

FSD1010

Finnish Voter Barometer November 1982

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Voter Barometer November 1982 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2019.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (13.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1010 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Gallup Finland & University of Helsinki: Finnish Voter Barometer November 1982 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-13). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1010>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	115
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	115
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	127
A	Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties	139
B	Questionnaire in Finnish	141

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Voter Barometer November 1982

Titles and data version in Finnish: Puolueiden ajankohtaistutkimus marraskuu 1982

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (13.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Gallup Finland
University of Helsinki. Department of Political Science

Copyright statement for the data

In accordance with the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Gallup Finland

Date of deposit

10.5.1999

Keywords

elections; Finland; municipal elections; parliamentary elections; political attitudes; political behaviour; presidential elections; voting

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: Social sciences

Other Classification: Political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Voter Barometers

The Finnish Voter Barometer surveys are commissioned by the major parties in the Parliament. In election years, other sponsors have also been involved. Up till 1988, the surveys were planned by Gallup Finland (TNS Gallup Ltd) and the Department of Political and Economic Studies at the University of Helsinki, in collaboration with the political parties. After 1988, the planning has been in the hands of Gallup Finland and the parties.

From 1973 to 1990, the annual voter barometers were collected mainly with face-to-face interviews using structured questionnaires, 1000-2000 interviews/barometer. Since 1991, the data have been collected with GallupChannel (PC's installed in respondents' homes). No surveys were conducted in 1985 and 1989.

Survey themes include voting behaviour, opinions on the government and the parties, and attitudes towards national political issues. In addition, respondents' economic expectations and political participation have often been studied, as well as their opinions on local politics, and the significance of the party leader to a party. Background variables have often included the social class of the respondent and the household head, economic activity of the household head, respondent's education, age, mother tongue, gender, party membership, political views, trade union membership, and family's annual income. There are also regional variables. Even though the variables are not always fully comparable from one survey to another, all kinds of comparisons over time are possible. The FSD collections cover data from 1973.

Abstract

The variables describe the following: expectations concerning the economical situation, the most urgent reforms needed, changes in the political life during the last 12 months, satisfaction in the government's actions, political alienation, grading the present government's actions, interest in politics, left/right dimension in politics, various coalition combinations of the cabinet and the prime minister's party, image of the parties, constancy of voting pattern in the electoral college election, the parties wished to participate in the government, opinions on economical misdemeanours, and party support. Some variables are connected with the interview and the social structure of the sample.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finnish population aged 18 or over

Collection date: 11.10.1982 – 1.11.1982

Data collector(s): Gallup Finland

Data producer(s): Gallup Finland; University of Helsinki. Department of Political Science

Mode of data collection: Face-to-face interview

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 1982

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 284 variables and 1294 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Multistage

At first, the target population was stratified according to the number of inhabitants aged 18 or over in each province and in the second stage, by municipality group within each province. Municipalities were classified into five groups on the basis of their level of industrialisation. The stratifying frame achieved in this manner was used to count how many interviews should be done in the municipalities of each municipality group in order to have a geographically and demographically representative sample. The municipalities in the sample have been selected with the Deming zone selection method. This method is based on the use of random numbers and gives each municipality an equal chance to be selected, although municipalities with large population have a better chance to be selected. A municipality may be sampled more than once - in which case more than one starting point is drawn for that municipality. The interviews were conducted in clusters of four subjects using the starting point method. The starting points were drawn from the population register, selecting individuals born on the 5th of any month, and then selecting starting addresses among them from the sample municipalities at fixed intervals. The first interview was conducted at the starting point, after which the interviewer proceeded to the next three households. Within the household, the person whose birth date was closest to the beginning of any month was chosen for the interview. Interviews were conducted in 58 cities and 83 rural municipalities. The number of respondents was 1,303.

1.4 Use of data

Related publications

Borg, Sami (2006). Käsitykset kansalaisuudesta ja omista vaikuttamismahdollisuuksista. Teoksessa: Suomen demokrationdikaattorit (toim. Sami Borg), 115-127. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.

1. Study description

Borg, Sami & Ruostetsaari, Ilkka (2002). Suuret ikäluokat ja valta. Hyvinvointikatsaus 1/2002, 51-58.

Grönlund, Kimmo & Paloheimo, Heikki & Wass, Hanna (2005). Äänestysosallistuminen. Teoksessa: Vaalit ja demokratia Suomessa (toim. Heikki Paloheimo), 119-146. Helsinki: WSOY.

Karvonen, Lauri (2014). Parties, Governments and Voters in Finland. Politics under Fundamental Societal Transformation. Colchester. ECPR Press

Paloheimo, Heikki (2002). Hallituksen toimintaan ja puoluejohdon taitavuuteen kohdistuva arviointi puoluekannatuksen selittäjänä. *Politiikka* 44(2), 124-143.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2003). Miten äänestäjät valitsevat puolueen? *Politiikka* 45(3), 175-193.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2005). Puoluevalinnan tilannetekijät. Teoksessa: Vaalit ja demokratia Suomessa (toim. Heikki Paloheimo), 202-228. Helsinki: WSOY.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2005). Vaalit ja demokratia Suomessa. Helsinki: WSOY.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2006). Asenteet poliittisia instituutioita ja toimijoita kohtaan. Teoksessa: Suomen demokratiaindikaattorit (toim. Sami Borg), 128 - 153. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.

Paloheimo, Heikki & Sundberg, Jan (2005). Puoluevalinnan perusteet. Teoksessa: Vaalit ja demokratia Suomessa (toim. Heikki Paloheimo), 169-201. Helsinki: WSOY.

Suomen demokratiaindikaattorit (2006). Toim. Sami Borg. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1010?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1294
minimum	1010.00
maximum	1010.00
mean	1010.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1294
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1294
minimum	1.00
maximum	1294.00
mean	647.50
standard deviation	373.69

[Q1_1] What are you most worried about at present: Own employment situation

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Own employment situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1238	95.7	95.7
Mentioned	1	56	4.3	4.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_2] What are you most worried about at present: General employment situation in Finland

Question

What are you most worried about at present: General employment situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	939	72.6	72.6
Mentioned	1	355	27.4	27.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_3] What are you most worried about at present: Livelihood

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Livelihood

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1159	89.6	89.6
Mentioned	1	135	10.4	10.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_4] What are you most worried about at present: Conditions for the children and young people

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Conditions for the children and young people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1166	90.1	90.1
Mentioned	1	128	9.9	9.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_5] What are you most worried about at present: Threat of war

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Threat of war

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	798	61.7	61.7
Mentioned	1	496	38.3	38.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_6] What are you most worried about at present: Health

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Health

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1177	91.0	91.0
Mentioned	1	117	9.0	9.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_7] What are you most worried about at present: Educational problems

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Educational problems

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1254	96.9	96.9
Mentioned	1	40	3.1	3.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_8] What are you most worried about at present: Pollution

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Pollution

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1207	93.3	93.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	87	6.7	6.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_9] What are you most worried about at present: Inflation, deflation

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Inflation, deflation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1244	96.1	96.1
Mentioned	1	50	3.9	3.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_10] What are you most worried about at present: Famine

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Famine

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1268	98.0	98.0
Mentioned	1	26	2.0	2.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_11] What are you most worried about at present: Situation in the world on the whole

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Situation in the world on the whole

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1079	83.4	83.4
Mentioned	1	215	16.6	16.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_12] What are you most worried about at present: Hobbies

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Hobbies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1289	99.6	99.6
Mentioned	1	5	0.4	0.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_13] What are you most worried about at present: Safety and security

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Safety and security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1244	96.1	96.1
Mentioned	1	50	3.9	3.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_14] What are you most worried about at present: Finnish politics

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Finnish politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1257	97.1	97.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	37	2.9	2.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_15] What are you most worried about at present: Inequality

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Inequality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1280	98.9	98.9
Mentioned	1	14	1.1	1.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_16] What are you most worried about at present: Housing

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Housing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1242	96.0	96.0
Mentioned	1	52	4.0	4.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_17] What are you most worried about at present: Moral issues

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Moral issues

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1266	97.8	97.8
Mentioned	1	28	2.2	2.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_18] What are you most worried about at present: Problems of the elderly

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Problems of the elderly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1260	97.4	97.4
Mentioned	1	34	2.6	2.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_19] What are you most worried about at present: Social welfare

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Social welfare

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1252	96.8	96.8
Mentioned	1	42	3.2	3.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_20] What are you most worried about at present: Tax burden

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Tax burden

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1263	97.6	97.6
Mentioned	1	31	2.4	2.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_21] What are you most worried about at present: Finland's economic situation

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Finland's economic situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1256	97.1	97.1
Mentioned	1	38	2.9	2.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_22] What are you most worried about at present: Human relationships

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Human relationships

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1265	97.8	97.8
Mentioned	1	29	2.2	2.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_23] What are you most worried about at present: Other

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Other

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1211	93.6	93.6
Mentioned	1	83	6.4	6.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q1_24] What are you most worried about at present: Nothing in particular

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Nothing in particular

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1167	90.2	90.2
Mentioned	1	127	9.8	9.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q2] Do you think that your own or your family's financial situation will improve over the next 12 months?

Question

Do you think that your own or your family's financial situation will improve over the next 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Will improve considerably	1	8	0.6	0.6
Will improve to some extent	2	152	11.7	11.8
Will stay the same	3	788	60.9	60.9
Will get somewhat worse	4	273	21.1	21.1
Will get much worse	5	55	4.3	4.3
Can't say	6	17	1.3	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	—
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q3] Do you think Finland's employment situation will improve over the next 12 months?

Question

Do you think Finland's employment situation will improve over the next 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Will improve	1	86	6.6	6.6
Will stay the same	2	338	26.1	26.1
Will get worse	3	844	65.2	65.2
Can't say	4	26	2.0	2.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q4_1] Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (first choice)

Question

Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (first choice)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Reform job security regulations	1	269	20.8	21.1
Introduce shorter working week	2	104	8.0	8.1
Increase development aid funding	3	75	5.8	5.9
Increase housing construction	4	202	15.6	15.8
Lower retirement age	5	292	22.6	22.9
Make environmental protection more effective	6	131	10.1	10.3
Improve Finland's defence capability	7	31	2.4	2.4
Hefty wage increase to those with comparatively low pay	8	112	8.7	8.8
Increase employee influence on decision-making	9	61	4.7	4.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	17	1.3	—
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q4_2] Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (second choice)

Question

Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (second choice)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Reform job security regulations	1	106	8.2	8.4
Introduce shorter working week	2	89	6.9	7.1
Increase development aid funding	3	92	7.1	7.3
Increase housing construction	4	178	13.8	14.1
Lower retirement age	5	254	19.6	20.1
Make environmental protection more effective	6	225	17.4	17.8
Improve Finland's defence capability	7	40	3.1	3.2
Hefty wage increase to those with comparatively low pay	8	165	12.8	13.1
Increase employee influence on decision-making	9	112	8.7	8.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	33	2.6	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q4_3] Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (third choice)

Question

Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (third choice)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Reform job security regulations	1	115	8.9	9.3
Introduce shorter working week	2	70	5.4	5.7
Increase development aid funding	3	92	7.1	7.5
Increase housing construction	4	151	11.7	12.3
Lower retirement age	5	145	11.2	11.8
Make environmental protection more effective	6	203	15.7	16.5
Improve Finland's defence capability	7	54	4.2	4.4
Hefty wage increase to those with comparatively low pay	8	200	15.5	16.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increase employee influence on decision-making	9	201	15.5	16.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	63	4.9	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q5_1] Finland's veteran president Urho Kekkonen resigned from office a year ago. Have there been any changes in Finland's politics and political life after that?

Question

Finland's veteran president Urho Kekkonen resigned from office a year ago. Have there been any changes in Finland's politics and political life after that?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
There have been changes	1	249	19.2	19.3
There have been no changes	2	1000	77.3	77.3
Can't say	3	44	3.4	3.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q5_2] If there have been changes in politics and political life, do you think the changes are..

Question

If there have been changes in politics and political life, do you think the changes are..

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Positive	1	183	14.1	65.6
Negative	2	54	4.2	19.4
Can't say	3	42	3.2	15.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1015	78.4	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q6] Are you satisfied with the performance of the present government (prime minister Kalevi Sorsa)?

Question

Are you satisfied with the performance of the present government (prime minister Kalevi Sorsa)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mainly satisfied	1	768	59.4	59.7
Mainly dissatisfied	2	334	25.8	26.0
Can't say	3	184	14.2	14.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	8	0.6	—
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q7_1] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Foreign policy

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Foreign policy

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1222
minimum	4.00
maximum	10.00
mean	7.37
standard deviation	1.32

[Q7_2] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Foreign trade policy

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Foreign trade policy

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1173
minimum	4.00
maximum	10.00
mean	7.10
standard deviation	1.24

[Q7_3] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Taxation

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Taxation

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1206
minimum	4.00
maximum	10.00
mean	6.40
standard deviation	1.31

[Q7_4] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Employment

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Employment

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1233
minimum	4.00

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
maximum	10.00
mean	5.79
standard deviation	1.27

[Q7_5] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Fighting price increases

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Fighting price increases

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1236
minimum	4.00
maximum	10.00
mean	6.32
standard deviation	1.41

[Q7_6] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Agricultural issues

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Agricultural issues

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1151
minimum	4.00
maximum	10.00
mean	6.67
standard deviation	1.26

[Q7_7] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Social security

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Social security

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1218
minimum	4.00
maximum	10.00
mean	7.16
standard deviation	1.24

[Q7_8] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Education and schools

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Education and schools

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1179
minimum	4.00
maximum	10.00
mean	7.02
standard deviation	1.26

[Q7_9] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Energy issues

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Energy issues

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1180
minimum	4.00
maximum	10.00
mean	6.86
standard deviation	1.20

[Q7_10] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Environmental and nature protection issues

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Environmental and nature protection issues

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1210
minimum	4.00
maximum	10.00
mean	6.57
standard deviation	1.31

[Q8] Respondent's own interest in politics

Question

Respondent's own interest in politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very interested	1	166	12.8	12.8
Interested to some extent	2	622	48.1	48.1
Not very interested	3	395	30.5	30.5
Not at all interested	4	109	8.4	8.4
Can't say	5	2	0.2	0.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q9_1] Sometimes politics seem so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what's going on

Question

Sometimes politics seem so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what's going on

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	402	31.1	31.1
Agree	2	585	45.2	45.2
Disagree	3	242	18.7	18.7
Strongly disagree	4	49	3.8	3.8
Can't say	5	15	1.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q9_2] Generally speaking, those elected to parliament soon lose touch with voters

Question

Generally speaking, those elected to parliament soon lose touch with voters

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	372	28.7	28.8
Agree	2	678	52.4	52.5
Disagree	3	190	14.7	14.7
Strongly disagree	4	12	0.9	0.9
Can't say	5	40	3.1	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q9_3] Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions

