

FSD1015

Finnish Voter Barometer 1988

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Voter Barometer 1988 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 3.0 (28.11.2002) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1015 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Gallup Finland & University of Helsinki: Finnish Voter Barometer 1988 [dataset].

Version 3.0 (2002-11-28). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T>
FSD1015

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	155
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	155
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	170
A	Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties	185
B	Questionnaire in Finnish	187

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Voter Barometer 1988

Titles and data version in Finnish: Puolueiden ajankohtaistutkimus 1988

This codebook has been generated from the version 3.0 (28.11.2002) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Gallup Finland
University of Helsinki. Department of Political Science

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Gallup Finland

Date of deposit

10.5.1999

Keywords

elections; Finland; municipal elections; parliamentary elections; political attitudes; political behaviour; presidential elections; voting

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Voter Barometers

The Finnish Voter Barometer surveys are commissioned by the major parties in the Parliament. In election years, other sponsors have also been involved. Up till 1988, the surveys were planned by Gallup Finland (TNS Gallup Ltd) and the Department of Political and Economic Studies at the University of Helsinki, in collaboration with the political parties. After 1988, the planning has been in the hands of Gallup Finland and the parties.

From 1973 to 1990, the annual voter barometers were collected mainly with face-to-face interviews using structured questionnaires, 1000-2000 interviews/barometer. Since 1991, the data have been collected with GallupChannel (PC's installed in respondents' homes). No surveys were conducted in 1985 and 1989.

Survey themes include voting behaviour, opinions on the government and the parties, and attitudes towards national political issues. In addition, respondents' economic expectations and political participation have often been studied, as well as their opinions on local politics, and the significance of the party leader to a party. Background variables have often included the social class of the respondent and the household head, economic activity of the household head, respondent's education, age, mother tongue, gender, party membership, political views, trade union membership, and family's annual income. There are also regional variables. Even though the variables are not always fully comparable from one survey to another, all kinds of comparisons over time are possible. The FSD collections cover data from 1973.

Abstract

The variables describe the following: interest in politics, left/right dimension, voting in the parliamentary elections, voting in the 1988 municipal elections, important issues in society, party leader's significance to party support, attitude towards the actions of the parties, satisfaction in the government, political activity, participation in environmental action, and opinions on the tax reform. Some variables are connected with the interview and the social structure of the sample.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finnish population aged 18 or over

Collection date: 10.3.1988 – 30.3.1988

Data collector(s): Gallup Finland

Data producer(s): Gallup Finland; University of Helsinki. Department of Political Science

Mode of data collection: Face-to-face interview

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 1988

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 399 variables and 1047 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Multistage

At first, the target population was stratified according to the number of inhabitants aged 18 or over in each province and in the second stage, by municipality group within each province. Municipalities were classified into five groups on the basis of their level of industrialisation. The stratifying frame achieved in this manner was used to count how many interviews should be done in the municipalities of each municipality group in order to have a geographically and demographically representative sample. The municipalities in the sample have been selected with the Deming zone selection method. This method is based on the use of random numbers and gives each municipality an equal chance to be selected, although municipalities with large population have a better chance to be selected. A municipality may be sampled more than once - in which case more than one starting point is drawn for that municipality. The interviews were conducted in clusters of four subjects using the starting point method. The starting points were drawn from the population register, selecting individuals born on the 5th of any month, and then selecting starting addresses among them from the sample municipalities at fixed intervals. The first interview was conducted at the starting point, after which the interviewer proceeded to the next three households. The individual chosen for the interview had to correspond to the age and sex criteria defined in the selection card. If necessary, there was one follow-up per subject. Interviews were conducted in 37 cities and 52 rural municipalities. The number of respondents was 1,030.

1.4 Use of data

Related publications

Borg, Olavi & Raivio, Risto & Sänkiäho, Risto (1989). Kahden lipun vaalit. Tutkimus äänestämistä 1988 presidentinvaaleissa. Tampere: Tampereen yliopisto. Tampereen yliopisto. Poliittikan tutkimuksen laitos. Tutkimuksia; 103.

1. Study description

- Borg, Sami (2006). Käsitukset kansalaisuudesta ja omista vaikuttamismahdollisuuksista. Teoksessa: Suomen demokrationdikaattorit (toim. Sami Borg), 115-127. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.
- Borg, Sami & Ruostetsaari, Ilkka (2002). Suuret ikäluokat ja valta. Hyvinvointikatsaus 1/2002, 51-58.
- Grönlund, Kimmo & Paloheimo, Heikki & Wass, Hanna (2005). Äänestysosallistuminen. Teoksessa: Vaalit ja demokration Suomessa (toim. Heikki Paloheimo), 119-146. Helsinki: WSOY.
- Karvonen, Lauri (2014). Parties, Governments and Voters in Finland. Politics under Fundamental Societal Transformation. Colchester. ECPR Press
- Paloheimo, Heikki (2002). Hallituksen toimintaan ja puoluejohdon taitavuuteen kohdistuva arviointi puoluekannatuksen selittäjänä. *Politiikka* 44(2), 124-143.
- Paloheimo, Heikki (2003). Miten äänestäjät valitsevat puolueen? *Politiikka* 45(3), 175-193.
- Paloheimo, Heikki (2005). Puoluevalinnan tilannetekijät. Teoksessa: Vaalit ja demokration Suomessa (toim. Heikki Paloheimo), 202-228. Helsinki: WSOY.
- Paloheimo, Heikki (2005). Vaalit ja demokration Suomessa. Helsinki: WSOY.
- Paloheimo, Heikki (2006). Asenteet poliittisia instituutioita ja toimijoita kohtaan. Teoksessa: Suomen demokrationdikaattorit (toim. Sami Borg), 128 - 153. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.
- Quinlan, Stephen (2012). The Conundrum of Youth Turnout. A Cross-national Examination of Generational Processes. Dublin, IE: University College Dublin, IE. Doctoral Dissertation.
- Suomen demokrationdikaattorit (2006). Toim. Sami Borg. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.
- Wass, Hanna (2007). The effects of age, generation and period on turnout in Finland 1975-2003. *Electoral Studies* 26(3), 648-659.
- Wass, Hanna (2008). Generations and turnout: Generational effect in electoral participation in Finland. Helsinki: University of Helsinki. Department of Political Science. *Acta Politica* 35.
- Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1015?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1047
minimum	1015.00
maximum	1015.00
mean	1015.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1047
minimum	3.00
maximum	3.00
mean	3.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_PRO] FSD processing level

Question

FSD processing level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A (see codebook)	1	1047	100.0	100.0
B (see codebook)	2	0	0.0	0.0
C (data hasn't been checked at FSD)	3	0	0.0	0.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1047
minimum	1.00
maximum	1047.00
mean	524.00
standard deviation	302.39

[Q1_1] What are you most worried about at present: AIDS/HIV

Question

What are you most worried about at present: AIDS/HIV

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1037	99.0	99.0
mentioned	1	10	1.0	1.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_2] What are you most worried about at present: Employment**Question***What are you most worried about at present: Employment***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	878	83.9	83.9
mentioned	1	169	16.1	16.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_3] What are you most worried about at present: War**Question***What are you most worried about at present: War***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	935	89.3	89.3
mentioned	1	112	10.7	10.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_4] What are you most worried about at present: Pollution**Question***What are you most worried about at present: Pollution***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	897	85.7	85.7
mentioned	1	150	14.3	14.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_5] What are you most worried about at present: Housing situation

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Housing situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	981	93.7	93.7
mentioned	1	66	6.3	6.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_6] What are you most worried about at present: Livelihood

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Livelihood

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	979	93.5	93.5
mentioned	1	68	6.5	6.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_7] What are you most worried about at present: Strikes

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Strikes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1028	98.2	98.2
mentioned	1	19	1.8	1.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_8] What are you most worried about at present: Health**Question***What are you most worried about at present: Health***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	979	93.5	93.5
mentioned	1	68	6.5	6.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_9] What are you most worried about at present: Famine**Question***What are you most worried about at present: Famine***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1038	99.1	99.1
mentioned	1	9	0.9	0.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_10] What are you most worried about at present: Energy, nuclear power**Question***What are you most worried about at present: Energy, nuclear power***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1020	97.4	97.4
mentioned	1	27	2.6	2.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_11] What are you most worried about at present: Future of the young**Question**

What are you most worried about at present: Future of the young

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1012	96.7	96.7
mentioned	1	35	3.3	3.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_12] What are you most worried about at present: Depopulation of rural areas**Question**

What are you most worried about at present: Depopulation of rural areas

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1026	98.0	98.0
mentioned	1	21	2.0	2.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_13] What are you most worried about at present: Conditions for the elderly**Question**

What are you most worried about at present: Conditions for the elderly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1012	96.7	96.7
mentioned	1	35	3.3	3.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_14] What are you most worried about at present: Finnish politics**Question***What are you most worried about at present: Finnish politics***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1024	97.8	97.8
mentioned	1	23	2.2	2.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_15] What are you most worried about at present: Day care of children**Question***What are you most worried about at present: Day care of children***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1023	97.7	97.7
mentioned	1	24	2.3	2.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_16] What are you most worried about at present: Prize increases**Question***What are you most worried about at present: Prize increases***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1034	98.8	98.8
mentioned	1	13	1.2	1.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_17] What are you most worried about at present: Violence**Question**

What are you most worried about at present: Violence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1012	96.7	96.7
mentioned	1	35	3.3	3.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_18] What are you most worried about at present: Rat-racing (competitive struggle to have a higher living standard than others)**Question**

What are you most worried about at present: Rat-racing (competitive struggle to have a higher living standard than others)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1030	98.4	98.4
mentioned	1	17	1.6	1.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_19] What are you most worried about at present: Taxation**Question**

What are you most worried about at present: Taxation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	981	93.7	93.7
mentioned	1	66	6.3	6.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_20] What are you most worried about at present: Structural change in Finland

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Structural change in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1021	97.5	97.5
mentioned	1	26	2.5	2.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_21] What are you most worried about at present: Private matters

Question

What are you most worried about at present: Private matters

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1001	95.6	95.6
mentioned	1	46	4.4	4.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_22] What are you most worried about at present: General state of affairs in the world

Question

What are you most worried about at present: General state of affairs in the world

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	964	92.1	92.1
mentioned	1	83	7.9	7.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_23] What are you most worried about at present: Something else**Question**

What are you most worried about at present: Something else

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	983	93.9	93.9
mentioned	1	64	6.1	6.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_24] What are you most worried about at present: Nothing in particular**Question**

What are you most worried about at present: Nothing in particular

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	892	85.2	85.2
mentioned	1	155	14.8	14.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q1_25] What are you most worried about at present: Can't say**Question**

What are you most worried about at present: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	952	90.9	90.9
mentioned	1	95	9.1	9.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q2] Respondent's own interest in politics**Question***Respondent's own interest in politics***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very interested	1	122	11.7	11.7
interested to some extent	2	443	42.3	42.3
not very interested	3	315	30.1	30.1
not at all interested	4	160	15.3	15.3
can't say	5	7	0.7	0.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q3_1] Where would you place on a left-right axis: Yourself**Question***Where would you place on a left-right axis: Yourself***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
left	1	23	2.2	2.2
	2	38	3.6	3.6
	3	121	11.6	11.6
	4	152	14.5	14.5
	5	241	23.0	23.0
	6	120	11.5	11.5
	7	160	15.3	15.3
right	8	73	7.0	7.0
	9	41	3.9	3.9
can't say	10	78	7.4	7.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q3_2] Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Harri Holkeri**Question***Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Harri Holkeri*

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
left	1	10	1.0	1.0
	2	13	1.2	1.2
	3	27	2.6	2.6
	4	32	3.1	3.1
	5	47	4.5	4.5
	6	83	7.9	7.9
	7	229	21.9	21.9
right	8	321	30.7	30.7
	9	235	22.4	22.4
can't say	10	50	4.8	4.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q3_3] Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Jouko Kajanoja

Question

Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Jouko Kajanoja

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
left	1	716	68.4	68.4
	2	158	15.1	15.1
	3	51	4.9	4.9
	4	13	1.2	1.2
	5	16	1.5	1.5
	6	2	0.2	0.2
	7	7	0.7	0.7
	8	3	0.3	0.3
right	9	6	0.6	0.6
can't say	10	75	7.2	7.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q3_4] Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Kalevi Kivistö

Question

Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Kalevi Kivistö

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
left	1	101	9.6	9.6
	2	367	35.1	35.1
	3	306	29.2	29.2
	4	105	10.0	10.0
	5	60	5.7	5.7
	6	29	2.8	2.8
	7	13	1.2	1.2
	8	6	0.6	0.6
right	9	4	0.4	0.4
can't say	10	56	5.3	5.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q3_5] Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Mauno Koivisto**Question**

Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Mauno Koivisto

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
left	1	19	1.8	1.8
	2	32	3.1	3.1
	3	177	16.9	16.9
	4	301	28.7	28.7
	5	219	20.9	20.9
	6	116	11.1	11.1
	7	62	5.9	5.9
	8	44	4.2	4.2
right	9	32	3.1	3.1
can't say	10	45	4.3	4.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q3_6] Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Paavo Väyrynen**Question**

Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Paavo Väyrynen

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
left	1	15	1.4	1.4
	2	20	1.9	1.9
	3	24	2.3	2.3
	4	56	5.3	5.3
	5	363	34.7	34.7
	6	251	24.0	24.0
	7	146	13.9	13.9
	8	85	8.1	8.1
right	9	35	3.3	3.3
can't say	10	52	5.0	5.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q4] How many presidential candidates did you seriously consider when deciding who to vote for?

Question

How many presidential candidates did you seriously consider when deciding who to vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
only one	1	617	58.9	58.9
two	2	305	29.1	29.1
more than two	3	55	5.3	5.3
no-one	4	64	6.1	6.1
can't say	5	6	0.6	0.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q5] When did you make your final decision whether to vote or not?

Question

When did you make your final decision whether to vote or not?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in good time before the election	1	799	76.3	76.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
two weeks before the election	2	85	8.1	8.1
during the week preceding the election	3	73	7.0	7.0
on the election day	4	64	6.1	6.1
can't say	5	26	2.5	2.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q6] Did you vote in the presidential election?

Question

Did you vote in the presidential election?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	916	87.5	87.6
no	2	130	12.4	12.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q7] When did you decide which presidential candidate to vote for?

Question

When did you decide which presidential candidate to vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
on one of the two election days	1	74	7.1	7.1
some days before the election	2	67	6.4	6.4
about a week before the election	3	60	5.7	5.7
about two weeks before the election	4	83	7.9	7.9
a month or two before the election	5	116	11.1	11.1
more than two months before the election	6	516	49.3	49.3
can't say	7	131	12.5	12.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q8] When did you decide the elector candidate of which party or group to vote for?

Question

When did you decide the elector candidate of which party or group to vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
on one of the two election days	1	84	8.0	8.0
some days before the election	2	63	6.0	6.0
about a week before the election	3	51	4.9	4.9
about two weeks before the election	4	80	7.6	7.6
a month or two before the election	5	114	10.9	10.9
more than two months before the election	6	511	48.8	48.8
can't say	7	144	13.8	13.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q9] When did you vote?

Question

When did you vote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
voted in advance (early voting)	1	196	18.7	21.4
on the first election/polling day	2	505	48.2	55.2
on the second election/polling day	3	214	20.4	23.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	132	12.6	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q10] In the 1988 election, voters could vote both for a presidential candidate and an elector. How difficult did you find the use of two ballots in the election?

Question

In the 1988 election, voters could vote both for a presidential candidate and an elector. How difficult did you find the use of two ballots in the election?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very difficult	1	26	2.5	2.5
rather difficult	2	11	1.1	1.1
not very difficult	3	139	13.3	13.3
not at all difficult	4	740	70.7	70.7
can't say	5	131	12.5	12.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q11] How did you vote, i.e., did you use both ballots?**Question**

How did you vote, i.e., did you use both ballots?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
voted both for a presidential and elector candidate	1	879	84.0	84.0
voted only for a presidential candidate	2	33	3.2	3.2
voted only for an elector	3	1	0.1	0.1
cast an invalid vote for both	4	4	0.4	0.4
can't say	5	130	12.4	12.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q12_1] Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: It is the person that matters in this election**Question**

Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: It is the person that matters in this election

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1040	99.3	99.3
mentioned	1	7	0.7	0.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q12_2] Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: As a protest against electoral college

Question

Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: As a protest against electoral college

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1037	99.0	99.0
mentioned	1	10	1.0	1.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q12_3] Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: To ensure the choice of the presidential candidate

Question

Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: To ensure the choice of the presidential candidate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1039	99.2	99.2
mentioned	1	8	0.8	0.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q12_4] Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: It is democratic

Question

Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: It is democratic

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1047	100.0	100.0
mentioned	1	0	0.0	0.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q12_5] Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: I hardly ever vote anyway

Question

Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: I hardly ever vote anyway

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1047	100.0	100.0
mentioned	1	0	0.0	0.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q12_6] Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Statistics Finland...

Question

Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Statistics Finland...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1047	100.0	100.0
mentioned	1	0	0.0	0.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q12_7] Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: No suitable...

Question

Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: No suitable...

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1043	99.6	99.6
mentioned	1	4	0.4	0.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q12_8] Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: No suitable...

Question

Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: No suitable...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1046	99.9	99.9
mentioned	1	1	0.1	0.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q12_9] Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Other reason

Question

Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Other reason

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1043	99.6	99.6
mentioned	1	4	0.4	0.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q12_10] Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Can't say

Question

Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	33	3.2	3.2
mentioned	1	1014	96.8	96.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q13] When you vote, do you consider the presidential candidate or the party more important?

Question

When you vote, do you consider the presidential candidate or the party more important?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
presidential candidate more important	1	453	43.3	43.3
political party/group more important	2	110	10.5	10.5
both are equally important to me	3	348	33.2	33.2
can't say	4	136	13.0	13.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q14_1] In the direct vote, was it difficult for you to choose the presidential candidate to vote for?

Question

In the direct vote, was it difficult for you to choose the presidential candidate to vote for?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
very difficult	1	31	3.0	3.0
rather difficult	2	44	4.2	4.2
not very difficult	3	237	22.6	22.6
not at all difficult	4	600	57.3	57.3
can't say	5	135	12.9	12.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q14_2] In the indirect vote, was it difficult for you to choose the party/group to vote for?

Question

In the indirect vote, was it difficult for you to choose the party/group to vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very difficult	1	36	3.4	3.4
rather difficult	2	87	8.3	8.3
not very difficult	3	288	27.5	27.5
not at all difficult	4	474	45.3	45.3
can't say	5	162	15.5	15.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q15] If the presidential elections were held now, with the same presidential candidates, would you vote for the same candidate that you voted in the actual election?

