19.0
	9	48	3.8	3.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
	10	14	1.1	1.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_9] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Foreign policy

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Foreign policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	116	9.2	9.2
	4	22	1.7	1.7
	5	45	3.6	3.6
	6	128	10.2	10.2
	7	298	23.7	23.7
	8	388	30.8	30.8
	9	189	15.0	15.0
	10	74	5.9	5.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_10] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Agricultural policy

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Agricultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	123	9.8	9.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
	4	82	6.5	6.5
	5	140	11.1	11.1
	6	270	21.4	21.4
	7	368	29.2	29.2
	8	217	17.2	17.2
	9	42	3.3	3.3
	10	17	1.3	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_11] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Efficiency

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Efficiency

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (Can't say?)	0	89	7.1	7.1
	4	89	7.1	7.1
	5	115	9.1	9.1
	6	202	16.0	16.0
	7	352	27.9	27.9
	8	282	22.4	22.4
	9	95	7.5	7.5
	10	36	2.9	2.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q3_12] On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Honesty

Question

On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Honesty

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %	
Empty (Can't say?)	0	152	12.1	12.1	
	4	95	7.5	7.6	
	5	87	6.9	6.9	
	6	216	17.1	17.2	
	7	309	24.5	24.6	
	8	248	19.7	19.7	
	9	81	6.4	6.4	
	10	69	5.5	5.5	
	System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–
			1260	100.0	100.0

[Q4_1] Thinking of areas in which the government should improve its performance, which area is the most urgent?**Question**

Thinking of areas in which the government should improve its performance, which area is the most urgent?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Maintaining the value of money	1	194	15.4	15.4
Stabilising economy	2	225	17.9	17.9
Improving employment	3	435	34.5	34.5
Stabilising foreign trade	4	97	7.7	7.7
Improving development areas in Finland	5	27	2.1	2.1
Housing policy	6	40	3.2	3.2
Taxation	7	150	11.9	11.9
Education and culture	8	4	0.3	0.3
Foreign policy	9	2	0.2	0.2
Agricultural policy	10	41	3.3	3.3
Efficiency	11	3	0.2	0.2
Honesty	12	15	1.2	1.2
Can't say	13	27	2.1	2.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q4_2] Thinking of areas in which the government should improve its performance, which area comes second in urgency?

Question

Thinking of areas in which the government should improve its performance, which area comes second in urgency?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Maintaining the value of money	1	159	12.6	12.6
Stabilising economy	2	245	19.4	19.4
Improving employment	3	268	21.3	21.3
Stabilising foreign trade	4	153	12.1	12.1
Improving development areas in Finland	5	41	3.3	3.3
Housing policy	6	83	6.6	6.6
Taxation	7	181	14.4	14.4
Education and culture	8	17	1.3	1.3
Foreign policy	9	4	0.3	0.3
Agricultural policy	10	43	3.4	3.4
Efficiency	11	8	0.6	0.6
Honesty	12	11	0.9	0.9
Can't say	13	47	3.7	3.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q5] Has Finland's economic situation improved or got worse over the past 12 months?

Question

Has Finland's economic situation improved or got worse over the past 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Has improved considerably	1	4	0.3	0.3
Has improved to some extent	2	134	10.6	10.6
Has stayed the same	3	338	26.8	26.8
Has got somewhat worse	4	528	41.9	41.9
Has got worse considerably	5	235	18.7	18.7
Can't say	6	21	1.7	1.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q6] Do you think Finland's financial situation will improve or get worse over the next 12 months?

Question

Do you think Finland's financial situation will improve or get worse over the next 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Will improve considerably	1	14	1.1	1.1
Will improve to some extent	2	449	35.6	35.6
Will stay the same	3	408	32.4	32.4
Will get somewhat worse	4	306	24.3	24.3
Will get considerably worse	5	34	2.7	2.7
Can't say	6	49	3.9	3.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q7] Has your own or your family's financial situation improved or got worse over the past 12 months?

Question

Has your own or your family's financial situation improved or got worse over the past 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Has improved considerably	1	17	1.3	1.3
Has improved to some extent	2	135	10.7	10.7
Has stayed the same	3	706	56.0	56.0
Has got somewhat worse	4	332	26.3	26.3
Has got worse considerably	5	59	4.7	4.7
Can't say	6	11	0.9	0.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q8] Do you think that your own or your family's financial situation will improve or get worse over the next 12 months?

Question

Do you think that your own or your family's financial situation will improve or get worse over the next 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Will improve considerably	1	17	1.3	1.3
Will improve to some extent	2	163	12.9	12.9
Will stay the same	3	721	57.2	57.2
Will get somewhat worse	4	281	22.3	22.3
Will get considerably worse	5	32	2.5	2.5
Can't say	6	46	3.7	3.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q9] Do you expect the rate of price growth to slow down or accelerate in Finland over the next 12 months?**Question**

Do you expect the rate of price growth to slow down or accelerate in Finland over the next 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Price growth will slow down	1	239	19.0	19.0
Price growth will remain the same	2	444	35.2	35.2
Price growth will accelerate	3	552	43.8	43.8
Can't say	4	25	2.0	2.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q10_1] Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Satisfactory from everyone's viewpoint in these circumstances**Question**

Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Satisfactory from everyone's viewpoint in these circumstances

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	217	17.2	17.2
Agree	2	480	38.1	38.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	3	306	24.3	24.3
Strongly disagree	4	125	9.9	9.9
Can't say	5	132	10.5	10.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q10_2] Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Employees' standard of living will fall during the settlement period

Question

Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Employees' standard of living will fall during the settlement period

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	282	22.4	22.4
Agree	2	360	28.6	28.6
Disagree	3	333	26.4	26.4
Strongly disagree	4	97	7.7	7.7
Can't say	5	188	14.9	14.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q10_3] Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Will increase income disparity between people with high and low income

Question

Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Will increase income disparity between people with high and low income

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	330	26.2	26.2
Agree	2	347	27.5	27.5
Disagree	3	272	21.6	21.6
Strongly disagree	4	121	9.6	9.6
Can't say	5	190	15.1	15.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q10_4] Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Decisions connected to agriculture are satisfactory

Question

Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Decisions connected to agriculture are satisfactory

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	189	15.0	15.0
Agree	2	386	30.6	30.6
Disagree	3	280	22.2	22.2
Strongly disagree	4	182	14.4	14.4
Can't say	5	223	17.7	17.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q11_1] Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Wages, prices, taxes and social security should be included in the future negotiations and settlement

Question

Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Wages, prices, taxes and social security should be included in the future negotiations and settlement

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	727	57.7	57.7
Agree	2	300	23.8	23.8
Disagree	3	77	6.1	6.1
Strongly disagree	4	46	3.7	3.7
Can't say	5	110	8.7	8.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q11_2] Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Prices of agricultural products should be settled separately

Question

Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Prices of agricultural products should be settled separately

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	347	27.5	27.5
Agree	2	236	18.7	18.7
Disagree	3	241	19.1	19.1
Strongly disagree	4	258	20.5	20.5
Can't say	5	178	14.1	14.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q11_3] Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): It is good that legislative issues can be settled in connection with the comprehensive settlement

Question

Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): It is good that legislative issues can be settled in connection with the comprehensive settlement

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	421	33.4	33.4
Agree	2	376	29.8	29.8
Disagree	3	111	8.8	8.8
Strongly disagree	4	110	8.7	8.7
Can't say	5	242	19.2	19.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q11_4] Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Interests of different groups would be better represented if every sector made its own separate settlement

Question

Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Interests of different groups would be better represented if every sector made its own separate settlement

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	382	30.3	30.3
Agree	2	290	23.0	23.0
Disagree	3	202	16.0	16.0
Strongly disagree	4	222	17.6	17.6
Can't say	5	164	13.0	13.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q11_5] Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): The government should not participate in future negotiations and settlements, but leave it to employees and employers

Question

Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): The government should not participate in future negotiations and settlements, but leave it to employees and employers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	294	23.3	23.3
Agree	2	244	19.4	19.4
Disagree	3	301	23.9	23.9
Strongly disagree	4	268	21.3	21.3
Can't say	5	153	12.1	12.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q12] Do you think the employment situation in Finland will improve over the next 12 months?

Question

Do you think the employment situation in Finland will improve over the next 12 months?

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Will improve	1	459	36.4	36.4
Will stay the same	2	501	39.8	39.8
Will get worse	3	270	21.4	21.4
Can't say	4	30	2.4	2.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q13] Do you think you or a family member is at risk of unemployment over the next 12 months?

Question

Do you think you or a family member is at risk of unemployment over the next 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
No risk of unemployment	1	847	67.2	67.2
Small risk of unemployment	2	169	13.4	13.4
Moderate risk of unemployment	3	132	10.5	10.5
Rather a big risk of unemployment	4	53	4.2	4.2
Considerable risk of unemployment	5	37	2.9	2.9
Can't say	6	22	1.7	1.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q14_1] Powers of different government institutions: President of the Republic of Finland

Question

Powers of different government institutions: President of the Republic of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Powers should be increased	1	146	11.6	11.6
Powers should remain the same	2	904	71.7	71.7
Powers should be reduced	3	180	14.3	14.3
Can't say	4	30	2.4	2.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q14_2] Powers of different government institutions: Parliament**Question***Powers of different government institutions: Parliament***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Powers should be increased	1	297	23.6	23.6
Powers should remain the same	2	836	66.3	66.3
Powers should be reduced	3	67	5.3	5.3
Can't say	4	60	4.8	4.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q14_3] Powers of different government institutions: Government**Question***Powers of different government institutions: Government***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Powers should be increased	1	198	15.7	15.7
Powers should remain the same	2	858	68.1	68.1
Powers should be reduced	3	129	10.2	10.2
Can't say	4	75	6.0	6.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q15] How much do you think the Finnish government influences the decisions of local authorities?**Question***How much do you think the Finnish government influences the decisions of local authorities?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	168	13.3	13.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat	2	626	49.7	49.7
Not a lot	3	337	26.7	26.7
Not at all	4	22	1.7	1.7
Can't say	5	107	8.5	8.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q16] Should cooperation between the government and municipal bodies be increased or reduces?

Question

Should cooperation between the government and municipal bodies be increased or reduces?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Should be increased a great deal	1	212	16.8	16.8
Should be increased to some extent	2	558	44.3	44.3
Should stay at the present level	3	288	22.9	22.9
Should be somewhat reduced	4	103	8.2	8.2
Should be reduced a great deal	5	13	1.0	1.0
Can't say	6	86	6.8	6.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_1] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SDP

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	423	33.6	33.6
Mentioned	1	837	66.4	66.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_2] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKDL

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	708	56.2	56.2
Mentioned	1	552	43.8	43.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_3] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: KES

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	409	32.5	32.5
Mentioned	1	851	67.5	67.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_4] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SMP

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1125	89.3	89.3
Mentioned	1	135	10.7	10.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_5] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: LKP

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	742	58.9	58.9
Mentioned	1	518	41.1	41.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_6] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKL

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	983	78.0	78.0
Mentioned	1	277	22.0	22.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_7] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: RKP

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	790	62.7	62.7
Mentioned	1	470	37.3	37.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_8] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: KOK

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	739	58.7	58.7
Mentioned	1	521	41.3	41.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_9] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SYP

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1124	89.2	89.2
Mentioned	1	136	10.8	10.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_10] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKYP

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1183	93.9	93.9
Mentioned	1	77	6.1	6.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_11] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SPK

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1181	93.7	93.7
Mentioned	1	79	6.3	6.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_12] If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included:

Question

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included:

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (mentioned a party)	0	984	78.1	78.1
All parties should be included	1	92	7.3	7.3
All parliamentary parties should be included	2	48	3.8	3.8
None = caretaker government without parties	3	17	1.3	1.3
Can't say	4	119	9.4	9.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_1] If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SDP

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1184	94.0	94.0
Mentioned	1	76	6.0	6.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

**[Q17B_2] If a new government were formed now, it should not include:
SKDL**

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	990	78.6	78.6
Mentioned	1	270	21.4	21.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

**[Q17B_3] If a new government were formed now, it should not include:
KES**

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1213	96.3	96.3
Mentioned	1	47	3.7	3.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

**[Q17B_4] If a new government were formed now, it should not include:
SMP**

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SMP

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	729	57.9	57.9
Mentioned	1	531	42.1	42.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_5] If a new government were formed now, it should not include: LKP

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1100	87.3	87.3
Mentioned	1	160	12.7	12.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_6] If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKL

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	956	75.9	75.9
Mentioned	1	304	24.1	24.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_7] If a new government were formed now, it should not include: RKP

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1079	85.6	85.6
Mentioned	1	181	14.4	14.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_8] If a new government were formed now, it should not include: KOK

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1009	80.1	80.1
Mentioned	1	251	19.9	19.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_9] If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SYP

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	898	71.3	71.3
Mentioned	1	362	28.7	28.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_10] If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKYP

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKYP

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	847	67.2	67.2
Mentioned	1	413	32.8	32.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_11] If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SPK

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	797	63.3	63.3
Mentioned	1	463	36.7	36.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_12] If a new government were formed now, it should not include:

Question

If a new government were formed now, it should not include:

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (mentioned a party)	0	837	66.4	66.4
No party should be excluded	1	116	9.2	9.2
No parliamentary party should be excluded = no SYP	2	30	2.4	2.4
All parties excluded, a caretaker government	3	16	1.3	1.3
Can't say	4	261	20.7	20.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q18_1] There is at least one party that always tries to promote my interests

Question

There is at least one party that always tries to promote my interests

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	430	34.1	34.1
Agree	2	402	31.9	31.9
Disagree	3	139	11.0	11.0
Strongly disagree	4	187	14.8	14.8
Don't know / don't want to say	5	102	8.1	8.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q18_2] Those elected to parliament soon lose touch with voters

Question

Those elected to parliament soon lose touch with voters

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	475	37.7	37.7
Agree	2	476	37.8	37.8
Disagree	3	165	13.1	13.1
Strongly disagree	4	78	6.2	6.2
Don't know / don't want to say	5	66	5.2	5.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q18_3] By voting people can really have a say about how things are run in this country

Question

By voting people can really have a say about how things are run in this country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	451	35.8	35.8
Agree	2	381	30.2	30.2
Disagree	3	221	17.5	17.5
Strongly disagree	4	160	12.7	12.7
Don't know / don't want to say	5	47	3.7	3.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q18_4] One can never trust the promises of any party**Question**

One can never trust the promises of any party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	484	38.4	38.4
Agree	2	372	29.5	29.5
Disagree	3	246	19.5	19.5
Strongly disagree	4	102	8.1	8.1
Don't know / don't want to say	5	56	4.4	4.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_1] Which party system would be best able to manage things in Finland?**Question**

Which party system would be best able to manage things in Finland?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Present party system	1	127	10.1	10.1
Two-party system: socialist and non-socialist	2	153	12.1	12.2
Three parties: left, centre, and right	3	369	29.3	29.4
Four parties: SKDL, SDP, KES and KOK	4	386	30.6	30.8
Other number of parties	5	118	9.4	9.4
No parties at all	6	9	0.7	0.7
Can't say	7	93	7.4	7.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	5	0.4	–
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_2] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) How many parties?**Question**

(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) How many parties?