Question

Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	342	26.4	26.5
Agree	2	574	44.4	44.4
Disagree	3	324	25.0	25.1
Strongly disagree	4	26	2.0	2.0
Can't say	5	27	2.1	2.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q9_4] Even if someone disagreed with the content of a law, everyone should obey laws passed by Parliament**Question**

Even if someone disagreed with the content of a law, everyone should obey laws passed by Parliament

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	421	32.5	32.6
Agree	2	565	43.7	43.8
Disagree	3	223	17.2	17.3
Strongly disagree	4	34	2.6	2.6
Can't say	5	48	3.7	3.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q9_5] One can generally trust the government to make the right decisions**Question**

One can generally trust the government to make the right decisions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	84	6.5	6.5
Agree	2	489	37.8	37.9
Disagree	3	586	45.3	45.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly disagree	4	101	7.8	7.8
Can't say	5	31	2.4	2.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q9_6] There is at least one party that always tries to promote the interests of people like me

Question

There is at least one party that always tries to promote the interests of people like me

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	131	10.1	10.2
Agree	2	505	39.0	39.2
Disagree	3	436	33.7	33.9
Strongly disagree	4	127	9.8	9.9
Can't say	5	88	6.8	6.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	7	0.5	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q9_7] By voting people can really have a say about how things are run in this country

Question

By voting people can really have a say about how things are run in this country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	241	18.6	18.7
Agree	2	567	43.8	44.0
Disagree	3	369	28.5	28.6
Strongly disagree	4	77	6.0	6.0
Can't say	5	34	2.6	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	6	0.5	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q9_8] Through parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making

Question

Through parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	66	5.1	5.1
Agree	2	491	37.9	38.1
Disagree	3	576	44.5	44.7
Strongly disagree	4	104	8.0	8.1
Can't say	5	51	3.9	4.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	6	0.5	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q9_9] Parties seek to please everybody, and therefore do not try to tackle the really important problems facing the country

Question

Parties seek to please everybody, and therefore do not try to tackle the really important problems facing the country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	189	14.6	14.7
Agree	2	666	51.5	51.7
Disagree	3	313	24.2	24.3
Strongly disagree	4	34	2.6	2.6
Can't say	5	87	6.7	6.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	5	0.4	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q9_10] In Finland, party politics are taken into account even when dealing with issues where politics should be of no importance

Question

In Finland, party politics are taken into account even when dealing with issues where politics should be of no importance

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	529	40.9	41.0
Agree	2	632	48.8	49.0
Disagree	3	67	5.2	5.2
Strongly disagree	4	7	0.5	0.5
Can't say	5	56	4.3	4.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q10_1] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: KOK

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	113	8.7	8.7
Extreme left	1	2	0.2	0.2
	2	3	0.2	0.2
	3	10	0.8	0.8
	4	23	1.8	1.8
	5	50	3.9	3.9
	6	91	7.0	7.0
	7	279	21.6	21.6
	8	402	31.1	31.1
Extreme right	9	321	24.8	24.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q10_2] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: KESK

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	94	7.3	7.3
Extreme left	1	1	0.1	0.1
	2	4	0.3	0.3
	3	24	1.9	1.9
	4	126	9.7	9.7
	5	387	29.9	29.9
	6	340	26.3	26.3
	7	194	15.0	15.0
	8	96	7.4	7.4
Extreme right	9	28	2.2	2.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q10_3] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: LKP

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	170	13.1	13.1
Extreme left	1	8	0.6	0.6
	2	17	1.3	1.3
	3	37	2.9	2.9
	4	106	8.2	8.2
	5	222	17.2	17.2
	6	345	26.7	26.7
	7	244	18.9	18.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
	8	114	8.8	8.8
Extreme right	9	31	2.4	2.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q10_4] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: POP

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: POP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	224	17.3	17.3
Extreme left	1	13	1.0	1.0
	2	11	0.9	0.9
	3	24	1.9	1.9
	4	31	2.4	2.4
	5	38	2.9	2.9
	6	85	6.6	6.6
	7	109	8.4	8.4
	8	186	14.4	14.4
Extreme right	9	573	44.3	44.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q10_5] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: RKP

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	146	11.3	11.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Extreme left	1	8	0.6	0.6
	2	16	1.2	1.2
	3	25	1.9	1.9
	4	58	4.5	4.5
	5	113	8.7	8.7
	6	272	21.0	21.0
	7	369	28.5	28.5
	8	227	17.5	17.5
Extreme right	9	60	4.6	4.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q10_6] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKDL

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	106	8.2	8.2
Extreme left	1	516	39.9	39.9
	2	428	33.1	33.1
	3	125	9.7	9.7
	4	60	4.6	4.6
	5	23	1.8	1.8
	6	17	1.3	1.3
	7	10	0.8	0.8
	8	6	0.5	0.5
Extreme right	9	3	0.2	0.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q10_7] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKL

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	150	11.6	11.6
Extreme left	1	15	1.2	1.2
	2	17	1.3	1.3
	3	37	2.9	2.9
	4	58	4.5	4.5
	5	135	10.4	10.4
	6	205	15.8	15.8
	7	287	22.2	22.2
	8	254	19.6	19.6
Extreme right	9	136	10.5	10.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q10_8] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKYP

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	354	27.4	27.4
Extreme left	1	31	2.4	2.4
	2	38	2.9	2.9
	3	87	6.7	6.7
	4	120	9.3	9.3
	5	143	11.1	11.1
	6	164	12.7	12.7
	7	175	13.5	13.5
	8	111	8.6	8.6
Extreme right	9	71	5.5	5.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q10_9] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SMP

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	142	11.0	11.0
Extreme left	1	17	1.3	1.3
	2	45	3.5	3.5
	3	82	6.3	6.3
	4	157	12.1	12.1
	5	247	19.1	19.1
	6	279	21.6	21.6
	7	187	14.5	14.5
Extreme right	8	106	8.2	8.2
	9	32	2.5	2.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q10_10] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SDP

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	104	8.0	8.0
Extreme left	1	74	5.7	5.7
	2	273	21.1	21.1
	3	381	29.4	29.4
	4	262	20.2	20.2
	5	107	8.3	8.3
	6	36	2.8	2.8
	7	25	1.9	1.9
Extreme right	8	21	1.6	1.6
	9	11	0.9	0.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q11] On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place yourself?

Question

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place yourself?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	0	275	21.3	21.3
Extreme left	1	45	3.5	3.5
	2	81	6.3	6.3
	3	116	9.0	9.0
	4	112	8.7	8.7
	5	228	17.6	17.6
	6	126	9.7	9.7
	7	151	11.7	11.7
	8	114	8.8	8.8
Extreme right	9	46	3.6	3.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_1] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1105	85.4	85.4
Mentioned	1	189	14.6	14.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_2] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KESK**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1025	79.2	79.2
Mentioned	1	269	20.8	20.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_3] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1170	90.4	90.4
Mentioned	1	124	9.6	9.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_4] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1162	89.8	89.8
Mentioned	1	132	10.2	10.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_5] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1142	88.3	88.3
Mentioned	1	152	11.7	11.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_6] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1106	85.5	85.5
Mentioned	1	188	14.5	14.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_7] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1173	90.6	90.6
Mentioned	1	121	9.4	9.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_8] Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	974	75.3	75.3
Mentioned	1	320	24.7	24.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_1] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: KOK**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1013	78.3	78.3
Mentioned	1	281	21.7	21.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_2] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: KESK**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1094	84.5	84.5
Mentioned	1	200	15.5	15.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_3] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: LKP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1168	90.3	90.3
Mentioned	1	126	9.7	9.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_4] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: RKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: RKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1179	91.1	91.1
Mentioned	1	115	8.9	8.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_5] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SKDL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SKDL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1005	77.7	77.7
Mentioned	1	289	22.3	22.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_6] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SKL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SKL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1211	93.6	93.6
Mentioned	1	83	6.4	6.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_7] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SMP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1165	90.0	90.0
Mentioned	1	129	10.0	10.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_8] Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SDP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	842	65.1	65.1
Mentioned	1	452	34.9	34.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12C_1] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KOK**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1051	81.2	81.2
Mentioned	1	243	18.8	18.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12C_2] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KESK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KESK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1071	82.8	82.8
Mentioned	1	223	17.2	17.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12C_3] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: LKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: LKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1255	97.0	97.0
Mentioned	1	39	3.0	3.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12C_4] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: RKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: RKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1158	89.5	89.5
Mentioned	1	136	10.5	10.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12C_5] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKDL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKDL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1197	92.5	92.5
Mentioned	1	97	7.5	7.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12C_6] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1230	95.1	95.1
Mentioned	1	64	4.9	4.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12C_7] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SMP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SMP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1259	97.3	97.3
Mentioned	1	35	2.7	2.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12C_8] Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SDP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1012	78.2	78.2
Mentioned	1	282	21.8	21.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12D_1] Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: KOK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	863	66.7	66.7
Mentioned	1	431	33.3	33.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12D_2] Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: KESK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: KESK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	991	76.6	76.6
Mentioned	1	303	23.4	23.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12D_3] Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: LKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: LKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1217	94.0	94.0
Mentioned	1	77	6.0	6.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12D_4] Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: RKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: RKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1096	84.7	84.7
Mentioned	1	198	15.3	15.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12D_5] Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SKDL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SKDL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1068	82.5	82.5
Mentioned	1	226	17.5	17.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12D_6] Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SKL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SKL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1222	94.4	94.4
Mentioned	1	72	5.6	5.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12D_7] Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SMP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SMP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1176	90.9	90.9
Mentioned	1	118	9.1	9.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12D_8] Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SDP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	774	59.8	59.8
Mentioned	1	520	40.2	40.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12E_1] Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1014	78.4	78.4
Mentioned	1	280	21.6	21.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12E_2] Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: KESK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1052	81.3	81.3
Mentioned	1	242	18.7	18.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12E_3] Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1265	97.8	97.8
Mentioned	1	29	2.2	2.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12E_4] Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1215	93.9	93.9
Mentioned	1	79	6.1	6.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12E_5] Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1123	86.8	86.8
Mentioned	1	171	13.2	13.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12E_6] Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1266	97.8	97.8
Mentioned	1	28	2.2	2.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12E_7] Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1207	93.3	93.3
Mentioned	1	87	6.7	6.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12E_8] Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	847	65.5	65.5
Mentioned	1	447	34.5	34.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12F_1] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1133	87.6	87.6
Mentioned	1	161	12.4	12.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[Q12F_2] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns:
KESK**

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1067	82.5	82.5
Mentioned	1	227	17.5	17.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[Q12F_3] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns:
LKP**

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1224	94.6	94.6
Mentioned	1	70	5.4	5.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[Q12F_4] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns:
RKP**

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1213	93.7	93.7
Mentioned	1	81	6.3	6.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[Q12F_5] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns:
SKDL**

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1140	88.1	88.1
Mentioned	1	154	11.9	11.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[Q12F_6] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns:
SKL**

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1222	94.4	94.4
Mentioned	1	72	5.6	5.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[Q12F_7] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns:
SMP**

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1149	88.8	88.8
Mentioned	1	145	11.2	11.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12F_8] Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	965	74.6	74.6
Mentioned	1	329	25.4	25.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12G_1] Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1001	77.4	77.4
Mentioned	1	293	22.6	22.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12G_2] Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: KESK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1027	79.4	79.4
Mentioned	1	267	20.6	20.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12G_3] Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: LKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: LKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1140	88.1	88.1
Mentioned	1	154	11.9	11.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12G_4] Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: RKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: RKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1068	82.5	82.5
Mentioned	1	226	17.5	17.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12G_5] Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SKDL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SKDL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1232	95.2	95.2
Mentioned	1	62	4.8	4.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12G_6] Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SKL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SKL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1173	90.6	90.6
Mentioned	1	121	9.4	9.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12G_7] Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SMP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SMP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1262	97.5	97.5
Mentioned	1	32	2.5	2.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12G_8] Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SDP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1015	78.4	78.4
Mentioned	1	279	21.6	21.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12H_1] Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	976	75.4	75.4
Mentioned	1	318	24.6	24.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12H_2] Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: KESK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1066	82.4	82.4
Mentioned	1	228	17.6	17.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12H_3] Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1219	94.2	94.2
Mentioned	1	75	5.8	5.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12H_4] Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1153	89.1	89.1
Mentioned	1	141	10.9	10.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12H_5] Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1133	87.6	87.6
Mentioned	1	161	12.4	12.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12H_6] Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1230	95.1	95.1
Mentioned	1	64	4.9	4.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12H_7] Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SMP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SMP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1210	93.5	93.5
Mentioned	1	84	6.5	6.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12H_8] Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SDP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	992	76.7	76.7
Mentioned	1	302	23.3	23.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12I_1] Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: KOK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	940	72.6	72.6
Mentioned	1	354	27.4	27.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12I_2] Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: KESK**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1100	85.0	85.0
Mentioned	1	194	15.0	15.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12I_3] Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: LKP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1261	97.4	97.4
Mentioned	1	33	2.6	2.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12I_4] Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: RKP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1184	91.5	91.5
Mentioned	1	110	8.5	8.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12I_5] Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SKDL**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	943	72.9	72.9
Mentioned	1	351	27.1	27.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12I_6] Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SKL**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1179	91.1	91.1
Mentioned	1	115	8.9	8.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12I_7] Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SMP**Question**

Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1197	92.5	92.5
Mentioned	1	97	7.5	7.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12I_8] Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SDP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1019	78.7	78.7
Mentioned	1	275	21.3	21.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12J_1] Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: KOK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1159	89.6	89.6
Mentioned	1	135	10.4	10.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12J_2] Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: KESK**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: KESK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1012	78.2	78.2
Mentioned	1	282	21.8	21.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12J_3] Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: LKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: LKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1224	94.6	94.6
Mentioned	1	70	5.4	5.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12J_4] Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: RKP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: RKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1212	93.7	93.7
Mentioned	1	82	6.3	6.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12J_5] Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SKDL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SKDL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1062	82.1	82.1
Mentioned	1	232	17.9	17.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12J_6] Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SKL**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SKL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1192	92.1	92.1
Mentioned	1	102	7.9	7.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12J_7] Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SMP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SMP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1142	88.3	88.3
Mentioned	1	152	11.7	11.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12J_8] Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SDP**Question***Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	860	66.5	66.5
Mentioned	1	434	33.5	33.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12K_1] Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: KOK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1156	89.3	89.3
Mentioned	1	138	10.7	10.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12K_2] Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: KESK

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1070	82.7	82.7
Mentioned	1	224	17.3	17.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12K_3] Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: LKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1254	96.9	96.9
Mentioned	1	40	3.1	3.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12K_4] Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: RKP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1217	94.0	94.0
Mentioned	1	77	6.0	6.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12K_5] Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SKDL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1104	85.3	85.3
Mentioned	1	190	14.7	14.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12K_6] Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SKL

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1257	97.1	97.1
Mentioned	1	37	2.9	2.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12K_7] Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SMP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1221	94.4	94.4
Mentioned	1	73	5.6	5.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12K_8] Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SDP

Question

Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	978	75.6	75.6
Mentioned	1	316	24.4	24.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12_A1] Which party manages foreign policy best?