Question

If the presidential elections were held now, with the same presidential candidates, would you vote for the same candidate that you voted in the actual election?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
definitely I would	1	818	78.1	78.1
probably I would	2	65	6.2	6.2
probably I would not	3	16	1.5	1.5
definitely I would not	4	13	1.2	1.2
can't say	5	135	12.9	12.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q16_1] Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: No longer any need to protest

Question

Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: No longer any need to protest

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1042	99.5	99.5
mentioned	1	5	0.5	0.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q16_2] Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Changed opinion

Question

Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Changed opinion

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1033	98.7	98.7
mentioned	1	14	1.3	1.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q16_3] Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Passing whim

Question

Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Passing whim

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1047	100.0	100.0
mentioned	1	0	0.0	0.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q16_4] Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Other reason

Question

Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Other reason

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1042	99.5	99.5
mentioned	1	5	0.5	0.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q16_5] Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Can't say

Question

Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	24	2.3	2.3
mentioned	1	1023	97.7	97.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17A] Regarding the direct vote, what was the main reason for your choice of the presidential candidate?

Question

Regarding the direct vote, what was the main reason for your choice of the presidential candidate?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
foreign policy	1	15	1.4	1.4
government composition	2	2	0.2	0.2
ideological reasons	3	232	22.2	22.2
television appearance	4	12	1.1	1.1
personal characteristics	5	302	28.8	28.8
taxation	6	1	0.1	0.1
circumstances for families with children	7	1	0.1	0.1
defends the weak	8	2	0.2	0.2
unemployment	9	1	0.1	0.1
promotes the interests of entrepreneurs	10	1	0.1	0.1
promotes reforms in labour market	11	3	0.3	0.3
regional policy	12	3	0.3	0.3
changed opinion	13	3	0.3	0.3
no other option	14	40	3.8	3.8
promotes the interests of employees	15	1	0.1	0.1
long experience	16	46	4.4	4.4
good record	17	56	5.3	5.3
best alternative	18	69	6.6	6.6
president for the entire nation	19	11	1.1	1.1
domestic policy	20	14	1.3	1.3
other reason	21	27	2.6	2.6
can't say	22	205	19.6	19.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_1] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: foreign policy

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: foreign policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1036	98.9	98.9
mentioned	1	11	1.1	1.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_2] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: government composition

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: government composition

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1047	100.0	100.0
mentioned	1	0	0.0	0.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_3] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: ideological reasons

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: ideological reasons

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1001	95.6	95.6
mentioned	1	46	4.4	4.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_4] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: television appearance

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: television appearance

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1042	99.5	99.5
mentioned	1	5	0.5	0.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_5] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: personal characteristics

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: personal characteristics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	949	90.6	90.6
mentioned	1	98	9.4	9.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_6] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: taxation**Question**

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: taxation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1044	99.7	99.7
mentioned	1	3	0.3	0.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_7] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: circumstances for families with children**Question**

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: circumstances for families with children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1045	99.8	99.8
mentioned	1	2	0.2	0.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_8] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: defends the weak**Question**

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: defends the weak

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1045	99.8	99.8
mentioned	1	2	0.2	0.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_9] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: unemployment

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: unemployment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1047	100.0	100.0
mentioned	1	0	0.0	0.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_10] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: promotes the interests of entrepreneurs

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: promotes the interests of entrepreneurs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1046	99.9	99.9
mentioned	1	1	0.1	0.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_11] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: reforms in labour market

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: reforms in labour market

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1047	100.0	100.0
mentioned	1	0	0.0	0.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_12] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: regional policy

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: regional policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1047	100.0	100.0
mentioned	1	0	0.0	0.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_13] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: changed opinion

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: changed opinion

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1044	99.7	99.7
mentioned	1	3	0.3	0.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_14] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: no other option

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: no other option

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1038	99.1	99.1
mentioned	1	9	0.9	0.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_15] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: promotes the interests of employees

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: promotes the interests of employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1046	99.9	99.9
mentioned	1	1	0.1	0.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_16] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: long experience

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: long experience

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1028	98.2	98.2
mentioned	1	19	1.8	1.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_17] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: good record

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: good record

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1023	97.7	97.7
mentioned	1	24	2.3	2.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_18] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: best alternative

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: best alternative

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1038	99.1	99.1
mentioned	1	9	0.9	0.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_19] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: president for the entire nation

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: president for the entire nation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1043	99.6	99.6
mentioned	1	4	0.4	0.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_20] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: domestic policy

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: domestic policy

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1038	99.1	99.1
mentioned	1	9	0.9	0.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_21] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: other reason

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: other reason

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1034	98.8	98.8
mentioned	1	13	1.2	1.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q17B_22] Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: can't say

Question

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	244	23.3	23.3
mentioned	1	803	76.7	76.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q18_1] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's views on foreign policy

Question

To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's views on foreign policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
influenced a lot	1	348	33.2	33.2
influenced to some extent	2	446	42.6	42.6
had hardly any influence	3	108	10.3	10.3
can't say	4	145	13.8	13.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q18_2]] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's views on government composition

Question

] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's views on government composition

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
influenced a lot	1	239	22.8	22.8
influenced to some extent	2	435	41.5	41.5
had hardly any influence	3	213	20.3	20.3
can't say	4	160	15.3	15.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q18_3]] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's ideological background

Question

] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's ideological background

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
influenced a lot	1	383	36.6	36.6
influenced to some extent	2	324	30.9	30.9
had hardly any influence	3	192	18.3	18.3
can't say	4	148	14.1	14.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q18_4]] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's performance on television

Question

] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's performance on television

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
influenced a lot	1	203	19.4	19.4
influenced to some extent	2	345	33.0	33.0
had hardly any influence	3	349	33.3	33.3
can't say	4	150	14.3	14.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q18_5]] To what extent was your choice influenced by the image of the candidate as a person

Question

] To what extent was your choice influenced by the image of the candidate as a person

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
influenced a lot	1	369	35.2	35.2
influenced to some extent	2	413	39.4	39.4
had hardly any influence	3	119	11.4	11.4
can't say	4	146	13.9	13.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q19] Of the six presidential election systems mentioned below, which one do you consider the best?

Question

Of the six presidential election systems mentioned below, which one do you consider the best?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
previous system: electoral college with 301 electors	1	51	4.9	5.2
present system: voting both for candidate and elector	2	306	29.2	31.2
two-round direct vote, second round if no majority	3	510	48.7	52.0
presidential and parliamentary elections in parallel	4	28	2.7	2.9
two-round direct vote, top two candidates in second r.	5	54	5.2	5.5
Parliament chooses the president	6	17	1.6	1.7
can't say	7	14	1.3	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	67	6.4	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q20] Did you vote in the 1987 parliamentary elections?

Question

Did you vote in the 1987 parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	838	80.0	80.0
no	2	193	18.4	18.4
can't remeber	3	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_1] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election:

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election:

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	242	23.1	23.1
mentioned	1	805	76.9	76.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_2] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Kalevi Kivistö

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Kalevi Kivistö

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	405	38.7	38.7
mentioned	1	642	61.3	61.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_3] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Eeva Kuuskoski-Vikatmaa

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Eeva Kuuskoski-Vikatmaa

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1025	97.9	97.9
mentioned	1	22	2.1	2.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_4] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Mauno Koivisto

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Mauno Koivisto

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	775	74.0	74.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	272	26.0	26.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_5] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pertti Paasio

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pertti Paasio

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1005	96.0	96.0
mentioned	1	42	4.0	4.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_6] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Kalevi Sorsa

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Kalevi Sorsa

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	704	67.2	67.2
mentioned	1	343	32.8	32.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_7] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Ilkka Suominen

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Ilkka Suominen

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	970	92.6	92.6
mentioned	1	77	7.4	7.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_8] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Cristoffer Taxell

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Cristoffer Taxell

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	983	93.9	93.9
mentioned	1	64	6.1	6.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_9] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pirkko Työläjäarvi

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pirkko Työläjäarvi

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1015	96.9	96.9
mentioned	1	32	3.1	3.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_10] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pekka Vennamo

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pekka Vennamo

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	974	93.0	93.0
mentioned	1	73	7.0	7.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_11] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Paavo Väyrynen**Question**

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Paavo Väyrynen

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	146	13.9	13.9
mentioned	1	901	86.1	86.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_12] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Jouko Kajanoja**Question**

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Jouko Kajanoja

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	954	91.1	91.1
mentioned	1	93	8.9	8.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_13] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Keijo Korhonen

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Keijo Korhonen

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1031	98.5	98.5
mentioned	1	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_14] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Erkki Liikanen

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Erkki Liikanen

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1033	98.7	98.7
mentioned	1	14	1.3	1.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_15] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Matti Ahde

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Matti Ahde

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1040	99.3	99.3
mentioned	1	7	0.7	0.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_16] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pertti Salolainen

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pertti Salolainen

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1041	99.4	99.4
mentioned	1	6	0.6	0.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_17] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Raimo Ilaskivi

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Raimo Ilaskivi

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1038	99.1	99.1
mentioned	1	9	0.9	0.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_18] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Ulf Sundqvist

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Ulf Sundqvist

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1040	99.3	99.3
mentioned	1	7	0.7	0.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_19] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Max Jacobsson

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Max Jacobsson

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1046	99.9	99.9
mentioned	1	1	0.1	0.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_20] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Other candidate

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Other candidate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1016	97.0	97.0
mentioned	1	31	3.0	3.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_21] Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Can't say

Question

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	999	95.4	95.4
mentioned	1	48	4.6	4.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q21B] Who do you wish to get elected as the president in 1994?

Question

Who do you wish to get elected as the president in 1994?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Harri Holkeri	1	157	15.0	15.0
Kalevi Kivistö	2	85	8.1	8.1
Eeva Kuuskoski-Vikatmaa	3	5	0.5	0.5
Mauno Koivisto	4	119	11.4	11.4
Pertti Paasio	5	5	0.5	0.5
Kalevi Sorsa	6	63	6.0	6.0
Ilkka Suominen	7	4	0.4	0.4
Cristoffer Taxell	8	11	1.1	1.1
Pirkko Työläjärvi	9	14	1.3	1.3
Pekka Vennamo	10	2	0.2	0.2
Paavo Väyrynen	11	174	16.6	16.6
Someone else	12	408	39.0	39.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q22_1] Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)

Question

Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm more willing to vote for the party than before	1	33	3.2	3.2
no change	2	821	78.4	78.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm less willing to vote for the party than before	3	136	13.0	13.0
can't say	4	57	5.4	5.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q22_2] Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Question

Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm more willing to vote for the party than before	1	85	8.1	8.1
no change	2	695	66.4	66.4
I'm less willing to vote for the party than before	3	196	18.7	18.7
can't say	4	71	6.8	6.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q22_3] Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Question

Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm more willing to vote for the party than before	1	126	12.0	12.0
no change	2	677	64.7	64.7
I'm less willing to vote for the party than before	3	182	17.4	17.4
can't say	4	62	5.9	5.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q22_4] Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Democratic League of the People of Finland (SKDL)

Question

Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Democratic League of the People of Finland (SKDL)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm more willing to vote for the party than before	1	42	4.0	4.0
no change	2	703	67.1	67.1
I'm less willing to vote for the party than before	3	227	21.7	21.7
can't say	4	75	7.2	7.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q22_5] Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Question

Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm more willing to vote for the party than before	1	35	3.3	3.3
no change	2	784	74.9	74.9
I'm less willing to vote for the party than before	3	152	14.5	14.5
can't say	4	76	7.3	7.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q22_6] Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Finnish Rural Party (SMP)

Question

Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Finnish Rural Party (SMP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm more willing to vote for the party than before	1	19	1.8	1.8
no change	2	735	70.2	70.2
I'm less willing to vote for the party than before	3	214	20.4	20.4
can't say	4	79	7.5	7.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q22_7] Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Christian League of Finland (SKL)

Question

Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Christian League of Finland (SKL)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm more willing to vote for the party than before	1	5	0.5	0.5
no change	2	729	69.6	69.6
I'm less willing to vote for the party than before	3	234	22.3	22.3
can't say	4	79	7.5	7.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q22_8] Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Democratic Alternative (DEVA)

Question

Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Democratic Alternative (DEVA)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm more willing to vote for the party than before	1	11	1.1	1.1
no change	2	647	61.8	61.8
I'm less willing to vote for the party than before	3	303	28.9	28.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	4	86	8.2	8.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q22_9] Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: The Greens (VIHR)

Question

Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: The Greens (VIHR)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm more willing to vote for the party than before	1	75	7.2	7.2
no change	2	684	65.3	65.3
I'm less willing to vote for the party than before	3	203	19.4	19.4
can't say	4	85	8.1	8.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_1] SDP: Effective employment policy

Question

SDP: Effective employment policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	728	69.5	69.5
mentioned	1	319	30.5	30.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_2] SKDL: Effective employment policy

Question

SKDL: Effective employment policy

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	880	84.0	84.0
mentioned	1	167	16.0	16.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_3] DEVA: Effective employment policy

Question

DEVA: Effective employment policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	989	94.5	94.5
mentioned	1	58	5.5	5.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_4] KOK: Effective employment policy

Question

KOK: Effective employment policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	888	84.8	84.8
mentioned	1	159	15.2	15.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_5] KESK: Effective employment policy

Question

KESK: Effective employment policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	873	83.4	83.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	174	16.6	16.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_6] SMP: Effective employment policy**Question***SMP: Effective employment policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	939	89.7	89.7
mentioned	1	108	10.3	10.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_7] RKP: Effective employment policy**Question***RKP: Effective employment policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1005	96.0	96.0
mentioned	1	42	4.0	4.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_8] SKL: Effective employment policy**Question***SKL: Effective employment policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1031	98.5	98.5
mentioned	1	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_9] LKP: Effective employment policy

Question

LKP: Effective employment policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1031	98.5	98.5
mentioned	1	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_10] POP: Effective employment policy

Question

POP: Effective employment policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1033	98.7	98.7
mentioned	1	14	1.3	1.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_11] VIHR: Effective employment policy

Question

VIHR: Effective employment policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1023	97.7	97.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	24	2.3	2.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23A_12] SEP: Effective employment policy**Question***SEP: Effective employment policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1037	99.0	99.0
mentioned	1	10	1.0	1.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_1] SDP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions**Question***SDP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	801	76.5	76.5
mentioned	1	246	23.5	23.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_2] SKDL: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions**Question***SKDL: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	935	89.3	89.3
mentioned	1	112	10.7	10.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_3] DEVA: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

DEVA: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1006	96.1	96.1
mentioned	1	41	3.9	3.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_4] KOK: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

KOK: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	914	87.3	87.3
mentioned	1	133	12.7	12.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_5] KESK: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

KESK: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	747	71.3	71.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	300	28.7	28.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_6] SMP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

SMP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	949	90.6	90.6
mentioned	1	98	9.4	9.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_7] RKP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

RKP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	988	94.4	94.4
mentioned	1	59	5.6	5.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_8] SKL: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

SKL: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1018	97.2	97.2
mentioned	1	29	2.8	2.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_9] LKP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

LKP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1023	97.7	97.7
mentioned	1	24	2.3	2.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_10] POP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

POP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1032	98.6	98.6
mentioned	1	15	1.4	1.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_11] VIHR: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Question

VIHR: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	973	92.9	92.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	74	7.1	7.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23B_12] SEP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions**Question***SEP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1032	98.6	98.6
mentioned	1	15	1.4	1.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_1] SDP: Promotes sensible environmental policy**Question***SDP: Promotes sensible environmental policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	884	84.4	84.4
mentioned	1	163	15.6	15.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_2] SKDL: Promotes sensible environmental policy**Question***SKDL: Promotes sensible environmental policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	980	93.6	93.6
mentioned	1	67	6.4	6.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_3] DEVA: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Question

DEVA: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1011	96.6	96.6
mentioned	1	36	3.4	3.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_4] KOK: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Question

KOK: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	923	88.2	88.2
mentioned	1	124	11.8	11.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_5] KESK: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Question

KESK: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	839	80.1	80.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	208	19.9	19.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_6] SMP: Promotes sensible environmental policy**Question***SMP: Promotes sensible environmental policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	985	94.1	94.1
mentioned	1	62	5.9	5.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_7] RKP: Promotes sensible environmental policy**Question***RKP: Promotes sensible environmental policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	973	92.9	92.9
mentioned	1	74	7.1	7.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_8] SKL: Promotes sensible environmental policy**Question***SKL: Promotes sensible environmental policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1027	98.1	98.1
mentioned	1	20	1.9	1.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_9] LKP: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Question

LKP: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1028	98.2	98.2
mentioned	1	19	1.8	1.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_10] POP: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Question

POP: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1032	98.6	98.6
mentioned	1	15	1.4	1.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_11] VIHR: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Question

VIHR: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	659	62.9	62.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	388	37.1	37.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23C_12] SEP: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Question

SEP: Promotes sensible environmental policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1032	98.6	98.6
mentioned	1	15	1.4	1.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_1] SDP: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Question

SDP: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	873	83.4	83.4
mentioned	1	174	16.6	16.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_2] SKDL: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Question

SKDL: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	992	94.7	94.7
mentioned	1	55	5.3	5.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_3] DEVA: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Question

DEVA: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1022	97.6	97.6
mentioned	1	25	2.4	2.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_4] KOK: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Question

KOK: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	648	61.9	61.9
mentioned	1	399	38.1	38.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_5] KESK: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Question

KESK: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	901	86.1	86.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	146	13.9	13.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_6] SMP: Promotes sensible science and education policy**Question***SMP: Promotes sensible science and education policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1021	97.5	97.5
mentioned	1	26	2.5	2.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_7] RKP: Promotes sensible science and education policy**Question***RKP: Promotes sensible science and education policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	917	87.6	87.6
mentioned	1	130	12.4	12.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_8] SKL: Promotes sensible science and education policy**Question***SKL: Promotes sensible science and education policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1031	98.5	98.5
mentioned	1	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_9] LKP: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Question

LKP: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1019	97.3	97.3
mentioned	1	28	2.7	2.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_10] POP: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Question

POP: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1036	98.9	98.9
mentioned	1	11	1.1	1.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_11] VIHR: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Question

VIHR: Promotes sensible science and education policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1021	97.5	97.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	26	2.5	2.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23D_12] SEP: Promotes sensible science and education policy**Question***SEP: Promotes sensible science and education policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1039	99.2	99.2
mentioned	1	8	0.8	0.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_1] SDP: Promotes sensible housing policy**Question***SDP: Promotes sensible housing policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	813	77.7	77.7
mentioned	1	234	22.3	22.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_2] SKDL: Promotes sensible housing policy**Question***SKDL: Promotes sensible housing policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	930	88.8	88.8
mentioned	1	117	11.2	11.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_3] DEVA: Promotes sensible housing policy