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	121
minimum	1.00
maximum	11.00
mean	4.50
standard deviation	2.18

[Q19_3_1] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SDP

Question

(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1163	92.3	92.3
Mentioned	1	97	7.7	7.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3_2] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKDL

Question

(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1193	94.7	94.7
Mentioned	1	67	5.3	5.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3_3] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: KES

Question

(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: KES

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1163	92.3	92.3
Mentioned	1	97	7.7	7.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3_4] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SMP

Question

(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1244	98.7	98.7
Mentioned	1	16	1.3	1.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3_5] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: LKP

Question

(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1209	96.0	96.0
Mentioned	1	51	4.0	4.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3_6] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKL

Question

(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1225	97.2	97.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	35	2.8	2.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3_7] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: RKP**Question***(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: RKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1203	95.5	95.5
Mentioned	1	57	4.5	4.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3_8] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: KOK**Question***(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1186	94.1	94.1
Mentioned	1	74	5.9	5.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3_9] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SYP**Question***(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SYP***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1248	99.0	99.0
Mentioned	1	12	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3_10] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKYP

Question

(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1248	99.0	99.0
Mentioned	1	12	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3_11] (IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SPK

Question

(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1251	99.3	99.3
Mentioned	1	9	0.7	0.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A1] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1172	93.0	93.0
Mentioned	1	88	7.0	7.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A2] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1207	95.8	95.8
Mentioned	1	53	4.2	4.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A3] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	593	47.1	47.1
Mentioned	1	667	52.9	52.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A4] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SMP

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1147	91.0	91.0
Mentioned	1	113	9.0	9.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A5] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1247	99.0	99.0
Mentioned	1	13	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A6] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1251	99.3	99.3
Mentioned	1	9	0.7	0.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A7] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1237	98.2	98.2
Mentioned	1	23	1.8	1.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A8] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1192	94.6	94.6
Mentioned	1	68	5.4	5.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A9] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1250	99.2	99.2
Mentioned	1	10	0.8	0.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A10] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKYP

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1248	99.0	99.0
Mentioned	1	12	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A11] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1256	99.7	99.7
Mentioned	1	4	0.3	0.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A12] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	397	31.5	31.5
Mentioned	1	863	68.5	68.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20A13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	397	31.5	31.5
One party	1	738	58.6	58.6
Two parties	2	94	7.5	7.5
Three parties	3	20	1.6	1.6
Four parties	4	5	0.4	0.4
Five parties	5	2	0.2	0.2
Six parties	6	0	0.0	0.0
Seven parties	7	0	0.0	0.0
Eight parties	8	0	0.0	0.0
Nine parties	9	0	0.0	0.0
Ten parties	10	0	0.0	0.0
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	4	0.3	0.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B1] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1027	81.5	81.5
Mentioned	1	233	18.5	18.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B2] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1113	88.3	88.3
Mentioned	1	147	11.7	11.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B3] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1070	84.9	84.9
Mentioned	1	190	15.1	15.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B4] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1215	96.4	96.4
Mentioned	1	45	3.6	3.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B5] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1156	91.7	91.7
Mentioned	1	104	8.3	8.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B6] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1097	87.1	87.1
Mentioned	1	163	12.9	12.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B7] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1206	95.7	95.7
Mentioned	1	54	4.3	4.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B8] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1157	91.8	91.8
Mentioned	1	103	8.2	8.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B9] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1233	97.9	97.9
Mentioned	1	27	2.1	2.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B10] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1236	98.1	98.1
Mentioned	1	24	1.9	1.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B11] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1242	98.6	98.6
Mentioned	1	18	1.4	1.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B12] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	520	41.3	41.3
Mentioned	1	740	58.7	58.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20B13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	520	41.3	41.3
One party	1	585	46.4	46.4
Two parties	2	79	6.3	6.3
Three parties	3	42	3.3	3.3
Four parties	4	11	0.9	0.9
Five parties	5	5	0.4	0.4
Six parties	6	2	0.2	0.2
Seven parties	7	3	0.2	0.2
Eight parties	8	1	0.1	0.1
Nine parties	9	1	0.1	0.1
Ten parties	10	1	0.1	0.1
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	10	0.8	0.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C1] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SDP

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	898	71.3	71.3
Mentioned	1	362	28.7	28.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C2] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	979	77.7	77.7
Mentioned	1	281	22.3	22.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C3] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1101	87.4	87.4
Mentioned	1	159	12.6	12.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C4] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1212	96.2	96.2
Mentioned	1	48	3.8	3.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C5] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1147	91.0	91.0
Mentioned	1	113	9.0	9.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C6] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1217	96.6	96.6
Mentioned	1	43	3.4	3.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C7] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1212	96.2	96.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	48	3.8	3.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C8] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1119	88.8	88.8
Mentioned	1	141	11.2	11.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C9] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1223	97.1	97.1
Mentioned	1	37	2.9	2.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C10] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1227	97.4	97.4
Mentioned	1	33	2.6	2.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C11] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1220	96.8	96.8
Mentioned	1	40	3.2	3.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C12] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	448	35.6	35.6
Mentioned	1	812	64.4	64.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20C13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Reforms society

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Reforms society

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	448	35.6	35.6
One party	1	599	47.5	47.5
Two parties	2	132	10.5	10.5
Three parties	3	36	2.9	2.9
Four parties	4	13	1.0	1.0
Five parties	5	8	0.6	0.6
Six parties	6	3	0.2	0.2
Seven parties	7	0	0.0	0.0
Eight parties	8	1	0.1	0.1
Nine parties	9	1	0.1	0.1
Ten parties	10	2	0.2	0.2
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	17	1.3	1.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D1] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1036	82.2	82.2
Mentioned	1	224	17.8	17.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D2] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1132	89.8	89.8
Mentioned	1	128	10.2	10.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D3] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KES**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KES***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1048	83.2	83.2
Mentioned	1	212	16.8	16.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D4] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SMP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SMP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1232	97.8	97.8
Mentioned	1	28	2.2	2.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D5] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: LKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: LKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1204	95.6	95.6
Mentioned	1	56	4.4	4.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D6] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1195	94.8	94.8
Mentioned	1	65	5.2	5.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D7] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: RKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: RKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1218	96.7	96.7
Mentioned	1	42	3.3	3.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D8] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KOK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1103	87.5	87.5
Mentioned	1	157	12.5	12.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D9] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SYP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SYP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1247	99.0	99.0
Mentioned	1	13	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D10] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKYP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKYP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1248	99.0	99.0
Mentioned	1	12	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D11] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SPK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SPK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1245	98.8	98.8
Mentioned	1	15	1.2	1.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D12] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: Can't say**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: Can't say***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	578	45.9	45.9
Mentioned	1	682	54.1	54.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20D13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Reliable**Question***How many parties can be described in this manner: Reliable***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	578	45.9	45.9
One party	1	543	43.1	43.1
Two parties	2	83	6.6	6.6
Three parties	3	30	2.4	2.4
Four parties	4	12	1.0	1.0
Five parties	5	5	0.4	0.4
Six parties	6	3	0.2	0.2
Seven parties	7	0	0.0	0.0
Eight parties	8	1	0.1	0.1
Nine parties	9	0	0.0	0.0
Ten parties	10	1	0.1	0.1
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	4	0.3	0.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E1] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SDP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1103	87.5	87.5
Mentioned	1	157	12.5	12.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E2] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	922	73.2	73.2
Mentioned	1	338	26.8	26.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E3] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1149	91.2	91.2
Mentioned	1	111	8.8	8.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E4] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SMP

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1178	93.5	93.5
Mentioned	1	82	6.5	6.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E5] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1207	95.8	95.8
Mentioned	1	53	4.2	4.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E6] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1222	97.0	97.0
Mentioned	1	38	3.0	3.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E7] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1217	96.6	96.6
Mentioned	1	43	3.4	3.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E8] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1054	83.7	83.7
Mentioned	1	206	16.3	16.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E9] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1213	96.3	96.3
Mentioned	1	47	3.7	3.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E10] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKYP

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1221	96.9	96.9
Mentioned	1	39	3.1	3.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E11] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1200	95.2	95.2
Mentioned	1	60	4.8	4.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E12] Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	602	47.8	47.8
Mentioned	1	658	52.2	52.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20E13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Its policies make inflation higher

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Its policies make inflation higher

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	602	47.8	47.8
One party	1	463	36.7	36.7
Two parties	2	110	8.7	8.7
Three parties	3	35	2.8	2.8
Four parties	4	14	1.1	1.1
Five parties	5	6	0.5	0.5
Six parties	6	3	0.2	0.2
Seven parties	7	0	0.0	0.0
Eight parties	8	2	0.2	0.2
Nine parties	9	3	0.2	0.2
Ten parties	10	3	0.2	0.2
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	19	1.5	1.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F1] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1046	83.0	83.0
Mentioned	1	214	17.0	17.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F2] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1085	86.1	86.1
Mentioned	1	175	13.9	13.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F3] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: KES**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: KES***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1110	88.1	88.1
Mentioned	1	150	11.9	11.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F4] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SMP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SMP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1230	97.6	97.6
Mentioned	1	30	2.4	2.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F5] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: LKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: LKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1186	94.1	94.1
Mentioned	1	74	5.9	5.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F6] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKL**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1231	97.7	97.7
Mentioned	1	29	2.3	2.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F7] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: RKP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1224	97.1	97.1
Mentioned	1	36	2.9	2.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F8] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: KOK**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1119	88.8	88.8
Mentioned	1	141	11.2	11.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F9] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SYP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1245	98.8	98.8
Mentioned	1	15	1.2	1.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F10] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKYP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1252	99.4	99.4
Mentioned	1	8	0.6	0.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F11] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SPK**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1244	98.7	98.7
Mentioned	1	16	1.3	1.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F12] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	610	48.4	48.4
Mentioned	1	650	51.6	51.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20F13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Promotes fair taxation policy

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Promotes fair taxation policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	610	48.4	48.4
One party	1	503	39.9	39.9
Two parties	2	104	8.3	8.3
Three parties	3	24	1.9	1.9
Four parties	4	9	0.7	0.7
Five parties	5	6	0.5	0.5
Six parties	6	0	0.0	0.0
Seven parties	7	1	0.1	0.1
Eight parties	8	0	0.0	0.0
Nine parties	9	0	0.0	0.0
Ten parties	10	1	0.1	0.1
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	2	0.2	0.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G1] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SDP

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	894	71.0	71.0
Mentioned	1	366	29.0	29.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G2] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	969	76.9	76.9
Mentioned	1	291	23.1	23.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G3] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1112	88.3	88.3
Mentioned	1	148	11.7	11.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G4] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1230	97.6	97.6
Mentioned	1	30	2.4	2.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G5] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1218	96.7	96.7
Mentioned	1	42	3.3	3.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G6] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1247	99.0	99.0
Mentioned	1	13	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G7] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1233	97.9	97.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	27	2.1	2.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G8] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1175	93.3	93.3
Mentioned	1	85	6.7	6.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G9] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1247	99.0	99.0
Mentioned	1	13	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G10] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1248	99.0	99.0
Mentioned	1	12	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G11] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1254	99.5	99.5
Mentioned	1	6	0.5	0.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G12] Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	560	44.4	44.4
Mentioned	1	700	55.6	55.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20G13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Effective employment policy

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Effective employment policy

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	560	44.4	44.4
One party	1	509	40.4	40.4
Two parties	2	130	10.3	10.3
Three parties	3	31	2.5	2.5
Four parties	4	15	1.2	1.2
Five parties	5	8	0.6	0.6
Six parties	6	1	0.1	0.1
Seven parties	7	0	0.0	0.0
Eight parties	8	0	0.0	0.0
Nine parties	9	0	0.0	0.0
Ten parties	10	1	0.1	0.1
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	5	0.4	0.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H1] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1218	96.7	96.7
Mentioned	1	42	3.3	3.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H2] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1110	88.1	88.1
Mentioned	1	150	11.9	11.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H3] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1204	95.6	95.6
Mentioned	1	56	4.4	4.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H4] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1151	91.3	91.3
Mentioned	1	109	8.7	8.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H5] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1205	95.6	95.6
Mentioned	1	55	4.4	4.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H6] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1198	95.1	95.1
Mentioned	1	62	4.9	4.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H7] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1186	94.1	94.1
Mentioned	1	74	5.9	5.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H8] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	921	73.1	73.1
Mentioned	1	339	26.9	26.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H9] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1198	95.1	95.1
Mentioned	1	62	4.9	4.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H10] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1207	95.8	95.8
Mentioned	1	53	4.2	4.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H11] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1099	87.2	87.2
Mentioned	1	161	12.8	12.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H12] Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	607	48.2	48.2
Mentioned	1	653	51.8	51.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20H13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Does not promote equality and democracy

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Does not promote equality and democracy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	607	48.2	48.2
One party	1	454	36.0	36.0
Two parties	2	107	8.5	8.5
Three parties	3	44	3.5	3.5
Four parties	4	12	1.0	1.0
Five parties	5	6	0.5	0.5
Six parties	6	1	0.1	0.1
Seven parties	7	3	0.2	0.2
Eight parties	8	4	0.3	0.3
Nine parties	9	7	0.6	0.6
Ten parties	10	2	0.2	0.2
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	13	1.0	1.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I1] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1140	90.5	90.5
Mentioned	1	120	9.5	9.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I2] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1147	91.0	91.0
Mentioned	1	113	9.0	9.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I3] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KES

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1197	95.0	95.0
Mentioned	1	63	5.0	5.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I4] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1189	94.4	94.4
Mentioned	1	71	5.6	5.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I5] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1215	96.4	96.4
Mentioned	1	45	3.6	3.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I6] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SLK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SLK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1222	97.0	97.0
Mentioned	1	38	3.0	3.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I7] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1074	85.2	85.2
Mentioned	1	186	14.8	14.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I8] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1085	86.1	86.1
Mentioned	1	175	13.9	13.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I9] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1213	96.3	96.3
Mentioned	1	47	3.7	3.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I10] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1223	97.1	97.1
Mentioned	1	37	2.9	2.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I11] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1183	93.9	93.9
Mentioned	1	77	6.1	6.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I12] Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	675	53.6	53.6
Mentioned	1	585	46.4	46.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20I13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	675	53.6	53.6
One party	1	434	34.4	34.4
Two parties	2	90	7.1	7.1
Three parties	3	24	1.9	1.9
Four parties	4	9	0.7	0.7
Five parties	5	5	0.4	0.4
Six parties	6	1	0.1	0.1
Seven parties	7	2	0.2	0.2
Eight parties	8	3	0.2	0.2
Nine parties	9	3	0.2	0.2
Ten parties	10	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	14	1.1	1.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20J1] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1154	91.6	91.6
Mentioned	1	106	8.4	8.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20J2] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	632	50.2	50.2
Mentioned	1	628	49.8	49.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20J3] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1202	95.4	95.4
Mentioned	1	58	4.6	4.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20J4] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1249	99.1	99.1
Mentioned	1	11	0.9	0.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20J5] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1244	98.7	98.7
Mentioned	1	16	1.3	1.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20J6] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1256	99.7	99.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	4	0.3	0.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20J7] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1253	99.4	99.4
Mentioned	1	7	0.6	0.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20J8] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1254	99.5	99.5
Mentioned	1	6	0.5	0.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20J9] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1257	99.8	99.8
Mentioned	1	3	0.2	0.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

**[Q20J10] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies:
SKYP**

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1255	99.6	99.6
Mentioned	1	5	0.4	0.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

**[Q20J11] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies:
SPK**

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1257	99.8	99.8
Mentioned	1	3	0.2	0.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