Question

Which party manages foreign policy best?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KOK	1	105	8.1	9.5
KESK	2	304	23.5	27.4
LKP	3	2	0.2	0.2
RKP	4	78	6.0	7.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
SKDL	5	89	6.9	8.0
SKL	6	2	0.2	0.2
SMP	7	6	0.5	0.5
SDP	8	522	40.3	47.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	186	14.4	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q12_A2] Which party is next best able to manage foreign policy?

Question

Which party is next best able to manage foreign policy?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KOK	1	164	12.7	15.8
KESK	2	368	28.4	35.4
LKP	3	13	1.0	1.2
RKP	4	85	6.6	8.2
SKDL	5	106	8.2	10.2
SKL	6	12	0.9	1.2
SMP	7	13	1.0	1.2
SDP	8	280	21.6	26.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	253	19.6	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q13] Finland held an indirect presidential election in January 1982, and an electoral college was elected. Do you remember which party you voted for?

Question

Finland held an indirect presidential election in January 1982, and an electoral college was elected. Do you remember which party you voted for?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes, I remember which party I voted for	1	1134	87.6	87.8
Yes, I think I remember, but am not sure	2	12	0.9	0.9
No, I do not remember	3	47	3.6	3.6
Did not vote in the election	4	98	7.6	7.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q14] If the Finnish parliamentary elections were held in near future, would you vote again for the same party you voted in the presidential election?

Question

If the Finnish parliamentary elections were held in near future, would you vote again for the same party you voted in the presidential election?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I certainly would	1	850	65.7	73.3
I probably would	2	160	12.4	13.8
I probably would not	3	47	3.6	4.1
I certainly would not	4	67	5.2	5.8
Can't say	5	35	2.7	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	135	10.4	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q15_1] Why would you not vote for the same party: What mattered in the presidential election was the person, not the party

Question

Why would you not vote for the same party: What mattered in the presidential election was the person, not the party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1247	96.4	96.4
Mentioned	1	47	3.6	3.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q15_2] Why would you not vote for the same party: Party is not reliable**Question**

Why would you not vote for the same party: Party is not reliable

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1287	99.5	99.5
Mentioned	1	7	0.5	0.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q15_3] Why would you not vote for the same party: Changed my mind**Question**

Why would you not vote for the same party: Changed my mind

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1275	98.5	98.5
Mentioned	1	19	1.5	1.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q15_4] Why would you not vote for the same party: Has not kept its promises**Question**

Why would you not vote for the same party: Has not kept its promises

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1272	98.3	98.3
Mentioned	1	22	1.7	1.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q15_5] Why would you not vote for the same party: Party does not exist any more**Question**

Why would you not vote for the same party: Party does not exist any more

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1292	99.8	99.8
Mentioned	1	2	0.2	0.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q15_6] Why would you not vote for the same party: Time factor**Question**

Why would you not vote for the same party: Time factor

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1290	99.7	99.7
Mentioned	1	4	0.3	0.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q15_7] Why would you not vote for the same party: Would vote for the Greens**Question**

Why would you not vote for the same party: Would vote for the Greens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1290	99.7	99.7
Mentioned	1	4	0.3	0.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q15_8] Why would you not vote for the same party: Other reason**Question**

Why would you not vote for the same party: Other reason

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1290	99.7	99.7
Mentioned	1	4	0.3	0.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q15_9] Why would you not vote for the same party: Does not vote**Question**

Why would you not vote for the same party: Does not vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1292	99.8	99.8
Mentioned	1	2	0.2	0.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q16_1] How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: KOK**Question**

How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very necessary	1	280	21.6	22.1
Necessary	2	672	51.9	53.1
Unnecessary	3	155	12.0	12.3
I would not wish this party to be included	4	96	7.4	7.6
Can't say	5	62	4.8	4.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	29	2.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q16_2] How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: KESK

Question

How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very necessary	1	397	30.7	31.3
Necessary	2	756	58.4	59.6
Unnecessary	3	41	3.2	3.2
I would not wish this party to be included	4	21	1.6	1.7
Can't say	5	53	4.1	4.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	2.0	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q16_3] How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: LKP

Question

How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very necessary	1	80	6.2	6.4
Necessary	2	402	31.1	32.2
Unnecessary	3	556	43.0	44.5
I would not wish this party to be included	4	95	7.3	7.6
Can't say	5	116	9.0	9.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	45	3.5	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q16_4] How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: RKP

Question

How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very necessary	1	161	12.4	12.8
Necessary	2	703	54.3	56.0
Unnecessary	3	255	19.7	20.3
I would not wish this party to be included	4	60	4.6	4.8
Can't say	5	77	6.0	6.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	38	2.9	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q16_5] How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SKDL

Question

How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very necessary	1	202	15.6	16.0
Necessary	2	624	48.2	49.3
Unnecessary	3	223	17.2	17.6
I would not wish this party to be included	4	156	12.1	12.3
Can't say	5	60	4.6	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	29	2.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q16_6] How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SKL

Question

How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very necessary	1	69	5.3	5.5
Necessary	2	358	27.7	28.5
Unnecessary	3	569	44.0	45.3
I would not wish this party to be included	4	177	13.7	14.1
Can't say	5	84	6.5	6.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	37	2.9	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q16_7] How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SMP**Question**

How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very necessary	1	72	5.6	5.7
Necessary	2	355	27.4	28.3
Unnecessary	3	546	42.2	43.5
I would not wish this party to be included	4	209	16.2	16.6
Can't say	5	74	5.7	5.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	38	2.9	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q16_8] How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SDP**Question**

How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very necessary	1	511	39.5	40.3
Necessary	2	642	49.6	50.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Unnecessary	3	43	3.3	3.4
I would not wish this party to be included	4	23	1.8	1.8
Can't say	5	49	3.8	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	2.0	—
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_1] The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: KOK

Question

*The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:
KOK*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	492	38.0	38.0
Mentioned	1	802	62.0	62.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_2] The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: KESK

Question

*The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:
KESK*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	207	16.0	16.0
Mentioned	1	1087	84.0	84.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_3] The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: LKP**Question**

*The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:
LKP*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1059	81.8	81.8
Mentioned	1	235	18.2	18.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_4] The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: RKP**Question**

*The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:
RKP*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	696	53.8	53.8
Mentioned	1	598	46.2	46.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_5] The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SKDL**Question**

*The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:
SKDL*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	693	53.6	53.6
Mentioned	1	601	46.4	46.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_6] The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SKL

Question

The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1124	86.9	86.9
Mentioned	1	170	13.1	13.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_7] The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SMP

Question

The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1105	85.4	85.4
Mentioned	1	189	14.6	14.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q17A_8] The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SDP

Question

The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SDP

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	214	16.5	16.5
Mentioned	1	1080	83.5	83.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q17B] The new prime minister should be a member of ...

Question

The new prime minister should be a member of ...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KOK	1	171	13.2	15.4
KESK	2	277	21.4	25.0
LKP	3	5	0.4	0.5
RKP	4	33	2.6	3.0
SKDL	5	75	5.8	6.8
SKL	6	6	0.5	0.5
SMP	7	9	0.7	0.8
SDP	8	531	41.0	48.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	187	14.5	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_1] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Housing

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Housing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	861	66.5	66.5
Mentioned	1	433	33.5	33.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_2] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Creating jobs for the unemployed

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Creating jobs for the unemployed

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	276	21.3	21.3
Mentioned	1	1018	78.7	78.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_3] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Science and research

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Science and research

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1174	90.7	90.7
Mentioned	1	120	9.3	9.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_4] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Arts and culture

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Arts and culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1260	97.4	97.4
Mentioned	1	34	2.6	2.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_5] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Nature and environmental protection

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Nature and environmental protection

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	949	73.3	73.3
Mentioned	1	345	26.7	26.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_6] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Increasing the daycare of children

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Increasing the daycare of children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	846	65.4	65.4
Mentioned	1	448	34.6	34.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_7] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Defence

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Defence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1217	94.0	94.0
Mentioned	1	77	6.0	6.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_8] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Health care**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Health care

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	897	69.3	69.3
Mentioned	1	397	30.7	30.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_9] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Support for the elderly**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Support for the elderly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	936	72.3	72.3
Mentioned	1	358	27.7	27.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_10] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Education system**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Education system

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1205	93.1	93.1
Mentioned	1	89	6.9	6.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_11] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Development aid to foreign countries

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Development aid to foreign countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1186	91.7	91.7
Mentioned	1	108	8.3	8.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18A_12] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1147	88.6	88.6
Mentioned	1	147	11.4	11.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_1] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Housing

Question

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Housing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1256	97.1	97.1
Mentioned	1	38	2.9	2.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_2] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Creating jobs for the unemployed**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Creating jobs for the unemployed

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1286	99.4	99.4
Mentioned	1	8	0.6	0.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_3] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Science and research**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Science and research

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1166	90.1	90.1
Mentioned	1	128	9.9	9.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_4] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Arts and culture**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Arts and culture

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	831	64.2	64.2
Mentioned	1	463	35.8	35.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_5] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Nature and environmental protection

Question

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Nature and environmental protection

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1232	95.2	95.2
Mentioned	1	62	4.8	4.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_6] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Increasing the daycare of children

Question

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Increasing the daycare of children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1261	97.4	97.4
Mentioned	1	33	2.6	2.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_7] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Defence

Question

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Defence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	795	61.4	61.4
Mentioned	1	499	38.6	38.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_8] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Health care**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Health care

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1255	97.0	97.0
Mentioned	1	39	3.0	3.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_9] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Support for the elderly**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Support for the elderly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1264	97.7	97.7
Mentioned	1	30	2.3	2.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_10] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Education system**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Education system

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1178	91.0	91.0
Mentioned	1	116	9.0	9.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_11] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Development aid to foreign countries

Question

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Development aid to foreign countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	957	74.0	74.0
Mentioned	1	337	26.0	26.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18B_12] Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas

Question

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1228	94.9	94.9
Mentioned	1	66	5.1	5.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_1] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Housing

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Housing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1057	81.7	81.7
Mentioned	1	237	18.3	18.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_2] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Creating jobs for the unemployed

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Creating jobs for the unemployed

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	566	43.7	43.7
Mentioned	1	728	56.3	56.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_3] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Science and research

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Science and research

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1238	95.7	95.7
Mentioned	1	56	4.3	4.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_4] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Arts and culture

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Arts and culture

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1282	99.1	99.1
Mentioned	1	12	0.9	0.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_5] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Nature and environmental protection

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Nature and environmental protection

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1122	86.7	86.7
Mentioned	1	172	13.3	13.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_6] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Increasing the daycare of children

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Increasing the daycare of children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1054	81.5	81.5
Mentioned	1	240	18.5	18.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_7] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Defence

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Defence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1267	97.9	97.9
Mentioned	1	27	2.1	2.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_8] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Health care**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Health care

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1057	81.7	81.7
Mentioned	1	237	18.3	18.3
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_9] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Support for the elderly**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Support for the elderly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1089	84.2	84.2
Mentioned	1	205	15.8	15.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_10] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Educational system**Question**

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Educational system

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1246	96.3	96.3
Mentioned	1	48	3.7	3.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_11] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Development aid to foreign countries

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Development aid to foreign countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1229	95.0	95.0
Mentioned	1	65	5.0	5.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q18C_12] Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas

Question

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1227	94.8	94.8
Mentioned	1	67	5.2	5.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q19_1] Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it carried out a strong advertising campaign presenting its actions and goals

Question

Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it carried out a strong advertising campaign presenting its actions and goals

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Would greatly increase my willing. to vote the party	1	27	2.1	2.1
Would increase my willingness to some extent	2	158	12.2	12.2
Would not have an impact	3	998	77.1	77.3
Would decrease my willingness to some extent	4	55	4.3	4.3
Would greatly decrease my willingness to vote the party	5	24	1.9	1.9
Can't say	6	29	2.2	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q19_2] Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it emphasized issues important to people like you in its election agenda

Question

Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it emphasized issues important to people like you in its election agenda

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Would greatly increase my willing. to vote the party	1	198	15.3	15.3
Would increase my willingness to some extent	2	606	46.8	46.9
Would not have an impact	3	443	34.2	34.3
Would decrease my willingness to some extent	4	8	0.6	0.6
Would greatly decrease my willingness to vote the party	5	2	0.2	0.2
Can't say	6	34	2.6	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q19_3] Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if opposed the same things as you

Question

Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if opposed the same things as you

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Would greatly increase my willing. to vote the party	1	229	17.7	17.8
Would increase my willingness to some extent	2	579	44.7	44.9
Would not have an impact	3	416	32.1	32.3
Would decrease my willingness to some extent	4	17	1.3	1.3
Would greatly decrease my willingness to vote the party	5	10	0.8	0.8
Can't say	6	38	2.9	2.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	5	0.4	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q19_4] Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it announced clearly that is aims to be in the government after the elections

Question

Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it announced clearly that is aims to be in the government after the elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Would greatly increase my willing. to vote the party	1	121	9.4	9.4
Would increase my willingness to some extent	2	382	29.5	29.6
Would not have an impact	3	704	54.4	54.5
Would decrease my willingness to some extent	4	22	1.7	1.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Would greatly decrease my willingness to vote the party	5	4	0.3	0.3
Can't say	6	59	4.6	4.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q19_5] Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it refrained from making excessive promises during election campaign

Question

Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it refrained from making excessive promises during election campaign

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Would greatly increase my willing. to vote the party	1	104	8.0	8.1
Would increase my willingness to some extent	2	346	26.7	26.8
Would not have an impact	3	720	55.6	55.8
Would decrease my willingness to some extent	4	64	4.9	5.0
Would greatly decrease my willingness to vote the party	5	14	1.1	1.1
Can't say	6	43	3.3	3.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q20_1] Long period outside the coalition government has not influenced public attitude towards the National Coalition Party (KOK)

Question

Long period outside the coalition government has not influenced public attitude towards the National Coalition Party (KOK)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	294	22.7	22.8
Agree to some extent	2	402	31.1	31.1
Disagree to some extent	3	324	25.0	25.1
Strongly disagree	4	157	12.1	12.2
Can't say	5	114	8.8	8.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q20_2] People feel that the National Coalition Party has been discriminated against

Question

People feel that the National Coalition Party has been discriminated against

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	254	19.6	19.7
Agree to some extent	2	436	33.7	33.9
Disagree to some extent	3	315	24.3	24.5
Strongly disagree	4	182	14.1	14.1
Can't say	5	101	7.8	7.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	6	0.5	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q20_3] People consider it useless to vote for the National Coalition Party as it is not included in the government

Question

People consider it useless to vote for the National Coalition Party as it is not included in the government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	80	6.2	6.2
Agree to some extent	2	150	11.6	11.6
Disagree to some extent	3	440	34.0	34.1
Strongly disagree	4	513	39.6	39.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	106	8.2	8.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	5	0.4	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q20_4] After the next parliamentary elections, the National Coalition Party will have more chance than before of being included in the coalition government

Question

After the next parliamentary elections, the National Coalition Party will have more chance than before of being included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	291	22.5	22.6
Agree to some extent	2	605	46.8	46.9
Disagree to some extent	3	173	13.4	13.4
Strongly disagree	4	52	4.0	4.0
Can't say	5	169	13.1	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	4	0.3	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q20_5] The fact that the National Coalition Party has been outside the government has increased its support

Question

The fact that the National Coalition Party has been outside the government has increased its support

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	358	27.7	27.7
Agree to some extent	2	450	34.8	34.9
Disagree to some extent	3	246	19.0	19.1
Strongly disagree	4	96	7.4	7.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	141	10.9	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q21] Do you think that economic corruption and bribery have increased or decreased among Finnish decision-makers?