Question

DEVA: Promotes sensible housing policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1000	95.5	95.5
mentioned	1	47	4.5	4.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_4] KOK: Promotes sensible housing policy

Question

KOK: Promotes sensible housing policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	925	88.3	88.3
mentioned	1	122	11.7	11.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_5] KESK: Promotes sensible housing policy

Question

KESK: Promotes sensible housing policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	897	85.7	85.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	150	14.3	14.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_6] SMP: Promotes sensible housing policy**Question***SMP: Promotes sensible housing policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1013	96.8	96.8
mentioned	1	34	3.2	3.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_7] RKP: Promotes sensible housing policy**Question***RKP: Promotes sensible housing policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1004	95.9	95.9
mentioned	1	43	4.1	4.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_8] SKL: Promotes sensible housing policy**Question***SKL: Promotes sensible housing policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1032	98.6	98.6
mentioned	1	15	1.4	1.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_9] LKP: Promotes sensible housing policy

Question

LKP: Promotes sensible housing policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1030	98.4	98.4
mentioned	1	17	1.6	1.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_10] POP: Promotes sensible housing policy

Question

POP: Promotes sensible housing policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1042	99.5	99.5
mentioned	1	5	0.5	0.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_11] VIHR: Promotes sensible housing policy

Question

VIHR: Promotes sensible housing policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1015	96.9	96.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	32	3.1	3.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23E_12] SEP: Promotes sensible housing policy**Question***SEP: Promotes sensible housing policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1040	99.3	99.3
mentioned	1	7	0.7	0.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_1] SDP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy**Question***SDP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	960	91.7	91.7
mentioned	1	87	8.3	8.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_2] SKDL: Promotes sensible agricultural policy**Question***SKDL: Promotes sensible agricultural policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1022	97.6	97.6
mentioned	1	25	2.4	2.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_3] DEVA: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Question

DEVA: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1039	99.2	99.2
mentioned	1	8	0.8	0.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_4] KOK: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Question

KOK: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	961	91.8	91.8
mentioned	1	86	8.2	8.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_5] KESK: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Question

KESK: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	630	60.2	60.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	417	39.8	39.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_6] SMP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy**Question***SMP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	806	77.0	77.0
mentioned	1	241	23.0	23.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_7] RKP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy**Question***RKP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1026	98.0	98.0
mentioned	1	21	2.0	2.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_8] SKL: Promotes sensible agricultural policy**Question***SKL: Promotes sensible agricultural policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1042	99.5	99.5
mentioned	1	5	0.5	0.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_9] LKP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Question

LKP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1043	99.6	99.6
mentioned	1	4	0.4	0.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_10] POP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Question

POP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1046	99.9	99.9
mentioned	1	1	0.1	0.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_11] VIHR: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Question

VIHR: Promotes sensible agricultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1035	98.9	98.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	12	1.1	1.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23F_12] SEP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy**Question***SEP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1045	99.8	99.8
mentioned	1	2	0.2	0.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_1] SDP: Promotes culture**Question***SDP: Promotes culture***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	854	81.6	81.6
mentioned	1	193	18.4	18.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_2] SKDL: Promotes culture**Question***SKDL: Promotes culture***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	943	90.1	90.1
mentioned	1	104	9.9	9.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_3] DEVA: Promotes culture

Question

DEVA: Promotes culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	990	94.6	94.6
mentioned	1	57	5.4	5.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_4] KOK: Promotes culture

Question

KOK: Promotes culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	740	70.7	70.7
mentioned	1	307	29.3	29.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_5] KESK: Promotes culture

Question

KESK: Promotes culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	910	86.9	86.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	137	13.1	13.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_6] SMP: Promotes culture**Question***SMP: Promotes culture***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1015	96.9	96.9
mentioned	1	32	3.1	3.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_7] RKP: Promotes culture**Question***RKP: Promotes culture***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	839	80.1	80.1
mentioned	1	208	19.9	19.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_8] SKL: Promotes culture**Question***SKL: Promotes culture***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1012	96.7	96.7
mentioned	1	35	3.3	3.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_9] LKP: Promotes culture

Question

LKP: Promotes culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1004	95.9	95.9
mentioned	1	43	4.1	4.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_10] POP: Promotes culture

Question

POP: Promotes culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1031	98.5	98.5
mentioned	1	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_11] VIHR: Promotes culture

Question

VIHR: Promotes culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1000	95.5	95.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	47	4.5	4.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23G_12] SEP: Promotes culture**Question***SEP: Promotes culture***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1031	98.5	98.5
mentioned	1	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_1] SDP: Promotes sensible economic policy**Question***SDP: Promotes sensible economic policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	775	74.0	74.0
mentioned	1	272	26.0	26.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_2] SKDL: Promotes sensible economic policy**Question***SKDL: Promotes sensible economic policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	975	93.1	93.1
mentioned	1	72	6.9	6.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_3] DEVA: Promotes sensible economic policy

Question

DEVA: Promotes sensible economic policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1022	97.6	97.6
mentioned	1	25	2.4	2.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_4] KOK: Promotes sensible economic policy

Question

KOK: Promotes sensible economic policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	731	69.8	69.8
mentioned	1	316	30.2	30.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_5] KESK: Promotes sensible economic policy

Question

KESK: Promotes sensible economic policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	828	79.1	79.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	219	20.9	20.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_6] SMP: Promotes sensible economic policy**Question***SMP: Promotes sensible economic policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1004	95.9	95.9
mentioned	1	43	4.1	4.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_7] RKP: Promotes sensible economic policy**Question***RKP: Promotes sensible economic policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	950	90.7	90.7
mentioned	1	97	9.3	9.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_8] SKL: Promotes sensible economic policy**Question***SKL: Promotes sensible economic policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1028	98.2	98.2
mentioned	1	19	1.8	1.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_9] LKP: Promotes sensible economic policy

Question

LKP: Promotes sensible economic policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1031	98.5	98.5
mentioned	1	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_10] POP: Promotes sensible economic policy

Question

POP: Promotes sensible economic policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1036	98.9	98.9
mentioned	1	11	1.1	1.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_11] VIHR: Promotes sensible economic policy

Question

VIHR: Promotes sensible economic policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1030	98.4	98.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	17	1.6	1.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23H_12] SEP: Promotes sensible economic policy**Question***SEP: Promotes sensible economic policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1038	99.1	99.1
mentioned	1	9	0.9	0.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_1] SDP: Improves social security**Question***SDP: Improves social security***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	611	58.4	58.4
mentioned	1	436	41.6	41.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_2] SKDL: Improves social security**Question***SKDL: Improves social security***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	805	76.9	76.9
mentioned	1	242	23.1	23.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_3] DEVA: Improves social security

Question

DEVA: Improves social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	955	91.2	91.2
mentioned	1	92	8.8	8.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_4] KOK: Improves social security

Question

KOK: Improves social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	926	88.4	88.4
mentioned	1	121	11.6	11.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_5] KESK: Improves social security

Question

KESK: Improves social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	861	82.2	82.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	186	17.8	17.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_6] SMP: Improves social security

Question

SMP: Improves social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	961	91.8	91.8
mentioned	1	86	8.2	8.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_7] RKP: Improves social security

Question

RKP: Improves social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	982	93.8	93.8
mentioned	1	65	6.2	6.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_8] SKL: Improves social security

Question

SKL: Improves social security

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1006	96.1	96.1
mentioned	1	41	3.9	3.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_9] LKP: Improves social security

Question

LKP: Improves social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1014	96.8	96.8
mentioned	1	33	3.2	3.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_10] POP: Improves social security

Question

POP: Improves social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1028	98.2	98.2
mentioned	1	19	1.8	1.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_11] VIHR: Improves social security

Question

VIHR: Improves social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1011	96.6	96.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	36	3.4	3.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23I_12] SEP: Improves social security**Question***SEP: Improves social security***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1018	97.2	97.2
mentioned	1	29	2.8	2.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_1] SDP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests**Question***SDP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	471	45.0	45.0
mentioned	1	576	55.0	55.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_2] SKDL: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests**Question***SKDL: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	752	71.8	71.8
mentioned	1	295	28.2	28.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_3] DEVA: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Question

DEVA: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	843	80.5	80.5
mentioned	1	204	19.5	19.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_4] KOK: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Question

KOK: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	580	55.4	55.4
mentioned	1	467	44.6	44.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_5] KESK: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Question

KESK: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	581	55.5	55.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	466	44.5	44.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_6] SMP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests**Question***SMP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	786	75.1	75.1
mentioned	1	261	24.9	24.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_7] RKP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests**Question***RKP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	756	72.2	72.2
mentioned	1	291	27.8	27.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_8] SKL: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests**Question***SKL: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	843	80.5	80.5
mentioned	1	204	19.5	19.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_9] LKP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Question

LKP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	835	79.8	79.8
mentioned	1	212	20.2	20.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_10] POP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Question

POP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	884	84.4	84.4
mentioned	1	163	15.6	15.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_11] VIHR: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Question

VIHR: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	854	81.6	81.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	193	18.4	18.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23J_12] SEP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests**Question***SEP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	889	84.9	84.9
mentioned	1	158	15.1	15.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_1] SDP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy**Question***SDP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	838	80.0	80.0
mentioned	1	209	20.0	20.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_2] SKDL: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy**Question***SKDL: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	953	91.0	91.0
mentioned	1	94	9.0	9.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_3] DEVA: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Question

DEVA: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1006	96.1	96.1
mentioned	1	41	3.9	3.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_4] KOK: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Question

KOK: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	896	85.6	85.6
mentioned	1	151	14.4	14.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_5] KESK: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Question

KESK: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	890	85.0	85.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	157	15.0	15.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_6] SMP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy**Question***SMP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	975	93.1	93.1
mentioned	1	72	6.9	6.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_7] RKP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy**Question***RKP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	991	94.7	94.7
mentioned	1	56	5.3	5.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_8] SKL: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy**Question***SKL: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1034	98.8	98.8
mentioned	1	13	1.2	1.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_9] LKP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Question

LKP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1031	98.5	98.5
mentioned	1	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_10] POP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Question

POP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1038	99.1	99.1
mentioned	1	9	0.9	0.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_11] VIHR: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Question

VIHR: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1031	98.5	98.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q23K_12] SEP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy**Question***SEP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1037	99.0	99.0
mentioned	1	10	1.0	1.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q24_1] Best composition for a majority coalition government**Question***Best composition for a majority coalition government***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP, KOK, RKP, SMP	1	350	33.4	38.2
SDP, KESK, SKDL, RKP, SMP	2	199	19.0	21.7
SDP, KESK, RKP, SMP	3	180	17.2	19.6
KOK, KESK, RKP, SMP, SKL	4	156	14.9	17.0
some other composition	5	32	3.1	3.5
can't say	6	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	130	12.4	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q24_2] Second best composition for a majority coalition government**Question***Second best composition for a majority coalition government*

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP, KOK, RKP, SMP	1	196	18.7	18.7
SDP, KESK, SKDL, RKP, SMP	2	163	15.6	15.6
SDP, KESK, RKP, SMP	3	269	25.7	25.7
KOK, KESK, RKP, SMP, SKL	4	153	14.6	14.6
some other composition	5	14	1.3	1.3
can't say	6	252	24.1	24.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25A_1] DEVA: Should be included in the coalition government

Question

DEVA: Should be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	936	89.4	89.4
mentioned	1	111	10.6	10.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25A_2] KESK: Should be included in the coalition government

Question

KESK: Should be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	303	28.9	28.9
mentioned	1	744	71.1	71.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25A_3] KOK: Should be included in the coalition government

Question

KOK: Should be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	322	30.8	30.8
mentioned	1	725	69.2	69.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25A_4] RKP: Should be included in the coalition government**Question**

RKP: Should be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	501	47.9	47.9
mentioned	1	546	52.1	52.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25A_5] SDP: Should be included in the coalition government**Question**

SDP: Should be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	196	18.7	18.7
mentioned	1	851	81.3	81.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25A_6] SKDL: Should be included in the coalition government**Question**

SKDL: Should be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	694	66.3	66.3
mentioned	1	353	33.7	33.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25A_7] SKL: Should be included in the coalition government

Question

SKL: Should be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	870	83.1	83.1
mentioned	1	177	16.9	16.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25A_8] SMP: Should be included in the coalition government

Question

SMP: Should be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	583	55.7	55.7
mentioned	1	464	44.3	44.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25A_9] VIHR: Should be included in the coalition government

Question

VIHR: Should be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	823	78.6	78.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	224	21.4	21.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25A_10] Can't say: Should be included in the coalition government**Question***Can't say: Should be included in the coalition government***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1008	96.3	96.3
mentioned	1	39	3.7	3.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25B_1] DEVA: Should not be included in the coalition government**Question***DEVA: Should not be included in the coalition government***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	525	50.1	50.1
mentioned	1	522	49.9	49.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25B_2] KESK: Should not be included in the coalition government**Question***KESK: Should not be included in the coalition government***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	972	92.8	92.8
mentioned	1	75	7.2	7.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25B_3] KOK: Should not be included in the coalition government

Question

KOK: Should not be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	959	91.6	91.6
mentioned	1	88	8.4	8.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25B_4] RKP: Should not be included in the coalition government

Question

RKP: Should not be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	950	90.7	90.7
mentioned	1	97	9.3	9.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25B_5] SDP: Should not be included in the coalition government

Question

SDP: Should not be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	994	94.9	94.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
mentioned	1	53	5.1	5.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25B_6] SKDL: Should not be included in the coalition government

Question

SKDL: Should not be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	807	77.1	77.1
mentioned	1	240	22.9	22.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25B_7] SKL: Should not be included in the coalition government

Question

SKL: Should not be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	715	68.3	68.3
mentioned	1	332	31.7	31.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25B_8] SMP: Should not be included in the coalition government

Question

SMP: Should not be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	892	85.2	85.2
mentioned	1	155	14.8	14.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25B_9] VIHR: Should not be included in the coalition government

Question

VIHR: Should not be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	746	71.3	71.3
mentioned	1	301	28.7	28.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q25B_10] Can't say: Should not be included in the coalition government

Question

Can't say: Should not be included in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	742	70.9	70.9
mentioned	1	305	29.1	29.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q26_1] Influence of party leader on party support: Pertti Paasio/SDP

Question

Influence of party leader on party support: Pertti Paasio/SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases support considerably	1	81	7.7	7.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases support a little	2	232	22.2	22.2
no influence on support	3	635	60.6	60.6
decreases support a little	4	92	8.8	8.8
decreases support considerably	5	7	0.7	0.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q26_2] Influence of party leader on party support: Ilkka Suominen/KOK**Question***Influence of party leader on party support: Ilkka Suominen/KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases support considerably	1	105	10.0	10.0
increases support a little	2	370	35.3	35.3
no influence on support	3	444	42.4	42.4
decreases support a little	4	115	11.0	11.0
decreases support considerably	5	13	1.2	1.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q26_3] Influence of party leader on party support: Paavo Väyrynen/KESK**Question***Influence of party leader on party support: Paavo Väyrynen/KESK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases support considerably	1	240	22.9	22.9
increases support a little	2	343	32.8	32.8
no influence on support	3	224	21.4	21.4
decreases support a little	4	181	17.3	17.3
decreases support considerably	5	59	5.6	5.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q26_4] Influence of party leader on party support: Esko Helle/SKDL**Question***Influence of party leader on party support: Esko Helle/SKDL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases support considerably	1	25	2.4	2.4
increases support a little	2	145	13.8	13.8
no influence on support	3	763	72.9	72.9
decreases support a little	4	93	8.9	8.9
decreases support considerably	5	21	2.0	2.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q26_5] Influence of party leader on party support: Arvo Aalto/SKP**Question***Influence of party leader on party support: Arvo Aalto/SKP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases support considerably	1	53	5.1	5.1
increases support a little	2	219	20.9	20.9
no influence on support	3	565	54.0	54.0
decreases support a little	4	162	15.5	15.5
decreases support considerably	5	48	4.6	4.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q27] Respondent's satisfaction with the performance of the current government (Prime Minister Harri Holkeri)**Question***Respondent's satisfaction with the performance of the current government (Prime Minister Harri Holkeri)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
mostly satisfied	1	565	54.0	54.0
mostly unsatisfied	2	336	32.1	32.1
can't say	3	146	13.9	13.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_1] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Foreign policy

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Foreign policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	0	48	4.6	4.6
	4	26	2.5	2.5
	5	47	4.5	4.5
	6	111	10.6	10.6
	7	238	22.7	22.8
	8	369	35.2	35.3
	9	156	14.9	14.9
	10	51	4.9	4.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_2] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Improving employment

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Improving employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	0	44	4.2	4.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
	4	127	12.1	12.2
	5	197	18.8	18.9
	6	280	26.7	26.8
	7	268	25.6	25.7
	8	113	10.8	10.8
	9	13	1.2	1.2
	10	2	0.2	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.3	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_3] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Taxation

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Taxation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	0	77	7.4	7.4
	4	179	17.1	17.1
	5	202	19.3	19.3
	6	240	22.9	22.9
	7	250	23.9	23.9
	8	83	7.9	7.9
	9	11	1.1	1.1
	10	4	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_4] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Preventing price increases

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Preventing price increases

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	0	64	6.1	6.1
	4	132	12.6	12.6
	5	169	16.1	16.2
	6	228	21.8	21.8
	7	308	29.4	29.5
	8	117	11.2	11.2
	9	23	2.2	2.2
	10	4	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_5] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Foreign trade policy

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Foreign trade policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	0	78	7.4	7.4
	4	58	5.5	5.5
	5	88	8.4	8.4
	6	174	16.6	16.6
	7	339	32.4	32.4
	8	246	23.5	23.5
	9	51	4.9	4.9
	10	13	1.2	1.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_6] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Agricultural policy

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Agricultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %	
can't say	0	86	8.2	8.2	
	4	104	9.9	10.0	
	5	161	15.4	15.4	
	6	228	21.8	21.8	
	7	318	30.4	30.4	
	8	118	11.3	11.3	
	9	26	2.5	2.5	
	10	4	0.4	0.4	
	System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
			1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_7] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Social security policy

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Social security policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %	
can't say	0	74	7.1	7.1	
	4	68	6.5	6.5	
	5	127	12.1	12.1	
	6	203	19.4	19.4	
	7	331	31.6	31.6	
	8	196	18.7	18.7	
	9	37	3.5	3.5	
	10	10	1.0	1.0	
	System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
			1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_8] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Developing the education system

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Developing the education system

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	0	87	8.3	8.3
	4	49	4.7	4.7
	5	95	9.1	9.1
	6	176	16.8	16.8
	7	352	33.6	33.6
	8	214	20.4	20.4
	9	61	5.8	5.8
	10	13	1.2	1.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_9] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Labour reforms

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Labour reforms

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	0	88	8.4	8.4
	4	97	9.3	9.3
	5	124	11.8	11.8
	6	218	20.8	20.8
	7	310	29.6	29.6
	8	160	15.3	15.3
	9	40	3.8	3.8
	10	10	1.0	1.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_10] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Environmental and nature protection issues

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Environmental and nature protection issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %	
can't say	0	77	7.4	7.4	
	4	72	6.9	6.9	
	5	136	13.0	13.0	
	6	252	24.1	24.1	
	7	333	31.8	31.8	
	8	154	14.7	14.7	
	9	17	1.6	1.6	
	10	6	0.6	0.6	
			1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_11] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Improving conditions for business

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Improving conditions for business

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %	
can't say	0	110	10.5	10.5	
	4	58	5.5	5.5	
	5	98	9.4	9.4	
	6	177	16.9	16.9	
	7	322	30.8	30.8	
	8	226	21.6	21.6	
	9	43	4.1	4.1	
	10	13	1.2	1.2	
			1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_12] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Energy issues

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Energy issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	0	103	9.8	9.8
	4	51	4.9	4.9
	5	74	7.1	7.1
	6	173	16.5	16.5
	7	375	35.8	35.8
	8	220	21.0	21.0
	9	43	4.1	4.1
	10	8	0.8	0.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q28_13] Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Regional development policy

Question

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Regional development policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	0	106	10.1	10.1
	4	73	7.0	7.0
	5	140	13.4	13.4
	6	244	23.3	23.3
	7	348	33.2	33.3
	8	113	10.8	10.8
	9	17	1.6	1.6
	10	5	0.5	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q29] When thinking about the forthcoming municipal elections, will you make your voting decision based on...