**[Q20J12] Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies:
Can't say**

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: Can't say

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	551	43.7	43.7
Mentioned	1	709	56.3	56.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20J13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Is too left-wing in its policies

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Is too left-wing in its policies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	551	43.7	43.7
One party	1	620	49.2	49.2
Two parties	2	65	5.2	5.2
Three parties	3	18	1.4	1.4
Four parties	4	1	0.1	0.1
Five parties	5	2	0.2	0.2
Six parties	6	0	0.0	0.0
Seven parties	7	0	0.0	0.0
Eight parties	8	1	0.1	0.1
Nine parties	9	0	0.0	0.0
Ten parties	10	1	0.1	0.1
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	1	0.1	0.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K1] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1164	92.4	92.4
Mentioned	1	96	7.6	7.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K2] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKDL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKDL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1139	90.4	90.4
Mentioned	1	121	9.6	9.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K3] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: KES**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: KES***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1183	93.9	93.9
Mentioned	1	77	6.1	6.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K4] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SMP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SMP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	906	71.9	71.9
Mentioned	1	354	28.1	28.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K5] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: LKP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1182	93.8	93.8
Mentioned	1	78	6.2	6.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K6] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKL**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1172	93.0	93.0
Mentioned	1	88	7.0	7.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K7] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: RKP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1211	96.1	96.1
Mentioned	1	49	3.9	3.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K8] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: KOK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1190	94.4	94.4
Mentioned	1	70	5.6	5.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K9] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SYP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SYP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1125	89.3	89.3
Mentioned	1	135	10.7	10.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K10] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKYP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKYP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1097	87.1	87.1
Mentioned	1	163	12.9	12.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K11] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SPK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SPK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1170	92.9	92.9
Mentioned	1	90	7.1	7.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K12] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: Can't say**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: Can't say***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	622	49.4	49.4
Mentioned	1	638	50.6	50.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20K13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Lacks capable leaders**Question***How many parties can be described in this manner: Lacks capable leaders***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	622	49.4	49.4
One party	1	412	32.7	32.7
Two parties	2	81	6.4	6.4
Three parties	3	55	4.4	4.4
Four parties	4	34	2.7	2.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Five parties	5	15	1.2	1.2
Six parties	6	9	0.7	0.7
Seven parties	7	4	0.3	0.3
Eight parties	8	5	0.4	0.4
Nine parties	9	2	0.2	0.2
Ten parties	10	0	0.0	0.0
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	21	1.7	1.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L1] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SDP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	870	69.0	69.0
Mentioned	1	390	31.0	31.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L2] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKDL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKDL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1029	81.7	81.7
Mentioned	1	231	18.3	18.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L3] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: KES**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: KES*

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	883	70.1	70.1
Mentioned	1	377	29.9	29.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L4] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1188	94.3	94.3
Mentioned	1	72	5.7	5.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L5] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1132	89.8	89.8
Mentioned	1	128	10.2	10.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L6] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1192	94.6	94.6
Mentioned	1	68	5.4	5.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L7] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1157	91.8	91.8
Mentioned	1	103	8.2	8.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L8] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	951	75.5	75.5
Mentioned	1	309	24.5	24.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L9] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1219	96.7	96.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	41	3.3	3.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L10] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1226	97.3	97.3
Mentioned	1	34	2.7	2.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L11] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1208	95.9	95.9
Mentioned	1	52	4.1	4.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L12] Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	502	39.8	39.8
Mentioned	1	758	60.2	60.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20L13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Has competent leaders

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Has competent leaders

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	502	39.8	39.8
One party	1	367	29.1	29.1
Two parties	2	141	11.2	11.2
Three parties	3	117	9.3	9.3
Four parties	4	61	4.8	4.8
Five parties	5	26	2.1	2.1
Six parties	6	10	0.8	0.8
Seven parties	7	3	0.2	0.2
Eight parties	8	2	0.2	0.2
Nine parties	9	1	0.1	0.1
Ten parties	10	5	0.4	0.4
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	25	2.0	2.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M1] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1002	79.5	79.5
Mentioned	1	258	20.5	20.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M2] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1094	86.8	86.8
Mentioned	1	166	13.2	13.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M3] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1004	79.7	79.7
Mentioned	1	256	20.3	20.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M4] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1206	95.7	95.7
Mentioned	1	54	4.3	4.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M5] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1155	91.7	91.7
Mentioned	1	105	8.3	8.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M6] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1144	90.8	90.8
Mentioned	1	116	9.2	9.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M7] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1174	93.2	93.2
Mentioned	1	86	6.8	6.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M8] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: KOK**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1045	82.9	82.9
Mentioned	1	215	17.1	17.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M9] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SYP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1216	96.5	96.5
Mentioned	1	44	3.5	3.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M10] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKYP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1221	96.9	96.9
Mentioned	1	39	3.1	3.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M11] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1214	96.3	96.3
Mentioned	1	46	3.7	3.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M12] Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	574	45.6	45.6
Mentioned	1	686	54.4	54.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20M13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Improves citizens' safety and security

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Improves citizens' safety and security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	574	45.6	45.6
One party	1	435	34.5	34.5
Two parties	2	122	9.7	9.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Three parties	3	58	4.6	4.6
Four parties	4	16	1.3	1.3
Five parties	5	14	1.1	1.1
Six parties	6	5	0.4	0.4
Seven parties	7	2	0.2	0.2
Eight parties	8	4	0.3	0.3
Nine parties	9	3	0.2	0.2
Ten parties	10	2	0.2	0.2
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	25	2.0	2.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N1] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	930	73.8	73.8
Mentioned	1	330	26.2	26.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N2] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	922	73.2	73.2
Mentioned	1	338	26.8	26.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N3] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	946	75.1	75.1
Mentioned	1	314	24.9	24.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N4] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	756	60.0	60.0
Mentioned	1	504	40.0	40.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N5] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	987	78.3	78.3
Mentioned	1	273	21.7	21.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N6] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	995	79.0	79.0
Mentioned	1	265	21.0	21.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N7] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: RKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: RKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	991	78.7	78.7
Mentioned	1	269	21.3	21.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N8] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: KOK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	950	75.4	75.4
Mentioned	1	310	24.6	24.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N9] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	983	78.0	78.0
Mentioned	1	277	22.0	22.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N10] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	978	77.6	77.6
Mentioned	1	282	22.4	22.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N11] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	982	77.9	77.9
Mentioned	1	278	22.1	22.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N12] Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	592	47.0	47.0
Mentioned	1	668	53.0	53.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20N13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Forgets its promises after elections

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Forgets its promises after elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	592	47.0	47.0
One party	1	285	22.6	22.6
Two parties	2	56	4.4	4.4
Three parties	3	37	2.9	2.9
Four parties	4	22	1.7	1.7
Five parties	5	8	0.6	0.6
Six parties	6	3	0.2	0.2
Seven parties	7	2	0.2	0.2
Eight parties	8	2	0.2	0.2
Nine parties	9	6	0.5	0.5
Ten parties	10	15	1.2	1.2
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	232	18.4	18.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q2001] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1235	98.0	98.0
Mentioned	1	25	2.0	2.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q2002] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKDL**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1252	99.4	99.4
Mentioned	1	8	0.6	0.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q2003] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: KES**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1233	97.9	97.9
Mentioned	1	27	2.1	2.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q2004] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SMP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SMP

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1229	97.5	97.5
Mentioned	1	31	2.5	2.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q2005] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1225	97.2	97.2
Mentioned	1	35	2.8	2.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q2006] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1217	96.6	96.6
Mentioned	1	43	3.4	3.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q2007] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1213	96.3	96.3
Mentioned	1	47	3.7	3.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q2008] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: KOK**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	927	73.6	73.6
Mentioned	1	333	26.4	26.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q2009] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SYP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1218	96.7	96.7
Mentioned	1	42	3.3	3.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20010] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKYP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKYP

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1221	96.9	96.9
Mentioned	1	39	3.1	3.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20011] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	915	72.6	72.6
Mentioned	1	345	27.4	27.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20012] Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	575	45.6	45.6
Mentioned	1	685	54.4	54.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20013] How many parties can be described in this manner: Is too right-wing in its policies

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Is too right-wing in its policies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	575	45.6	45.6
One party	1	537	42.6	42.6
Two parties	2	91	7.2	7.2
Three parties	3	28	2.2	2.2
Four parties	4	10	0.8	0.8
Five parties	5	7	0.6	0.6
Six parties	6	2	0.2	0.2
Seven parties	7	3	0.2	0.2
Eight parties	8	2	0.2	0.2
Nine parties	9	2	0.2	0.2
Ten parties	10	3	0.2	0.2
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	0	0.0	0.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P1] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SDP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1001	79.4	79.4
Mentioned	1	259	20.6	20.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P2] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKDL**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1101	87.4	87.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	159	12.6	12.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P3] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	965	76.6	76.6
Mentioned	1	295	23.4	23.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P4] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1208	95.9	95.9
Mentioned	1	52	4.1	4.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P5] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1192	94.6	94.6
Mentioned	1	68	5.4	5.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P6] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1215	96.4	96.4
Mentioned	1	45	3.6	3.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P7] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1227	97.4	97.4
Mentioned	1	33	2.6	2.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P8] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KOK

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1164	92.4	92.4
Mentioned	1	96	7.6	7.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P9] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1239	98.3	98.3
Mentioned	1	21	1.7	1.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P10] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1244	98.7	98.7
Mentioned	1	16	1.3	1.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P11] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1245	98.8	98.8
Mentioned	1	15	1.2	1.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P12] Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	601	47.7	47.7
Mentioned	1	659	52.3	52.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20P13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	601	47.7	47.7
One party	1	469	37.2	37.2
Two parties	2	108	8.6	8.6
Three parties	3	45	3.6	3.6
Four parties	4	16	1.3	1.3
Five parties	5	6	0.5	0.5
Six parties	6	3	0.2	0.2
Seven parties	7	1	0.1	0.1
Eight parties	8	0	0.0	0.0
Nine parties	9	0	0.0	0.0
Ten parties	10	1	0.1	0.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	10	0.8	0.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R1] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1234	97.9	97.9
Mentioned	1	26	2.1	2.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R2] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1231	97.7	97.7
Mentioned	1	29	2.3	2.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R3] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: KES

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: KES

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1233	97.9	97.9
Mentioned	1	27	2.1	2.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R4] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	977	77.5	77.5
Mentioned	1	283	22.5	22.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R5] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1176	93.3	93.3
Mentioned	1	84	6.7	6.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R6] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1158	91.9	91.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	102	8.1	8.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R7] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1215	96.4	96.4
Mentioned	1	45	3.6	3.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R8] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1245	98.8	98.8
Mentioned	1	15	1.2	1.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R9] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1167	92.6	92.6
Mentioned	1	93	7.4	7.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R10] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKYP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1097	87.1	87.1
Mentioned	1	163	12.9	12.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R11] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SPK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1194	94.8	94.8
Mentioned	1	66	5.2	5.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R12] Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: Can't say

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	669	53.1	53.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	591	46.9	46.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q20R13] How many parties can be described in this manner: Lacks clear party line

Question

How many parties can be described in this manner: Lacks clear party line

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (empty, none)	0	669	53.1	53.1
One party	1	423	33.6	33.6
Two parties	2	76	6.0	6.0
Three parties	3	54	4.3	4.3
Four parties	4	16	1.3	1.3
Five parties	5	15	1.2	1.2
Six parties	6	2	0.2	0.2
Seven parties	7	1	0.1	0.1
Eight parties	8	0	0.0	0.0
Nine parties	9	3	0.2	0.2
Ten parties	10	0	0.0	0.0
All the parties mentioned in this survey	11	1	0.1	0.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q21] Are you a member of any political party, or a member of women's/youth organisation of any party?

Question

Are you a member of any political party, or a member of women's/youth organisation of any party?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing value	0	15	1.2	1.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	209	16.6	16.6
No	2	1036	82.2	82.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q22] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association?

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	568	45.1	45.1
No	2	692	54.9	54.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q23] (IF NOT A MEMBER) Have you ever held an official position in a trade union or business/professional association?

Question

(IF NOT A MEMBER) Have you ever held an official position in a trade union or business/professional association?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (is a member?)	0	479	38.0	38.0
Yes	1	92	7.3	7.3
No	2	689	54.7	54.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q24] Are you a member of any agricultural association or organisation?

Question

Are you a member of any agricultural association or organisation?

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	155	12.3	12.3
No	2	1104	87.6	87.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q25] (IF NOT A MEMBER) Have you ever held an official position in an agricultural association or organisation?

Question

(IF NOT A MEMBER) Have you ever held an official position in an agricultural association or organisation?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
empty (is a member?)	0	129	10.2	10.2
Yes	1	50	4.0	4.0
No	2	1081	85.8	85.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q26] How interested are you in politics?

Question

How interested are you in politics?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very interested	1	113	9.0	9.0
Interested to some extent	2	381	30.2	30.2
Not very interested	3	565	44.8	44.8
Not at all interested	4	199	15.8	15.8
Can't say	5	2	0.2	0.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_1] Main source of information when making a voting decision for the future municipal elections

Question

Main source of information when making a voting decision for the future municipal elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Friends, acquaintances	1	79	6.3	6.3
Trade union or other interest groups	2	113	9.0	9.0
Newspaper articles	3	433	34.4	34.4
Party leaflets	4	68	5.4	5.4
Radio programmes	5	56	4.4	4.4
Television programmes	6	236	18.7	18.7
Election rallies and events	7	69	5.5	5.5
None	8	84	6.7	6.7
Other source of information	9	25	2.0	2.0
Own political allegiance	10	55	4.4	4.4
Can't say	11	42	3.3	3.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_2] Other source of information: Friends, acquaintances

Question

Other source of information: Friends, acquaintances

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1129	89.6	89.6
Mentioned	1	131	10.4	10.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_3] Other source of information: Trade union or interest group activities

Question

Other source of information: Trade union or interest group activities

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1179	93.6	93.6
Mentioned	1	81	6.4	6.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_4] Other source of information: Newspaper articles

Question

Other source of information: Newspaper articles

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	941	74.7	74.7
Mentioned	1	319	25.3	25.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_5] Other source of information: Party leaflets

Question

Other source of information: Party leaflets

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1105	87.7	87.7
Mentioned	1	155	12.3	12.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_6] Other source of information: Radio programmes

Question

Other source of information: Radio programmes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	946	75.1	75.1
Mentioned	1	314	24.9	24.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_7] Other source of information: Television programmes

Question

Other source of information: Television programmes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	856	67.9	67.9
Mentioned	1	404	32.1	32.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_8] Other source of information: Election rallies and events

Question

Other source of information: Election rallies and events

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1116	88.6	88.6
Mentioned	1	144	11.4	11.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_9] Other source of information: None

Question

Other source of information: None

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1213	96.3	96.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	47	3.7	3.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_10] Other source of information: Other source of information

Question

Other source of information: Other source of information

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1257	99.8	99.8
Mentioned	1	3	0.2	0.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_11] Other source of information: Own political allegiance

Question

Other source of information: Own political allegiance

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1253	99.4	99.4
Mentioned	1	7	0.6	0.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q27_12] Other source of information: Can't say

Question

Other source of information: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	258	20.5	20.5
Mentioned	1	1002	79.5	79.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q28] Did you vote in the 1975 parliamentary elections?

Question

Did you vote in the 1975 parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	1098	87.1	87.1
No, I was too young	2	40	3.2	3.2
No, other reason	3	119	9.4	9.4
Can't remember	4	3	0.2	0.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q29] If you voted, which party did you vote for?