Question

Do you think that economic corruption and bribery have increased or decreased among Finnish decision-makers?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have increased	1	987	76.3	76.3
Have stayed the same	2	241	18.6	18.6
Have decreased	3	25	1.9	1.9
Can't say	4	40	3.1	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q22_1] In which decision-maker group there is most corruption and misuse?

Question

In which decision-maker group there is most corruption and misuse?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Managers of private business enterprises	1	371	28.7	29.9
Top-level state officials	2	542	41.9	43.7
Top-level local officials	3	100	7.7	8.1
National-level politicians (MPs, ministers)	4	164	12.7	13.2
Local-level politicians (members of munic. committees)	5	50	3.9	4.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Managers of national employee or employer organizations	6	12	0.9	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	55	4.3	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q22_2] Which decision-maker group comes second as far as corruption and misuse are concerned?

Question

Which decision-maker group comes second as far as corruption and misuse are concerned?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Managers of private business enterprises	1	227	17.5	18.9
Top-level state officials	2	319	24.7	26.6
Top-level local officials	3	263	20.3	22.0
National-level politicians (MPs, ministers)	4	263	20.3	22.0
Local-level politicians (members of munic. committees)	5	95	7.3	7.9
Managers of national employee or employer organizations	6	31	2.4	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	96	7.4	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q22_3] In which decision-maker group there is least corruption and misuse?

Question

In which decision-maker group there is least corruption and misuse?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Managers of private business enterprises	1	150	11.6	12.7
Top-level state officials	2	68	5.3	5.8
Top-level local officials	3	73	5.6	6.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
National-level politicians (MPs, ministers)	4	89	6.9	7.6
Local-level politicians (members of munic. committees)	5	378	29.2	32.1
Managers of national employee or employer organizations	6	419	32.4	35.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	117	9.0	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q23_1] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Finnish Employers' Confederation (STK)?

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Finnish Employers' Confederation (STK)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1282	99.1	99.1
Mentioned	1	12	0.9	0.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q23_2] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Employers' Confederation of Service Industries (LKT)?

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Employers' Confederation of Service Industries (LKT)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1271	98.2	98.2
Mentioned	1	23	1.8	1.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q23_3] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK)?

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	996	77.0	77.0
Mentioned	1	298	23.0	23.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q23_4] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Confederation of Salaried Employees in Finland (TVK)?

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Confederation of Salaried Employees in Finland (TVK)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1149	88.8	88.8
Mentioned	1	145	11.2	11.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q23_5] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals in Finland (AKAVA)?

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals in Finland (AKAVA)?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1214	93.8	93.8
Mentioned	1	80	6.2	6.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q23_6] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees (STTK)?

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees (STTK)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1250	96.6	96.6
Mentioned	1	44	3.4	3.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q23_7] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Central Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners (MTK)?

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Central Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners (MTK)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1220	94.3	94.3
Mentioned	1	74	5.7	5.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q23_8] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of ..: Can't say

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of ...: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1020	78.8	78.8
Mentioned	1	274	21.2	21.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q24] Are you a member of any political party, or a member of women's/youth organisation of any party?

Question

Are you a member of any political party, or a member of women's/youth organisation of any party?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	207	16.0	16.1
No	2	1067	82.5	83.2
Can't say	3	9	0.7	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	11	0.9	—
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q25] Respondent's occupational status (if retired, former occupational status)

Question

Respondent's occupational status (if retired, former occupational status)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer	1	119	9.2	9.2
Unskilled worker	2	205	15.8	15.8
Skilled worker	3	346	26.7	26.7
Intermediate level or professional employee	4	373	28.8	28.8
Managerial position	5	102	7.9	7.9
Student	6	89	6.9	6.9
Housewife	7	60	4.6	4.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q26] Occupational status of the head of the household (if retired, former occupational status)

Question

Occupational status of the head of the household (if retired, former occupational status)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer	1	138	10.7	10.7
Unskilled worker	2	117	9.0	9.0
Skilled worker	3	477	36.9	36.9
Intermediate level or professional employee	4	365	28.2	28.2
Managerial position	5	170	13.1	13.1
Student	6	27	2.1	2.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q27] Employment sector of the head of household

Question

Employment sector of the head of household

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agriculture, forestry, fishing	1	142	11.0	11.1
Mining or other extractive industry	2	7	0.5	0.5
Manufacturing	3	291	22.5	22.8
Electricity, gas and water supply	4	20	1.5	1.6
Construction	5	96	7.4	7.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Trade, hotels, restaurants	6	151	11.7	11.8
Transport, storage and communication	7	71	5.5	5.6
Financial intermediation, insurance, real estate	8	37	2.9	2.9
Community, social and personal services	9	237	18.3	18.5
Retired	10	194	15.0	15.2
Student	11	26	2.0	2.0
Unemployed	12	7	0.5	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	15	1.2	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q28] Respondent's marital status**Question***Respondent's marital status***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Single	1	257	19.9	19.9
Cohabiting	2	70	5.4	5.4
Married	3	807	62.4	62.4
Divorced	4	64	4.9	4.9
Widow	5	96	7.4	7.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q29] Respondent's basic education**Question***Respondent's basic education***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Incomplete primary education or less	1	93	7.2	7.2
Primary education or incomplete lower secondary education	2	686	53.0	53.0
Lower secondary or incomplete upper secondary education	3	289	22.3	22.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Upper secondary education (matriculation examination)	4	225	17.4	17.4
Other	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q30] Respondent's vocational or other education

Question

Respondent's vocational or other education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
None or missing value	0	0	0.0	0.0
Short vocational course	1	128	9.9	18.4
Vocational school or equivalent (upper secondary level)	2	229	17.7	33.0
Vocational school or vocational courses	3	31	2.4	4.5
College-level vocational education	4	185	14.3	26.7
Technical college, polytechnic	5	21	1.6	3.0
University	6	78	6.0	11.2
Incomplete university studies	7	22	1.7	3.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	600	46.4	—
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q31_1] Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: No

Question

Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: No

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	268	20.7	20.7
Mentioned	1	1026	79.3	79.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q31_2] Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Yes, myself

Question

Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Yes, myself

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1155	89.3	89.3
Mentioned	1	139	10.7	10.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q31_3] Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Other family member

Question

Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Other family member

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1171	90.5	90.5
Mentioned	1	123	9.5	9.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q31_4] Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Can't say

Question

Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Can't say

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1279	98.8	98.8
Mentioned	1	15	1.2	1.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q32] Respondent's mother tongue

Question

Respondent's mother tongue

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	1	1200	92.7	92.7
Swedish	2	92	7.1	7.1
Other	3	2	0.2	0.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q33] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Female	1	690	53.3	53.3
Male	2	604	46.7	46.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q34_1] Monthly gross income of the household in Finnish marks

Question

Monthly gross income of the household in Finnish marks

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
No answer, missing value	0	0	0.0	0.0
< 2.000 marks	1	75	5.8	7.7
2.001 - 4.000 marks	2	252	19.5	25.9
4.001 - 6.000 marks	3	234	18.1	24.0
6.001 - 8.000 marks	4	187	14.5	19.2
8.001 - 10.000 marks	5	124	9.6	12.7
10.001 - 15.000 marks	6	81	6.3	8.3
> 15.000 marks	7	20	1.5	2.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	321	24.8	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q34_2] Annual gross income of the household in Finnish marks

Question

Annual gross income of the household in Finnish marks

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
No answer, missing value	0	0	0.0	0.0
< 24.000 marks	1	25	1.9	11.3
24.001 - 48.000 marks	2	51	3.9	23.1
48.001 - 72.000 marks	3	59	4.6	26.7
72.001 - 96.000 marks	4	38	2.9	17.2
96.001 - 120.000 marks	5	25	1.9	11.3
120.001 - 180.000 marks	6	20	1.5	9.0
> 180.000 marks	7	3	0.2	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1073	82.9	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q34_3] Income response choice

Question

Income response choice

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Replied according to card A (monthly income)	1	966	74.7	81.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Replied according to card B (annual income)	2	227	17.5	19.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	101	7.8	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q35_1] Respondent's age

Question

Respondent's age

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Under 20 years	1	53	4.1	4.1
20 - 24 years	2	134	10.4	10.4
25 - 29 years	3	163	12.6	12.6
30 - 34 years	4	180	13.9	13.9
35 - 39 years	5	133	10.3	10.3
40 - 49 years	6	190	14.7	14.7
50 - 64 years	7	253	19.6	19.6
Over 65 years	8	188	14.5	14.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

[Q35_2] Respondent's year of birth

Question

Respondent's year of birth

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1294
minimum	1894.00
maximum	1966.00
mean	1939.14
standard deviation	17.14

[Q36] Respondent's electoral district**Question***Respondent's electoral district***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
City of Helsinki	1	138	10.7	10.7
Province of Uusimaa	2	174	13.4	13.4
Province of Turku/southern	3	113	8.7	8.7
Province of Turku/northern	4	119	9.2	9.2
Province of Häme/southern	5	112	8.7	8.7
Province of Häme/northern	6	67	5.2	5.2
Province of Kymi	7	83	6.4	6.4
Province of Mikkeli	8	56	4.3	4.3
Province of Pohjois-Karjala	9	39	3.0	3.0
Province of Kuopio	10	67	5.2	5.2
Province of Vaasa	11	68	5.3	5.3
Province of Keski-Suomi	12	122	9.4	9.4
Province of Oulu	13	102	7.9	7.9
Province of Lappi	14	34	2.6	2.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

[KUNTA] Respondent's place of residence**Question***Respondent's place of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki	1	141	10.9	10.9
Espoo	2	63	4.9	4.9
Vantaa	3	39	3.0	3.0
Kauniainen	4	3	0.2	0.2
Turku	5	49	3.8	3.8
Tampere	6	49	3.8	3.8
Lahti, Oulu, Pori, Kuopio	7	86	6.6	6.6
Other town	8	404	31.2	31.2
Rural municipality	9	460	35.5	35.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1294	100.0	100.0

[KUNTAT_1] Population development/migration in the respondent's municipality of residence

Question

Population development/migration in the respondent's municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Positive net migration (increasing population)	1	776	60.0	60.0
Negative net migration (diminishing population)	2	518	40.0	40.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

[KUNTAT_2] Population size in the respondent's municipality of residence

Question

Population size in the respondent's municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Greater Helsinki area	1	243	18.8	18.8
> 80.000 inhabitants	2	155	12.0	12.0
30.000 - 80.000 inhabitants	3	163	12.6	12.6
10.000 - 30.000 inhabitants	4	342	26.4	26.4
< 10.000 inhabitants	5	391	30.2	30.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

[KUNTAT_3] Economic structure of the respondent's municipality of residence

Question

Economic structure of the respondent's municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agriculture is the main sector	1	119	9.2	9.2
Manufacturing is the main sector	2	155	12.0	12.0
Services are the main sector	3	1020	78.8	78.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

[KUNTAT_4] Ruling political power in the respondent's municipality of residence

Question

Ruling political power in the respondent's municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Socialist	1	340	26.3	26.3
Non-socialist	2	953	73.6	73.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[P11Q1] If Finnish parliamentary elections were held now, how likely is it that you would vote?

Question

If Finnish parliamentary elections were held now, how likely is it that you would vote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I would certainly vote	1	969	74.9	79.0
I would probably vote	2	200	15.5	16.3
I would probably not vote	3	22	1.7	1.8
I would certainly not vote	4	16	1.2	1.3
Can't say	5	19	1.5	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	68	5.3	–
		1294	100.0	100.0

[P11Q2] If Finnish parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which party would you vote for?

Question

If Finnish parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which party would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KOK	1	271	20.9	22.2
KES	2	197	15.2	16.1
LKP	3	11	0.9	0.9
POP	4	5	0.4	0.4
RKP	5	60	4.6	4.9
SKDL	6	140	10.8	11.5
SKYP	7	2	0.2	0.2
SKL	8	21	1.6	1.7
SMP	9	23	1.8	1.9
SDP	10	326	25.2	26.7
Would not vote	11	20	1.5	1.6
Cannot/do not want to say	12	144	11.1	11.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	74	5.7	—
		1294	100.0	100.0

[P11Q3] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KOK	1	136	10.5	11.2
KES	2	185	14.3	15.3
LKP	3	76	5.9	6.3
POP	4	10	0.8	0.8
RKP	5	55	4.3	4.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
SKDL	6	97	7.5	8.0
SKYP	7	4	0.3	0.3
SKL	8	58	4.5	4.8
SMP	9	36	2.8	3.0
SDP	10	185	14.3	15.3
Do not have a second preference	11	149	11.5	12.3
Cannot/do not want to say	12	220	17.0	18.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	83	6.4	—
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_1] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
KOK**

Question

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1084	83.8	83.8
Mentioned	1	210	16.2	16.2
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_2] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
KESK**

Question

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1246	96.3	96.3
Mentioned	1	48	3.7	3.7
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_3] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
LKP****Question**

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1258	97.2	97.2
Mentioned	1	36	2.8	2.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_4] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
POP****Question**

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: POP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1183	91.4	91.4
Mentioned	1	111	8.6	8.6
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_5] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
RKP****Question**

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1242	96.0	96.0
Mentioned	1	52	4.0	4.0
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_6] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
SKDL**

Question

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SKDL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	847	65.5	65.5
Mentioned	1	447	34.5	34.5
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_7] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
SKYP**

Question

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SKYP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1219	94.2	94.2
Mentioned	1	75	5.8	5.8
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_8] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
SKL**

Question

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1198	92.6	92.6
Mentioned	1	96	7.4	7.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_9] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
SMP**

Question

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1163	89.9	89.9
Mentioned	1	131	10.1	10.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_10] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
SDP**

Question

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1241	95.9	95.9
Mentioned	1	53	4.1	4.1
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_11] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
There is no such party**

Question

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: There is no such party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1257	97.1	97.1
Mentioned	1	37	2.9	2.9
		1294	100.0	100.0

**[P11Q4_12] Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances:
Cannot/do not want to say**

Question

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: Cannot/do not want to say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1147	88.6	88.6
Mentioned	1	147	11.4	11.4
		1294	100.0	100.0

[P11Q5] The elector candidate of which party did you vote for in the indirect presidential election this year?