Question

When thinking about the forthcoming municipal elections, will you make your voting decision based on...

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
national policies of the parties	1	340	32.5	32.5
party policies pertaining to own municipality based equally on both	2	480	45.8	45.8
can't say	3	184	17.6	17.6
	4	43	4.1	4.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30A] In your opinion, what is the single area most in need of development in your municipality of residence?

Question

In your opinion, what is the single area most in need of development in your municipality of residence?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
transport	1	82	7.8	7.9
education, schools	2	36	3.4	3.5
health services	3	119	11.4	11.4
improving conditions for enterprises	4	75	7.2	7.2
supporting agriculture	5	27	2.6	2.6
children's day care services	6	109	10.4	10.5
employment	7	269	25.7	25.8
recreational services	8	17	1.6	1.6
housing	9	199	19.0	19.1
unpolluted environment	10	47	4.5	4.5
conditions for the elderly	11	47	4.5	4.5
can't say	12	16	1.5	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	4	0.4	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30B] In your opinion, what is the second single area that is in need of development in your municipality?

Question

In your opinion, what is the second single area that is in need of development in your municipality?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
transport	1	79	7.5	7.6
education, schools	2	38	3.6	3.6
health services	3	85	8.1	8.1
improving conditions for enterprises	4	65	6.2	6.2
supporting agriculture	5	22	2.1	2.1
children's day care services	6	119	11.4	11.4
employment	7	209	20.0	20.0
recreational services	8	32	3.1	3.1
housing	9	193	18.4	18.5
unpolluted environment	10	79	7.5	7.6
conditions for the elderly	11	96	9.2	9.2
can't say	12	29	2.8	2.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_1] Which areas are not of top priority: Transport

Question

Which areas are not of top priority: Transport

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	938	89.6	89.6
mentioned	1	109	10.4	10.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_2] Which areas are not of top priority: Education, schools

Question

Which areas are not of top priority: Education, schools

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1008	96.3	96.3
mentioned	1	39	3.7	3.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_3] Which areas are not of top priority: Health services**Question**

Which areas are not of top priority: Health services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1021	97.5	97.5
mentioned	1	26	2.5	2.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_4] Which areas are not of top priority: Improving conditions for enterprises**Question**

Which areas are not of top priority: Improving conditions for enterprises

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	959	91.6	91.6
mentioned	1	88	8.4	8.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_5] Which areas are not of top priority: Supporting agriculture**Question**

Which areas are not of top priority: Supporting agriculture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	794	75.8	75.8
mentioned	1	253	24.2	24.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_6] Which areas are not of top priority: Children's day care services**Question***Which areas are not of top priority: Children's day care services***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1028	98.2	98.2
mentioned	1	19	1.8	1.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_7] Which areas are not of top priority: Employment**Question***Which areas are not of top priority: Employment***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1025	97.9	97.9
mentioned	1	22	2.1	2.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_8] Which areas are not of top priority: Recreational services**Question***Which areas are not of top priority: Recreational services***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	722	69.0	69.0
mentioned	1	325	31.0	31.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_9] Which areas are not of top priority: Housing**Question**

Which areas are not of top priority: Housing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1030	98.4	98.4
mentioned	1	17	1.6	1.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_10] Which areas are not of top priority: Unpolluted environment**Question**

Which areas are not of top priority: Unpolluted environment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	992	94.7	94.7
mentioned	1	55	5.3	5.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_11] Which areas are not of top priority: Conditions for the elderly**Question**

Which areas are not of top priority: Conditions for the elderly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1026	98.0	98.0
mentioned	1	21	2.0	2.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q30C_12] Which areas are not of top priority: Can't say**Question**

Which areas are not of top priority: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	693	66.2	66.2
mentioned	1	354	33.8	33.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q31_1] Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Rapid growth of the metropolitan area should be curbed**Question**

Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Rapid growth of the metropolitan area should be curbed

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	396	37.8	37.8
agree	2	378	36.1	36.1
disagree	3	188	18.0	18.0
strongly disagree	4	57	5.4	5.4
can't say	5	28	2.7	2.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q31_2] Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Public administration should be decentralized by transferring power to provinces and municipalities**Question**

Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Public administration should be decentralized by transferring power to provinces and municipalities

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	357	34.1	34.1
agree	2	502	47.9	47.9
disagree	3	117	11.2	11.2
strongly disagree	4	26	2.5	2.5
can't say	5	45	4.3	4.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q31_3] Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Regional agricultural subsidies should be increased

Question

Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Regional agricultural subsidies should be increased

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	160	15.3	15.3
agree	2	353	33.7	33.7
disagree	3	335	32.0	32.0
strongly disagree	4	112	10.7	10.7
can't say	5	87	8.3	8.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q31_4] Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Funding of regional universities should be increased

Question

Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Funding of regional universities should be increased

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	184	17.6	17.6
agree	2	483	46.1	46.1
disagree	3	199	19.0	19.0
strongly disagree	4	36	3.4	3.4
can't say	5	145	13.8	13.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q31_5] Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Direct subsidies to enterprises should be increased in the least developed regions

Question

Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Direct subsidies to enterprises should be increased in the least developed regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	335	32.0	32.0
agree	2	544	52.0	52.0
disagree	3	108	10.3	10.3
strongly disagree	4	19	1.8	1.8
can't say	5	41	3.9	3.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q31_6] Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Well-balanced regional development is not the first priority

Question

Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Well-balanced regional development is not the first priority

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	64	6.1	6.1
agree	2	286	27.3	27.3
disagree	3	480	45.8	45.8
strongly disagree	4	133	12.7	12.7
can't say	5	84	8.0	8.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q32_1] Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Major changes in production

Question

Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Major changes in production

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
should negotiate long before a decision is taken	1	639	61.0	61.0
should negotiate shortly before a decision is taken	2	272	26.0	26.0
should negotiate only after a decision is taken	3	33	3.2	3.2
should not negotiate at all	4	68	6.5	6.5
can't say	5	35	3.3	3.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q32_2] Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Major personnel redundancies and lay-offs

Question

Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Major personnel redundancies and lay-offs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
should negotiate long before a decision is taken	1	881	84.1	84.1
should negotiate shortly before a decision is taken	2	124	11.8	11.8
should negotiate only after a decision is taken	3	10	1.0	1.0
should not negotiate at all	4	12	1.1	1.1
can't say	5	20	1.9	1.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q32_3] Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Change of company ownership

Question

Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Change of company ownership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
should negotiate long before a decision is taken	1	573	54.7	54.7
should negotiate shortly before a decision is taken	2	268	25.6	25.6
should negotiate only after a decision is taken	3	53	5.1	5.1
should not negotiate at all	4	121	11.6	11.6
can't say	5	32	3.1	3.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q32_4] Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Company mergers**Question**

Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Company mergers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
should negotiate long before a decision is taken	1	447	42.7	42.7
should negotiate shortly before a decision is taken	2	359	34.3	34.3
should negotiate only after a decision is taken	3	79	7.5	7.5
should not negotiate at all	4	124	11.8	11.8
can't say	5	38	3.6	3.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q32_5] Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Change of company management**Question**

Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Change of company management

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
should negotiate long before a decision is taken	1	269	25.7	25.7
should negotiate shortly before a decision is taken	2	372	35.5	35.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
should negotiate only after a decision is taken	3	115	11.0	11.0
should not negotiate at all	4	250	23.9	23.9
can't say	5	41	3.9	3.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q32_6] Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Decisions on organisational changes

Question

Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Decisions on organisational changes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
should negotiate long before a decision is taken	1	457	43.6	43.6
should negotiate shortly before a decision is taken	2	405	38.7	38.7
should negotiate only after a decision is taken	3	59	5.6	5.6
should not negotiate at all	4	74	7.1	7.1
can't say	5	52	5.0	5.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_1] Do you agree or disagree: All income should be taxed equally

Question

Do you agree or disagree: All income should be taxed equally

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	290	27.7	27.7
agree	2	279	26.6	26.6
disagree	3	361	34.5	34.5
strongly disagree	4	82	7.8	7.8
can't say	5	35	3.3	3.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_2] Do you agree or disagree: Income tax rate of private persons should be lowered

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Income tax rate of private persons should be lowered

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	434	41.5	41.5
agree	2	483	46.1	46.1
disagree	3	79	7.5	7.5
strongly disagree	4	18	1.7	1.7
can't say	5	33	3.2	3.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_3] Do you agree or disagree: Fringe benefits should be taxed at full value

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Fringe benefits should be taxed at full value

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	197	18.8	18.8
agree	2	311	29.7	29.7
disagree	3	376	35.9	35.9
strongly disagree	4	119	11.4	11.4
can't say	5	44	4.2	4.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_4] Do you agree or disagree: Interest earned on bank deposits should be tax-free

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Interest earned on bank deposits should be tax-free

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	676	64.6	64.6
agree	2	314	30.0	30.0
disagree	3	24	2.3	2.3
strongly disagree	4	17	1.6	1.6
can't say	5	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_5] Do you agree or disagree: Interest earned on bonds and long-term bank deposits should be taxable

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Interest earned on bonds and long-term bank deposits should be taxable

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	116	11.1	11.1
agree	2	229	21.9	21.9
disagree	3	405	38.7	38.7
strongly disagree	4	253	24.2	24.2
can't say	5	44	4.2	4.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_6] Do you agree or disagree: There should be less tax deductions

Question

Do you agree or disagree: There should be less tax deductions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	71	6.8	6.8
agree	2	158	15.1	15.1
disagree	3	445	42.5	42.5
strongly disagree	4	337	32.2	32.2
can't say	5	36	3.4	3.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_7] Do you agree or disagree: Tax deductions and income tax rate should be kept at present level

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Tax deductions and income tax rate should be kept at present level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	119	11.4	11.4
agree	2	313	29.9	29.9
disagree	3	450	43.0	43.0
strongly disagree	4	93	8.9	8.9
can't say	5	72	6.9	6.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_8] Do you agree or disagree: Social tax deductions, like tax allowance for single parents, should be substituted by direct subsidies

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Social tax deductions, like tax allowance for single parents, should be substituted by direct subsidies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	161	15.4	15.4
agree	2	442	42.2	42.2
disagree	3	281	26.8	26.8
strongly disagree	4	56	5.3	5.3
can't say	5	107	10.2	10.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_9] Do you agree or disagree: Earned income allowance should be abandoned, and income tax rate lowered correspondingly

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Earned income allowance should be abandoned, and income tax rate lowered correspondingly

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	124	11.8	11.8
agree	2	373	35.6	35.6
disagree	3	263	25.1	25.1
strongly disagree	4	52	5.0	5.0
can't say	5	235	22.4	22.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_10] Do you agree or disagree: Trade union membership fees should remain deductible

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Trade union membership fees should remain deductible

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	474	45.3	45.3
agree	2	410	39.2	39.2
disagree	3	98	9.4	9.4
strongly disagree	4	29	2.8	2.8
can't say	5	36	3.4	3.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_11] Do you agree or disagree: High income earners profit most from tax deductions

Question

Do you agree or disagree: High income earners profit most from tax deductions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	278	26.6	26.6
agree	2	400	38.2	38.2
disagree	3	230	22.0	22.0
strongly disagree	4	37	3.5	3.5
can't say	5	102	9.7	9.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_12] Do you agree or disagree: Lowering income tax rate favours people with high incomes

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Lowering income tax rate favours people with high incomes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	264	25.2	25.2
agree	2	439	41.9	41.9
disagree	3	174	16.6	16.6
strongly disagree	4	26	2.5	2.5
can't say	5	144	13.8	13.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_13] Do you agree or disagree: Corporate tax rate should be higher

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Corporate tax rate should be higher

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	129	12.3	12.3
agree	2	263	25.1	25.1
disagree	3	408	39.0	39.0
strongly disagree	4	151	14.4	14.4
can't say	5	96	9.2	9.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_14] Do you agree or disagree: Tax burden on agriculture should be lower

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Tax burden on agriculture should be lower

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	124	11.8	11.8
agree	2	354	33.8	33.8
disagree	3	328	31.3	31.3
strongly disagree	4	123	11.7	11.7
can't say	5	118	11.3	11.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_15] Do you agree or disagree: Tax on capital should be higher

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Tax on capital should be higher

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	145	13.8	13.8
agree	2	329	31.4	31.4
disagree	3	386	36.9	36.9
strongly disagree	4	115	11.0	11.0
can't say	5	72	6.9	6.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_16] Do you agree or disagree: Tax burden on forestry should be higher

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Tax burden on forestry should be higher

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	61	5.8	5.8
agree	2	210	20.1	20.1
disagree	3	470	44.9	44.9
strongly disagree	4	157	15.0	15.0
can't say	5	149	14.2	14.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_17] Do you agree or disagree: Small income earners profit most from tax deductions

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Small income earners profit most from tax deductions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	28	2.7	2.7
agree	2	141	13.5	13.5
disagree	3	505	48.2	48.2
strongly disagree	4	266	25.4	25.4
can't say	5	107	10.2	10.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_18] Do you agree or disagree: Capital gains tax should be higher

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Capital gains tax should be higher

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	164	15.7	15.7
agree	2	386	36.9	36.9
disagree	3	316	30.2	30.2
strongly disagree	4	90	8.6	8.6
can't say	5	91	8.7	8.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_19] Do you agree or disagree: Rental income from housing should become taxable

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Rental income from housing should become taxable

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	50	4.8	4.8
agree	2	211	20.2	20.2
disagree	3	445	42.5	42.5
strongly disagree	4	254	24.3	24.3
can't say	5	87	8.3	8.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_20] Do you agree or disagree: Consumption tax should be higher

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Consumption tax should be higher

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	51	4.9	4.9
agree	2	223	21.3	21.3
disagree	3	472	45.1	45.1
strongly disagree	4	221	21.1	21.1
can't say	5	80	7.6	7.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_21] Do you agree or disagree: Municipal income tax percentage should be the same in all Finnish municipalities

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Municipal income tax percentage should be the same in all Finnish municipalities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	136	13.0	13.0
agree	2	274	26.2	26.2
disagree	3	410	39.2	39.2
strongly disagree	4	145	13.8	13.8
can't say	5	82	7.8	7.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q33_22] Do you agree or disagree: Inheritance tax should be higher**Question**

Do you agree or disagree: Inheritance tax should be higher

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	28	2.7	2.7
agree	2	124	11.8	11.8
disagree	3	521	49.8	49.8
strongly disagree	4	297	28.4	28.4
can't say	5	77	7.4	7.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_1] Are you in favour or against: Staff participation in company decision-making should be encouraged**Question**

Are you in favour or against: Staff participation in company decision-making should be encouraged

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	793	75.7	75.7
against	2	127	12.1	12.1
have no opinion on the matter	3	86	8.2	8.2
can't say	4	41	3.9	3.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_2] Are you in favour or against: Profit-sharing systems in the form of personnel funds or personnel training funds should be established**Question**

Are you in favour or against: Profit-sharing systems in the form of personnel funds or personnel training funds should be established

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	656	62.7	62.7
against	2	137	13.1	13.1
have no opinion on the matter	3	159	15.2	15.2
can't say	4	95	9.1	9.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_3] Are you in favour or against: Notice periods of employees should be lengthened

Question

Are you in favour or against: Notice periods of employees should be lengthened

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	670	64.0	64.0
against	2	261	24.9	24.9
have no opinion on the matter	3	69	6.6	6.6
can't say	4	47	4.5	4.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_4] Are you in favour or against: Public sector should be downsized

Question

Are you in favour or against: Public sector should be downsized

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	471	45.0	45.0
against	2	268	25.6	25.6
have no opinion on the matter	3	155	14.8	14.8
can't say	4	153	14.6	14.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_5] Are you in favour or against: Public sector workforce should be downsized

Question

Are you in favour or against: Public sector workforce should be downsized

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	632	60.4	60.4
against	2	245	23.4	23.4
have no opinion on the matter	3	94	9.0	9.0
can't say	4	76	7.3	7.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_6] Are you in favour or against: Number of public services should be cut**Question**

Are you in favour or against: Number of public services should be cut

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	119	11.4	11.4
against	2	834	79.7	79.7
have no opinion on the matter	3	51	4.9	4.9
can't say	4	43	4.1	4.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_7] Are you in favour or against: Big corporations should be nationalized**Question**

Are you in favour or against: Big corporations should be nationalized

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	132	12.6	12.6
against	2	782	74.7	74.7
have no opinion on the matter	3	60	5.7	5.7
can't say	4	73	7.0	7.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_8] Are you in favour or against: Defence expenditure should be cut**Question**

Are you in favour or against: Defence expenditure should be cut

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	391	37.3	37.3
against	2	494	47.2	47.2
have no opinion on the matter	3	97	9.3	9.3
can't say	4	65	6.2	6.2
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_9] Are you in favour or against: Banks should be socialized**Question**

Are you in favour or against: Banks should be socialized

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	135	12.9	12.9
against	2	788	75.3	75.3
have no opinion on the matter	3	55	5.3	5.3
can't say	4	69	6.6	6.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_10] Are you in favour or against: Social welfare benefits should be cut**Question**