Question

If you voted, which party did you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Did not vote	0	159	12.6	12.6
SDP	1	279	22.1	22.1
SKDL	2	151	12.0	12.0
STP	3	9	0.7	0.7
KES	4	197	15.6	15.6
SMP	5	15	1.2	1.2
LKP	6	34	2.7	2.7
SKL	7	29	2.3	2.3
RKP	8	26	2.1	2.1
KOK	9	135	10.7	10.7
SYP	10	2	0.2	0.2
SKYP	11	4	0.3	0.3
Other party	12	1	0.1	0.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Do not want to say	13	200	15.9	15.9
Can't say	14	19	1.5	1.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q30] If the parliamentary elections were held now, how certain are you that you would vote?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, how certain are you that you would vote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I would certainly vote	1	903	71.7	71.7
I would probably vote	2	260	20.6	20.6
I would probably not vote	3	57	4.5	4.5
I would not vote	4	29	2.3	2.3
Can't say	5	11	0.9	0.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_1] For you party choice, how many parties or political groups would you take into serious consideration?

Question

For you party choice, how many parties or political groups would you take into serious consideration?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Only one party	1	308	24.4	24.4
Two parties	2	447	35.5	35.5
Three parties	3	230	18.3	18.3
Four parties	4	77	6.1	6.1
Five parties	5	26	2.1	2.1
Six parties	6	8	0.6	0.6
Seven parties	7	1	0.1	0.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Eight parties	8	1	0.1	0.1
Nine parties	9	1	0.1	0.1
Ten parties	10	1	0.1	0.1
All parties	11	2	0.2	0.2
Can't say	12	158	12.5	12.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_2] Which party would you take into consideration: SDP**Question***Which party would you take into consideration: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	694	55.1	55.1
Mentioned	1	566	44.9	44.9
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_3] Which party would you take into consideration: SKDL**Question***Which party would you take into consideration: SKDL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	939	74.5	74.5
Mentioned	1	321	25.5	25.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_4] Which party would you take into consideration: KESK**Question***Which party would you take into consideration: KESK***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	807	64.0	64.0
Mentioned	1	453	36.0	36.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_5] Which party would you take into consideration: SMP

Question

Which party would you take into consideration: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1195	94.8	94.8
Mentioned	1	65	5.2	5.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_6] Which party would you take into consideration: LKP

Question

Which party would you take into consideration: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1014	80.5	80.5
Mentioned	1	246	19.5	19.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_7] Which party would you take into consideration: SKL

Question

Which party would you take into consideration: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1122	89.0	89.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	138	11.0	11.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_8] Which party would you take into consideration: RKP

Question

Which party would you take into consideration: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1176	93.3	93.3
Mentioned	1	84	6.7	6.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_9] Which party would you take into consideration: KOK

Question

Which party would you take into consideration: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	929	73.7	73.7
Mentioned	1	331	26.3	26.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_10] Which party would you take into consideration: SYP

Question

Which party would you take into consideration: SYP

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1204	95.6	95.6
Mentioned	1	56	4.4	4.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_11] Which party would you take into consideration: SYP

Question

Which party would you take into consideration: SYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1226	97.3	97.3
Mentioned	1	34	2.7	2.7
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_12] Which party would you take into consideration: SPK

Question

Which party would you take into consideration: SPK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1227	97.4	97.4
Mentioned	1	33	2.6	2.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q31_13] Which party would you take into consideration:

Question

Which party would you take into consideration:

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned a party	0	1055	83.7	83.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Wouldn't vote	1	24	1.9	1.9
Can't say	2	60	4.8	4.8
Missing value	3	121	9.6	9.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q32] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP	1	317	25.2	25.2
SKDL	2	168	13.3	13.3
KES	3	211	16.7	16.8
SMP	4	17	1.3	1.4
LKP	5	36	2.9	2.9
SKL	6	34	2.7	2.7
RKP	7	26	2.1	2.1
KOK	8	141	11.2	11.2
SYP	9	9	0.7	0.7
SKYP	10	4	0.3	0.3
SPK	11	3	0.2	0.2
Other party	12	0	0.0	0.0
Wouldn't vote	13	27	2.1	2.1
Can't say	14	85	6.7	6.8
Don't want to say	15	181	14.4	14.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q33_1] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference?

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Party not mentioned	0	262	20.8	20.8
SDP	1	156	12.4	12.4
SKDL	2	85	6.7	6.7
KES	3	110	8.7	8.7
SMP	4	15	1.2	1.2
LKP	5	102	8.1	8.1
SKL	6	41	3.3	3.3
RKP	7	13	1.0	1.0
KOK	8	96	7.6	7.6
SYP	9	11	0.9	0.9
SKYP	10	4	0.3	0.3
SPK	11	19	1.5	1.5
Can't say	12	78	6.2	6.2
Don't want to say	13	32	2.5	2.5
Would not vote for any other party	14	234	18.6	18.6
Any party	15	2	0.2	0.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q33_2] Which party would you not vote for under any circumstances?

Question

Which party would you not vote for under any circumstances?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Party not mentioned	0	270	21.4	21.4
SDP	1	29	2.3	2.3
SKDL	2	314	24.9	24.9
KES	3	6	0.5	0.5
SMP	4	140	11.1	11.1
LKP	5	14	1.1	1.1
SKL	6	11	0.9	0.9
RKP	7	32	2.5	2.5
KOK	8	142	11.3	11.3
SYP	9	8	0.6	0.6
SKYP	10	11	0.9	0.9
SPK	11	86	6.8	6.8
Can't say	12	117	9.3	9.3
Don't want to say	13	37	2.9	2.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Would not vote for any other party	14	39	3.1	3.1
Any party	15	4	0.3	0.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q34_1] Household members aged: under 7 years**Question***Household members aged: under 7 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	948	75.2	75.2
Mentioned	1	312	24.8	24.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q34_2] Household members aged: 8-17 years**Question***Household members aged: 8-17 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	803	63.7	63.7
Mentioned	1	457	36.3	36.3
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q34_3] Household members aged: 18-25 years**Question***Household members aged: 18-25 years***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	838	66.5	66.5
Mentioned	1	422	33.5	33.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q34_4] Household members aged: 26-35 years

Question

Household members aged: 26-35 years

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	874	69.4	69.4
Mentioned	1	386	30.6	30.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q34_5] Household members aged: 36-49 years

Question

Household members aged: 36-49 years

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	784	62.2	62.2
Mentioned	1	476	37.8	37.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q34_6] Household members aged: 50-64 years

Question

Household members aged: 50-64 years

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	842	66.8	66.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	418	33.2	33.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q34_7] Household members aged: over 65 years

Question

Household members aged: over 65 years

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1041	82.6	82.6
Mentioned	1	219	17.4	17.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q34_8] Number of persons living in the household

Question

Number of persons living in the household

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1256
minimum	1.00
maximum	11.00
mean	3.30
standard deviation	1.60

[Q35] Number of rooms in the respondent's home (excluding kitchen and bathroom)

Question

Number of rooms in the respondent's home (excluding kitchen and bathroom)

Descriptive statistics

2. Variables

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1253
minimum	1.00
maximum	8.00
mean	3.34
standard deviation	1.39

[Q36] Housing tenure and type of accommodation

Question

Housing tenure and type of accommodation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Owner-occupied flat or terraced house	1	275	21.8	21.9
Owner-occupied farmhouse	2	242	19.2	19.2
Owner-occupied detached house	3	326	25.9	25.9
Rented with job/business	4	106	8.4	8.4
Main tenant	5	279	22.1	22.2
Subtenant	6	27	2.1	2.1
Hall of residence, institutional residence	7	3	0.2	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q37] Respondent's economic activity

Question

Respondent's economic activity

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Full-time employee working outside the home	1	568	45.1	45.1
Part-time employee working outside the home	2	56	4.4	4.4
Engaged in home-based work	3	18	1.4	1.4
Farmer	4	149	11.8	11.8
Other entrepreneur or self-employed	5	35	2.8	2.8
Assisting family member	6	11	0.9	0.9
Not in paid work/not self-employed	7	423	33.6	33.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q38] Respondent's occupational status**Question***Respondent's occupational status***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Empty (none of the following)	0	103	8.2	8.2
Farmer	1	218	17.3	17.3
Unskilled worker	2	242	19.2	19.2
Skilled worker	3	375	29.8	29.8
Intermediate level or professional employee	4	264	21.0	21.0
In managerial position	5	58	4.6	4.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q39] Do you feel you belong to any of the following groups?**Question***Do you feel you belong to any of the following groups?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Pupil	1	14	1.1	1.1
Student	2	48	3.8	3.8
Farmer's wife	3	84	6.7	6.7
Housewife	4	115	9.1	9.1
Pensioner, on old-age pension	5	127	10.1	10.1
Pensioner, on disability/reduced capacity pension	6	123	9.8	9.8
Do not belong to any of these groups	7	746	59.2	59.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	—
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q40] Economic activity of the household head**Question***Economic activity of the household head***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
In paid work	1	689	54.7	54.7
Entrepreneur, self-employed	2	255	20.2	20.2
None of the above	3	316	25.1	25.1
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q41] Occupational status of the household head**Question***Occupational status of the household head***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer	1	245	19.4	19.5
Unskilled worker	2	156	12.4	12.4
Skilled worker	3	464	36.8	36.9
Intermediate level or professional employee	4	301	23.9	23.9
In managerial position	5	91	7.2	7.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q42] Employment sector of the company household head works for**Question***Employment sector of the company household head works for***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
No company (empty)	0	998	79.2	79.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agriculture	1	197	15.6	15.6
Mining	2	0	0.0	0.0
Manufacturing	3	8	0.6	0.6
Electricity and gas supply	4	1	0.1	0.1
Construction	5	10	0.8	0.8
Trade	6	18	1.4	1.4
Transport	7	19	1.5	1.5
Financial intermediation	8	2	0.2	0.2
Public services	9	7	0.6	0.6
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q43] Number of employees in the company household head works for**Question***Number of employees in the company household head works for***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
No company, no employees (empty)	0	1201	95.3	95.3
	1	23	1.8	1.8
	2	15	1.2	1.2
	3	5	0.4	0.4
	4	3	0.2	0.2
	5	4	0.3	0.3
	6	1	0.1	0.1
	7	1	0.1	0.1
	10	1	0.1	0.1
>=12	12	6	0.5	0.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q44] Do you or your family have arable land?**Question***Do you or your family have arable land?***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Less than 5 hectares	1	118	9.4	9.5
5-10 hectares	2	99	7.9	7.9
11-15 hectares	3	56	4.4	4.5
16-30 hectares	4	42	3.3	3.4
31-40 hectares	5	8	0.6	0.6
Over 40 hectares	6	3	0.2	0.2
No arable land	7	922	73.2	73.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	12	1.0	–
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q45] Respondent's basic education

Question

Respondent's basic education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Incomplete primary education or less	1	176	14.0	14.0
Primary education, incomplete lower secondary education	2	759	60.2	60.3
Lower secondary education or incomplete upper secondary	3	199	15.8	15.8
Upper secondary education (matriculation examination)	4	124	9.8	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q46] Respondent's vocational education (longer than three months)

Question

Respondent's vocational education (longer than three months)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Short vocational course	1	137	10.9	10.9
Vocational school or equivalent (upper secondary level)	2	189	15.0	15.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Vocational school plus vocational courses	3	29	2.3	2.3
College level vocational education	4	108	8.6	8.6
Technical college and academic degree	5	30	2.4	2.4
Academic degree	6	51	4.0	4.0
No vocational education	7	716	56.8	56.8
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q47] Respondent's mother tongue**Question***Respondent's mother tongue***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	1	1207	95.8	95.8
Swedish	2	51	4.0	4.0
Other	3	2	0.2	0.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q48] Respondent's gender**Question***Respondent's gender***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Female	1	638	50.6	50.6
Male	2	622	49.4	49.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q49_1] Annual gross income of the household in Finnish marks**Question***Annual gross income of the household in Finnish marks*

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Interviewer's estimate (empty)	0	129	10.2	10.2
Less than 4000 marks	1	28	2.2	2.2
4001-8000 marks	2	41	3.3	3.3
8001-12000 marks	3	77	6.1	6.1
12001-16000 marks	4	70	5.6	5.6
16001-20000 marks	5	96	7.6	7.6
20001-24000 marks	6	109	8.7	8.7
24001-36000 marks	7	255	20.2	20.2
36001-50000 marks	8	211	16.7	16.7
Over 50000 marks	9	137	10.9	10.9
Can't say, interviewer can't estimate	10	107	8.5	8.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q49_2] Interviewer's estimate of the household's annual gross income

Question

Interviewer's estimate of the household's annual gross income

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Income reported (empty)	0	1132	89.8	89.8
Less than 4000 marks	1	2	0.2	0.2
4001-8000 marks	2	14	1.1	1.1
8001-12000 marks	3	8	0.6	0.6
12001-16000 marks	4	19	1.5	1.5
16001-20000 marks	5	20	1.6	1.6
20001-24000 marks	6	17	1.3	1.3
24001-36000 marks	7	20	1.6	1.6
36001-50000 marks	8	13	1.0	1.0
Over 50000 marks	9	15	1.2	1.2
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q50_1] Respondent's age

Question

Respondent's age

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
17-19 years	1	53	4.2	4.2
20-22 years	2	60	4.8	4.8
23-25 years	3	91	7.2	7.2
26-28 years	4	100	7.9	7.9
29-30 years	5	66	5.2	5.2
31-35 years	6	107	8.5	8.5
36-40 years	7	119	9.4	9.4
41-49 years	8	220	17.5	17.5
50-64 years	9	306	24.3	24.3
65-70 years	10	70	5.6	5.6
Over 70 years	11	68	5.4	5.4
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q50_2] Respondent's year of birth

Question

Respondent's year of birth

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1258
minimum	1881.00
maximum	1959.00
mean	1932.56
standard deviation	16.06

[Q51] Respondent's place of residence

Question

Respondent's place of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki	1	108	8.6	8.6
Espoo	2	11	0.9	0.9
Vantaa	3	16	1.3	1.3
Kauniainen	4	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Turku	5	49	3.9	3.9
Tampere	6	51	4.0	4.0
Lahti, Pori, Oulu, Kuopio	7	75	6.0	6.0
Other town	8	421	33.4	33.4
Rural municipality	9	529	42.0	42.0
		1260	100.0	100.0

[Q53] Respondent's electoral district

Question

Respondent's electoral district

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki	1	109	8.7	8.7
Province of Uusimaa	2	96	7.6	7.6
Province of Turku/Southern	3	103	8.2	8.2
Province of Turku/Northern	4	63	5.0	5.0
Province of Häme/Southern	5	104	8.3	8.3
Province of Häme/Northern	6	55	4.4	4.4
Province of Kymi	7	128	10.2	10.2
Province of Mikkeli	8	88	7.0	7.0
Province of Pohjois-Karjala	9	42	3.3	3.3
Province of Kuopio	10	94	7.5	7.5
Province of Keski-Suomi	11	99	7.9	7.9
Province of Vaasa	12	111	8.8	8.8
Province of Oulu	13	111	8.8	8.8
Province of Lappi	14	57	4.5	4.5
		1260	100.0	100.0

[VUOSI] Year of the interview

Question

Year of the interview

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1260
minimum	1976.00
maximum	1976.00
mean	1976.00
standard deviation	0.00