Question

The elector candidate of which party did you vote for in the indirect presidential election this year?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KOK	1	197	15.2	16.5
KES	2	172	13.3	14.4
LKP	3	22	1.7	1.8
POP	4	3	0.2	0.3
RKP	5	53	4.1	4.4
SKDL	6	123	9.5	10.3
SKYP	7	0	0.0	0.0
SKL	8	14	1.1	1.2
SMP	9	19	1.5	1.6
SDP	10	420	32.5	35.2
Did not vote	11	92	7.1	7.7
Can't remember	12	78	6.0	6.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	101	7.8	—
		1294	100.0	100.0

[P11Q6] Which party did you vote for in the 1980 municipal (local) elections?

Question

Which party did you vote for in the 1980 municipal (local) elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KOK	1	219	16.9	18.1
KES	2	177	13.7	14.7
LKP	3	24	1.9	2.0
POP	4	3	0.2	0.2
RKP	5	51	3.9	4.2
SKDL	6	148	11.4	12.3
SKYP	7	0	0.0	0.0
SKL	8	23	1.8	1.9
SMP	9	18	1.4	1.5
SDP	10	259	20.0	21.4
Did not vote	11	133	10.3	11.0
Can't remember	12	76	5.9	6.3
Cannot/do not want to say	13	77	6.0	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	86	6.6	—
		1294	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
What are you most worried about at present: Own employment situation [Q1_1]	6
What are you most worried about at present: General employment situation in Finland [Q1_2]	6
What are you most worried about at present: Livelihood [Q1_3]	7
What are you most worried about at present: Conditions for the children and young people [Q1_4]	7
What are you most worried about at present: Threat of war [Q1_5]	7
What are you most worried about at present: Health [Q1_6]	8
What are you most worried about at present: Educational problems [Q1_7]	8
What are you most worried about at present: Pollution [Q1_8]	8
What are you most worried about at present: Inflation, deflation [Q1_9]	9
What are you most worried about at present: Famine [Q1_10]	9
What are you most worried about at present: Situation in the world on the whole [Q1_11] ...	9
What are you most worried about at present: Hobbies [Q1_12]	10
What are you most worried about at present: Safety and security [Q1_13]	10
What are you most worried about at present: Finnish politics [Q1_14]	10
What are you most worried about at present: Inequality [Q1_15]	11
What are you most worried about at present: Housing [Q1_16]	11
What are you most worried about at present: Moral issues [Q1_17]	11
What are you most worried about at present: Problems of the elderly [Q1_18]	12

3. Indexes

What are you most worried about at present: Social welfare [Q1_19]	12
What are you most worried about at present: Tax burden [Q1_20]	12
What are you most worried about at present: Finland's economic situation [Q1_21]	13
What are you most worried about at present: Human relationships [Q1_22]	13
What are you most worried about at present: Other [Q1_23]	13
What are you most worried about at present: Nothing in particular [Q1_24]	14
Do you think that your own or your family's financial situation will improve over the next 12 months? [Q2]	14
Do you think Finland's employment situation will improve over the next 12 months? [Q3] ..	15
Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (first choice) [Q4_1] ...	15
Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (second choice) [Q4_2]	16
Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (third choice) [Q4_3] ..	16
Finland's veteran president Urho Kekkonen resigned from office a year ago. Have there been any changes in Finland's politics and political life after that? [Q5_1]	17
If there have been changes in politics and political life, do you think the changes are.. [Q5_2]	17
Are you satisfied with the performance of the present government (prime minister Kalevi Sorsa)? [Q6]	18
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Foreign policy [Q7_1]	18
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Foreign trade policy [Q7_2]	18
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Taxation [Q7_3]	19
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Employment [Q7_4]	19
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Fighting price increases [Q7_5]	20
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Agricultural issues [Q7_6]	20
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Social security [Q7_7]	21
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Education and schools [Q7_8]	21
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Energy issues [Q7_9]	21
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Environmental and nature protection issues [Q7_10]	22

Respondent's own interest in politics [Q8]	22
Sometimes politics seem so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what's going on [Q9_1]	23
Generally speaking, those elected to parliament soon lose touch with voters [Q9_2]	23
Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions [Q9_3]	23
Even if someone disagreed with the content of a law, everyone should obey laws passed by Parliament [Q9_4]	24
One can generally trust the government to make the right decisions [Q9_5]	24
There is at least one party that always tries to promote the interests of people like me [Q9_6]	25
By voting people can really have a say about how things are run in this country [Q9_7]	25
Through parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making [Q9_8]	26
Parties seek to please everybody, and therefore do not try to tackle the really important problems facing the country [Q9_9]	26
In Finland, party politics are taken into account even when dealing with issues where politics should be of no importance [Q9_10]	27
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: KOK [Q10_1]	27
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: KESK [Q10_2]	28
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: LKP [Q10_3]	28
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: POP [Q10_4]	29
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: RKP [Q10_5]	29
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKDL [Q10_6]	30
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKL [Q10_7]	30
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKYP [Q10_8]	31
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SMP [Q10_9]	31
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SDP [Q10_10]	32
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place yourself? [Q11]	32
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK [Q12A_1]	33

3. Indexes

Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KESK [Q12A_2]	33
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: LKP [Q12A_3]	34
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: RKP [Q12A_4]	34
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKDL [Q12A_5]	34
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKL [Q12A_6]	35
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SMP [Q12A_7]	35
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP [Q12A_8]	35
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: KOK [Q12B_1]	36
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: KESK [Q12B_2]	36
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: LKP [Q12B_3]	36
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: RKP [Q12B_4]	37
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SKDL [Q12B_5]	37
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SKL [Q12B_6]	37
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SMP [Q12B_7]	38
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SDP [Q12B_8]	38
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KOK [Q12C_1]	38
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KESK [Q12C_2]	39
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: LKP [Q12C_3]	39
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: RKP [Q12C_4]	39
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKDL [Q12C_5]	40
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKL [Q12C_6]	40
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SMP [Q12C_7]	40
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SDP [Q12C_8]	41
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: KOK [Q12D_1]	41
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: KESK [Q12D_2]	41
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: LKP [Q12D_3]	42
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: RKP [Q12D_4]	42
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SKDL [Q12D_5]	42
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SKL [Q12D_6]	43
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SMP [Q12D_7]	43
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SDP [Q12D_8]	43
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: KOK [Q12E_1]	44
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: KESK [Q12E_2]	44

Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: LKP [Q12E_3]	44
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: RKP [Q12E_4]	45
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SKDL [Q12E_5]	45
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SKL [Q12E_6]	45
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SMP [Q12E_7]	46
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SDP [Q12E_8]	46
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: KOK [Q12F_1]	46
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: KESK [Q12F_2]	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: LKP [Q12F_3]	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: RKP [Q12F_4]	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SKDL [Q12F_5]	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SKL [Q12F_6]	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SMP [Q12F_7]	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SDP [Q12F_8]	49
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: KOK [Q12G_1]	49
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: KESK [Q12G_2]	49
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: LKP [Q12G_3]	50
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: RKP [Q12G_4]	50
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SKDL [Q12G_5]	50
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SKL [Q12G_6]	51
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SMP [Q12G_7]	51
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SDP [Q12G_8]	51
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: KOK [Q12H_1]	52
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: KESK [Q12H_2]	52
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: LKP [Q12H_3]	52
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: RKP [Q12H_4]	53
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SKDL [Q12H_5]	53
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SKL [Q12H_6]	53
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SMP [Q12H_7]	54
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SDP [Q12H_8]	54
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: KOK [Q12I_1]	54
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: KESK [Q12I_2]	55
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: LKP [Q12I_3]	55
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: RKP [Q12I_4]	55

3. Indexes

Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SKDL [Q12I_5]	56
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SKL [Q12I_6]	56
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SMP [Q12I_7]	56
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SDP [Q12I_8]	57
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: KOK [Q12J_1]	57
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: KESK [Q12J_2]	57
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: LKP [Q12J_3]	58
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: RKP [Q12J_4]	58
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SKDL [Q12J_5]	58
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SKL [Q12J_6]	59
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SMP [Q12J_7]	59
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SDP [Q12J_8]	59
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: KOK [Q12K_1]	60
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: KESK [Q12K_2]	60
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: LKP [Q12K_3]	60
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: RKP [Q12K_4]	61
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SKDL [Q12K_5]	61
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SKL [Q12K_6]	61
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SMP [Q12K_7]	62
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SDP [Q12K_8]	62
Which party manages foreign policy best? [Q12_A1]	62
Which party is next best able to manage foreign policy? [Q12_A2]	63
Finland held an indirect presidential election in January 1982, and an electoral college was elected. Do you remember which party you voted for? [Q13]	63
If the Finnish parliamentary elections were held in near future, would you vote again for the same party you voted in the presidential election? [Q14]	64
Why would you not vote for the same party: What mattered in the presidential election was the person, not the party [Q15_1]	64
Why would you not vote for the same party: Party is not reliable [Q15_2]	65

Why would you not vote for the same party: Changed my mind [Q15_3]	65
Why would you not vote for the same party: Has not kept its promises [Q15_4]	65
Why would you not vote for the same party: Party does not exist any more [Q15_5]	66
Why would you not vote for the same party: Time factor [Q15_6]	66
Why would you not vote for the same party: Would vote for the Greens [Q15_7]	66
Why would you not vote for the same party: Other reason [Q15_8]	67
Why would you not vote for the same party: Does not vote [Q15_9]	67
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: KOK [Q16_1]	67
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: KESK [Q16_2]	68
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: LKP [Q16_3]	68
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: RKP [Q16_4]	69
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SKDL [Q16_5]	69
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SKL [Q16_6]	69
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SMP [Q16_7]	70
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SDP [Q16_8]	70
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: KOK [Q17A_1]	71
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: KESK [Q17A_2]	71
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: LKP [Q17A_3]	72
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: RKP [Q17A_4]	72
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SKDL [Q17A_5]	72
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SKL [Q17A_6]	73
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SMP [Q17A_7]	73
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland: SDP [Q17A_8]	73

3. Indexes

The new prime minister should be a member of ... [Q17B]	74
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Housing [Q18A_1]	74
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Creating jobs for the unemployed [Q18A_2]	75
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Science and research [Q18A_3]	75
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Arts and culture [Q18A_4]	75
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Nature and environmental protection [Q18A_5]	76
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Increasing the daycare of children [Q18A_6]	76
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Defence [Q18A_7]	76
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Health care [Q18A_8]	77
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Support for the elderly [Q18A_9]	77
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Education system [Q18A_10]	77
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Development aid to foreign countries [Q18A_11]	78
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas [Q18A_12]	78
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Housing [Q18B_1] ...	78
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Creating jobs for the unemployed [Q18B_2]	79
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Science and research [Q18B_3]	79
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Arts and culture [Q18B_4]	79
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Nature and environmental protection [Q18B_5]	80
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Increasing the daycare of children [Q18B_6]	80
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Defence [Q18B_7] ...	80
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Health care [Q18B_8]	81
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Support for the elderly [Q18B_9]	81
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Education system [Q18B_10]	

81

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Development aid to foreign countries [Q18B_11]	82
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas [Q18B_12]	82
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Housing [Q18C_1]	82
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Creating jobs for the unemployed [Q18C_2]	83
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Science and research [Q18C_3]	83
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Arts and culture [Q18C_4]	83
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Nature and environmental protection [Q18C_5]	84
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Increasing the daycare of children [Q18C_6]	84
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Defence [Q18C_7]	84
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Health care [Q18C_8]	85
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Support for the elderly [Q18C_9]	85
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Educational system [Q18C_10]	85
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Development aid to foreign countries [Q18C_11]	86
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas [Q18C_12]	86
Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it carried out a strong advertising campaign presenting its actions and goals [Q19_1]	87
Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it emphasized issues important to people like you in its election agenda [Q19_2]	87
Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if opposed the same things as you [Q19_3]	88
Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it announced clearly that it aims to be in the government after the elections [Q19_4]	88
Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it refrained from making excessive promises during election campaign [Q19_5]	89
Long period outside the coalition government has not influenced public attitude towards the	

3. Indexes

National Coalition Party (KOK) [Q20_1]	89
People feel that the National Coalition Party has been discriminated against [Q20_2]	90
People consider it useless to vote for the National Coalition Party as it is not included in the government [Q20_3]	90
After the next parliamentary elections, the National Coalition Party will have more chance than before of being included in the coalition government [Q20_4]	91
The fact that the National Coalition Party has been outside the government has increased its support [Q20_5]	91
Do you think that economic corruption and bribery have increased or decreased among Finnish decision-makers? [Q21]	92
In which decision-maker group there is most corruption and misuse? [Q22_1]	92
Which decision-maker group comes second as far as corruption and misuse are concerned? [Q22_2]	93
In which decision-maker group there is least corruption and misuse? [Q22_3]	93
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Finnish Employers' Confederation (STK)? [Q23_1]	94
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Employers' Confederation of Service Industries (LKT)? [Q23_2]	94
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK)? [Q23_3]	95
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Confederation of Salaried Employees in Finland (TVK)? [Q23_4]	95
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals in Finland (AKAVA)? [Q23_5] ..	95
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees (STTK)? [Q23_6]	96
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Central Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners (MTK)? [Q23_7]	96
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of ...: Can't say [Q23_8]	97
Are you a member of any political party, or a member of women's/youth organisation of any party? [Q24]	97
Respondent's occupational status (if retired, former occupational status) [Q25]	97
Occupational status of the head of the household (if retired, former occupational status) [Q26]	98
Employment sector of the head of household [Q27]	98
Respondent's marital status [Q28]	99
Respondent's basic education [Q29]	99
Respondent's vocational or other education [Q30]	100

Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: No [Q31_1]	100
Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Yes, myself [Q31_2]	101
Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Other family member [Q31_3]	101
Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Can't say [Q31_4]	101
Respondent's mother tongue [Q32]	102
Respondent's gender [Q33]	102
Monthly gross income of the household in Finnish marks [Q34_1]	102
Annual gross income of the household in Finnish marks [Q34_2]	103
Income response choice [Q34_3]	103
Respondent's age [Q35_1]	104
Respondent's year of birth [Q35_2]	104
Respondent's electoral district [Q36]	105
Respondent's place of residence [KUNTA]	105
Population development/migration in the respondent's municipality of residence [KUNTAT_1]	106
Population size in the respondent's municipality of residence [KUNTAT_2]	106
Economic structure of the respondent's municipality of residence [KUNTAT_3]	106
Ruling political power in the respondent's municipality of residence [KUNTAT_4]	107
If Finnish parliamentary elections were held now, how likely is it that you would vote? [P11Q1]	107
If Finnish parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which party would you vote for? [P11Q2]	108
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference? [P11Q3]	108
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: KOK [P11Q4_1]	109
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: KESK [P11Q4_2]	109
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: LKP [P11Q4_3]	110
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: POP [P11Q4_4]	110
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: RKP [P11Q4_5]	110
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SKDL [P11Q4_6]	111
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SKYP [P11Q4_7]	111
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SKL [P11Q4_8]	111
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SMP [P11Q4_9]	112

3. Indexes

Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SDP [P11Q4_10]	112
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: There is no such party [P11Q4_11] 112	
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: Cannot/do not want to say [P11Q4_12]	113
The elector candidate of which party did you vote for in the indirect presidential election this year? [P11Q5]	113
Which party did you vote for in the 1980 municipal (local) elections? [P11Q6]	114

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

After the next parliamentary elections, the National Coalition Party will have more chance than before of being included in the coalition government	91
Annual gross income of the household in Finnish marks	103
Are you a member of any political party, or a member of women's/youth organisation of any party?	97
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of ...: Can't say	97
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK)?	95
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Central Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners (MTK)?	96
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Confederation of Salaried Employees in Finland (TVK)?	95
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals in Finland (AKAVA)?	95
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Employers' Confederation of Service Industries (LKT)?	94
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees (STTK)?	96
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of the Finnish Employers' Confederation (STK)?	94
Are you satisfied with the performance of the present government (prime minister Kalevi Sorsa)?	18
By voting people can really have a say about how things are run in this country	25
Do you think Finland's employment situation will improve over the next 12 months?	15
Do you think that economic corruption and bribery have increased or decreased among Finnish decision-makers?	92
Do you think that your own or your family's financial situation will improve over the next 12 months?	14
Economic structure of the respondent's municipality of residence	106
Employment sector of the head of household	98
Even if someone disagreed with the content of a law, everyone should obey laws passed by Parliament	24
Finland held an indirect presidential election in January 1982, and an electoral college was elected. Do you remember which party you voted for?	63
Finland's veteran president Urho Kekkonen resigned from office a year ago. Have there been any changes in Finland's politics and political life after that?	17

3. Indexes

FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
Generally speaking, those elected to parliament soon lose touch with voters	23
Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Can't say	101
Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: No	100
Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Other family member	101
Have you or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month during the past two years: Yes, myself	101
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: KESK ...	68
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: KOK	67
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: LKP	68
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: RKP	69
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SDP	70
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SKDL ...	69
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SKL	69
How necessary is it that this party is included in the future coalition government: SMP	70
If Finnish parliamentary elections were held now, how likely is it that you would vote? ...	107
If Finnish parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which party would you vote for?	108
If the Finnish parliamentary elections were held in near future, would you vote again for the same party you voted in the presidential election?	64
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference?	108
If there have been changes in politics and political life, do you think the changes are..	17
In Finland, party politics are taken into account even when dealing with issues where politics should be of no importance	27
In which decision-maker group there is least corruption and misuse?	93
In which decision-maker group there is most corruption and misuse?	92
Income response choice	103
Long period outside the coalition government has not influenced public attitude towards the National Coalition Party (KOK)	89
Monthly gross income of the household in Finnish marks	102
Occupational status of the head of the household (if retired, former occupational status) ...	98

On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: KESK	28
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: KOK	27
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: LKP	28
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: POP	29
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: RKP	29
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SDP	32
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKDL	30
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKL	30
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SKYP	31
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place this party: SMP	31
On a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 is extreme political left and 9 extreme political right, where would you place yourself?	32
One can generally trust the government to make the right decisions	24
Parties seek to please everybody, and therefore do not try to tackle the really important problems facing the country	26
People consider it useless to vote for the National Coalition Party as it is not included in the government	90
People feel that the National Coalition Party has been discriminated against	90
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: KESK	52
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: KOK	52
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: LKP	52
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: RKP	53
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SDP	54
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SKDL	53
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SKL	53
Perceptions of political parties. Able to rationally justify its policies: SMP	54
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: KESK	57
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: KOK	57

3. Indexes

Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: LKP	58
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: RKP	58
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SDP	59
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SKDL	58
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SKL	59
Perceptions of political parties. Close to ordinary citizens: SMP	59
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: KESK	41
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: KOK	41
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: LKP	42
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: RKP	42
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SDP	43
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SKDL	42
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SKL	43
Perceptions of political parties. Competent leaders: SMP	43
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: KESK	60
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: KOK	60
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: LKP	60
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: RKP	61
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SDP	62
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SKDL	61
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SKL	61
Perceptions of political parties. Democratic in its internal decision-making processes: SMP	62
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: KESK	44
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: KOK	44
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: LKP	44
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: RKP	45
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SDP	46
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SKDL	45
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SKL	45
Perceptions of political parties. Efficient and effective in its actions: SMP	46
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: KESK	55
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: KOK	54
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: LKP	55
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: RKP	55

Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SDP	57
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SKDL	56
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SKL	56
Perceptions of political parties. Has a clear ideology: SMP	56
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: KESK	49
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: KOK	49
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: LKP	50
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: RKP	50
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SDP	51
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SKDL	50
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SKL	51
Perceptions of political parties. Moderate: SMP	51
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: KESK	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: KOK	46
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: LKP	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: RKP	47
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SDP	49
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SKDL	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SKL	48
Perceptions of political parties. Promotes the interests of all Finns: SMP	48
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: KESK	36
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: KOK	36
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: LKP	36
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: RKP	37
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SDP	38
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SKDL	37
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SKL	37
Perceptions of political parties. Reforms the society: SMP	38
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KESK	39
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: KOK	38
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: LKP	39
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: RKP	39
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SDP	41
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKDL	40

3. Indexes

Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SKL	40
Perceptions of political parties. Reliable: SMP	40
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KESK	33
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK	33
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: LKP	34
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: RKP	34
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP	35
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKDL	34
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SKL	35
Perceptions of political parties. Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SMP	35
Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions	23
Population development/migration in the respondent's municipality of residence	106
Population size in the respondent's municipality of residence	106
Respondent's age	104
Respondent's basic education	99
Respondent's electoral district	105
Respondent's gender	102
Respondent's marital status	99
Respondent's mother tongue	102
Respondent's occupational status (if retired, former occupational status)	97
Respondent's own interest in politics	22
Respondent's place of residence	105
Respondent's vocational or other education	100
Respondent's year of birth	104
Ruling political power in the respondent's municipality of residence	107
Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (first choice)	15
Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (second choice)	16
Select three reforms you think are most urgently needed in Finland (third choice)	16
Sometimes politics seem so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what's going on	23
The elector candidate of which party did you vote for in the indirect presidential election this year?	113
The fact that the National Coalition Party has been outside the government has increased its support	91
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:	

KESK	71
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:	
KOK	71
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:	
LKP	72
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:	
RKP	72
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:	
SDP	73
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:	
SKDL	72
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:	
SKL	73
The government composed of which parties would be best able to manage things in Finland:	
SMP	73
The new prime minister should be a member of	74
There is at least one party that always tries to promote the interests of people like me	25
Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it announced clearly that it aims to be in the government after the elections	88
Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it carried out a strong advertising campaign presenting its actions and goals	87
Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it emphasized issues important to people like you in its election agenda	87
Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it refrained from making excessive promises during election campaign	89
Thinking about parties you might vote, would you be encouraged to vote for a party if it opposed the same things as you	88
Through parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making	26
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Arts and culture	83
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Creating jobs for the unemployed	83
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Defence	84
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Development aid to foreign countries	86
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas	86
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Educational system	85

3. Indexes

Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Health care .	85
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Housing	82
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Increasing the daycare of children	84
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Nature and environmental protection	84
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Science and research	83
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased even if it meant higher taxes: Support for the elderly	85
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Arts and culture . . .	75
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Creating jobs for the unemployed	75
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Defence	76
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Development aid to foreign countries	78
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas	78
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Education system .	77
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Health care	77
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Housing	74
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Increasing the daycare of children	76
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Nature and environmental protection	76
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Science and research	75
Use of tax revenue. Funding should be increased if taxes are not raised: Support for the elderly	77
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Arts and culture	79
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Creating jobs for the unemployed	79
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Defence	80
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Development aid to foreign countries	82
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Economic support to Finland's under-developed areas	82
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Education system	81

Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Health care	81
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Housing	78
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Increasing the daycare of children	80
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Nature and environmental protection	80
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Science and research ..	79
Use of tax revenue. Not of top priority, funding could be decreased: Support for the elderly	81
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Agricultural issues	20
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Education and schools	21
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Employment	19
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Energy issues	21
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Environmental and nature protection issues	22
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Fighting price increases	20
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Foreign policy	18
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Foreign trade policy	18
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Social security	21
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 signifies strong dissatisfaction and 10 strong satisfaction, how would you rate the government's performance in: Taxation	19
What are you most worried about at present: Conditions for the children and young people ..	7
What are you most worried about at present: Educational problems	8
What are you most worried about at present: Famine	9
What are you most worried about at present: Finland's economic situation	13
What are you most worried about at present: Finnish politics	10
What are you most worried about at present: General employment situation in Finland	6
What are you most worried about at present: Health	8
What are you most worried about at present: Hobbies	10
What are you most worried about at present: Housing	11

3. Indexes

What are you most worried about at present: Human relationships	13
What are you most worried about at present: Inequality	11
What are you most worried about at present: Inflation, deflation	9
What are you most worried about at present: Livelihood	7
What are you most worried about at present: Moral issues	11
What are you most worried about at present: Nothing in particular	14
What are you most worried about at present: Other	13
What are you most worried about at present: Own employment situation	6
What are you most worried about at present: Pollution	8
What are you most worried about at present: Problems of the elderly	12
What are you most worried about at present: Safety and security	10
What are you most worried about at present: Situation in the world on the whole	9
What are you most worried about at present: Social welfare	12
What are you most worried about at present: Tax burden	12
What are you most worried about at present: Threat of war	7
Which decision-maker group comes second as far as corruption and misuse are concerned?	93
Which party did you vote for in the 1980 municipal (local) elections?	114
Which party is next best able to manage foreign policy?	63
Which party manages foreign policy best?	62
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: Cannot/do not want to say .	113
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: KESK	109
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: KOK	109
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: LKP	110
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: POP	110
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: RKP	110
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SDP	112
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SKDL	111
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SKL	111
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SKYP	111
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: SMP	112
Which party you would not vote for under any circumstances: There is no such party	112
Why would you not vote for the same party: Changed my mind	65
Why would you not vote for the same party: Does not vote	67
Why would you not vote for the same party: Has not kept its promises	65

Why would you not vote for the same party: Other reason	67
Why would you not vote for the same party: Party does not exist any more	66
Why would you not vote for the same party: Party is not reliable	65
Why would you not vote for the same party: Time factor	66
Why would you not vote for the same party: What mattered in the presidential election was the person, not the party	64
Why would you not vote for the same party: Would vote for the Greens	66

Appendix A

Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

DEVA	Democratic Alternative
EKA	Pensioners for the People
EKO	Ecological Party
EPV	Ecological Party The Greens
EVY	Party for Pensioners and Green Mutual Responsibility
IPU	Humanity Party
KD	Christian Democrats
KESK Keskustapuolue	Center Party
KESK Suomen Keskusta	Centre Party of Finland
KESK/LKP	Center Party + Liberal Party
KIPU	Ecological Party
KOK	National Coalition Party
KTP	For Peace and Socialism-Communist Worker's Party
KVL	Union for Democracy
LIB	Liberals
LKP	Liberal Party
LLP	Natural Law Party
NAISL	Womens' Movement
NAISP	Womens' Party
NUORS	Progressive Finnish Party
NUSU	Progressive Finnish Party
PKP	Constitutional People's Party
POP	Constitutional Party of Finland
PS	True Finns
REM	Reform Group
RKP	Swedish People's Party in Finland
RSES	Independent Pensioners of Finland
SDP	Social Democratic Party of Finland
SEP	Finnish Pensioners' Party
SKDL	Democratic League of the People of Finland
SKL	Christian League of Finland
SKP	The Communist Party of Finland
SKYP	Unification Party of the Finnish People
SMP	Finnish Rural Party

A. Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

SPK	Finnish Constitutional People's Party
STP	Socialist Workers' Party
SYP	Private Entrepreneurs' Party in Finland
TPSL	Social Democratic Union of Workers and Small Farmers
VAS	Left Alliance
VASL	Left Alliance
VEV	Alternative Union
VIHR Vihreä Liitto	Green League
VIHR Vihreät	The Greens
VSL	Alliance for Free Finland
YVP	Joint Responsibility Party

Appendix B

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1010 Puolueiden ajankohtaistutkimus marraskuu 1982

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1010 Finnish Voter Barometer November 1982

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

1. Onko olemassa jokin tai joitakin asioita, joista Te itse olette nykyisin eniten huolissanne? Mistä? Entä onko mielessänne muita huolenaiheita? Mitä?

2. Entä arvelisitteko Teidän tai perheenne taloudellisen aseman seuraavien 12 kuukauden aikana paranevan huomattavasti, paranevan jonkin verran, pysyvän ennallaan, heikentyvän jonkin verran vai heikentyvän huomattavasti?

- 1 Paranee huomattavasti
2 Paranee jonkin verran
3 Pysyy ennallaan
4 Heikentyy jonkin verran
5 Heikentyy huomattavasti
6 Ei osaa sanoa

3. Arveletteko yleisen työllisyystilanteen Suomessa seuraavien 12 kuukauden aikana paranevan nykyisestään, säilyvän suunnilleen nykyisellään vai huonontuvan nykyisestään?

- 1 Työllisyystilanne paranee
2 Säilyy nykyisellään
3 Huonontuu
4 Ei osaa sanoa

4. Tällä kortilla on esitetty eräitä sellaisia uudistuksia ja asioita, joiden toteuttamisesta on maassamme viime aikoina keskusteltu. Sanokaa niistä ne kolme, jotka mielestänne olisi kiireisimmän maassamme toteutettava?
(KORTTI 4)

	ENSIMMÄINEN MAININTA	TOINEN MAININTA	KOLMAS MAININTA
Työsuhdeturvaa, siis työntekijöiden irtisanomista koskevan lainsäädännön uudistaminen	1	1	1
Viikottaisen työajan lyhentäminen	2	2	2
Kehitysmaiden auttamiseen tarkoitettujen varojen lisääminen	3	3	3
Asuntotuotannon lisääminen	4	4	4
Eläkeiän alentaminen	5	5	5
Ympäristönsuojelun tehostaminen	6	6	6
Maamme puolustusvalmiuden parantaminen	7	7	7
Tuntuvien palkankorotusten toteuttaminen palkkakehityksessä jälkeenjääneille ryhmille	8	8	8
Työntekijöiden vaikutusmahdollisuuksien lisääminen työelämässä	9	9	9

5. Tasavallan presidenttinä toiminut Urho Kekkonen luopui presidentin tehtävistä noin vuosi sitten. Onko mielestänne maamme politiikassa ja poliittisessa elämässä tämän jälkeen tapahtunut merkittäviä muutoksia vai onko tilanne mielestänne säilynyt pääpiirteissään ennallaan?