Are you in favour or against: Social welfare benefits should be cut

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	123	11.7	11.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
against	2	828	79.1	79.1
have no opinion on the matter	3	43	4.1	4.1
can't say	4	53	5.1	5.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_11] Are you in favour or against: State-owned enterprises should be privatized

Question

Are you in favour or against: State-owned enterprises should be privatized

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	216	20.6	20.6
against	2	613	58.5	58.5
have no opinion on the matter	3	124	11.8	11.8
can't say	4	94	9.0	9.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_12] Are you in favour or against: Development aid should be reduced

Question

Are you in favour or against: Development aid should be reduced

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	165	15.8	15.8
against	2	729	69.6	69.6
have no opinion on the matter	3	103	9.8	9.8
can't say	4	50	4.8	4.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_13] Are you in favour or against: Private health services should be increased

Question

Are you in favour or against: Private health services should be increased

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	619	59.1	59.1
against	2	273	26.1	26.1
have no opinion on the matter	3	108	10.3	10.3
can't say	4	47	4.5	4.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_14] Are you in favour or against: All pornography should be prohibited

Question

Are you in favour or against: All pornography should be prohibited

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	229	21.9	21.9
against	2	522	49.9	49.9
have no opinion on the matter	3	245	23.4	23.4
can't say	4	51	4.9	4.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q34_15] Are you in favour or against: We should stop using nuclear power for energy production

Question

Are you in favour or against: We should stop using nuclear power for energy production

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in favour	1	442	42.2	42.2
against	2	434	41.5	41.5
have no opinion on the matter	3	88	8.4	8.4
can't say	4	83	7.9	7.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q35_1] Do you agree or disagree: There is at least one political party that promotes my interests

Question

Do you agree or disagree: There is at least one political party that promotes my interests

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	211	20.2	20.2
agree	2	340	32.5	32.5
hard to say	3	256	24.5	24.5
disagree	4	132	12.6	12.6
strongly disagree	5	108	10.3	10.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q35_2] Do you agree or disagree: Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	271	25.9	25.9
agree	2	450	43.0	43.0
hard to say	3	116	11.1	11.1
disagree	4	169	16.1	16.1
strongly disagree	5	41	3.9	3.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q35_3] Do you agree or disagree: Through political parties, opinions of the citizens will be taken into account in decision-making

Question

Do you agree or disagree: Through political parties, opinions of the citizens will be taken into account in decision-making

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	85	8.1	8.1
agree	2	381	36.4	36.4
hard to say	3	181	17.3	17.3
disagree	4	301	28.7	28.7
strongly disagree	5	99	9.5	9.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q35_4] Do you agree or disagree: So-called alternative social movements often represent public opinion better than political parties

Question

Do you agree or disagree: So-called alternative social movements often represent public opinion better than political parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	119	11.4	11.4
agree	2	349	33.3	33.3
hard to say	3	289	27.6	27.6
disagree	4	189	18.1	18.1
strongly disagree	5	101	9.6	9.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q37_1] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Finnish Employers' Confederation (STK)

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Finnish Employers' Confederation (STK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1044	99.7	99.7
mentioned	1	3	0.3	0.3
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q37_2] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Employers' Confederation of Service Industries (LKT)

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Employers' Confederation of Service Industries (LKT)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1036	98.9	98.9
mentioned	1	11	1.1	1.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q37_3] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK)

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	812	77.6	77.6
mentioned	1	235	22.4	22.4
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q37_4] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Salaried Employees in Finland (TVK)

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Salaried Employees in Finland (TVK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	921	88.0	88.0
mentioned	1	126	12.0	12.0
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q37_5] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals in Finland (AKAVA)

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals in Finland (AKAVA)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	983	93.9	93.9
mentioned	1	64	6.1	6.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q37_6] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees (STTK)

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees (STTK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1009	96.4	96.4
mentioned	1	38	3.6	3.6
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q37_7] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Central Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners (MTK)

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Central Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners (MTK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1008	96.3	96.3
mentioned	1	39	3.7	3.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q37_8] Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Finnish Entrepreneurs (SYKL)

Question

Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Finnish Entrepreneurs (SYKL)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1036	98.9	98.9
mentioned	1	11	1.1	1.1
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q37_9] I'm not a member of any trade union or professional association

Question

I'm not a member of any trade union or professional association

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	536	51.2	51.2
mentioned	1	511	48.8	48.8
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q37_10] Can't say

Question

Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
not mentioned	0	1031	98.5	98.5
mentioned	1	16	1.5	1.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q38] Are you a member of any political party, or a member of womens'/youth organisation of any party?

Question

Are you a member of any political party, or a member of womens'/youth organisation of any party?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	137	13.1	13.1
no	2	903	86.2	86.2
can't say	3	7	0.7	0.7
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q39] Respondent's occupational status (if retired, former occupational status)

Question

Respondent's occupational status (if retired, former occupational status)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
farmer	1	67	6.4	6.4
unskilled worker	2	204	19.5	19.6
skilled worker	3	302	28.8	29.0
intermediate level or professional employee	4	258	24.6	24.8
managerial position	5	77	7.4	7.4
student	6	104	9.9	10.0
retired	7	1	0.1	0.1
housewife	8	29	2.8	2.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	5	0.5	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q40] Occupational status of the head of the household (if retired, former occupational status)**Question**

Occupational status of the head of the household (if retired, former occupational status)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
farmer	1	84	8.0	8.1
unskilled worker	2	179	17.1	17.3
skilled worker	3	347	33.1	33.6
intermediate level or professional employee	4	270	25.8	26.1
managerial position	5	93	8.9	9.0
student	6	53	5.1	5.1
retired	7	2	0.2	0.2
housewife	8	5	0.5	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	14	1.3	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q41] Respondent's occupational group**Question**

Respondent's occupational group

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
managerial position in the employ of someone else	1	30	2.9	2.9
professional, upper level employee	2	64	6.1	6.1
intermediate level employee (technical, clerical, admin.)	3	130	12.4	12.5
worker	4	378	36.1	36.3
entrepreneur or self-employed	5	59	5.6	5.7
farmer	6	47	4.5	4.5
student	7	100	9.6	9.6
retired	8	165	15.8	15.8
housewife	9	38	3.6	3.6
unemployed	10	24	2.3	2.3
other	11	7	0.7	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	5	0.5	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q42] Have you yourself or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month over the past two years?

Question

Have you yourself or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month over the past two years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	1	806	77.0	77.0
yes, myself	2	136	13.0	13.0
yes, another family member	3	96	9.2	9.2
can't say	4	9	0.9	0.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q43] Respondent's marital status

Question

Respondent's marital status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
single	1	238	22.7	22.7
co-habiting	2	137	13.1	13.1
married	3	522	49.9	49.9
divorced	4	71	6.8	6.8
widow	5	79	7.5	7.5
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q44] Respondent's basic education

Question

Respondent's basic education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
primary education or less	1	482	46.0	46.3
lower secondary education	2	274	26.2	26.3
upper secondary education (matriculation examination)	3	285	27.2	27.4
other	4	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	6	0.6	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q45] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no vocational education	1	283	27.0	27.6
vocational course or other short voc. education	2	241	23.0	23.5
Vocational school or equivalent (upper secondary level)	3	244	23.3	23.8
college level vocational education	4	169	16.1	16.5
	5	86	8.2	8.4
polytechnic or university education	6	1	0.1	0.1
other	7	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	23	2.2	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q46] Respondent's vocation education field

Question

Respondent's vocation education field

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
technical or construction	1	211	20.2	20.2
commercial	2	200	19.1	19.1
health care, sport or medicine	3	79	7.5	7.5
agriculture or forestry	4	49	4.7	4.7
social services	5	28	2.7	2.7
humanities, arts	6	32	3.1	3.1
other social science field	7	35	3.3	3.3
other	8	16	1.5	1.5
can't say	9	397	37.9	37.9
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q47] Respondent's employer

Question

Respondent's employer

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
state or municipality (public sector), or church	1	266	25.4	26.4
private industrial company	2	165	15.8	16.4
private service sector company	3	204	19.5	20.2
other	4	74	7.1	7.3
not in paid employment	5	299	28.6	29.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	39	3.7	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q48] Respondent's mother tongue**Question***Respondent's mother tongue***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	1	944	90.2	90.2
Swedish	2	101	9.6	9.7
other	3	1	0.1	0.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q49] Respondent's gender**Question***Respondent's gender***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
female	1	544	52.0	52.1
male	2	501	47.9	47.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q50] Monthly gross income of the household in Finnish marks**Question***Monthly gross income of the household in Finnish marks***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
under 4.000	1	145	13.8	14.0
4.001 - 6.000	2	175	16.7	16.9
6.001 - 8.000	3	144	13.8	13.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
8.001 - 10.000	4	146	13.9	14.1
10.001 - 15.000	5	221	21.1	21.4
15.001 - 20.000	6	91	8.7	8.8
20.001 - 25.000	7	40	3.8	3.9
over 25.000	8	22	2.1	2.1
missing value	9	51	4.9	4.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	12	1.1	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q51_1] Respondent's age

Question

Respondent's age

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
under 20 years	1	45	4.3	4.3
20 - 24 years	2	145	13.8	13.9
25 - 29 years	3	161	15.4	15.5
30 - 34 years	4	138	13.2	13.3
35 - 39 years	5	93	8.9	8.9
40 - 49 years	6	154	14.7	14.8
50 - 64 years	7	178	17.0	17.1
over 65 years	8	127	12.1	12.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	6	0.6	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q51_2] Respondent's year of birth

Question

Respondent's year of birth

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1047

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
minimum	1896.00
maximum	1970.00
mean	1946.43
standard deviation	17.08

[Q52] Respondent's place of residence**Question***Respondent's place of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki	1	149	14.2	14.3
Espoo	2	25	2.4	2.4
Vantaa	3	28	2.7	2.7
Kauniainen	4	1	0.1	0.1
Turku	5	66	6.3	6.3
Tampere	6	40	3.8	3.8
Lahti, Oulu, Pori, Kuopio	7	42	4.0	4.0
other town	8	352	33.6	33.7
rural municipality	9	341	32.6	32.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	3	0.3	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q53] Respondent's electoral district**Question***Respondent's electoral district***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
city of Helsinki	1	144	13.8	13.9
Uusimaa province	2	145	13.8	14.0
Turku province/southern	3	105	10.0	10.1
Turku province/northern	4	64	6.1	6.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Häme province/southern	5	66	6.3	6.4
Häme province/northern	6	51	4.9	4.9
Kymi province	7	82	7.8	7.9
Mikkeli province	8	46	4.4	4.4
Pohjois-Karjala province	9	26	2.5	2.5
Kuopio province	10	56	5.3	5.4
Vaasa province	11	99	9.5	9.5
Keski-Suomi province	12	44	4.2	4.2
Oulu province	13	69	6.6	6.6
Lappi province	14	41	3.9	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	9	0.9	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q55_1] Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the direct election?

Question

Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the direct election?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Harri Holkeri	1	160	15.3	16.0
Jouko Kajanoja	2	13	1.2	1.3
Kalevi Kivistö	3	79	7.5	7.9
Mauno Koivisto	4	424	40.5	42.5
Paavo Väyrynen	5	152	14.5	15.2
cast an invalid vote	6	3	0.3	0.3
did not vote at all	7	111	10.6	11.1
do not want to say	8	56	5.3	5.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	49	4.7	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q55_2] The elector of which party or group did you vote for in the indirect election?

Question

The elector of which party or group did you vote for in the indirect election?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP	1	303	28.9	29.9
KOK	2	167	16.0	16.5
KESK	3	163	15.6	16.1
'Liike -88' of SKDL	4	63	6.0	6.2
SMP	5	16	1.5	1.6
DEVA	6	15	1.4	1.5
Pro-Koivisto movement	7	44	4.2	4.3
cast an invalid vote	8	117	11.2	11.5
did not vote at all	9	9	0.9	0.9
cannot/do not want to say	10	118	11.3	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	32	3.1	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q56_1] If the municipal elections were held now, how likely is it that you would vote?**Question**

If the municipal elections were held now, how likely is it that you would vote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
It is very likely that I would vote	1	691	66.0	68.1
I would propably vote	2	196	18.7	19.3
I would probably not vote	3	60	5.7	5.9
can't say	4	68	6.5	6.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	32	3.1	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q56_2] If the municipal elections were held now, which party would you vote for?**Question**

If the municipal elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
DEVA	1	17	1.6	1.7
KESK	2	184	17.6	18.2
KOK	3	185	17.7	18.3
RKP	4	56	5.3	5.5
SDP	5	263	25.1	26.0
SKDL	6	70	6.7	6.9
SKL	7	5	0.5	0.5
SMP	8	24	2.3	2.4
VIHR'	9	31	3.0	3.1
LKP	10	2	0.2	0.2
POP	11	2	0.2	0.2
SEP	12	3	0.3	0.3
some other group	13	12	1.1	1.2
would not vote	14	30	2.9	3.0
cannot/do not want to say	15	128	12.2	12.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	35	3.3	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q56_3] If the municipal elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference?

Question

If the municipal elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
DEVA	1	12	1.1	1.2
KESK	2	109	10.4	10.8
KOK	3	144	13.8	14.3
RKP	4	58	5.5	5.7
SDP	5	131	12.5	13.0
SKDL	6	77	7.4	7.6
SKL	7	14	1.3	1.4
SMP	8	46	4.4	4.6
VIHR	9	42	4.0	4.2
LKP	10	20	1.9	2.0
POP	11	7	0.7	0.7
SEP	12	5	0.5	0.5
some other group	13	5	0.5	0.5
no second preference	14	119	11.4	11.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
cannot/do not want to say	15	221	21.1	21.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	37	3.5	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q56_4] Which party did you vote for in the 1987 parliamentary elections?**Question***Which party did you vote for in the 1987 parliamentary elections?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
DEVA	1	15	1.4	1.5
KESK	2	118	11.3	11.7
KOK	3	169	16.1	16.7
RKP	4	55	5.3	5.4
SDP	5	238	22.7	23.5
SKDL	6	64	6.1	6.3
SKL	7	6	0.6	0.6
SMP	8	22	2.1	2.2
VIHR	9	24	2.3	2.4
LKP	10	7	0.7	0.7
POP	11	4	0.4	0.4
SEP	12	2	0.2	0.2
some other group	13	4	0.4	0.4
did not vote	14	159	15.2	15.7
cannot remember	15	33	3.2	3.3
cannot/do not want to say	16	91	8.7	9.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	36	3.4	–
		1047	100.0	100.0

[Q56_5] How closely do your own political views match with those of the party you indicated in question q56_2 that you would have voted for**Question***How closely do your own political views match with those of the party you indicated in question q56_2 that you would have voted for***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
very closely	1	164	15.7	16.2
closely enough	2	462	44.1	45.5
not very closely	3	114	10.9	11.2
can't say	4	275	26.3	27.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	32	3.1	—
		1047	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD processing level [FSD_PRO]	6
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
What are you most worried about at present: AIDS/HIV [Q1_1]	6
What are you most worried about at present: Employment [Q1_2]	7
What are you most worried about at present: War [Q1_3]	7
What are you most worried about at present: Pollution [Q1_4]	7
What are you most worried about at present: Housing situation [Q1_5]	8
What are you most worried about at present: Livelihood [Q1_6]	8
What are you most worried about at present: Strikes [Q1_7]	8
What are you most worried about at present: Health [Q1_8]	9
What are you most worried about at present: Famine [Q1_9]	9
What are you most worried about at present: Energy, nuclear power [Q1_10]	9
What are you most worried about at present: Future of the young [Q1_11]	10
What are you most worried about at present: Depopulation of rural areas [Q1_12]	10
What are you most worried about at present: Conditions for the elderly [Q1_13]	10
What are you most worried about at present: Finnish politics [Q1_14]	11
What are you most worried about at present: Day care of children [Q1_15]	11
What are you most worried about at present: Prize increases [Q1_16]	11
What are you most worried about at present: Violence [Q1_17]	12
What are you most worried about at present: Rat-racing (competitive struggle to have a higher living standard than others) [Q1_18]	12

3. Indexes

What are you most worried about at present: Taxation [Q1_19]	12
What are you most worried about at present: Structural change in Finland [Q1_20]	13
What are you most worried about at present: Private matters [Q1_21]	13
What are you most worried about at present: General state of affairs in the world [Q1_22] ..	13
What are you most worried about at present: Something else [Q1_23]	14
What are you most worried about at present: Nothing in particular [Q1_24]	14
What are you most worried about at present: Can't say [Q1_25]	14
Respondent's own interest in politics [Q2]	15
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Yourself [Q3_1]	15
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Harri Holkeri [Q3_2] ...	15
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Jouko Kajanoja [Q3_3] ..	16
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Kalevi Kivistö [Q3_4] ..	16
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Mauno Koivisto [Q3_5] ..	17
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Paavo Väyrynen [Q3_6] ..	17
How many presidential candidates did you seriously consider when deciding who to vote for? [Q4]	18
When did you make your final decision whether to vote or not? [Q5]	18
Did you vote in the presidential election? [Q6]	19
When did you decide which presidential candidate to vote for? [Q7]	19
When did you decide the electoral candidate of which party or group to vote for? [Q8]	20
When did you vote? [Q9]	20
In the 1988 election, voters could vote both for a presidential candidate and an elector. How difficult did you find the use of two ballots in the election? [Q10]	20
How did you vote, i.e., did you use both ballots? [Q11]	21
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: It is the person that matters in this election [Q12_1]	21
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: As a protest against electoral college [Q12_2]	22
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: To ensure the choice of the presidential candidate [Q12_3]	22
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: It is democratic [Q12_4]	22
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: I hardly ever vote anyway [Q12_5]	23
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Statistics Finland... [Q12_6]	23
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: No	

suitable... [Q12_7]	23
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: No suitable... [Q12_8]	24
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Other reason [Q12_9]	24
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Can't say [Q12_10]	25
When you vote, do you consider the presidential candidate or the party more important? [Q13]	25
In the direct vote, was it difficult for you to choose the presidential candidate to vote for? [Q14_1]	25
In the indirect vote, was it difficult for you to choose the party/group to vote for? [Q14_2] .	26
If the presidential elections were held now, with the same presidential candidates, would you vote for the same candidate that you voted in the actual election? [Q15]	26
Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: No longer any need to protest [Q16_1]	27
Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Changed opinion [Q16_2]	27
Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Passing whim [Q16_3]	27
Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Other reason [Q16_4]	28
Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Can't say [Q16_5]	28
Regarding the direct vote, what was the main reason for your choice of the presidential candidate? [Q17A]	28
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: foreign policy [Q17B_1]	29
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: government composition [Q17B_2]	29
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: ideological reasons [Q17B_3]	30
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: television appearance [Q17B_4] ...	30
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: personal characteristics [Q17B_5] ..	30
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: taxation [Q17B_6]	31
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: circumstances for families with children [Q17B_7]	31
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: defends the weak [Q17B_8]	31
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: unemployment [Q17B_9]	32
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: promotes the interests of entrepreneurs [Q17B_10]	32
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: reforms in labour market [Q17B_11]	32
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: regional policy [Q17B_12]	33
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: changed opinion [Q17B_13]	33