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
What are you most worried about? [Q1_1]	6
What other things worry you: Nothing in particular [Q1_2]	6
What other things worry you: Own employment or general employment situation in Finland [Q1_3]	7
What other things worry you: Own or family livelihood [Q1_4]	7
What other things worry you: Threat of war, world peace [Q1_5]	7
What other things worry you: Own health, health of family members [Q1_6]	8
What other things worry you: Children's education or future [Q1_7]	8
What other things worry you: Environmental pollution [Q1_8]	8
What other things worry you: Famine in the world [Q1_9]	9
What other things worry you: Strikes or labour disputes in Finland [Q1_10]	9
What other things worry you: Personal safety in the area of residence [Q1_11]	9
What other things worry you: Finland's political future [Q1_12]	10
What other things worry you: Inequality in Finland [Q1_13]	10
What other things worry you: Housing situation [Q1_14]	10
What other things worry you: Taxation [Q1_15]	11
What other things worry you: Inflation [Q1_16]	11
What other things worry you: Issues related to studies [Q1_17]	11
What other things worry you: National economy [Q1_18]	12
What other things worry you: Agricultural issues [Q1_19]	12

3. Indexes

What other things worry you: Trade balance [Q1_20]	12
What other things worry you: Crime [Q1_21]	13
What other things worry you: Social security [Q1_22]	13
What other things worry you: Loneliness [Q1_23]	13
What other things worry you: Deficiencies in the neighbourhood [Q1_24]	14
What other things worry you: Something else [Q1_25]	14
What other things worry you: empty [Q1_26]	14
How satisfied are you with the performance of the current government (Prime Minister Martti Miettunen)? [Q2]	15
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Maintaining the value of money [Q3_1]	15
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Stabilising economy [Q3_2]	16
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Improving employment [Q3_3]	16
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Stabilising foreign trade [Q3_4]	17
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Improving the circumstances in the less well-off regions in Finland [Q3_5]	17
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Housing policy [Q3_6]	18
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Taxation [Q3_7]	19
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Educational and cultural policy [Q3_8] ..	19
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Foreign policy [Q3_9]	20
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Agricultural policy [Q3_10]	20
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Efficiency [Q3_11]	21
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Honesty [Q3_12]	21
Thinking of areas in which the government should improve its performance, which area is the most urgent? [Q4_1]	22
Thinking of areas in which the government should improve its performance, which area comes second in urgency? [Q4_2]	23
Has Finland's economic situation improved or got worse over the past 12 months? [Q5] ...	23

Do you think Finland's financial situation will improve or get worse over the next 12 months? [Q6]	24
Has your own or your family's financial situation improved or got worse over the past 12 months? [Q7]	24
Do you think that your own or your family's financial situation will improve or get worse over the next 12 months? [Q8]	24
Do you expect the rate of price growth to slow down or accelerate in Finland over the next 12 months? [Q9]	25
Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Satisfactory from everyone's viewpoint in these circumstances [Q10_1]	25
Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Employees' standard of living will fall during the settlement period [Q10_2]	26
Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Will increase income disparity between people with high and low income [Q10_3]	26
Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Decisions connected to agriculture are satisfactory [Q10_4]	27
Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Wages, prices, taxes and social security should be included in the future negotiations and settlement [Q11_1]	27
Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Prices of agricultural products should be settled separately [Q11_2]	28
Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): It is good that legislative issues can be settled in connection with the comprehensive settlement [Q11_3]	28
Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Interests of different groups would be better represented if every sector made its own separate settlement [Q11_4]	29
Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): The government should not participate in future negotiations and settlements, but leave it to employees and employers [Q11_5]	29
Do you think the employment situation in Finland will improve over the next 12 months? [Q12]	29
Do you think you or a family member is at risk of unemployment over the next 12 months? [Q13]	30
Powers of different government institutions: President of the Republic of Finland [Q14_1] .	30
Powers of different government institutions: Parliament [Q14_2]	31
Powers of different government institutions: Government [Q14_3]	31
How much do you think the Finnish government influences the decisions of local authorities? [Q15]	31
Should cooperation between the government and municipal bodies be increased or reduces? [Q16]	32
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SDP [Q17A_1]	32

3. Indexes

If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKDL [Q17A_2]	33
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: KES [Q17A_3]	33
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SMP [Q17A_4]	33
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: LKP [Q17A_5]	34
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKL [Q17A_6]	34
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: RKP [Q17A_7]	34
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: KOK [Q17A_8]	35
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SYP [Q17A_9]	35
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKYP [Q17A_10]	35
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SPK [Q17A_11]	36
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: [Q17A_12]	36
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SDP [Q17B_1]	36
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKDL [Q17B_2]	37
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: KES [Q17B_3]	37
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SMP [Q17B_4]	37
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: LKP [Q17B_5]	38
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKL [Q17B_6]	38
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: RKP [Q17B_7]	38
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: KOK [Q17B_8]	39
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SYP [Q17B_9]	39
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKYP [Q17B_10]	39
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SPK [Q17B_11]	40
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: [Q17B_12]	40
There is at least one party that always tries to promote my interests [Q18_1]	40
Those elected to parliament soon lose touch with voters [Q18_2]	41
By voting people can really have a say about how things are run in this country [Q18_3] ...	41

One can never trust the promises of any party [Q18_4]	42
Which party system would be best able to manage things in Finland? [Q19_1]	42
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) How many parties? [Q19_2]	42
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SDP [Q19_3_1]	43
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKDL [Q19_3_2]	43
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: KES [Q19_3_3]	43
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SMP [Q19_3_4]	44
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: LKP [Q19_3_5]	44
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKL [Q19_3_6]	44
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: RKP [Q19_3_7]	45
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: KOK [Q19_3_8]	45
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SYP [Q19_3_9]	45
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKYP [Q19_3_10]	46
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SPK [Q19_3_11]	46
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SDP [Q20A1]	46
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKDL [Q20A2]	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: KES [Q20A3]	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SMP [Q20A4]	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: LKP [Q20A5]	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKL [Q20A6]	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: RKP [Q20A7]	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: KOK [Q20A8]	49
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SYP [Q20A9]	49
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKYP [Q20A10] ...	49
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SPK [Q20A11]	50
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: Can't say [Q20A12] .	50
How many parties can be described in this manner: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q20A13]	50
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP [Q20B1] ..	51
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKDL [Q20B2]	51
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KES [Q20B3] .	52
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SMP [Q20B4] .	52
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: LKP [Q20B5] .	52
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKL [Q20B6] .	53

3. Indexes

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: RKP [Q20B7] . 53

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK [Q20B8] .53

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SYP [Q20B9] ..54

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKYP [Q20B10] 54

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SPK [Q20B11] 54

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: Can't say [Q20B12] 55

How many parties can be described in this manner: Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens [Q20B13]55

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SDP [Q20C1] 55

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKDL [Q20C2] 56

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: KES [Q20C3] 56

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SMP [Q20C4] 56

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: LKP [Q20C5] 57

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKL [Q20C6] 57

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: RKP [Q20C7] 57

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: KOK [Q20C8] 58

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SYP [Q20C9] 58

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKYP [Q20C10] 58

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SPK [Q20C11] 59

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: Can't say [Q20C12]59

How many parties can be described in this manner: Reforms society [Q20C13] 59

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SDP [Q20D1] 60

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKDL [Q20D2]60

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KES [Q20D3] 61

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SMP [Q20D4] 61

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: LKP [Q20D5] 61

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKL [Q20D6] 62

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: RKP [Q20D7] 62

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KOK [Q20D8]62

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SYP [Q20D9] 63

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKYP [Q20D10]63

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SPK [Q20D11] 63

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: Can't say [Q20D12]64

How many parties can be described in this manner: Reliable [Q20D13] 64

Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SDP [Q20E1]	64
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKDL [Q20E2]	65
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: KES [Q20E3]	65
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SMP [Q20E4]	65
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: LKP [Q20E5]	66
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKL [Q20E6]	66
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: RKP [Q20E7]	66
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: KOK [Q20E8]	67
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SYP [Q20E9]	67
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKYP [Q20E10]	67
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SPK [Q20E11]	68
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: Can't say [Q20E12]	68
How many parties can be described in this manner: Its policies make inflation higher [Q20E13]	68
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SDP [Q20F1]	69
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKDL [Q20F2]	69
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: KES [Q20F3]	70
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SMP [Q20F4]	70
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: LKP [Q20F5]	70
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKL [Q20F6]	71
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: RKP [Q20F7]	71
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: KOK [Q20F8]	71
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SYP [Q20F9]	72
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKYP [Q20F10]	72
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SPK [Q20F11]	72
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: Can't say [Q20F12]	73
How many parties can be described in this manner: Promotes fair taxation policy [Q20F13]	73
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SDP [Q20G1]	73
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKDL [Q20G2]	74
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: KES [Q20G3]	74
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SMP [Q20G4]	74
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: LKP [Q20G5]	75
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKL [Q20G6]	75
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: RKP [Q20G7]	75

3. Indexes

Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: KOK [Q20G8]	76
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SYP [Q20G9]	76
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKYP [Q20G10]	76
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SPK [Q20G11]	77
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: Can't say [Q20G12]	77
How many parties can be described in this manner: Effective employment policy [Q20G13]	77
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SDP [Q20H1] .	78
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKDL [Q20H2]	78
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: KES [Q20H3] .	79
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SMP [Q20H4] .	79
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: LKP [Q20H5] .	79
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKL [Q20H6] .	80
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: RKP [Q20H7] .	80
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: KOK [Q20H8] .	80
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SYP [Q20H9] .	81
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKYP [Q20H10]	81
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SPK [Q20H11]	81
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: Can't say [Q20H12]	82
How many parties can be described in this manner: Does not promote equality and democracy [Q20H13]	82
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SDP [Q20I1]	83
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKDL [Q20I2]	83
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KES [Q20I3]	83
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SMP [Q20I4]	84
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: LKP [Q20I5]	84
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SLK [Q20I6]	84
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: RKP [Q20I7]	85
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions:	

KOK [Q20I8]	85
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SYP [Q20I9]	86
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKYP [Q20I10]	86
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SPK [Q20I11]	86
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: Can't say [Q20I12]	87
How many parties can be described in this manner: Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q20I13]	87
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SDP [Q20J1]	88
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKDL [Q20J2]	88
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: KES [Q20J3]	88
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SMP [Q20J4]	89
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: LKP [Q20J5]	89
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKL [Q20J6]	89
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: RKP [Q20J7]	90
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: KOK [Q20J8]	90
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SYP [Q20J9]	90
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKYP [Q20J10]	91
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SPK [Q20J11]	91
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: Can't say [Q20J12]	91
How many parties can be described in this manner: Is too left-wing in its policies [Q20J13]	92
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SDP [Q20K1]	92
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKDL [Q20K2]	93
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: KES [Q20K3]	93
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SMP [Q20K4]	93
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: LKP [Q20K5]	94
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKL [Q20K6]	94
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: RKP [Q20K7]	94
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: KOK [Q20K8]	95
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SYP [Q20K9]	95
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKYP [Q20K10]	95
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SPK [Q20K11]	96
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: Can't say [Q20K12]	96

3. Indexes

How many parties can be described in this manner: Lacks capable leaders [Q20K13]	96
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SDP [Q20L1]	97
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKDL [Q20L2]	97
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: KES [Q20L3]	97
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SMP [Q20L4]	98
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: LKP [Q20L5]	98
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKL [Q20L6]	98
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: RKP [Q20L7]	99
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: KOK [Q20L8]	99
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SYP [Q20L9]	99
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKYP [Q20L10]	100
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SPK [Q20L11]	100
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: Can't say [Q20L12]	100
How many parties can be described in this manner: Has competent leaders [Q20L13]	101
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SDP [Q20M1]	101
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKDL [Q20M2] ..	102
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: KES [Q20M3]	102
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SMP [Q20M4]	102
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: LKP [Q20M5]	103
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKL [Q20M6]	103
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: RKP [Q20M7]	103
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: KOK [Q20M8] ...	104
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SYP [Q20M9]	104
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKYP [Q20M10] .	104
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SPK [Q20M11] ...	105
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: Can't say [Q20M12]	105
How many parties can be described in this manner: Improves citizens' safety and security [Q20M13]	105
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SDP [Q20N1]	106
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKDL [Q20N2]	106
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: KES [Q20N3]	107
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SMP [Q20N4]	107
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: LKP [Q20N5]	107
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKL [Q20N6]	108

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: RKP [Q20N7]	108
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: KOK [Q20N8]	108
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SYP [Q20N9]	109
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKYP [Q20N10]	109
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SPK [Q20N11]	109
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: Can't say [Q20N12]	110
How many parties can be described in this manner: Forgets its promises after elections [Q20N13]	110
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SDP [Q20O1]	110
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKDL [Q20O2]	111
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: KES [Q20O3]	111
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SMP [Q20O4]	111
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: LKP [Q20O5]	112
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKL [Q20O6]	112
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: RKP [Q20O7]	112
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: KOK [Q20O8]	113
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SYP [Q20O9]	113
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKYP [Q20O10]	113
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SPK [Q20O11]	114
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: Can't say [Q20O12]	114
How many parties can be described in this manner: Is too right-wing in its policies [Q20O13]	114
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SDP [Q20P1]	115
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKDL [Q20P2]	115
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KES [Q20P3]	116
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SMP [Q20P4]	116
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: LKP [Q20P5]	116
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKL [Q20P6]	117
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: RKP [Q20P7]	117
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KOK	

3. Indexes

[Q20P8]	117
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SYP [Q20P9]	118
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKYP [Q20P10]	118
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SPK [Q20P11]	118
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: Can't say [Q20P12]	119
How many parties can be described in this manner: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q20P13]	119
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SDP [Q20R1]	120
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKDL [Q20R2]	120
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: KES [Q20R3]	120
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SMP [Q20R4]	121
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: LKP [Q20R5]	121
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKL [Q20R6]	121
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: RKP [Q20R7]	122
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: KOK [Q20R8]	122
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SYP [Q20R9]	122
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKYP [Q20R10]	123
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SPK [Q20R11]	123
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: Can't say [Q20R12]	123
How many parties can be described in this manner: Lacks clear party line [Q20R13]	124
Are you a member of any political party, or a member of women's/youth organisation of any party? [Q21]	124
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association? [Q22]	125
(IF NOT A MEMBER) Have you ever held an official position in a trade union or business/professional association? [Q23]	125
Are you a member of any agricultural association or organisation? [Q24]	125
(IF NOT A MEMBER) Have you ever held an official position in an agricultural association or organisation? [Q25]	126
How interested are you in politics? [Q26]	126
Main source of information when making a voting decision for the future municipal elections [Q27_1]	127
Other source of information: Friends, acquaintances [Q27_2]	127
Other source of information: Trade union or interest group activities [Q27_3]	127