- 1 On muutoksia
- 2 Ei ole muutoksia
- 3 Ei osaa sanoa

5a (JOS MUUTOKSIA)

Onko tilanne mielestänne kehittynyt pääasiassa myönteiseen vai kielteiseen suuntaan?

- 1 Myönteiseen suuntaan
- 2 Kielteiseen suuntaan
- 3 Ei osaa sanoa

6. Seuraavaksi kysyisin käsitystänne hallituksen toiminnasta. Kun ajattelette nykyistä (Kalevi Sorsan) hallitusta, niin oletteko etupäässä tyytyväinen vai etupäässä tyytymätön sen toimintaan?

- 1 Etupäässä tyytyväinen
- 2 Etupäässä tyytymätön
- 3 Ei osaa sanoa

7. Jos käytetään koulun arvosteluasteikkoa neljästä kymmeneen (4-10), niin minkä arvosanan antaisitte (nykyisen) Kalevi Sorsan hallituksen toiminnalle seuraavissa asioissa?

LUETELLAAN

ARVOSANA

Ulkopolitiikan hoito	_____
Ulkomaankauppapolitiikan hoito	_____
Verotusasioiden hoito	_____
Työllisyyden hoito	_____
Hinnankorotusten hillitseminen	_____
Maatalouspoliittisten kysymysten hoito	_____
Sosiaaliturvan kehittäminen	_____
Koululaitoksen kehittäminen	_____
Energiakysymysten hoito	_____
Ympäristö- ja luonnonsuojelukysymysten hoito	_____

8. SEURAAVAKSI SIIRTYISIN KYSYMÄÄN MIELIPIDETTÄNNE POLITIIKASTA YLEENSÄ. Kuinka paljon politiikka Teitä kiinnostaa? Oletteko hyvin kiinnostunut, jonkin verran kiinnostunut, vain vähän kiinnostunut, vai ei ollenkaan kiinnostunut?

- 1 Hyvin kiinnostunut
- 2 Jonkin verran kiinnostunut
- 3 Vain vähän kiinnostunut
- 4 Ei ollenkaan kiinnostunut
- 5 Ei osaa sanoa

9. Nyt luen Teille joitakin väitteitä, joita ihmisten kuulee esitettävän. Oletteko samaa vai eri mieltä väittämien kanssa? Luettuani väittämän voitte sanoa, oletteko täysin samaa mieltä, samaa mieltä, eri mieltä vai täysin eri mieltä? (KORTTI 9)

LUETELLAAN	TÄYSIN			TÄYSIN EI	
	SAMAA MIELTÄ	SAMAA MIELTÄ	ERI MIELTÄ	ERI MIELTÄ	OSAA SANOA
Joskus politiikka vaikuttaa niin monimutkaiselta, että minunlaiseni ihminen ei oikein ymmärrä, mitä on tekeillä	1	2	3	4	5
Valitsemamme kansanedustajat menettävät yleensä pian kosketuksen äänestäjiin	1	2	3	4	5
Puolueet ovat kiinnostuneita vain ihmisten antamista äänistä eivätkä heidän mieliteistään	1	2	3	4	5
Vaikka joku olisikin eri mieltä lain sisällöstä kaikkien tulisi totella eduskunnassa päätettyä lakia	1	2	3	4	5
Yleensä voi luottaa siihen, että maan hallitus tekee oikeita päätöksiä	1	2	3	4	5
On ainakin yksi puolue, joka aina yrittää ajaa minunlaiseni ihmisen etua	1	2	3	4	5
Äänestämällä voi todella olla mukana päättämässä, kuinka asioita maassa hoidetaan	1	2	3	4	5
Puolueiden kautta kansalaisten mielipiteet tulevat päätöksenteossa huomioonotetuiksi	1	2	3	4	5
Pyrkiessään miellyttämään kaikkia puolueet eivät pyri ratkaisemaan yhteiskunnan tärkeimpiä ongelmia	1	2	3	4	5
Puoluepoliittiset näkökohdat otetaan meillä huomioon usein sellaisissakin asioissa, joissa politiikalla ei tulisi olla merkitystä	1	2	3	4	5

10. (JOS VASTAAJA EI OSAA HELPOSTI SIJOITTAA PUOLUETTA SEURAAVAN KYSYMYKSEN ASTEIKOLLE, MERKITÄÄN RASTI "EI OSAA SANOA" -VAIHTOEHDON KOHDALLE)

Tällä kortilla (KORTTI 10) on esitetty asteikko poliittisesta vasemmistosta poliittiseen oikeistoon. Asteikolla on yhdeksän eri astetta siten, että äärimmäinen vasemmisto on merkitty numerolla 1 ja äärimmäinen oikeisto numerolla 9.

Mikä on Teidän käsityksenne Kansallisesta Kokoomuksesta, mille kohdalle sijoittaisitte sen tällä asteikolla? Entä Keskustapuolueen? Liberaalisen Kansanpuolueen? Perustuslaillisen Oikeistopuolueen? Ruotsalaisen Kansanpuolueen? Suomen Kansan Demokraattisen Liiton, siis SKDL:n? Suomen Kristillisen Liiton? Suomen Kansan Yhtenäisyyden Puolueen, joka äskettäin muutti nimensä Kansalaisvallan Liitoksi? Suomen Maaseudun Puolueen? Sosialidemokraattisen Puolueen?

	NYT	EI OSAA SANOA
KOK	_____	_____
KES	_____	_____
LKP	_____	_____
POP	_____	_____
RKP	_____	_____
SKDL	_____	_____
SKL	_____	_____
SKYP/KANSALAISVALLAN LIITTO	_____	_____
SMP	_____	_____
SDP	_____	_____

11. Entä millä numerolla kuvaisitte tällä hetkellä omia poliittisia mielipiteitänne?

Omat mielipiteet: _____ Ei osaa sanoa: _____

12. Nyt pyytäisin Teitä vertailemaan eräiden ominaisuuksien suhteen toisiinsa Suomen eduskuntapuolueita. Voitte mainita yhden, useamman tai ei yhtään puoluetta. Mihin puolueisiin sopii mielestänne ... (KORTTI 12) (ALOITETAAN YLHÄÄLTÄ, JOS LOMAKKEEN NUMERO ON PARILLINEN JA ALHAALTA, JOS LOMAKKEEN NUMERO ON PARITON)

LUETELLAAN	KOK	KESK	LKP	RKP	SKDL	SKL	SMP	SDP
Ihmiskeskeinen	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Yhteiskuntaa uudistava	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Luotettava	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Pätevät johtajat	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Toiminnassaan tehokas	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Ajaa koko kansan etua	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Maltillinen	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Kannanotot järkevästi perusteltuja	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Aatteellisesti selkeälinjainen	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Lähellä tavallista ihmistä	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Sisäisesti kansanvaltainen	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

13. Maassamme järjestettiin valitsijamiesvaalit viime tammikuussa. Muistatteko mitä puoluetta äänestitte näissä vaaleissa?

- 1 Muistaa
- 2 Muistaa, mutta on epävarma
- 3 Ei muista
- 4 Ei äänestänyt valitsijamiesvaaleissa

14. (JOS MUISTAA) Jos lähiaikoina maassamme järjestettäisiin eduskuntavaalit, niin äänestäisittekö uudelleen tätä samaa puoluetta?

- 1 Varmasti
- 2 Luultavasti
- 3 Luultavasti ei
- 4 Varmasti ei
- 5 Ei osaa sanoa

15. (JOS VARMASTI TAI LUULTAVASTI EI ÄÄNESTÄISI)
Miksi ette äänestäisi samaa puoluetta?

16. Tulevien vaalien jälkeen muodostetaan maahamme uusi hallitus. Sanokaa tämän kortin vaihtoehtoja käyttäen, miten tärkeänä pitäisitte niistä jokaisen osallistumista tulevaan hallitukseen. Siis, miten tärkeänä pitäisitte ...:n osallistumista tulevaan hallitukseen? Entä ...:n? (ALOITETAAN PARITTOMAT LOMAKENUMEROT LUETTELOON ALAPÄÄSTÄ) (KORTTI 16)

	VÄLTÄÄ- MÄTÖNTÄ	TARPEEL- LISTA	TARPEE- TONTA	EN TOIVOISI HALLITUKSEEN	EI OSAA SANOA
KOK	1	2	3	4	5
KESK	1	2	3	4	5
LKP	1	2	3	4	5
RKP	1	2	3	4	5
SKDL	1	2	3	4	5
SKL	1	2	3	4	5
SMP	1	2	3	4	5
SDP	1	2	3	4	5

17. Entä minkä puolueiden muodostaman hallituksen uskoisitte pystyvän hoitamaan maan asiat parhaiten? Sanokaa ne puolueet, joista nykynäkymin voisi koota parhaan hallituksen? Entä mistä puolueesta mielestänne olisi valittava tuleva pääministeri? (KORTTI 17)

	HALLITUKSEEN	PÄÄMINISTERI
KOK	1	1
KESK	2	2
LKP	3	3
RKP	4	4
SKDL	5	5
SKL	6	6
SMP	7	7
SDP	8	8

18. Kysyisin seuraavaksi käsitystänne siitä, miten valtion keräämiä verovaroja pitäisi käyttää. Seuraavassa luettelossa (KORTTI 18) on esitetty eräitä asioita, joihin valtion varoja käytetään.

A. Jos ajatellaan, että kerättävien varojen määrä ei lisääntyisi, siis verotus ei kiristyisi, niin onko näiden joukossa sellaisia asioita, joihin käytettävien varojen määrää olisi lisättävä, vaikka muista kohteista tinkimälläkin? Mainitkaa enintään 3 asiaa.

B. Entä onko tällä kortilla mainittu sellaisia kohteita, joihin käytettävistä varoista voitaisiin mielestänne tinkiä näiden tärkeinä pitämienne asioiden hyväksi vai olisiko tinkiminen suoritettava joistakin muista menoista? Mainitkaa enintään 3 asiaa.

C. Jos ajatellaan, että edellä mainitsemanne, lisävaroja kaipaavat asiat olisivat sellaisia, että niiden parantaminen edellyttäisi verotuksen tuntuvaan kiristymistä myös omalla kohdallanne niin, miten suhtautuisitte asiaan...:n osalta? (MAINITTAAN ENSIMMÄINEN KOHDASSA A. MAINITTU ASIA) Entä...:n osalta? (TOINEN KOHDASSA A. MAINITTU ASIA: JNE KAIKKI KOHDASSA A. PARANNETTAVIKSI MAINITUT ASIAT)

	LISÄTTÄVÄ JOS VEROTUS EI KIRISTY	VOISI TINKIÄ	LISÄTTÄVÄ VAIKKA VEROTUS KIRISTYISIKIN
Asuntomäärärahojen lisääminen	1	1	1
Työpaikkojen luominen työttömille	2	2	2
Tieteen ja tutkimuksen edistäminen	3	3	3
Taiteen ja kulttuurin edistäminen	4	4	4
Luonnon ja ympäristön suojelu	5	5	5
Lasten päivähoitopaikkojen lisääminen	6	6	6
Maanpuolustuksen tehostaminen	7	7	7
Terveysten- ja sairaanhoidon tehostaminen	8	8	8
Eläkeläisten olojen parantaminen	9	9	9
Koululaitoksen kehittäminen	0	0	0
Kehitysapu ulkomaille	X	X	X
Suomen kehitysalueiden taloudellinen tukeminen	R	R	R

19. Luettelen kohta joitakin poliittisten puolueiden toimintatapoja. Jos ajattelette sellaista puoluetta, jonka äänestämistä vaaleissa voisitte harkita niin, miten ne vaikuttaisivat äänestyshalukkuuteenne? Vastatkaa käyttäen tämän kortin vaihtoehtoja. (KORTTI 19)

VAIKUTUS ÄÄNESTYSHALUKKUUTEEN	Lisä- si- västi	Lisä- si- hiu- kan	Ei vai- kuttaisi lain- kaan	Vähen- täi- si- hiu- kan	Vähen- täi- sel- västi	Ei osaa sanoa
Puolue esittelee toimintaansa ja tavoitteitaan voimakkaalla mainonnalla.	1	2	3	4	5	5
Puolue korostaa vaalitavoitteissaan asioita, jotka ovat tärkeitä juuri Teidän kaltaisillenne ihmisille.	1	2	3	4	5	5
Puolue vastustaa toiminnassaan sellaisia asioita, joita Te itsekin vastustatte	1	2	3	4	5	5
Puolue ilmaisee selvästi pyrkivänsä hallitusvastuuseen eduskunta-vaalien jälkeenkin	1	2	3	4	5	5
Puolue ei vaalien alla anna liiallisia vaalilupauksia.	1	2	3	4	5	5
HUOM FSD:llä ei ole käytettävissä alkuperäistä kyselylomaketta, eikä tämän kysymyksen varsinaista muotoilua.						

20. Kokoomuspuolue on viimeksi kuluneiden 16 vuoden aikana ollut hallituksen ulkopuolella. Onko tämä tilanne yleisesti ottaen vaikuttanut siihen, miten ihmiset Kokoomukseen suhtautuvat? Luettelen kohta eräitä väittämiä, sanokaa kunkin kohdalla mielipiteenne tämä kortin vaihtoehtoja käyttäen. (KORTTI 20)

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jonkin verran samaa mieltä	Jonkin verran eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	Ei osaa sanoa
Pitkäaikainen hallituksen ulkopuolellaolo ei ole vaikuttanut yleisön suhtautumiseen Kokoomusta kohtaan	1	2	3	4	5
Kokoomuspuolueen katsotaan tulleen syrjityksi	1	2	3	4	5
Hallituksen ulkopuolella olevana puolueena Kokoomuksen äänestämistä pidetään turhana	1	2	3	4	5
Tulevien eduskuntavaalien jälkeen Kokoomuksen mahdollisuudet osallistua hallitukseen ovat entistä paremmat	1	2	3	4	5
Hallituksen ulkopuolella oleminen on tuonut Kokoomukselle lisäkannatusta	1	2	3	4	5
HUOM FSD:llä ei ole käytettävissä alkuperäistä kyselylomaketta, eikä tämän kysymyksen varsinaista muotoilua.					