3. Indexes

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: no other option [Q17B_14]	33
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: promotes the interests of employees [Q17B_15]	34
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: long experience [Q17B_16]	34
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: good record [Q17B_17]	34
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: best alternative [Q17B_18]	35
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: president for the entire nation [Q17B_19]	35
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: domestic policy [Q17B_20]	35
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: other reason [Q17B_21]	36
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: can't say [Q17B_22]	36
To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's views on foreign policy [Q18_1]	36
] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's views on government composition [Q18_2]	37
] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's ideological background [Q18_3]	37
] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's performance on television [Q18_4]	38
] To what extent was your choice influenced by the image of the candidate as a person [Q18_5]	38
Of the six presidential election systems mentioned below, which one do you consider the best? [Q19]	38
Did you vote in the 1987 parliamentary elections? [Q20]	39
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: [Q21A_1]	39
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Kalevi Kivistö [Q21A_2]	40
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Eeva Kuuskoski-Vikatmaa [Q21A_3]	40
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Mauno Koivisto [Q21A_4]	40
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pertti Paasio [Q21A_5]	41
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Kalevi Sorsa [Q21A_6]	41
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Ilkka Suominen [Q21A_7]	41
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Cristoffer Taxell [Q21A_8]	42

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pirkko Työläjärvi [Q21A_9]	42
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pekka Venamo [Q21A_10]	42
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Paavo Väyrynen [Q21A_11]	43
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Jouko Kajanoja [Q21A_12]	43
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Keijo Korhonen [Q21A_13]	44
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Erkki Liikanen [Q21A_14]	44
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Matti Ahde [Q21A_15]	44
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pertti Salo-lainen [Q21A_16]	45
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Raimo Ilaskivi [Q21A_17]	45
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Ulf Sundqvist [Q21A_18]	45
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Max Jacobsson [Q21A_19]	46
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Other candi-date [Q21A_20]	46
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Can't say [Q21A_21]	46
Who do you wish to get elected as the president in 1994? [Q21B]	47
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP) [Q22_1]	47
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: National Coalition Party (KOK) [Q22_2]	48
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Centre Party of Finland (KESK) [Q22_3]	48
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Democratic League of the People of Finland (SKDL) [Q22_4]	49
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) [Q22_5]	49
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Finnish Rural Party (SMP) [Q22_6]	49
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next	

3. Indexes

municipal elections: Christian League of Finland (SKL) [Q22_7]	50
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Democratic Alternative (DEVA) [Q22_8]	50
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: The Greens (VIHR) [Q22_9]	51
SDP: Effective employment policy [Q23A_1]	51
SKDL: Effective employment policy [Q23A_2]	51
DEVA: Effective employment policy [Q23A_3]	52
KOK: Effective employment policy [Q23A_4]	52
KESK: Effective employment policy [Q23A_5]	52
SMP: Effective employment policy [Q23A_6]	53
RKP: Effective employment policy [Q23A_7]	53
SKL: Effective employment policy [Q23A_8]	53
LKP: Effective employment policy [Q23A_9]	54
POP: Effective employment policy [Q23A_10]	54
VIHR: Effective employment policy [Q23A_11]	54
SEP: Effective employment policy [Q23A_12]	55
SDP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_1]	55
SKDL: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_2]	55
DEVA: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_3]	56
KOK: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_4]	56
KESK: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_5]	56
SMP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_6]	57
RKP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_7]	57
SKL: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_8]	57
LKP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_9]	58
POP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_10]	58
VIHR: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_11]	58
SEP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions [Q23B_12]	59
SDP: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_1]	59
SKDL: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_2]	59
DEVA: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_3]	60
KOK: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_4]	60
KESK: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_5]	60
SMP: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_6]	61

RKP: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_7]	61
SKL: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_8]	61
LKP: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_9]	62
POP: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_10]	62
VIHR: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_11]	62
SEP: Promotes sensible environmental policy [Q23C_12]	63
SDP: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_1]	63
SKDL: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_2]	63
DEVA: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_3]	64
KOK: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_4]	64
KESK: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_5]	64
SMP: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_6]	65
RKP: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_7]	65
SKL: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_8]	65
LKP: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_9]	66
POP: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_10]	66
VIHR: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_11]	66
SEP: Promotes sensible science and education policy [Q23D_12]	67
SDP: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_1]	67
SKDL: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_2]	67
DEVA: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_3]	68
KOK: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_4]	68
KESK: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_5]	68
SMP: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_6]	69
RKP: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_7]	69
SKL: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_8]	69
LKP: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_9]	70
POP: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_10]	70
VIHR: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_11]	70
SEP: Promotes sensible housing policy [Q23E_12]	71
SDP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_1]	71
SKDL: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_2]	71
DEVA: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_3]	72
KOK: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_4]	72

3. Indexes

KESK: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_5]	72
SMP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_6]	73
RKP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_7]	73
SKL: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_8]	73
LKP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_9]	74
POP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_10]	74
VIHR: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_11]	74
SEP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy [Q23F_12]	75
SDP: Promotes culture [Q23G_1]	75
SKDL: Promotes culture [Q23G_2]	75
DEVA: Promotes culture [Q23G_3]	76
KOK: Promotes culture [Q23G_4]	76
KESK: Promotes culture [Q23G_5]	76
SMP: Promotes culture [Q23G_6]	77
RKP: Promotes culture [Q23G_7]	77
SKL: Promotes culture [Q23G_8]	77
LKP: Promotes culture [Q23G_9]	78
POP: Promotes culture [Q23G_10]	78
VIHR: Promotes culture [Q23G_11]	78
SEP: Promotes culture [Q23G_12]	79
SDP: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_1]	79
SKDL: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_2]	79
DEVA: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_3]	80
KOK: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_4]	80
KESK: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_5]	80
SMP: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_6]	81
RKP: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_7]	81
SKL: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_8]	81
LKP: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_9]	82
POP: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_10]	82
VIHR: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_11]	82
SEP: Promotes sensible economic policy [Q23H_12]	83
SDP: Improves social security [Q23I_1]	83
SKDL: Improves social security [Q23I_2]	83

DEVA: Improves social security [Q23I_3]	84
KOK: Improves social security [Q23I_4]	84
KESK: Improves social security [Q23I_5]	84
SMP: Improves social security [Q23I_6]	85
RKP: Improves social security [Q23I_7]	85
SKL: Improves social security [Q23I_8]	85
LKP: Improves social security [Q23I_9]	86
POP: Improves social security [Q23I_10]	86
VIHR: Improves social security [Q23I_11]	86
SEP: Improves social security [Q23I_12]	87
SDP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_1]	87
SKDL: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_2]	87
DEVA: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_3]	88
KOK: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_4]	88
KESK: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_5]	88
SMP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_6]	89
RKP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_7]	89
SKL: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_8]	89
LKP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_9]	90
POP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_10]	90
VIHR: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_11]	90
SEP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests [Q23J_12]	91
SDP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_1]	91
SKDL: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_2]	91
DEVA: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_3]	92
KOK: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_4]	92
KESK: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_5]	92
SMP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_6]	93
RKP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_7]	93
SKL: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_8]	93
LKP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_9]	94
POP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_10]	94
VIHR: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_11]	94
SEP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy [Q23K_12]	95

3. Indexes

Best composition for a majority coalition government [Q24_1]	95
Second best composition for a majority coalition government [Q24_2]	95
DEVA: Should be included in the coalition government [Q25A_1]	96
KESK: Should be included in the coalition government [Q25A_2]	96
KOK: Should be included in the coalition government [Q25A_3]	96
RKP: Should be included in the coalition government [Q25A_4]	97
SDP: Should be included in the coalition government [Q25A_5]	97
SKDL: Should be included in the coalition government [Q25A_6]	97
SKL: Should be included in the coalition government [Q25A_7]	98
SMP: Should be included in the coalition government [Q25A_8]	98
VIHR: Should be included in the coalition government [Q25A_9]	98
Can't say: Should be included in the coalition government [Q25A_10]	99
DEVA: Should not be included in the coalition government [Q25B_1]	99
KESK: Should not be included in the coalition government [Q25B_2]	99
KOK: Should not be included in the coalition government [Q25B_3]	100
RKP: Should not be included in the coalition government [Q25B_4]	100
SDP: Should not be included in the coalition government [Q25B_5]	100
SKDL: Should not be included in the coalition government [Q25B_6]	101
SKL: Should not be included in the coalition government [Q25B_7]	101
SMP: Should not be included in the coalition government [Q25B_8]	101
VIHR: Should not be included in the coalition government [Q25B_9]	102
Can't say: Should not be included in the coalition government [Q25B_10]	102
Influence of party leader on party support: Pertti Paasio/SDP [Q26_1]	102
Influence of party leader on party support: Ilkka Suominen/KOK [Q26_2]	103
Influence of party leader on party support: Paavo Väyrynen/KESK [Q26_3]	103
Influence of party leader on party support: Esko Helle/SKDL [Q26_4]	104
Influence of party leader on party support: Arvo Aalto/SKP [Q26_5]	104
Respondent's satisfaction with the performance of the current government (Prime Minister Harri Holkeri) [Q27]	104
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Foreign policy [Q28_1]	105
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Improving employment [Q28_2]	105
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Taxation [Q28_3]	106

Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Preventing price increases [Q28_4]	106
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Foreign trade policy [Q28_5]	107
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Agricultural policy [Q28_6]	107
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Social security policy [Q28_7]	108
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Developing the education system [Q28_8]	108
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Labour reforms [Q28_9]	109
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Environmental and nature protection issues [Q28_10]	109
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Improving conditions for business [Q28_11]	110
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Energy issues [Q28_12]	110
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Regional development policy [Q28_13]	111
When thinking about the forthcoming municipal elections, will you make your voting decision based on... [Q29]	111
In your opinion, what is the single area most in need of development in your municipality of residence? [Q30A]	112
In your opinion, what is the second single area that is in need of development in your municipality? [Q30B]	112
Which areas are not of top priority: Transport [Q30C_1]	113
Which areas are not of top priority: Education, schools [Q30C_2]	113
Which areas are not of top priority: Health services [Q30C_3]	114
Which areas are not of top priority: Improving conditions for enterprises [Q30C_4]	114
Which areas are not of top priority: Supporting agriculture [Q30C_5]	114
Which areas are not of top priority: Children's day care services [Q30C_6]	115
Which areas are not of top priority: Employment [Q30C_7]	115
Which areas are not of top priority: Recreational services [Q30C_8]	115
Which areas are not of top priority: Housing [Q30C_9]	116
Which areas are not of top priority: Unpolluted environment [Q30C_10]	116
Which areas are not of top priority: Conditions for the elderly [Q30C_11]	116

3. Indexes

Which areas are not of top priority: Can't say [Q30C_12]	117
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Rapid growth of the metropolitan area should be curbed [Q31_1]	117
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Public administration should be decentralized by transferring power to provinces and municipalities [Q31_2]	117
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Regional agricultural subsidies should be increased [Q31_3]	118
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Funding of regional universities should be increased [Q31_4]	118
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Direct subsidies to enterprises should be increased in the least developed regions [Q31_5]	119
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Well-balanced regional development is not the first priority [Q31_6]	119
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Major changes in production [Q32_1]	120
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Major personnel redundancies and lay-offs [Q32_2]	120
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Change of company ownership [Q32_3]	120
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Company mergers [Q32_4]	121
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Change of company management [Q32_5]	121
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Decisions on organisational changes [Q32_6]	122
Do you agree or disagree: All income should be taxed equally [Q33_1]	122
Do you agree or disagree: Income tax rate of private persons should be lowered [Q33_2] ..	123
Do you agree or disagree: Fringe benefits should be taxed at full value [Q33_3]	123
Do you agree or disagree: Interest earned on bank deposits should be tax-free [Q33_4] ...	123
Do you agree or disagree: Interest earned on bonds and long-term bank deposits should be taxable [Q33_5]	124
Do you agree or disagree: There should be less tax deductions [Q33_6]	124
Do you agree or disagree: Tax deductions and income tax rate should be kept at present level [Q33_7]	125
Do you agree or disagree: Social tax deductions, like tax allowance for single parents, should be substituted by direct subsidies [Q33_8]	125
Do you agree or disagree: Earned income allowance should be abandoned, and income tax rate lowered correspondingly [Q33_9]	125
Do you agree or disagree: Trade union membership fees should remain deductible [Q33_10]	

126

Do you agree or disagree: High income earners profit most from tax deductions [Q33_11]	126
Do you agree or disagree: Lowering income tax rate favours people with high incomes [Q33_12]	127
Do you agree or disagree: Corporate tax rate should be higher [Q33_13]	127
Do you agree or disagree: Tax burden on agriculture should be lower [Q33_14]	127
Do you agree or disagree: Tax on capital should be higher [Q33_15]	128
Do you agree or disagree: Tax burden on forestry should be higher [Q33_16]	128
Do you agree or disagree: Small income earners profit most from tax deductions [Q33_17]	129
Do you agree or disagree: Capital gains tax should be higher [Q33_18]	129
Do you agree or disagree: Rental income from housing should become taxable [Q33_19]	129
Do you agree or disagree: Consumption tax should be higher [Q33_20]	130
Do you agree or disagree: Municipal income tax percentage should be the same in all Finnish municipalities [Q33_21]	130
Do you agree or disagree: Inheritance tax should be higher [Q33_22]	131
Are you in favour or against: Staff participation in company decision-making should be encouraged [Q34_1]	131
Are you in favour or against: Profit-sharing systems in the form of personnel funds or personnel training funds should be established [Q34_2]	131
Are you in favour or against: Notice periods of employees should be lengthened [Q34_3]	132
Are you in favour or against: Public sector should be downsized [Q34_4]	132
Are you in favour or against: Public sector workforce should be downsized [Q34_5]	132
Are you in favour or against: Number of public services should be cut [Q34_6]	133
Are you in favour or against: Big corporations should be nationalized [Q34_7]	133
Are you in favour or against: Defence expenditure should be cut [Q34_8]	134
Are you in favour or against: Banks should be socialized [Q34_9]	134
Are you in favour or against: Social welfare benefits should be cut [Q34_10]	134
Are you in favour or against: State-owned enterprises should be privatized [Q34_11]	135
Are you in favour or against: Development aid should be reduced [Q34_12]	135
Are you in favour or against: Private health services should be increased [Q34_13]	136
Are you in favour or against: All pornography should be prohibited [Q34_14]	136
Are you in favour or against: We should stop using nuclear power for energy production [Q34_15]	136
Do you agree or disagree: There is at least one political party that promotes my interests [Q35_1]	137
Do you agree or disagree: Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their	

3. Indexes

opinions [Q35_2]	137
Do you agree or disagree: Through political parties, opinions of the citizens will be taken into account in decision-making [Q35_3]	138
Do you agree or disagree: So-called alternative social movements often represent public opinion better than political parties [Q35_4]	138
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Finnish Employers' Confederation (STK) [Q37_1]	138
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Employers' Confederation of Service Industries (LKT) [Q37_2]	139
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK) [Q37_3]	139
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Salaried Employees in Finland (TVK) [Q37_4]	140
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals in Finland (AKAVA) [Q37_5]	140
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees (STTK) [Q37_6]	140
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Central Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners (MTK) [Q37_7]	141
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Finnish Entrepreneurs (SYKL) [Q37_8]	141
I'm not a member of any trade union or professional association [Q37_9]	141
Can't say [Q37_10]	142
Are you a member of any political party, or a member of womens'/youth organisation of any party? [Q38]	142
Respondent's occupational status (if retired, former occupational status) [Q39]	142
Occupational status of the head of the household (if retired, former occupational status) [Q40]	143
Respondent's occupational group [Q41]	143
Have you yourself or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month over the past two years? [Q42]	144
Respondent's marital status [Q43]	144
Respondent's basic education [Q44]	145
Respondent's vocational education [Q45]	145
Respondent's vocation education field [Q46]	146
Respondent's employer [Q47]	146
Respondent's mother tongue [Q48]	147
Respondent's gender [Q49]	147

Monthly gross income of the household in Finnish marks [Q50]	147
Respondent's age [Q51_1]	148
Respondent's year of birth [Q51_2]	148
Respondent's place of residence [Q52]	149
Respondent's electoral district [Q53]	149
Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the direct election? [Q55_1]	150
The elector of which party or group did you vote for in the indirect election? [Q55_2]	150
If the municipal elections were held now, how likely is it that you would vote? [Q56_1] ..	151
If the municipal elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [Q56_2]	151
If the municipal elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference? [Q56_3]	152
Which party did you vote for in the 1987 parliamentary elections? [Q56_4]	153
How closely do your own political views match with those of the party you indicated in question q56_2 that you would have voted for [Q56_5]	153

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's ideological background	37
] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's performance on television . .	38
] To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's views on government composition	37
] To what extent was your choice influenced by the image of the candidate as a person	38
Are you a member of any political party, or a member of womens'/youth organisation of any party?	142
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK)	139
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Central Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners (MTK)	141
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Finnish Entrepreneurs (SYKL)	141
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Salaried Employees in Finland (TVK)	140
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals in Finland (AKAVA)	140
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Employers' Confederation of Service Industries (LKT)	139
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees (STTK)	140
Are you a member of any trade union or business/professional association that is a member of Finnish Employers' Confederation (STK)	138
Are you in favour or against: All pornography should be prohibited	136
Are you in favour or against: Banks should be socialized	134
Are you in favour or against: Big corporations should be nationalized	133
Are you in favour or against: Defence expenditure should be cut	134
Are you in favour or against: Development aid should be reduced	135
Are you in favour or against: Notice periods of employees should be lengthened	132
Are you in favour or against: Number of public services should be cut	133
Are you in favour or against: Private health services should be increased	136
Are you in favour or against: Profit-sharing systems in the form of personnel funds or personnel training funds should be established	131
Are you in favour or against: Public sector should be downsized	132
Are you in favour or against: Public sector workforce should be downsized	132
Are you in favour or against: Social welfare benefits should be cut	134