Other source of information: Newspaper articles [Q27_4]	128
Other source of information: Party leaflets [Q27_5]	128
Other source of information: Radio programmes [Q27_6]	128
Other source of information: Television programmes [Q27_7]	129
Other source of information: Election rallies and events [Q27_8]	129
Other source of information: None [Q27_9]	129
Other source of information: Other source of information [Q27_10]	130
Other source of information: Own political allegiance [Q27_11]	130
Other source of information: Can't say [Q27_12]	130
Did you vote in the 1975 parliamentary elections? [Q28]	131
If you voted, which party did you vote for? [Q29]	131
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how certain are you that you would vote? [Q30]	132
For you party choice, how many parties or political groups would you take into serious consid- eration? [Q31_1]	132
Which party would you take into consideration: SDP [Q31_2]	133
Which party would you take into consideration: SKDL [Q31_3]	133
Which party would you take into consideration: KESK [Q31_4]	133
Which party would you take into consideration: SMP [Q31_5]	134
Which party would you take into consideration: LKP [Q31_6]	134
Which party would you take into consideration: SKL [Q31_7]	134
Which party would you take into consideration: RKP [Q31_8]	135
Which party would you take into consideration: KOK [Q31_9]	135
Which party would you take into consideration: SYP [Q31_10]	135
Which party would you take into consideration: SYP [Q31_11]	136
Which party would you take into consideration: SPK [Q31_12]	136
Which party would you take into consideration: [Q31_13]	136
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [Q32]	137
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference? [Q33_1]	137
Which party would you not vote for under any circumstances? [Q33_2]	138
Household members aged: under 7 years [Q34_1]	139
Household members aged: 8-17 years [Q34_2]	139
Household members aged: 18-25 years [Q34_3]	139
Household members aged: 26-35 years [Q34_4]	140

3. Indexes

Household members aged: 36-49 years [Q34_5]	140
Household members aged: 50-64 years [Q34_6]	140
Household members aged: over 65 years [Q34_7]	141
Number of persons living in the household [Q34_8]	141
Number of rooms in the respondent's home (excluding kitchen and bathroom) [Q35]	141
Housing tenure and type of accommodation [Q36]	142
Respondent's economic activity [Q37]	142
Respondent's occupational status [Q38]	143
Do you feel you belong to any of the following groups? [Q39]	143
Economic activity of the household head [Q40]	144
Occupational status of the household head [Q41]	144
Employment sector of the company household head works for [Q42]	144
Number of employees in the company household head works for [Q43]	145
Do you or your family have arable land? [Q44]	145
Respondent's basic education [Q45]	146
Respondent's vocational education (longer than three months) [Q46]	146
Respondent's mother tongue [Q47]	147
Respondent's gender [Q48]	147
Annual gross income of the household in Finnish marks [Q49_1]	147
Interviewer's estimate of the household's annual gross income [Q49_2]	148
Respondent's age [Q50_1]	148
Respondent's year of birth [Q50_2]	149
Respondent's place of residence [Q51]	149
Respondent's electoral district [Q53]	150
Year of the interview [VUOSI]	150

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Annual gross income of the household in Finnish marks	147
Are you a member of any agricultural association or organisation?	125
Are you a member of any political party, or a member of women's/youth organisation of any party?	124
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association?	125
By voting people can really have a say about how things are run in this country	41
Did you vote in the 1975 parliamentary elections?	131
Do you expect the rate of price growth to slow down or accelerate in Finland over the next 12 months?	25
Do you feel you belong to any of the following groups?	143
Do you or your family have arable land?	145
Do you think Finland's financial situation will improve or get worse over the next 12 months?	24
Do you think that your own or your family's financial situation will improve or get worse over the next 12 months?	24
Do you think the employment situation in Finland will improve over the next 12 months? ..	29
Do you think you or a family member is at risk of unemployment over the next 12 months? ..	30
Economic activity of the household head	144
Employment sector of the company household head works for	144
For you party choice, how many parties or political groups would you take into serious consideration?	132
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Interests of different groups would be better represented if every sector made its own separate settlement	29
Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): It is good that legislative issues can be settled in connection with the comprehensive settlement	28
Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Prices of agricultural products should be settled separately	28
Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): The government should not participate in future negotiations and settlements, but leave it to employees and employers	29
Future comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Wages, prices, taxes and social security should be included in the future negotiations and settlement	27
Has Finland's economic situation improved or got worse over the past 12 months?	23
Has your own or your family's financial situation improved or got worse over the past 12	

3. Indexes

months?	24
Household members aged: 18-25 years	139
Household members aged: 26-35 years	140
Household members aged: 36-49 years	140
Household members aged: 50-64 years	140
Household members aged: 8-17 years	139
Household members aged: over 65 years	141
Household members aged: under 7 years	139
Housing tenure and type of accommodation	142
How interested are you in politics?	126
How many parties can be described in this manner: Does not promote equality and democracy 82	
How many parties can be described in this manner: Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	87
How many parties can be described in this manner: Effective employment policy	77
How many parties can be described in this manner: Forgets its promises after elections ...	110
How many parties can be described in this manner: Has competent leaders	101
How many parties can be described in this manner: Improves citizens' safety and security	105
How many parties can be described in this manner: Is too left-wing in its policies	92
How many parties can be described in this manner: Is too right-wing in its policies	114
How many parties can be described in this manner: Its policies make inflation higher	68
How many parties can be described in this manner: Lacks capable leaders	96
How many parties can be described in this manner: Lacks clear party line	124
How many parties can be described in this manner: Promotes fair taxation policy	73
How many parties can be described in this manner: Promotes sensible agricultural policy ..	50
How many parties can be described in this manner: Reforms society	59
How many parties can be described in this manner: Reliable	64
How many parties can be described in this manner: Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens 55	
How many parties can be described in this manner: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	119
How much do you think the Finnish government influences the decisions of local authorities? 31	
How satisfied are you with the performance of the current government (Prime Minister Martti Miettunen)?	15
If a new government were formed now, it should not include:	40

If a new government were formed now, it should not include: KES	37
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: KOK	39
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: LKP	38
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: RKP	38
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SDP	36
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKDL	37
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKL	38
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SKYP	39
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SMP	37
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SPK	40
If a new government were formed now, it should not include: SYP	39
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included:	36
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: KES	33
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: KOK	35
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: LKP	34
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: RKP	34
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SDP	32
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKDL	33
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKL	34
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SKYP	35
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SMP	33
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SPK	36
If a new government were formed now, which parties do you think should be included: SYP	35
(IF NOT A MEMBER) Have you ever held an official position in a trade union or business/professional association?	125
(IF NOT A MEMBER) Have you ever held an official position in an agricultural association or organisation?	126
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) How many parties?	42
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: KES	43
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: KOK	45
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: LKP	44
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: RKP	45
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SDP	43

3. Indexes

(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKDL	43
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKL	44
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SKYP	46
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SMP	44
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SPK	46
(IF OTHER NUMBER OF PARTIES) Which parties: SYP	45
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how certain are you that you would vote? ...	132
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?	137
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference?	137
If you voted, which party did you vote for?	131
Interviewer's estimate of the household's annual gross income	148
Main source of information when making a voting decision for the future municipal elections	127
Number of employees in the company household head works for	145
Number of persons living in the household	141
Number of rooms in the respondent's home (excluding kitchen and bathroom)	141
Occupational status of the household head	144
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Agricultural policy	20
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Educational and cultural policy	19
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Efficiency	21
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Foreign policy	20
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Honesty	21
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Housing policy	18
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Improving employment	16
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Improving the circumstances in the less well-off regions in Finland	17
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Maintaining the value of money	15
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would	

you rate the current government's performance in: Stabilising economy	16
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Stabilising foreign trade	17
On a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the current government's performance in: Taxation	19
One can never trust the promises of any party	42
Other source of information: Can't say	130
Other source of information: Election rallies and events	129
Other source of information: Friends, acquaintances	127
Other source of information: Newspaper articles	128
Other source of information: None	129
Other source of information: Other source of information	130
Other source of information: Own political allegiance	130
Other source of information: Radio programmes	128
Other source of information: Television programmes	129
Other source of information: Trade union or interest group activities	127
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: Can't say	82
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: KES	79
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: KOK	80
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: LKP	79
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: RKP	80
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SDP	78
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKDL	78
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKL	80
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SKYP	81
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SMP	79
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SPK	81
Perceptions of political parties. Does not promote equality and democracy: SYP	81
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: Can't say	87
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KES	83
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KOK	85
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: LKP	84

3. Indexes

Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: RKP	85
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SDP	83
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKDL	83
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKYP	86
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SLK	84
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SMP	84
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SPK	86
Perceptions of political parties. Does not support impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SYP	86
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: Can't say	77
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: KES	74
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: KOK	76
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: LKP	75
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: RKP	75
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SDP	73
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKDL	74
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKL	75
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SKYP	76
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SMP	74
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SPK	77
Perceptions of political parties. Effective employment policy: SYP	76
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: Can't say	110
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: KES	107
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: KOK	108
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: LKP	107
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: RKP	108
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SDP	106
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKDL	106
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKL	108
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SKYP	109

Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SMP	107
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SPK	109
Perceptions of political parties. Forgets its promises after elections: SYP	109
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: Can't say	100
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: KES	97
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: KOK	99
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: LKP	98
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: RKP	99
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SDP	97
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKDL	97
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKL	98
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SKYP	100
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SMP	98
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SPK	100
Perceptions of political parties. Has competent leaders: SYP	99
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: Can't say	105
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: KES	102
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: KOK	104
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: LKP	103
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: RKP	103
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SDP	101
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKDL	102
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKL	103
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SKYP	104
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SMP	102
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SPK	105
Perceptions of political parties. Improves citizens' safety and security: SYP	104
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: Can't say	91
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: KES	88
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: KOK	90
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: LKP	89
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: RKP	90
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SDP	88
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKDL	88

3. Indexes

Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKL	89
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SKYP	91
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SMP	89
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SPK	91
Perceptions of political parties. Is too left-wing in its policies: SYP	90
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: Can't say	114
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: KES	111
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: KOK	113
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: LKP	112
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: RKP	112
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SDP	110
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKDL	111
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKL	112
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SKYP	113
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SMP	111
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SPK	114
Perceptions of political parties. Is too right-wing in its policies: SYP	113
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: Can't say	68
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: KES	65
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: KOK	67
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: LKP	66
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: RKP	66
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SDP	64
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKDL	65
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKL	66
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SKYP	67
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SMP	65
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SPK	68
Perceptions of political parties. Its policies make inflation higher: SYP	67
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: Can't say	96
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: KES	93
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: KOK	95
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: LKP	94
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: RKP	94

Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SDP	92
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKDL	93
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKL	94
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SKYP	95
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SMP	93
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SPK	96
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks capable leaders: SYP	95
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: Can't say	123
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: KES	120
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: KOK	122
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: LKP	121
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: RKP	122
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SDP	120
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKDL	120
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKL	121
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SKYP	123
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SMP	121
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SPK	123
Perceptions of political parties. Lacks clear party line: SYP	122
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: Can't say	73
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: KES	70
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: KOK	71
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: LKP	70
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: RKP	71
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SDP	69
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKDL	69
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKL	71
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SKYP	72
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SMP	70
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SPK	72
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes fair taxation policy: SYP	72
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: Can't say	50
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: KES	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: KOK	49

3. Indexes

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: LKP	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: RKP	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SDP	46
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKDL	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKL	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SKYP	49
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SMP	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SPK	50
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes sensible agricultural policy: SYP	49
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: Can't say	59
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: KES	56
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: KOK	58
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: LKP	57
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: RKP	57
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SDP	55
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKDL	56
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKL	57
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SKYP	58
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SMP	56
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SPK	59
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms society: SYP	58
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: Can't say	64
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KES	61
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KOK	62
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: LKP	61
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: RKP	62
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SDP	60
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKDL	60
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKL	62
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKYP	63
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SMP	61
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SPK	63
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SYP	63
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: Can't say	55

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KES	52
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK	53
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: LKP	52
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: RKP	53
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP	51
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKDL	51
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKL	53
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKYP	54
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SMP	52
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SPK	54
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SYP	54
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: Can't say	119
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KES	116
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: KOK	117
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: LKP	116
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: RKP	117
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SDP	115
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKDL	115
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKL	117
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SKYP	118
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SMP	116
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SPK	118
Perceptions of political parties. Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions: SYP	118
Powers of different government institutions: Government	31
Powers of different government institutions: Parliament	31
Powers of different government institutions: President of the Republic of Finland	30

3. Indexes

Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Decisions connected to agriculture are satisfactory	27
Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Employees' standard of living will fall during the settlement period	26
Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Satisfactory from everyone's viewpoint in these circumstances	25
Recent comprehensive incomes policy settlement (TUPO): Will increase income disparity between people with high and low income	26
Respondent's age	148
Respondent's basic education	146
Respondent's economic activity	142
Respondent's electoral district	150
Respondent's gender	147
Respondent's mother tongue	147
Respondent's occupational status	143
Respondent's place of residence	149
Respondent's vocational education (longer than three months)	146
Respondent's year of birth	149
Should cooperation between the government and municipal bodies be increased or reduces?	32
There is at least one party that always tries to promote my interests	40
Thinking of areas in which the government should improve its performance, which area comes second in urgency?	23
Thinking of areas in which the government should improve its performance, which area is the most urgent?	22
Those elected to parliament soon lose touch with voters	41
What are you most worried about?	6
What other things worry you: Agricultural issues	12
What other things worry you: Children's education or future	8
What other things worry you: Crime	13
What other things worry you: Deficiencies in the neighbourhood	14
What other things worry you: empty	14
What other things worry you: Environmental pollution	8
What other things worry you: Famine in the world	9
What other things worry you: Finland's political future	10
What other things worry you: Housing situation	10
What other things worry you: Inequality in Finland	10

What other things worry you: Inflation	11
What other things worry you: Issues related to studies	11
What other things worry you: Loneliness	13
What other things worry you: National economy	12
What other things worry you: Nothing in particular	6
What other things worry you: Own employment or general employment situation in Finland	7
What other things worry you: Own health, health of family members	8
What other things worry you: Own or family livelihood	7
What other things worry you: Personal safety in the area of residence	9
What other things worry you: Social security	13
What other things worry you: Something else	14
What other things worry you: Strikes or labour disputes in Finland	9
What other things worry you: Taxation	11
What other things worry you: Threat of war, world peace	7
What other things worry you: Trade balance	12
Which party system would be best able to manage things in Finland?	42
Which party would you not vote for under any circumstances?	138
Which party would you take into consideration:	136
Which party would you take into consideration: KESK	133
Which party would you take into consideration: KOK	135
Which party would you take into consideration: LKP	134
Which party would you take into consideration: RKP	135
Which party would you take into consideration: SDP	133
Which party would you take into consideration: SKDL	133
Which party would you take into consideration: SKL	134
Which party would you take into consideration: SMP	134
Which party would you take into consideration: SPK	136
Which party would you take into consideration: SYP	135, 136
Year of the interview	150
Other source of information: Party leaflets	128

Appendix A

Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

DEVA	Democratic Alternative
EKA	Pensioners for the People
EKO	Ecological Party
EPV	Ecological Party The Greens
EVY	Party for Pensioners and Green Mutual Responsibility
IPU	Humanity Party
KD	Christian Democrats
KESK Keskustapuolue	Center Party
KESK Suomen Keskusta	Centre Party of Finland
KESK/LKP	Center Party + Liberal Party
KIPU	Ecological Party
KOK	National Coalition Party
KTP	For Peace and Socialism-Communist Worker's Party
KVL	Union for Democracy
LIB	Liberals
LKP	Liberal Party
LLP	Natural Law Party
NAISL	Womens' Movement
NAISP	Womens' Party
NUORS	Progressive Finnish Party
NUSU	Progressive Finnish Party
PKP	Constitutional People's Party
POP	Constitutional Party of Finland
PS	True Finns
REM	Reform Group
RKP	Swedish People's Party in Finland
RSES	Independent Pensioners of Finland
SDP	Social Democratic Party of Finland
SEP	Finnish Pensioners' Party
SKDL	Democratic League of the People of Finland
SKL	Christian League of Finland
SKP	The Communist Party of Finland
SKYP	Unification Party of the Finnish People
SMP	Finnish Rural Party

A. Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

SPK	Finnish Constitutional People's Party
STP	Socialist Workers' Party
SYP	Private Entrepreneurs' Party in Finland
TPSL	Social Democratic Union of Workers and Small Farmers
VAS	Left Alliance
VASL	Left Alliance
VEV	Alternative Union
VIHR Vihreä Liitto	Green League
VIHR Vihreät	The Greens
VSL	Alliance for Free Finland
YVP	Joint Responsibility Party

Appendix B

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1003 Puolueiden ajankohtaistutkimus 1976

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1003 Finnish Voter Barometer 1976

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Päivää, olen Suomen Gallupin haastattelija ... Tarkoitukseni on kysyä Teiltä ajankohtaisiin tapahtumiin liittyvistä asioista. Tämä haastattelu liittyy osana laajaan valtakunnalliseen tutkimukseen, jossa haastatellaan yli 1000 henkilöä.