21. Viime aikoina on keskusteltu siitä, missä määrin maassamme ilmenee taloudellisia väärinkäytöksiä ja on puhuttu myös lahjonnasta. Millaisen arvellette kehityksen tässä suhteessa maassamme olleen viimeksi kuluneiden 10 vuoden aikana, ovatko taloudelliset väärinkäytökset päättäjien keskuudessa mielestänne määrältään säilyneet ennallaan, lisääntyneet vaiko vähentyneet?

- 1 Lisääntyneet
- 2 Säilyneet ennallaan
- 3 Vähentyneet
- 4 Ei osaa sanoa

22. Tällä kortilla on eräitä yhteiskunnallisia päätöksentekijäryhmiä. Missä näistä ryhmistä uskoisitte väärinkäytöksiä esiintyvän eniten? Entä toiseksi eniten? Entä missä ryhmässä väärinkäytöksiä on vähiten? (KORTTI 22)

	ENITEN	TOISEKSI ENITEN	VÄHITEN
Yksityisten liikeyritysten johtajat	1	1	1
Korkeat <u>valtion</u> virkamiehet	2	2	2
Korkeat <u>kuntien</u> virkamiehet	3	3	3
Koko maan asioista päättävät poliitikot, esim. ministerit, kansanedustajat ja puoluejohtajat	4	4	4
Kunnallisista asioista päättävät poliitikot, esim. kunnanvaltuustojen ja kunnallisten lautakuntien jäsenet	5	5	5
Valtakunnallisten työmarkkinajärjestöjen johtajat	6	6	6

23. Tällä kortilla (KORTTI 23) on lueteltuna eräitä työmarkkina- ja etujärjestöjä. Kuulutteko tällä hetkellä mihinkään sellaiseen ammatilliseen tai muihin yhdistykseen, joka on jonkun tällä kortilla mainitun järjestön jäsen?

- 1 STK Suomen Työnantajien Keskusliitto ry
- 2 LTK Liiketyönantajien Keskusliitto ry
- 3 SAK Suomen Ammattiliittojen Keskusjärjestö ry
- 4 TVK Toimihenkilö- ja Virkamiesjärjestöjen Keskusliitto ry
- 5 AKAVA
- 6 STTK Suomen Teknisten Toimihenkilöjärjestöjen Keskusliitto ry
- 7 MTK Maataloustuottajien Keskusliitto ry
- 8 Ei osaa sanoa

24. Entä oletteko nykyisin jonkun puolueen perusjärjestön tai nais- tai nuorisojärjestön jäsen?

- 1 Kyllä
- 2 Ei
- 3 Ei osaa sanoa

25. Mikä on ammattinne tällä hetkellä? (JOS ELÄKKEELLÄ, MIKÄ ON ENTINEN AMMATTI)

26. Voisitteko vielä sanoa, mikä on Teidän perheenne PÄÄHENKILÖN ammatti tai työn laatu? (JOS ELÄKKEELLÄ, MIKÄ ON ENTINEN AMMATTI)

27. Entä minkä elinkeinon tai toimialan piirissä perheenne PÄÄHENKILÖ työskentelee? (KIRJOITA MAHDOLLISIMMAN TARKASTI)

28. Seuraavaksi kysyisin perhesuhteitanne. Oletteko naimaton, avoliitossa, avioliitossa, eronnut vai leski?

- 1 Naimaton
- 2 Avoliitossa
- 3 Avioliitossa
- 4 Eronnut
- 5 Leski

29. Mikä peruskoulutuksenne?

- 1 Osa kansakoulua tai vähemmän
- 2 Kansa- tai kansalaiskoulu, peruskoulun ala-aste tai osa keskikoulua
- 3 Keskikoulu, peruskoulu, osa lukiota
- 4 Ylioppilas
- 5 Muu, mikä? _____

30. Entä mitä jatko- tai ammattikoulutusta olette saanut? (VÄHINTÄÄN 3 KK KESTÄNYT KOULUTUS HUOMIOIDAAN)

31. Oletteko Te itse tai joku perheenne jäsenistä viimeksi kuluneen kahden vuoden aikana ollut työttömänä pitemmän kuin yhden kuukauden yhtäjaksoisen ajan?

- 1 Ei ole ollut
- 2 On itse
- 3 On joku perheenjäsen
- 4 Ei osaa sanoa

32. Mikä on äidinkielenne?

- 1 Suomi
- 2 Ruotsi
- 3 Muu

33. Kohdehenkilö on

- 1 Nainen
- 2 Mies

34. Valitkaa toinen näistä kahdesta kortista. Mihin tuloluokkaan perheenne kuuluu, kun kaikkien perheenjäsenten tulot lasketaan yhteen veroja vähentämättä? Valitkaa vastaus siltä kortilta, jonka mukaan Teistä tuntuu helpoimmalta vastata.

PERHEEN YHTEENLASKETUT TULOT
KORTTI A - KUUKAUSITULOT

- | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|
| 1 | Alle | 2.000 mk |
| 2 | 2.001 - | 4.000 mk |
| 3 | 4.001 - | 6.000 mk |
| 4 | 6.001 - | 8.000 mk |
| 5 | 8.001 - | 10.000 mk |
| 6 | 10.001 - | 15.000 mk |
| 7 | Yli | 15 000 mk |

0 Ei vastausta

PERHEEN YHTEENLASKETUT TULOT
KORTTI B - VUOSITULOT

- | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|----|
| 1 | Alle | 24.000 | mk |
| 2 | 24.001 - | 48.000 | mk |
| 3 | 48.001 - | 72.000 | mk |
| 4 | 72.001 - | 96.000 | mk |
| 5 | 96.001 - | 120.000 | mk |
| 6 | 120.001 - | 180 000 | mk |
| 7 | Yli | 180 000 | mk |

0 Ei vastausta

VASTAUSTEN SELITYS:

- 1 Vastasi kortin A mukaan
- 2 Vastasi kortin B mukaan

35. Kohdehenkilön ikä ja syntymävuosi:

- | | | | |
|---|----------------|---|----------|
| 1 | Alle 20 vuotta | 6 | 40-49 v. |
| 2 | 20-24 v. | 7 | 50-64 v. |
| 3 | 25-29 v. | 8 | Yli 65 v |
| 4 | 30-34 v. | | |
| 5 | 35-39 v. | | |

Syntymävuosi: _____

Paikkakuntatyyppi:

- 1 Helsinki
- 2 Espoo
- 3 Vantaa
- 4 Kauniainen
- 5 Turku
- 6 Tampere
- 7 Lahti, Oulu, Pori, Kuopio
- 8 Muut kaupungit
- 9 Maalaiskunnat

36. Vaalipiiri:

1	Helsingin kaupungin	1	Mikkelin läänin
2	Uudenmaan läänin	2	Pohjois-Karjalan läänin
3	Turun läänin eteläinen	3	Kuopion läänin
4	Turun läänin pohjoinen	4	Keski-Suomen läänin
5	Hämeen läänin eteläinen	5	Vaasan läänin
6	Hämeen läänin pohjoinen	6	Oulun läänin
7	Kymen läänin	7	Lapin läänin

37. Kunta:

Lopuksi siirtyisin kysymään poliittista kantaanne. Älkää kuitenkaan missään vaiheessa ilmoittako puoluekantaanne minulle. Vastaaminen esitettäviiin kysymyksiin tapahtuu siten, että kysyttyäni kysymyksen, Te ympyröitte mielipidettänne vastaavan vaihtoehdon numeron tältä erilliseltä lomakkeelta.

OJENNA VASTAUSLOMAKE JA TARVITTAESSA MYÖS KYNÄ

Vastaamisen jälkeen pyydän Teitä sulkemaan lomakkeen tähän kirjekuoreen, jonka postitan avaamattomana Suomen Gallup Oy:öön. Tuloksista ei koskaan tule selviämään kenenkään yksityisen vastaajan henkilöllisyys.

Siis siirtykäämme ensimmäiseen kysymykseen: (VUONNA 1980 ÄÄNESTÄNEILTÄ KYSYTÄÄN)

1. Jos maassamme järjestettäisiin eduskuntavaalit nyt, niin kuinka varmasti kävisitte äänestämässä?
2. Jos eduskuntavaalit järjestettäisiin nyt, minkä puolueen edustajaehdokkaalle äänenne antaisitte?
3. Mikä puolue olisi Teille toiseksi mieluisin?
4. Mitä puoluetta ette äänestäisi missään tapauksessa?
5. Meillä järjestettiin yleiset vaalit tasavallan presidentin valitsijamiesten valitsemiseksi viime tammikuussa. Minkähän puolueen valitsijamiehelle annoitte äänenne näissä vaaleissa?
6. Edelliset kunnallisvaalit pidettiin lokakuussa 1980. Mitähän puoluetta tuolloin äänestitte?

TÄYTETÄÄN TOIMISTOSSA:

Seutukaava-alue, kuntahierarkia, kunnan väestötiheys, kunnan elinkeinorakenne, kunnanvaltuusto, kunnan suuruusryhmä.

HAASTATELTAVA: _____

OSOITE: _____

POSTITOIMIPAIKKA: _____

PUHELIN: _____ - _____

HAASTATTELIJA: _____

VALTUUSKORTIN NUMERO: _____

HAASTATELUPÄIVÄ: _____ / _____ 1982

HAASTATELUN KESTO: _____ MIN.

HUOM FSD:llä ei ole käytettävissä alkuperäistä kyselylomaketta, eikä tämän sivun varsinaista muotoilua.

1. Jos maassamme järjestettäisiin eduskuntavaalit nyt, niin kuinka varmasti kävisitte äänestämässä?

- 1 Äänestäisin varmasti
- 2 Luultavasti äänestäisin
- 3 Luultavasti en äänestäisi
- 4 En varmasti äänestäisi
- 5 En osaa sanoa

2. Jos eduskuntavaalit järjestettäisiin nyt, minkä puolueen edustajaehdokkaalle äänenne antaisitte?

- 1 KOK (KANSALLINEN KOKOOMUS)
- 2 KES (KESKUSTAPUOLUE)
- 3 LKP (LIBERAALINEN KANSANPUOLUE)
- 4 POP (PERUSTUSLAILLINEN OIKEISTOPUOLUE)
- 5 RKP (RUOTSALAINEN KANSANPUOLUE)
- 6 SKDL (SUOMEN KANSAN DEMOKRAATTINEN LIITTO)
- 7 SKYP (SUOMEN KANSAN YHTENÄISYDEN PUOLUE) (KANSALAISVALLAN LIITTO)
- 8 SKL (SUOMEN KRISTILLINEN LIITTO)
- 9 SMP (SUOMEN MAASEUDUN PUOLUE)
- 0 SDP (SOSIALIDEMOKRAATTINEN PUOLUE)

- 1 En äänestäisi
 2 En osaa/halua sanoa

3. Mikä puolue olisi Teille toiseksi mieluisin?

TOISEKSI MIELUISIN

- 1 KOK (KANSALLINEN KOKOOMUS)
- 2 KES (KESKUSTAPUOLUE)
- 3 LKP (LIBERAALINEN KANSANPUOLUE)
- 4 POP (PERUSTUSLAILLINEN OIKEISTOPUOLUE)
- 5 RKP (RUOTSALAINEN KANSANPUOLUE)
- 6 SKDL (SUOMEN KANSAN DEMOKRAATTINEN LIITTO)
- 7 SKYP (SUOMEN KANSAN YHTENÄISYDEN PUOLUE) (KANSALAISVALLAN LIITTO)
- 8 SKL (SUOMEN KRISTILLINEN LIITTO)
- 9 SMP (SUOMEN MAASEUDUN PUOLUE)
- 0 SDP (SOSIALIDEMOKRAATTINEN PUOLUE)

- 1 Toiseksi mieluisinta ei ole
 2 En osaa/en halua sanoa

4. Mitä puoluetta ette äänestäisi missään tapauksessa?

EI MISSÄÄN TAPAUKSESSA

- 1 KOK (KANSALLINEN KOKOOMUS)
- 2 KES (KESKUSTAPUOLUE)
- 3 LKP (LIBERAALINEN KANSANPUOLUE)
- 4 POP (PERUSTUSLAILLINEN OIKEISTOPUOLUE)
- 5 RKP (RUOTSALAINEN KANSANPUOLUE)
- 6 SKDL (SUOMEN KANSAN DEMOKRAATTINEN LIITTO)
- 7 SKYP (SUOMEN KANSAN YHTEN. PUOLUE) (KANSALAISVALLAN LIITTO)
- 8 SKL (SUOMEN KRISTILLINEN LIITTO)
- 9 SMP (SUOMEN MAASEUDUN PUOLUE)
- 0 SDP (SOSIALIDEMOKRAATTINEN PUOLUE)

- 1 Sellaista puoluetta ei ole
 2 En osaa sanoa/en halua sanoa

5. Meillä järjestettiin yleiset vaalit tasavallan presidentin valitsijamiesten valitsemiseksi viime tammiukuussa. Minkähän puolueen valitsijamiehelle annoitte näissä vaaleissa äänenne?

- 1 KOK (KANSALLINEN KOKOOMUS)
- 2 KES (KESKUSTAPUOLUE)
- 3 LKP (LIBERAALINEN KANSANPUOLUE)
- 4 POP (PERUSTUSLAILLINEN OIKEISTOPUOLUE)
- 5 RKP (RUOTSALAINEN KANSANPUOLUE)
- 6 SKDL (SUOMEN KANSAN DEMOKRAATTINEN LIITTO)
- 7 SKYP (SUOMEN KANSAN YHTEN. PUOLUE) (KANSALAISVALLAN LIITTO)
- 8 SKL (SUOMEN KRISTILLINEN LIITTO)
- 9 SMP (SUOMEN MAASEUDUN PUOLUE)
- 0 SDP (SOSIALIDEMOKRAATTINEN PUOLUE)

- 1 En äänestänyt
 2 En muista

6. Edelliset kunnallisvaalit pidettiin lokakuussa 1980. Mitähän puoluetta tuolloin äänestitte?

- 1 KOK (KANSALLINEN KOKOOMUS)
- 2 KES (KESKUSTAPUOLUE)
- 3 LKP (LIBERAALINEN KANSANPUOLUE)
- 4 POP (PERUSTUSLAILLINEN OIKEISTOPUOLUE)
- 5 RKP (RUOTSALAINEN KANSANPUOLUE)
- 6 SKDL (SUOMEN KANSAN DEMOKRAATTINEN LIITTO)
- 7 SKYP (SUOMEN KANSAN YHTEN. PUOLUE) (KANSALAISVALLAN LIITTO)
- 8 SKL (SUOMEN KRISTILLINEN LIITTO)
- 9 SMP (SUOMEN MAASEUDUN PUOLUE)
- 0 SDP (SOSIALIDEMOKRAATTINEN PUOLUE)

- 1 En äänestänyt
 2 En muista
 3 En osaa/en halua sanoa