Are you in favour or against: Staff participation in company decision-making should be encouraged	131
Are you in favour or against: State-owned enterprises should be privatized	135
Are you in favour or against: We should stop using nuclear power for energy production ..	136
Best composition for a majority coalition government	95
Can't say	142
Can't say: Should be included in the coalition government	99
Can't say: Should not be included in the coalition government	102
DEVA: Effective employment policy	52
DEVA: Improves social security	84
DEVA: Promotes culture	76
DEVA: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	92
DEVA: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	72
DEVA: Promotes sensible economic policy	80
DEVA: Promotes sensible environmental policy	60
DEVA: Promotes sensible housing policy	68
DEVA: Promotes sensible science and education policy	64
DEVA: Should be included in the coalition government	96
DEVA: Should not be included in the coalition government	99
DEVA: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	88
DEVA: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	56
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	48
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Christian League of Finland (SKL)	50
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Democratic Alternative (DEVA)	50
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Democratic League of the People of Finland (SKDL)	49
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Finnish Rural Party (SMP)	49
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: National Coalition Party (KOK)	48
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	47
Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	49

3. Indexes

Did the recent presidential election influence your willingness to vote for the party in next municipal elections: The Greens (VIHR)	51
Did you vote in the 1987 parliamentary elections?	39
Did you vote in the presidential election?	19
Do you agree or disagree: All income should be taxed equally	122
Do you agree or disagree: Capital gains tax should be higher	129
Do you agree or disagree: Consumption tax should be higher	130
Do you agree or disagree: Corporate tax rate should be higher	127
Do you agree or disagree: Earned income allowance should be abandoned, and income tax rate lowered correspondingly	125
Do you agree or disagree: Fringe benefits should be taxed at full value	123
Do you agree or disagree: High income earners profit most from tax deductions	126
Do you agree or disagree: Income tax rate of private persons should be lowered	123
Do you agree or disagree: Inheritance tax should be higher	131
Do you agree or disagree: Interest earned on bank deposits should be tax-free	123
Do you agree or disagree: Interest earned on bonds and long-term bank deposits should be taxable	124
Do you agree or disagree: Lowering income tax rate favours people with high incomes ...	127
Do you agree or disagree: Municipal income tax percentage should be the same in all Finnish municipalities	130
Do you agree or disagree: Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions	137
Do you agree or disagree: Rental income from housing should become taxable	129
Do you agree or disagree: Small income earners profit most from tax deductions	129
Do you agree or disagree: So-called alternative social movements often represent public opinion better than political parties	138
Do you agree or disagree: Social tax deductions, like tax allowance for single parents, should be substituted by direct subsidies	125
Do you agree or disagree: Tax burden on agriculture should be lower	127
Do you agree or disagree: Tax burden on forestry should be higher	128
Do you agree or disagree: Tax deductions and income tax rate should be kept at present level	125
Do you agree or disagree: Tax on capital should be higher	128
Do you agree or disagree: There is at least one political party that promotes my interests ..	137
Do you agree or disagree: There should be less tax deductions	124
Do you agree or disagree: Through political parties, opinions of the citizens will be taken into account in decision-making	138

Do you agree or disagree: Trade union membership fees should remain deductible	126
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Direct subsidies to enterprises should be increased in the least developed regions	119
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Funding of regional universities should be increased	118
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Public administration should be decentralized by transferring power to provinces and municipalities	117
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Rapid growth of the metropolitan area should be curbed	117
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Regional agricultural subsidies should be increased	118
Do you agree with the following statement on regional policy: Well-balanced regional development is not the first priority	119
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD processing level	6
FSD study number	5
Have you yourself or any other family member been unemployed for more than a month over the past two years?	144
How closely do your own political views match with those of the party you indicated in question q56_2 that you would have voted for	153
How did you vote, i.e., did you use both ballots?	21
How many presidential candidates did you seriously consider when deciding who to vote for? 18	
If the municipal elections were held now, how likely is it that you would vote?	151
If the municipal elections were held now, which party would you vote for?	151
If the municipal elections were held now, which party would you vote for as your second preference?	152
If the presidential elections were held now, with the same presidential candidates, would you vote for the same candidate that you voted in the actual election?	26
I'm not a member of any trade union or professional association	141
In the 1988 election, voters could vote both for a presidential candidate and an elector. How difficult did you find the use of two ballots in the election?	20
In the direct vote, was it difficult for you to choose the presidential candidate to vote for? ..	25
In the indirect vote, was it difficult for you to choose the party/group to vote for?	26
In your opinion, what is the second single area that is in need of development in your municipality?	112
In your opinion, what is the single area most in need of development in your municipality of	

3. Indexes

residence?	112
Influence of party leader on party support: Arvo Aalto/SKP	104
Influence of party leader on party support: Esko Helle/SKDL	104
Influence of party leader on party support: Ilkka Suominen/KOK	103
Influence of party leader on party support: Paavo Väyrynen/KESK	103
Influence of party leader on party support: Pertti Paasio/SDP	102
KESK: Effective employment policy	52
KESK: Improves social security	84
KESK: Promotes culture	76
KESK: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	92
KESK: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	72
KESK: Promotes sensible economic policy	80
KESK: Promotes sensible environmental policy	60
KESK: Promotes sensible housing policy	68
KESK: Promotes sensible science and education policy	64
KESK: Should be included in the coalition government	96
KESK: Should not be included in the coalition government	99
KESK: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	88
KESK: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	56
KOK: Effective employment policy	52
KOK: Improves social security	84
KOK: Promotes culture	76
KOK: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	92
KOK: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	72
KOK: Promotes sensible economic policy	80
KOK: Promotes sensible environmental policy	60
KOK: Promotes sensible housing policy	68
KOK: Promotes sensible science and education policy	64
KOK: Should be included in the coalition government	96
KOK: Should not be included in the coalition government	100
KOK: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	88
KOK: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	56
LKP: Effective employment policy	54
LKP: Improves social security	86

LKP: Promotes culture	78
LKP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	94
LKP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	74
LKP: Promotes sensible economic policy	82
LKP: Promotes sensible environmental policy	62
LKP: Promotes sensible housing policy	70
LKP: Promotes sensible science and education policy	66
LKP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	90
LKP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	58
Monthly gross income of the household in Finnish marks	147
Occupational status of the head of the household (if retired, former occupational status) ..	143
Of the six presidential election systems mentioned below, which one do you consider the best? 38	
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: best alternative	35
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: can't say	36
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: changed opinion	33
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: circumstances for families with children 31	
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: defends the weak	31
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: domestic policy	35
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: foreign policy	29
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: good record	34
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: government composition	29
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: ideological reasons	30
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: long experience	34
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: no other option	33
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: other reason	36
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: personal characteristics	30
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: president for the entire nation	35
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: promotes the interests of employees	34
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: promotes the interests of entrepreneurs 32	
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: reforms in labour market	32
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: regional policy	33
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: taxation	31

3. Indexes

Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: television appearance	30
Other reason for your choice of presidential candidate: unemployment	32
POP: Effective employment policy	54
POP: Improves social security	86
POP: Promotes culture	78
POP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	94
POP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	74
POP: Promotes sensible economic policy	82
POP: Promotes sensible environmental policy	62
POP: Promotes sensible housing policy	70
POP: Promotes sensible science and education policy	66
POP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	90
POP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	58
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Change of company management	121
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Change of company ownership	120
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Company mergers	121
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Decisions on organisational changes	122
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Major changes in production	120
Regarding labour reform debate, should company management negotiate with personnel about: Major personnel redundancies and lay-offs	120
Regarding the direct vote, what was the main reason for your choice of the presidential candi- date?	28
Respondent's age	148
Respondent's basic education	145
Respondent's electoral district	149
Respondent's employer	146
Respondent's gender	147
Respondent's marital status	144
Respondent's mother tongue	147
Respondent's occupational group	143
Respondent's occupational status (if retired, former occupational status)	142

Respondent's own interest in politics	15
Respondent's place of residence	149
Respondent's satisfaction with the performance of the current government (Prime Minister Harri Holkeri)	104
Respondent's vocation education field	146
Respondent's vocational education	145
Respondent's year of birth	148
RKP: Effective employment policy	53
RKP: Improves social security	85
RKP: Promotes culture	77
RKP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	93
RKP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	73
RKP: Promotes sensible economic policy	81
RKP: Promotes sensible environmental policy	61
RKP: Promotes sensible housing policy	69
RKP: Promotes sensible science and education policy	65
RKP: Should be included in the coalition government	97
RKP: Should not be included in the coalition government	100
RKP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	89
RKP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	57
SDP: Effective employment policy	51
SDP: Improves social security	83
SDP: Promotes culture	75
SDP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	91
SDP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	71
SDP: Promotes sensible economic policy	79
SDP: Promotes sensible environmental policy	59
SDP: Promotes sensible housing policy	67
SDP: Promotes sensible science and education policy	63
SDP: Should be included in the coalition government	97
SDP: Should not be included in the coalition government	100
SDP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	87
SDP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	55
Second best composition for a majority coalition government	95

3. Indexes

SEP: Effective employment policy	55
SEP: Improves social security	87
SEP: Promotes culture	79
SEP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	95
SEP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	75
SEP: Promotes sensible economic policy	83
SEP: Promotes sensible environmental policy	63
SEP: Promotes sensible housing policy	71
SEP: Promotes sensible science and education policy	67
SEP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	91
SEP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	59
SKDL: Effective employment policy	51
SKDL: Improves social security	83
SKDL: Promotes culture	75
SKDL: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	91
SKDL: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	71
SKDL: Promotes sensible economic policy	79
SKDL: Promotes sensible environmental policy	59
SKDL: Promotes sensible housing policy	67
SKDL: Promotes sensible science and education policy	63
SKDL: Should be included in the coalition government	97
SKDL: Should not be included in the coalition government	101
SKDL: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	87
SKDL: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	55
SKL: Effective employment policy	53
SKL: Improves social security	85
SKL: Promotes culture	77
SKL: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	93
SKL: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	73
SKL: Promotes sensible economic policy	81
SKL: Promotes sensible environmental policy	61
SKL: Promotes sensible housing policy	69
SKL: Promotes sensible science and education policy	65
SKL: Should be included in the coalition government	98

SKL: Should not be included in the coalition government	101
SKL: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	89
SKL: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	57
SMP: Effective employment policy	53
SMP: Improves social security	85
SMP: Promotes culture	77
SMP: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	93
SMP: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	73
SMP: Promotes sensible economic policy	81
SMP: Promotes sensible environmental policy	61
SMP: Promotes sensible housing policy	69
SMP: Promotes sensible science and education policy	65
SMP: Should be included in the coalition government	98
SMP: Should not be included in the coalition government	101
SMP: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	89
SMP: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	57
The elector of which party or group did you vote for in the indirect election?	150
To what extent was your choice influenced by the candidate's views on foreign policy	36
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Agricultural policy	107
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Developing the education system	108
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Energy issues	110
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Environmental and nature protection issues	109
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Foreign policy	105
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Foreign trade policy	107
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Improving conditions for business	110
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Improving employment	105
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Labour reforms	109
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the	

3. Indexes

current government's performance in: Preventing price increases	106
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Regional development policy	111
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Social security policy	108
Using a scale of 4-10, where 4 is the lowest grade and 10 the best, how would you grade the current government's performance in: Taxation	106
VIHR: Effective employment policy	54
VIHR: Improves social security	86
VIHR: Promotes culture	78
VIHR: Promotes fair and impartial taxation policy	94
VIHR: Promotes sensible agricultural policy	74
VIHR: Promotes sensible economic policy	82
VIHR: Promotes sensible environmental policy	62
VIHR: Promotes sensible housing policy	70
VIHR: Promotes sensible science and education policy	66
VIHR: Should be included in the coalition government	98
VIHR: Should not be included in the coalition government	102
VIHR: Supports foreign policy that promotes Finnish interests	90
VIHR: Supports impartially the interests of all Finnish regions	58
What are you most worried about at present: AIDS/HIV	6
What are you most worried about at present: Can't say	14
What are you most worried about at present: Conditions for the elderly	10
What are you most worried about at present: Day care of children	11
What are you most worried about at present: Depopulation of rural areas	10
What are you most worried about at present: Employment	7
What are you most worried about at present: Energy, nuclear power	9
What are you most worried about at present: Famine	9
What are you most worried about at present: Finnish politics	11
What are you most worried about at present: Future of the young	10
What are you most worried about at present: General state of affairs in the world	13
What are you most worried about at present: Health	9
What are you most worried about at present: Housing situation	8
What are you most worried about at present: Livelihood	8
What are you most worried about at present: Nothing in particular	14

What are you most worried about at present: Pollution	7
What are you most worried about at present: Prize increases	11
What are you most worried about at present: Private matters	13
What are you most worried about at present: Rat-racing (competitive struggle to have a higher living standard than others)	12
What are you most worried about at present: Something else	14
What are you most worried about at present: Strikes	8
What are you most worried about at present: Structural change in Finland	13
What are you most worried about at present: Taxation	12
What are you most worried about at present: Violence	12
What are you most worried about at present: War	7
When did you decide the elector candidate of which party or group to vote for?	20
When did you decide which presidential candidate to vote for?	19
When did you make your final decision whether to vote or not?	18
When did you vote?	20
When thinking about the forthcoming municipal elections, will you make your voting decision based on... ..	111
When you vote, do you consider the presidential candidate or the party more important? ...	25
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Harri Holkeri	15
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Jouko Kajanoja	16
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Kalevi Kivistö	16
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Mauno Koivisto	17
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Presidential candidate Paavo Väyrynen	17
Where would you place on a left-right axis: Yourself	15
Which areas are not of top priority: Can't say	117
Which areas are not of top priority: Children's day care services	115
Which areas are not of top priority: Conditions for the elderly	116
Which areas are not of top priority: Education, schools	113
Which areas are not of top priority: Employment	115
Which areas are not of top priority: Health services	114
Which areas are not of top priority: Housing	116
Which areas are not of top priority: Improving conditions for enterprises	114
Which areas are not of top priority: Recreational services	115
Which areas are not of top priority: Supporting agriculture	114
Which areas are not of top priority: Transport	113

3. Indexes

Which areas are not of top priority: Unpolluted environment	116
Which party did you vote for in the 1987 parliamentary elections?	153
Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the direct election?	150
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election:	39
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Can't say	46
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Cristoffer Taxell	42
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Eeva Kuuskoski-Vikatmaa	40
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Erkki Liikanen	44
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Ilkka Suominen	41
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Jouko Kajanoja	43
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Kalevi Kivistö	40
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Kalevi Sorsa	41
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Keijo Korhonen	44
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Matti Ahde	44
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Mauno Koivisto	40
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Max Jacobsson	46
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Other candidate	46
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Paavo Väyrynen	43
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pekka Venamo	42
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pertti Paasio	41
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pertti Salo-lainen	45
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Pirkko Työläjäarvi	42

Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Raimo Ilaskivi	45
Who do you presume will be a candidate in the future 1994 presidential election: Ulf Sundqvist	45
Who do you wish to get elected as the president in 1994?	47
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: As a protest against electoral college	22
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Can't say	25
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: I hardly ever vote anyway	23
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: It is democratic	22
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: It is the person that matters in this election	21
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: No suitable... ..	23, 24
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Other reason	24
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: Statistics Finland... ..	23
Why did you vote only for presidential candidate or cast an invalid vote in both ballots: To ensure the choice of the presidential candidate	22
Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Can't say	28
Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Changed opinion	27
Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: No longer any need to protest	27
Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Other reason	28
Why would you not vote for the same candidate again: Passing whim	27

Appendix A

Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

DEVA	Democratic Alternative
EKA	Pensioners for the People
EKO	Ecological Party
EPV	Ecological Party The Greens
EVY	Party for Pensioners and Green Mutual Responsibility
IPU	Humanity Party
KD	Christian Democrats
KESK Keskustapuolue	Center Party
KESK Suomen Keskusta	Centre Party of Finland
KESK/LKP	Center Party + Liberal Party
KIPU	Ecological Party
KOK	National Coalition Party
KTP	For Peace and Socialism-Communist Worker's Party
KVL	Union for Democracy
LIB	Liberals
LKP	Liberal Party
LLP	Natural Law Party
NAISL	Womens' Movement
NAISP	Womens' Party
NUORS	Progressive Finnish Party
NUSU	Progressive Finnish Party
PKP	Constitutional People's Party
POP	Constitutional Party of Finland
PS	True Finns
REM	Reform Group
RKP	Swedish People's Party in Finland
RSES	Independent Pensioners of Finland
SDP	Social Democratic Party of Finland
SEP	Finnish Pensioners' Party
SKDL	Democratic League of the People of Finland
SKL	Christian League of Finland
SKP	The Communist Party of Finland
SKYP	Unification Party of the Finnish People
SMP	Finnish Rural Party

A. Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

SPK	Finnish Constitutional People's Party
STP	Socialist Workers' Party
SYP	Private Entrepreneurs' Party in Finland
TPSL	Social Democratic Union of Workers and Small Farmers
VAS	Left Alliance
VASL	Left Alliance
VEV	Alternative Union
VIHR Vihreä Liitto	Green League
VIHR Vihreät	The Greens
VSL	Alliance for Free Finland
YVP	Joint Responsibility Party

Appendix B

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1015 Puolueiden ajankohtaistutkimus 1988

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1015 Finnish Voter Barometer 1988

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

1. Onko olemassa jokin tai joitakin asioita, joista Te itse olette nykyisin eniten huolissanne? Mistä? Entä onko mielestänne muita huolenaiheita?

111-

112

2. Kuinka paljon politiikka Teitä kiinnostaa? Oletteko hyvin kiinnostunut, jonkin verran kiinnostunut, vain vähän kiinnostunut vai ei ollenkaan kiinnostunut?

- 1 Hyvin kiinnostunut
2 Jonkin verran kiinnostunut
113 3 Vain vähän kiinnostunut
4 En ollenkaan kiinnostunut
5 En osaa sanoa

3. Asennoitumista politiikkaan on kuvattu puhumalla vasemmistosta, keskustasta ja oikeistosta. Seuraavassa esitetään eräänlainen vasemmisto-oikeisto-mittari. Mihin kohtaan sijoittaisitte itsenne tällä mittarilla? (KORTTI 3) Sanokaa numero kortilta. Entä jos ajattelemme edellisessä presidentinvaaleissa ehdokkaina olleita henkilöitä, niin millä numerolla kuvaisitte Harri Holkerin sijaintia samalla ulottuvuudella? Entä Jouko Kajanojan? Kalevi Kivistön? Mauno Koiviston? Paavo Väyrysen?

vasemmisto 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 oikeisto
--- --- --- --- --- --- --- --- --- ---

EN OSAA
SANOA

Oma sijainti	_____	114	0
Harri Holkeri	_____	115	0
Jouko Kajanoja	_____	116	0
Kalevi Kivistö	_____	117	0
Mauno Koivisto	_____	118	0
Paavo Väyrynen	_____	119	0

4. Kuinka montaa presidenttiehdokasta piditte varteenotettavina vaihtoehtoina harkitessanne lopullista äänestyspäätöstänne? Vastatkaa kortin vaihtoehtoja käyttäen? (KORTTI 4)

- 1 Harkitsin vain yhtä ehdokasta
 - 2 Harkitsin kahta ehdokasta
 - 120 3 Harkitsin useamman kuin kahden ehdokkaan välillä
 - 4 En harkinnut ketään
 - 5 En osaa sanoa
-

5. Jos ajattelette tilannetta ennen nyt käytyjä presidentinvaaleja, niin missä vaiheessa lopullisesti teitte ratkaisun äänestämisestä tai äänestämättä jättämisestä? (KORTTI 5)

- 1 Tein ratkaisuni hyvissä ajoin ennen vaaleja
 - 2 Tein ratkaisuni pari viikkoa ennen vaaleja
 - 121 3 Tein ratkaisuni vaaliviikolla
 - 4 Tein ratkaisuni vasta vaalipäivinä
 - 5 En osaa sanoa
-

6. Kävittekö äänestämässä presidentinvaaleissa?

- 1 Kyllä
 - 122 2 Ei ----- > siirry kysymykseen 19
-

(VAIN ÄÄNESTÄNEILTÄ - KYSYMYKSET 7.-18.)