(* MERKITYT KYSYMYKSET ESITETÄÄN KAIKILLE)

- *1. Onko olemassa jokin tai joitakin asioita, joista Te itse olette nykyisin eniten huolissanne? Mistä? Entä onko mielessänne muita huolenaiheita? Mitä?

	Eniten	Muuta
Mikään ei erityisesti huolestuta	1	1
Oma tai yleinen työllisyystilanne	2	2
Oma tai perheen toimeentulo	109 3	111 3
Sodan uhka, maailmanrauha	4	4
Oma tai perheenjäsenten terveydentila	5	5
Lasten koulunkäyntiin tai tulevaisuuteen liittyvät seikat	6	6
Ympäristön saastuminen	7	7
Maailmassa vallitseva nälänhätä	8	8
Lakot tai työriidat Suomessa	9	9
Henkilökohtainen turvallisuus asuinpaikkakunnallanne	0	0
Suomen poliittinen tulevaisuus	X	X
Eriarvoisuus Suomessa	R	R
Asuntotilanne	110 1	112 1
Jokin muu, mikä? _____	2	2

- *2. Kysyisin käsitystänne hallituksesta ja eräistä taloudellisista asioista. Kun ajattelette (nykyistä) Martti Mielttusen hallitusta, niin oletteko etupäässä tyytyväinen vai etupäässä tyytymätön sen toimintaan?

1	Etupäässä tyytyväinen	
2	Etupäässä tyytymätön	113
T	Ei osaa sanoa	

- *3. Jos käytämme koulun arvosteluasteikkoa neljästä kymmeneen, niin minkä arvosanan antaisitte Martti Mielttusen hallituksen toiminnasta seuraavissa asioissa:

LUETELLAAN:	Arvosana	
Rahan arvon säilyttäminen	___	114
Talouselämän vakauttaminen	___	115
Työllisyyden parantaminen	___	116
Ulkomaankaupan tasapainottaminen	___	117
Kehitysalueiden olojen parantaminen	___	118
Asuntopolitiikka	___	119
Verotuspolitiikka	___	120
Koulu- ja kulttuuripolitiikka	___	121
Ulkopolitiikka	___	122
Maatalouspolitiikka	___	123
Tarmokkuus	___	124
Rehellisyys	___	125

- *4. Millä tässä mainituista alueista toivoisitte hallituksen kiireisimmin tehostavan toimintaansa? Entä mitä pitäisitte toiseksi kiireellisimpänä asiana?
(KORTTI 4)

		Kiireisin	Toiseksi kiireisin
Rahan arvon säilyttäminen		1	1
Talouselämän vakauttaminen		2	2
Työllisyyden parantaminen		3	3
Ulkomaankaupan tasapainottaminen		4	4
Kehitysalueiden olojen parantaminen		5	5
Asuntopolitiikka		6	6
Verotuspolitiikka		7	7
Koulu- ja kulttuuripolitiikka		8	8
Ulkopolitiikka		9	9
Maatalouspolitiikka	126	0	127 0
Tarmokkuus		X	X
Rehellisyys		R	R
Ei osaa sanoa		T	T

- *5. Katsotteko, että Suomen yleinen taloudellinen tilanne on viimeisten 12 kuukauden aikana parantunut huomattavasti, parantunut jonkin verran, pysynyt ennallaan, jonkin verran heikentynyt vai heikentynyt huomattavasti?

1 Parantunut huomattavasti	
2 Parantunut jonkin verran	
3 Pysynyt ennallaan	128
4 Heikentynyt jonkin verran	
5 Heikentynyt huomattavasti	
T Ei osaa sanoa	

- *6. Entä arveletteko Suomen yleisen taloudellisen tilanteen seuraavien 12 kuukauden aikana paranevan huomattavasti, paranevan jonkin verran, pysyvän ennallaan, heikentyvän jonkin verran vai heikentyvän huomattavasti?

1 Paranee huomattavasti	
2 Paranee jonkin verran	
3 Pysyy ennallaan-	129
4 Heikentyy jonkin verran	
5 Heikentyy huomattavasti	
T Ei osaa sanoa	

- *7. Katsotteko Teidän tai perheenne taloudellisen aseman viimeisten 12 kuukauden aikana parantuneen huomattavasti, parantuneen jonkin verran, pysyneen ennallaan, heikentyneen jonkin verran vai heikentyneen huomattavasti?

1 Parantunut huomattavasti	
2 Parantunut jonkin verran	
3 Pysynyt ennallaan	130
4 Heikentynyt jonkin verran	
5 Heikentynyt huomattavasti	
T Ei osaa sanoa	

- *8. Entä arveletteko Teidän tai perheenne taloudellisen aseman seuraavien 12 kuukauden aikana paranevan huomattavasti, paranevan jonkin verran, pysyvän ennallaan, heikentyvän jonkin verran vai heikentyvän huomattavasti?

1 Paranee huomattavasti	
2 Paranee jonkin verran	
3 Pysyy ennallaan	131
4 Heikentyy jonkin verran	
5 Heikentyy huomattavasti	
T Ei osaa sanoa	

*9. Uskotteko hintojen nousun Suomessa seuraavien 12 kuukauden aikana hidastuvan, säilyvän suunnilleen nykyisellään tai nopeutuvan?

1	Hintojen nousu hidastuu	
2	Hintojen nousu säilyy suunnilleen nykyisellään	132
3	qintojen nousu nopeutuu	
T	Ei osaa sanoa	

*10. Valtiovallan, maataloustuottajien, työnantajien ja työntekijöiden kesken solmittiin äskettäin niin sanottu tulopoliittinen kokonaisratkaisu. Tästä ratkaisusta on esitetty hyvinkin ristiinkäyviä mielipiteitä. Luettelen niitä yhden kerrallaan ja pyytäisin Teitä tämän kortin (KORTTI 10) vaihtoehtoja käyttäen sanomaan, kuinka paljon samaa tai eri mieltä olette kustakin.
(KYSYTÄÄN JOKA TOISELTA VASTAAJALTA ALIMMASTA VÄITTEESTÄ ALKAEN)

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Osittain samaa mieltä	Osittain eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	Ei osaa sanoa	
Kokonaisratkaisu oli näissä oloissa kaikkien osapuolten kannalta tyydyttävä	1	2	133	3	4	T
Kokonaisratkaisu merkitsee palkansaa- jien elintason alenemista sopimus- kauden aikana	1	2	134	3	4	T
Kokonaisratkaisu lisää tuloeroja pieni- ja suurituloisten välillä	1	2	135	3	4	T
Kokonaisratkaisuunliittyvät maata- outta koskevat päätökset ovat mie- lestäni tyydyttävät	1	2	136	3	4	T

*11. Luettelen nyt joukon mielipiteitä siitä, miten asioista olisi sovittava tämän sopimuksen jälkeen, siis ensi vuoden alussa. Sanokaa jälleen kunkin kohdalla. Kuinka paljon samaa tai eri mieltä olette kustakin. Käyttäkää kortin (KORTTI 11) vaihtoehtoja.

LUETELLAAN:	Täysin samaa mieltä	Osittain samaa mieltä	Osittain eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	Ei osaa sanoa	
Nykyisen sopimuksen jälkeenkin tulisi pyrkiä tekemään tulopoliittinen kokonaisratkaisu, jossa sovittaisiin palkoista, hinnoista, veroista sekä sosiaaliturvan kehittämisestä	1	2	137	3	4	T
Maataloustuotteiden hintapäätöksiä ei tulisi liittää seuraaviin palkansaa- jien tuloneuvotteluihin	1	2	138	3	4	T
On hyvä, että tulopoliittisten ratkaisujen yhteydessä voidaan sopia myös lainsäädäntöön liittyvistä kysymyksistä	1	2	139	3	4	T
Eri väestöryhmien edut tulisivat parhiten huomioon otetuiksi, jos jokaisell;3 alalla sovittaisiin työehdoista erikseen	1	2	140	3	4	T
Valtiovalta ei saisi osallistua seuraavan ratkaisun tekemiseen vaan se tulisi jättää työntekijä- ja työnantajapuolen väliseksi	1	2	141	3	4	T

- *12. Arveletteko yleisen työllisyystilanteen Suomessa seuraavien 12 kuukauden aikana paranevan nykyisestään, säilyvän suunnilleen nykyisellään vai huonontuvan nykyisestään?
- | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----|
| 1 | Työllisyystilanne paranee | 142 |
| 2 | Säilyy nykyisellään | |
| 3 | Huonontuu | |
| T | Ei osaa sanoa | |
- *13. Uskotteko omalla kohdallanne tai perheenne jäsenillä olevan työttömyyden vaaraa seuraavien 12 kuukauden aikana? (KORTTI 13)
- | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 1 | Työttömyyden vaaraa ei ole | |
| 2 | Työttömyyden vaara on melko pieni | |
| 3 | Työttömyyden vaara on kohtalainen | |
| 4 | Työttömyyden vaara on melko suuri | 143 |
| 5 | Työttömyyden vaara on erittäin suuri | |
| T | Ei osaa sanoa | |
- *14. Viime aikoina on keskusteltu valtiovallan eri elinten toimivaltuuksista. Kysyisin seuraavaksi Teidän käsitystänne tasavallan presidentin toimintavaltuuksista. Tulisiko presidentin toimintavaltuuksia mielestänne lisätä, säilyttää ne nykyisellään vai supistaa niitä nykyisestään? Entä eduskunnan toimintavaltuuksia? Entä maan hallituksen, siis valtioneuvoston toimintavaltuuksia? (KORTTI 14)
- | LUETELLAAN | Valtuuksia lisättävä | Säilytettävä nykyisellään | Valtuuksia supistettava | Ei osaa sanoa | |
|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----|
| Tasavallan presidentti | 1 | 2 | 3 | T | 144 |
| Eduskunta | 1 | 2 | 3 | T | 145 |
| Hallitus | 1 | 2 | 3 | T | 146 |
- *15. Missä määrin arvelette valtiovallan vaikuttavan siihen, millaisia päätöksiä kuntien viranomaiset kuntalaisten olojen kehittämiseksi tekevät? (KORTTI 15)
- | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----|
| 1 | Erittäin paljon | |
| 2 | Melko paljon | 147 |
| 3 | Melko vähän | |
| 4 | Ei lainkaan | |
| T | Ei osaa sanoa | |
- *16. Entä tulisiko mielestänne valtiovallan ja kunnan virastojen yhteistyötä kuntalaisten olojen kehittämisessä lisätä vai vähentää nykyisestään? (KORTTI 16)
- | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| 1 | Lisättävä paljon | |
| 2 | Lisättävä jonkin verran | |
| 3 | Säilytettävä nykyisellään | |
| 4 | Vähennettävä jonkin verran | |
| 5 | Vähennettävä paljon | |
| T | Ei osaa sanoa | |

- *17. a) Jos Suomessa nyt muodostettaisiin uusi hallitus, niin minkä puolueiden pitäisi Teidän mielestänne olla siinä mukana? Sanokaa vain niiden korttien (VIHREÄT PIKKUKORTIT 3 - 13) numerot, joille puolueet on merkitty. (SEKOITA KORTIT)
- b) Entä mitä puolueita ei saisi mielestänne olla mukana, jos uusi hallitus muodostettaisiin nyt? (VIHREÄT PIKKUKORTIT 3 - 13)

<u>OLTAVA MUKANA</u>		<u>EI SAISI OLLA MUKANA</u>	
3	SDP (kortti 3)	3	SDP (kortti 3)
4	SKDL (kortti 4)	4	SKDL (kortti 4)
5	KES (kortti 5)	5	KES (kortti 5)
149	6 SMP (kortti 6) 151	6	SMP (kortti 6)
	7 IKP (kortti 7)	7	LKP (kortti 7)
	8 SKL (kortti 8)	8	SKL (kortti 8)
	9 RKP (kortti 9)	9	RKP (kortti 9)
	0 KOK (kortti 10)		KOK (kortti 10)
	1 SYP (kortti 11)	1	SYP (kortti 11)
	2 SKYP (kortti 12)	2	SKYP (kortti 12)
150	3 SPK (kortti 13) 152	3	SPK (kortti 13)
	4 Kaikki puolueet hallitukseen	4	Ei yhtään pois
	5 Kaikki eduskuntapuolueet hallitukseen	5	Ei yhtään eduskuntapuoluetta pois =SYP pois
	6 Ei yhtään = muodostettava virkamieshallitus	6	Kaikki pois = virkamieshallitus
	7 Ei osaa sanoa	7	Ei osaa sanoa

- *18. Seuraavassa esitämme puolueita ja poliittikkaa koskevia mielipiteitä, joita joskus kuulee ihmisten esittävän. Osa näistä mielipiteistä on hyvin kielteisiä. Luen nyt mielipiteet yhden kerrallaan ja pyydän Teitä joka kerta valitsemaan yhden vastausvaihtoehdon (KORTTI 18). Oletteko samaa mieltä vai eri mieltä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Osittain samaa mieltä	Osittain eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	Ei tiedä, ei halua vastata
LUETELLAAN:					
On ainakin yksi puolue, joka aina yrittää ajaa minun etujani	1	2	3	153	4 T
Valitsemamme kansanedustajat menettävät nopeasti kosketuksen äänestäjiin	1	2	3	154	4 T
Äänestämällä voi todella olla mukana päättämässä, kuinka asioita maassa hoidetaan	1	2	3	155	4 T
Koskaan ei voi luottaa minkään puolueen lupauksiin	1	2	3	156	4 T

*19. Eduskunnassa on tällä hetkellä edustettuna 10 puoluetta. Seuraavalla kortilla on esitetty eräitä vaihtoehtoja puolueitten lukumäärästä. Valitkaa niistä se, joka mielestänne olisi paras Suomen asioiden hoitamisen kannalta. (KORTTI 19)

- 1 Nykyinen puoluejärjestelmä
 2 Kahden puolueen järjestelmä: sosialistiset puolueet muodostavat yhden ja ei-sosialistiset puolueet yhden puolueen
 3 Kolme puoluetta: vasemmistopuolue
 keskiryhmien puolue
 oikeistopuolue
 4 Neljä puoluetta: kansandemokraatit
 sosialidemokraatit
 keskiryhmien puolue
 oikeistopuolue
 5 Jokin muu puolueitten määrä, _____ puoluetta, mitkä puolueet? 158
 6 Ei yhtään puoluetta 1 SDP 6 SKL
 7 Ei osaa sanoa 2 SKDL 7 RKP
 3 KES 8 KOK 159
 4 SMP 9 SYP
 5 IKP 0 SKYP
 X SPK

*20. Nyt pyytäisin Teitä vertailemaan eräiden ominaisuuksien suhteen toisiinsa Suomen puolueita. Voitte mainita yhden, useamman tai ei yhtään puoluetta. Mihin puolueisiin sopii mielestänne ... ? (KORTTI 20) (ALOITETAAN YLHÄÄLTÄ JOS LOMAKKEEN NUMERO ON PARILLINEN JA ALHAALTA JOS LOMAKKEEN NUMERO ON PARITON)

LUETELLAAN:	SDP	SKDL	KES	SMP	LKP	SKL	RKP	KOK	SYP	SKYP	SPK	EOS
160 Ajaa järkevää maatalouspolitiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
161 Ihmiskeskeinen	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
162 Yhteiskuntaa uudistava	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
163 Luotettava	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
164 Pahentaa politiikallaan inflaatiota	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
Ajaa oikeudenmukaista verotuspoli-												
165 tiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
166 Tehokas työllisyyspolitiikka	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
Jarruttaa tasa-arvon ja demokra-												
167 tian toteuttamista	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
168 Ei ota huomioon maan eri osien etua	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
169 Poliitikassaan liikaa vasemmalla	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
Puolueella ei ole riittävästi												
170 taitavia johtajia	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
171 Pätevät johtajat	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
172 Edistää kansalaisten turvallisuutta	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
173 Unohtaa lupauksensa vaalien jälkeen	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
174 Poliitikassaan liikaa oikealla	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
Ajaa tasapuolisesti maan eri												
175 osien etua	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T
176 Poliitikassaan vailla omaa linjaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	T

*21. Oletteko nykyisin jonkun puolueen perusjärjestön tai jonkun puolueen nais- tai nuorisjärjestön jäsen?

- 1 On jäsen
 2 Ei ole jäsen
 177

- *22. Oletteko tällä hetkellä minkään työmarkkinajärjestön tai muun ammatillisen yhdistyksen jäsen?
- 1 On jäsen 209
2 Ei ole jäsen
- *23. (JOS EI OLE): Oletteko koskaan ollut työmarkkinajärjestön tai muun ammatillisen järjestön luottamustehtävässä?
- 1 On ollut 210
2 Ei ole ollut
- *24. Oletteko tällä hetkellä jonkin maatalousalan yhdistyksen tai järjestön jäsen?
- 1 On jäsen 211
2 Ei ole jäsen
- *25. (JOS EI OLE): Oletteko koskaan ollut minkään maatalousalan järjestön tai yhdistyksen luottamustehtävässä?
- 1 On ollut 212
2 Ei ole ollut
- *26. Kuinka kiinnostunut olette politiikasta ja poliittisten tapahtumien seuraamisesta? Oletteko erittäin kiinnostunut, melko kiinnostunut, vain vähän kiinnostunut vaiko ette lainkaan kiinnostunut?
- 1 Erittäin kiinnostunut
2 Melko kiinnostunut
3 Vain vähän kiinnostunut 213
4 Ette lainkaan kiinnostunut
T Ei osaa sanoa
- *27. Tulevana syksynä järjestetään maassamme kunnallisvaalit. Mistä arvellette saavanne oman äänestyspäätöksenne kannalta tärkeintä tietoa? (KORTTI 2) Entä uskotteko saavanne äänestyspäätöksen kannalta tärkeätä tietoa joistakin muista kortilla mainituista lähteistä?
- | | Tärkein | Muut |
|---|---------|-------|
| Ystävät, tuttavat | 1 | 1 |
| Ammatti- tai muu etujärjestötoiminta | 214 2 | 215 2 |
| Lehtikirjoitukset | 3 | 3 |
| Puolueiden mainokset | 4 | 4 |
| Radio-ohjelmat | 5 | 5 |
| Televisio-ohjelmat | 6 | 6 |
| Muut puolueiden vaali- ja puhetilaisuudet | 7 | 7 |
| Ei mistään | 8 | 8 |
| Muu tietolähde, mikä? _____ | 9 | 9 |
| Ei osaa sanoa | T | T |
- *28. Meillä oli eduskuntavaalit vuoden 1975 syyskuussa. Kävittekö silloin äänestämässä vai jäikö se jostain syystä tekemättä? Miksi?
- 1 Kävi äänestämässä > KYS. 29
2 Ei, koska oli liian nuori 219
3 Ei, koska _____
4 Ei muista
- *29. (JOS KÄVI ÄÄNESTÄMÄSSÄ)
Satutteko muistamaan minkä puolueen ehdokkaalle silloin annoitte äänenne? Sanokaa vain numero tältä kortilta. (KORTTI 29)
- | | | |
|--------|--------------------|-----|
| 1 SDP | 8 RKP | |
| 2 SKDL | 9 KOK | |
| 3 STP | 0 SYP | |
| 4 KES | X SKYP | 217 |
| 5 SMP | R Muu, mikä? _____ | |
| 6 LKP | 1 Ei halua sanoa | |
| 7 SKL | 2 Ei osaa sanoa | 218 |

*30. Jos meillä nyt olisi eduskuntavaalit, niin kuinka varmasti menisitte äänestämään?

1	Erittäin varmasti	
2	Melko varmasti	
3	Epätodennäköisesti	219
4	Ei menisi äänestämään	
T	Ei osaa sanoa	

*31. Tällä kortilla (KORTTI 31) on lueteltu kaikki eduskuntapuolueet ja puolueeriksteriin merkityt puolueet. Kuinka moni niistä voisi Teidän kohdallanne korkeintaan tulla kysymykseen? Sanokaa niiden puolueiden numerot, joita Te voisitte ajatella vaihtoehtonanne.

220 YHTEENSÄ _____ PUOLUEVAIHTOEHTOA

1	SDP			
2	SKDL			
3	KES			
4	SMP	222	1	Ei äänestäisi
5	LKP		2	Ei osaa sanoa
6	SKL			

221

7	RKP			
8	KOK			
9	SYP			
0	SKYP			
X	SPK			

*32. Mitä puoluetta äänestäisitte, jos eduskuntavaalit olisivat nyt? Sanokaa vain numero tältä kortilta. (KORTTI 32)

1	SDP				
2	SKDL				
3	KES				
4	SMP				
223	5	LKP	1	Joku muu puolue	
	6	SKL	224	2	Ei äänestäisi
	7	RKP		3	Ei osaa sanoa
	8	KOK		4	Ei halua sanoa
	9	SYP			
	0	SKYP			
	X	SPK			

33. (JOS MAINITSI PUOLUEEN)

Mikä puolue voisi Teidän kohdallanne olla toinen vaihtoehto? Mitä puoluetta ette äänestäisi missään tapauksessa? (KORTTI 33)

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X
		Toinen Vaihtoehto		Ei äänestäisi missään tapauksessa								
SDP		1				1						
SKDL		2				2						
KES		3				3						
SMP		4				4						
LKP	225	5		227		5						
SKL		6				6						
RKP		?				7						
KOK		8				8						
SYP		9				9						
SKYP		0				0						
SPK		X				X						
Ei osaa sanoa	226	1		228		1						
Ei halua sanoa		2				2						
Ei äänestäisi muuta		3				3						
Mikä tahansa puolue		4				4						

*34. Kuinka monta henkilöä kuuluu perheeseen Te itse mukaanluettuna? Onko perheessänne alle 7 vuotiaita lapsia? Onko perheessänne 7 - 17 vuotiaita lapsia. Entä 18 - 25 vuotiaita jäseniä? Jne.

	1	On alle 7 vuotiaita	
	2	On 8 - 17 vuotiaita	
	3	On 18 - 25 vuotiaita	
229	4	On 26 - 35 vuotiaita	230 _____ perheenjäsentä yhteensä
	5	On 36 - 49 vuotiaita	
	6	On 50 - 64 vuotiaita	
	7	on 65 - vuotiaita	

*35. Kuinka monta asuinhuonetta on ruokakuntanne omassa käytössä nykyisessä asunnossanne? Laskekaa keittiö huoneeksi, jos sen pinta-ala on yli 6 m²

_____ huonetta 231

*36. Asunnon omistussuhde ja -tyyppi?

	1	Oma kerros- tai rivitalo-osake	
	2	Oma maatalo	
	3	Oma omakotitalo	232
	4	Työ- tai virkasuhdeasunto	
	5	Päävuokralainen	
	6	Alivuokralainen	
	7	Asuntola tai laitosasunto	

*37. Käyttökö nykyisin ansiotyössä kodin ulkopuolella vai teettekö palkallista ansiotyötä kotona vai oletteko itsenäinen yrittäjä tai osallistuttko perheen yhteisen yrityksen hoitoon?

(JOS MAANVILJELIJÄ JA TYÖSSÄ KODIN ULKOPUOLELLA, KYSYTÄÄN MISTÄ SAA PÄÄASIAALLISEN TOIMEENTULON TÄTÄ NYKYÄ)

	1	Kokopäivätoimessa kodin ulkopuolella	
	2	Osapäivä- tai osaviikkotyössä kodin ulkopuolella	
	3	Ansiotyössä kotona	
	4	Maatalousyrittäjä	233
	5	Muu yrittäjä	
	6	Osallistuva perheenjäsen	
	7	Ei ansiotyössä/ei yrittäjä	

*38. Sanokaa mahdollisimman tarkasti, mikä on Teidän ammattinne tai työnne laatu? (JOS ELÄKKEELLÄ, KOTIROUVA TAI VASTAAVA, KYSYTÄÄN VIIMEINEN TAI ENTINEN AMMATTI)

(MAHDOLLISIMMAN TARKASTI)

	1	Maanviljelijä	
	2	Ammattitaidoton työväestö	
	3	Ammattitaitoinen työväestö	234
	4	Toimihenkilöt	
	5	Johtavassa asemassa olevat	

*39. Katsotteko itse kuuluvanne joihinkin seuraavista ryhmistä?

	1	Koululainen	
	2	Opiskelija	
	3	Maatilan emäntä	
	4	Kotirouva	235
	5	Vanhuuseläkkeellä	
	6	Eläkkeellä työkyvyttömyyden tai sairauden vuoksi	
	7	Ei mihinkään näistä	

- *40. (KYSYMYKSET 40 - 43 KOSKEVAT PERHEEN PÄÄHENKILÖÄ) Onko perheenne päähenkilö ansiotyössä kodin ulkopuolella tai kotona vai onko hän itsenäinen yrittäjä?
- | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----|
| 1 | Ansiotyössä | |
| 2 | Yrittäjä | 236 |
| 3 | Ei kumpikaan edellä mainituista | |
- *41. Voisitteko vielä sanoa, mikä on Teidän perheenne PÄÄHENKILÖN ammatti tai työn laatu? (ELÄKELÄISILTÄ YM. VIIMEINEN AMMATTI)
-
- (MAHDOLLISIMMAN TARKASTI)
- | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----|
| 1 | Maanviljelijä | |
| 2 | Ammattitaidoton työväestö | 237 |
| 3 | Ammattitaitoinen työväestö | |
| 4 | Toimihenkilöt | |
| 5 | Johtavassa asemassa olevat | |
42. (JOS PÄÄHENKILÖ ON YRITTÄJÄ, KYS. 40 MUKAAN)
Minkä alan yritys Teillä tai perheellänne on? (MAHDOLLISIMMAN TARKASTI)
-
- 238
43. (JOS PÄÄHENKILÖ ON YRITTÄJÄ, Kys. 40 MUKAAN)
Entä kuinka monta työntekijää yrityksessänne on? (OSALLISTUVIA PERHEENJÄSENIÄ EI LASKETA)
- _____ työntekijää 239
- *44. Onko Teillä tai perheellänne viljelysmaata? (JOS ON) Kuinka paljon? (KORTTI 44)
- | | | |
|---|----------------------|-----|
| 1 | Alle 5 ha | |
| 2 | 5 - 10 ha | |
| 3 | 11 - 15 ha | |
| 4 | 16 - 30 ha | 240 |
| 5 | 31 - 40 ha | |
| 6 | Yli 40 ha | |
| 7 | Ei ole viljelysmaata | |
- *45. Mikä on peruskoulutuksenne?
- | | | |
|---|--|-----|
| 1 | Osa kansakoulua tai vähemmän | |
| 2 | Kansa- tai kansalaiskoulu, osa keskikoulua | 241 |
| 3 | Keskikoulu tai osa lukiota | |
| 4 | Ylioppilas | |
| 5 | Muu, mikä? _____ | |
- *46. Mitä jatko- tai ammattikoulutusta olette saanut? (VÄHINTÄÄN 3 KUUKAUTTA KESTÄNYT KOULUTUS HUOMIOIDAAN)
-
- 242
47. Mikä on äidinkielenne?
- | | | |
|---|--------|-----|
| 1 | Suomi | |
| 2 | Ruotsi | 243 |
| 3 | Muu | |
48. Kohdehenkilö on ...
- | | | |
|---|--------|-----|
| 1 | Nainen | |
| 2 | Mies | 244 |

*49. Mitkä ovat vuositulonne, kun kaikkien perheen jäsenten tulot lasketaan yhteen ja veroja ei vähennetä? Laskekaa mukaan myös eläkkeet, yrityksen tuotto jne.

(TULOKORTTI)

Jos ei sano,

Vastaus

haastattelija arvioi

	1	Alle 4000 mk/V	1
	2	4001 - 8000 mk/v	2
	3	8001 - 12000 mk/v	3
245	4	12001 - 16000 mk/v	246 4
	5	16001 - 20000 mk/v	5
	6	20001 - 24000 mk/v	6
	7	24001 - 36000 mk/v	7
	8	36001 - 50000 mk/v	8
	9	Yli 50000 mk/v	9
	0	Ei osaa sanoa, eikä haastattelija osaa arvioida	

*50. Kohdehenkilön ikä ja syntymä vuosi:

	1	17 - 19 vuotta	
	2	20 - 22	
	3	23 - 25	
	4	26 - 28	
	5	29 - 30	
247	6	31 - 35	Syntymävuosi: <u>248-249</u>
	7	36 - 40	
	8	41 - 49	
	9	50 - 64	
	0	65 - 70	
	X	Yli 70 vuotta	

*51. Paikkakuntatyyppi:

1	Helsinki	5	Turku	
2	Espoo	6	Tampere	250
3	Vantaa	7	Lahti, Pori, Oulu, Kuopio	
4	Kauniainen	8	Muut kaupungit ja kauppalat	
		9	Maalaiskunnat	

*52. Kunta: 251-252
 Seutukaava-alue: (MERKITÄÄN TOIMISTOSSA) 254-255
 Kuntahierarkia: (MERKITÄÄN TOIMISTOSSA) 256

*53. Vaalipiiri:

	1	Helsingin kaupungin	1	Mikkelin lääni
	2	Uudenmaan lääni	2	Pohjois-Karjalan lääni
	3	Turun läänin eteläinen	3	Kuopion lääni
257	4	Turun läänin pohjoinen	258 4	Keski-Suomen lääni
	5	Hämeen läänin eteläinen	5	Vaasan lääni
	6	Hämeen läänin pohjoinen	6	Oulun lääni
	7	Kymen lääni	7	Lapin lääni

Haastateltavan nimi _____

Osoite _____

Haastattelija _____

Haastattelu tehty ___/___ 1976