7. Missä vaiheessa lopullisesti päätitte sen, kenelle presidenttiehdokkaalle äänenne annatte? Vastatkaa kortin vaihtoehtoja käyttäen. (KORTTI 7)

- 1 Äänestyspäivinä
 - 2 Joitakin päiviä ennen vaaleja
 - 3 Noin viikkoa ennen vaaleja
 - 123 4 Noin kahta viikkoa ennen vaaleja
 - 5 Noin kuukautta tai kahta ennen vaaleja
 - 6 Päätös oli selvä jo aiemmin kuin kahta kuukautta ennen vaaleja
 - 7 En osaa sanoa
-

8. Entä missä vaiheessa lopullisesti päätitte sen, mille puolueelle tai muulle ryhmittymälle annatte äänenne valitsijamiesvaalissa? (KORTTI 8)

- 1 Äänestyspäivinä
 - 2 Joitakin päiviä ennen vaaleja
 - 3 Noin viikkoa ennen vaaleja
 - 124 4 Noin kahta viikkoa ennen vaaleja
 - 5 Noin kuukautta tai kahta ennen vaaleja
 - 6 Päätös oli selvä jo aiemmin kuin kahta kuukautta ennen vaaleja
 - 7 En osaa sanoa
-

9. Milloin kävitte äänestämässä; äänestittekö ennakkoon, ensimmäisenä varsinaisena vaalipäivänä vai toisena vaalipäivänä?

- 125
- 1 Äänestin ennakkoon
 - 2 Äänestin ensimmäisenä vaalipäivänä
 - 3 Äänestin toisena vaalipäivänä
-

10. Kuinka vaikeaa tai helppoa Teille oli vaaleissa kahden äänestyslipun käyttö? (KORTTI 10)

- 126
- 1 Erittäin vaikeata
 - 2 Melko vaikeata
 - 3 Melko helppoa
 - 4 Erittäin helppoa
 - 5 En osaa sanoa
-

11. Äänestittekö vaaleissa sekä presidentti- että valitsijamiesehdokasta, ainoastaan ruskealla lipulla presidenttiehdokasta, ainoastaan valkealla valitsijamiesehdokasta vai molemmilla lipuilla tyhjää? (KORTTI 11)

- 127
- 1 Äänestin sekä presidentti- että valitsijamiesehdokasta --> KYS. 13
 - 2 Äänestin ainoastaan ruskealla suoraan presidenttiehdokasta --> KYS. 12
 - 3 Äänestin ainoastaan valkealla valitsijamiesehdokasta --> KYS. 13
 - 4 Äänestin molemmilla tyhjää --> KYS. 12
 - 5 En osaa sanoa --> KYS. 13
-

12. (JOS EDELLISESSÄ VAIN PRESIDENTTIEHDOKASTA/MOLEMMILLA TYHJÄÄ)
Minkähän vuoksi Te äänestitte pelkästään presidenttiehdokasta/molemmilla lipuilla tyhjää?

128- _____
129- _____

13. Kumpi asia oli Teille loppujen lopuksi tärkeämpi äänestämisessä, presidenttiehdokas henkilönä vai se puolue tai ryhmittymä, joka oli asettanut kannattamanne presidenttiehdokkaan ehdolle vai olivatko molemmat asiat aivan yhtä tärkeitä?

- 130
- 1 Presidenttiehdokas henkilönä tärkeämpi
 - 2 Puolue tai ryhmittymä tärkeämpi
 - 3 Molemmat yhtä tärkeitä
 - 4 En osaa sanoa
-

14. Kun ajattelette äänestämistänne suorassa presidentinvaalissa, niin miten vaikeata tai helppoa Teille oli valita presidenttiehdokas, jota äänestitte? (KORTTI 14)? Entä miten vaikeata tai helppoa Teille oli valita puolue tai muu ryhmittymä jota äänestitte valitsijamiesvaalissa?

	SUORA VAALI		VALITSIJAMIESVAALI	
Erittäin vaikeata	1		1	
Melko vaikeata	2		2	
Melko helppoa	3	131	3	132
Erittäin helppoa	4		4	
En osaa sanoa	5		5	

15. Entä jos nyt pidettäisiin uudet vaalit ja ehdokkaat olisivat samat, niin äänestäisittekö samaa presidenttiehdokasta kuin juuri käydyissä vaaleissa?

	1	Varmasti	----->	kys. 17
	2	Luultavasti	----->	kys. 17
133	3	Luultavasti en	----->	kys. 16
	4	Varmasti en	----->	kys. 16
	5	En osaa sanoa	----->	kys. 17

16. Osaatteko sanoa, miksi ette äänestäisi samaa presidenttiehdokasta kuin juuri käydyissä vaaleissa?

134-
135

17. Mikä oli tärkein syy, jonka perusteella valitsitte presidenttiehdokkaan, jota äänestitte suoraan presidentiksi?

136- TÄRKEIN SYY: _____
137 _____
138- MUUT SYYT: _____
139 _____

18. Miten tärkeinä piditte seuraavia asioita sen presidenttiehdokkaan kohdalla jota äänestitte suorassa presidentinvaalissa; sanokaa jokaisen kohdalla missä määrin asia vaikutti ratkaisuunne äänestää ko. presidenttiehdokasta? (KORTTI 18) Sanokaa numero kortilta.

	VAIKUTTI PALJON	VAIKUTTI JONKIN VERRAN	EI VAIKUT- TANUT LAINKAAN	EN OSAA SANOA	
Presidenttiehdokkaan näkemykset ulkopoliittikkaa koskevissa kysymyksissä	1	2	3	4	140
Presidenttiehdokkaan näkemykset hallituskoostumusta koskevissa asioissa	1	2	3	4	141
Presidenttiehdokkaan aatteellinen tausta	1	2	3	4	142
Presidenttiehdokkaan esiintyminen televisiossa	1	2	3	4	143
Presidenttiehdokkaan henkilökohtaisista ominaisuuksista välittänyt kuva	1	2	3	4	144

19. Seuraavalla kortilla on lueteltu kuusi erilaista tapaa valita tasavallan presidentti. Sanokaa, mikä vaihtoehdoista on mielestänne paras tapa valita tasavallan presidentti? (KORTTI 19) Entä toiseksi paras?

- 1 AIEMMIN SOVELLETTU VAALITAPA, jossa yleisillä vaaleilla valitut 301 valitsijamiestä suorittavat lopullisen valinnan
- 2 NYKYINEN VAALITAPA, jossa äänestetään sekä presidenttiä että valitsijamiesehdokasta. Tasavallan presidentiksi tulee valituksi se ehdokas, joka saa yli puolet äänistä suorassa vaalissa. Jos kukaan ei saa yli puolta äänistä, niin valitut 301 valitsijamiestä suorittavat vaalin
- 3 KAKSIVAIHEINEN SUORA VAALITAPA, jossa äänestetään ainoastaan presidenttiehdokasta. Tasavallan presidentiksi tulee valituksi se ehdokas, joka saa yli puolet äänistä. Jos kukaan ei saa yli puolta äänistä, niin suoritetaan kahden eniten ääniä saaneen ehdokkaan välinen uusintavaali
- 145 4 VAALITAPA, JOSSA PRESIDENTINVAALIT PIDETÄÄN EDUSKUNTAVAALIEN YHTEYDESSÄ. Tasavallan presidentiksi tulee valituksi se ehdokas, joka saa yli puolet äänistä. Jos kukaan ei saa yli puolta äänistä, niin valitut kansanedustajat toimivat valitsijamiehinä ja suorittavat vaalin
- 5 HYVÄKSYMISVAALITAPA, jossa äänestetään ensimmäisellä kierroksella yhtä tai useampaa presidentiksi sopivaa henkilöä. Tämän jälkeen kahden eniten kannatusta saaneen ehdokkaan välillä suoritetaan uusintavaali.
- 6 EDUSKUNTA valitsee tasavallan presidentin
- 7 En osaa sanoa

20. Kävittekö äänestämässä viime vuonna järjestetyissä eduskuntavaaleissa

1 Kyllä
146 2 En
3 En muista

21. Jos ajattelette vuoden 1994 tasavallan presidentin vaaleja, niin keiden kaikkien henkilöiden arvelette olevan silloin ehdokkaana tasavallan presidentin toimeen? Entä kenen (VAIN YKSI HENKILÖ) toivotte näillä näkymin tulevan valituksi tasavallan presidentiksi?

	ARVIOI OLEVAN EHDOKKAANA		TOIVOO TULEVAN VALITUKSI	
Harri Holkeri	1	147	1	149
Kalevi Kivistö	2		2	
Eeva Kuuskoski-Vikatmaa	3		3	
Mauno Koivisto	4		4	
Pertti Paasio	5		5	
Kalevi Sorsa	6		6	
Ilkka Suominen	7		7	
Cristoffer Taxell	8		8	
Pirkko Työläjärvi	9		9	
Pekka Vennamo	0		0	
	---		---	
Paavo Väyrynen	1	148	1	150
Joku muu, kuka?				

22. Jos ajatellaan juuri käytyjä tasavallan presidentinvaaleja, niin ovatko vaalit ja niihin liittyvät tapahtumat aiheuttaneet muutoksia HENKILÖKOHTAISISSA HALUKKUUDESSANNE äänestää seuraavaksi lueteltavia eduskunnassa edustettuina olevia puolueita tai ryhmittymiä ensi syksyn kunnallisvaaleissa? (KORTTI 22)

HALUKKUUTENI ÄÄNESTÄÄ ON ...

	LISÄÄN- TYNYT	ENNAL- LAAN	VÄHEN- TYNYT	EN OSAA SANOA
Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue	1	2	3	151 4
Kansallinen Kokoomus	1	2	3	152 4
Keskustapuolue	1	2	3	153 4
Suomen Kansan Demokraattinen Liitto	1	2	3	154 4
Ruotsalainen Kansanpuolue	1	2	3	155 4
Suomen Maaseudun Puolue	1	2	3	156 4
Suomen Kristillinen Liitto	1	2	3	157 4
Demokraattinen Vaihtoehto	1	2	3	158 4
Vihreät	1	2	3	159 4

23. Nyt pyytäisin Teitä vertailemaan eräiden ominaisuuksien suhteen maasamme toimivia puolueita ja ryhmittymiä? Voitte mainita yhden, useamman tai ei yhtään ryhmittymää. Mihin ryhmittymään sopii mielestänne ... (KORTTI 23). (ALOITETAAN YLHÄÄLTÄ, JOS LOMAKKEEN NUMEROINTI ON PARILLINEN JA ALHAALTA, JOS LOMAKKEEN NUMEROINTI ON PARITON).

SDP SKDL DEVA KOK KESK SMP RKP SKL LKP POP VIHR SEP

													160
Tehokas työllisyyspolitiikka	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	
Ajaa tasapuolisesti maan eri osien etuja	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	
Ajaa järkevää ympäristöpolitiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	
Ajaa järkevää tiede- ja koulutuspolitiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	
Ajaa järkevää asuntopolitiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	
Ajaa järkevää maatalouspolitiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	
Edistää kulttuurien kehitymistä	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	
Ajaa järkevää talouspolitiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	
Edistää kansalaisten sosiaaliturvaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	
Kannattaa maan etujen mukaista ulkopolitiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	
Ajaa oikeudenmukaista veropolitiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	X	V	170

24. Seuraavalla kortilla on esitetty neljä enemmistöhallituspohjavaihtoehtoa. Mikä niistä olisi Teidän mielestänne paras vaihtoehto? Mikä olisi toiseksi paras? (KORTTI 24)

	PARAS	TOISEKSI PARAS		
"Sinipuna"-hallitus (SDP, Kokoomus, RKP ja SMP)	1	1		
"Kansanrintama"-hallitus (SDP, Keskustapuolue, SKDL, RKP, SMP)	2	2		
"Punamulta"-hallitus (SDP, Keskustapuolue, RKP, SMP)	3	3		
		171		172
"Porvarihallitus" (Kokoomus, Keskustapuolue, RKP, SMP, SKL)	4	4		
Joku muu vaihtoehto, mikä?	5	5		
<hr/>				
En osaa sanoa	7	7		

25. Seuraavalla kortilla on lueteltu eduskunnassa edustettuina olevat puolueet. Sanokaa minkä puolueiden tulisi mielestänne olla hallituksessa mukana? Entä mitkä puolueet eivät saisi olla mukana hallituksessa? (KORTTI 25)

	TULISI OLLA HALLITUKSESSA	EI SAISI OLLA HALLITUKSESSA		
DEVA (Demokraattinen Vaihtoehto)	1	1		
KESK (Keskustapuolue)	2	2		
KOK (Kansallinen Kokoomus)	3	3		
RKP (Ruotsalainen Kansanpuolue)	4	4		
SDP (Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue)	5	5		
SKDL (Suomen Kansan Demokraattinen Liitto)	6	6	173	174
SKL (Suomen Kristillinen Liitto)	7	7		
SMP (Suomen Maaseudun Puolue)	8	8		
Vihreät	9	9		

26. Puolueen puheenjohtajan henkilöllisyydellä on usein väitetty olevan merkitystä puolueen kannatukselle. Seuraavaksi kysyisin käsitystänne suurimpien puolueiden puheenjohtajasta. Millainen merkitys mielestänne on Suomen Sosialidemokraattiselle Puolueelle sillä, että puolueen puheenjohtajana on nimenomaan Pertti Paasio? Käyttäkää kortin vaihtoehtoja. (KORTTI 26). Entä Kansalliselle Kokoomukselle sillä, että puheenjohtaja on Ilkka Suominen? Keskustapuolueelle puheenjohtaja Paavo Väyrysellä? Entä Suomen Kansan Demokraattiselle liitolle Esko Helteellä? Suomen Kommunistiselle puolueelle puheenjohtaja Arvo Aallolla?

	LISÄÄ KANNATUSTA		Ei merki- tystä	VÄHENTÄÄ KANNATUSTA		
	Paljon	Vähän		Vähän	Paljon	
PERTTI PAASIO	1	2	3	4	5	211
ILKKA SUOMINEN	1	2	3	4	5	212
PAAVO VÄYRYNEN	1	2	3	4	5	213
ESKO HELLE	1	2	3	4	5	214
ARVO AALTO	1	2	3	4	5	215

27. Kysyisin käsitystänne hallituksesta. Kun ajattelette nykyistä Harri Holkerin johtaa hallitusta, niin oletteko etupäässä tyytyväinen vai tyytymätön sen toimintaan?

1	Etupäässä tyytyväinen
216	2 Etupäässä tyytymätön
	3 En osaa sanoa

28. Jos käytetään koulun arvosteluasteikkoa neljästä kymmeneen (4-10), niin minkä arvosanan antaisitte nykyisen (Harri Holkerin) hallituksen toiminnalle seuraavissa asioissa?

(LUETELLAAN)	ARVOSANA
Ulkopolitiikan hoito	_____ 217
Työllisyyden hoito	_____ 218
Verotusasioiden hoito	_____ 219
Hinnankorotusten hillitseminen	_____ 220
Ulkomaankauppapolitiikan hoito	_____ 221
Maatalouspoliittisten kysymysten hoito	_____ 222
Sosiaaliturvan kehittäminen	_____ 223
Koululaitoksen kehittäminen	_____ 224
Työelämän uudistaminen	_____ 225
Ympäristö- ja luonnonsuojelukysymysten hoito	_____ 226
Yritysten toimintaedellytysten parantaminen	_____ 227
Energiakysymysten hoito	_____ 228
Alueellista kehitystä koskevien asioiden hoito	_____ 229

29. Ensi syksynä pidetään maassamme kunnallisvaalit. Jos ajattelette äänestämistänne kunnallisvaaleissa, niin onko äänestyspäätöksenne perustana näillä näkymin enemmän puolueiden toiminta yleensä maassamme vai puolueiden toiminta nimenomaan omaa kuntaanne koskevissa asioissa?

1	Puolueiden toiminta yleensä maassamme
230	2 Puolueiden toiminta omaa kuntaa koskevissa asioissa
	3 Yhtä paljon molemmat
	5 En osaa sanoa

30. Tällä kortilla (KORTTI 30) on lueteltu eräitä asioita, joiden hoitamiseen kunnat maassamme osallistuvat. Sanokaa, mikä niistä kaipaa eniten kehittämistä Teidän omassa asuinkunnassanne? Entä mikä toiseksi eniten. Entä mitkä asioista ovat sellaisia, joiden kehittämisestä voisi helpoimmin tinkiä? Sanokaa numero kortilta.

		TÄRKEIN (Vain yksi)		TOISEKSI TÄRKEIN (Vain yksi)		VOISI TINKIÄ (Saa olla useita)
Liikenneyhteydet kunnassa	231	1	233	1	235	1
Kouluolot kunnassa		2		2		2
Terveyspalvelut kunnassa		3		3		3
Yritysten toiminnan tukeminen kunnassa		4		4		4
Maatalouden toimintaedellytysten tukeminen kunnassa		5		5		5
Lasten päivähoidon järjestäminen kunnassa		6		6		6
Työllisyyden hoito kunnassa		7		7		7
Ulkoilu- ja virkistyspalveluiden kehittäminen kunnassa		8		8		8
Asunto-olot kunnassa		9		9		9
Elinympäristön saasteettomuus		0		0		0
		---		---		---
Vanhusten aseman parantaminen		1		1		1
En osaa sanoa	232	2	234	2	236	2

31. Tasapainoisen alueellisen kehityksen turvaamiseen liittyvistä keinoista on keskusteltu viime aikoina. Seuraavassa esitetään eräitä keskusteluissa esillä nousseita aluepoliittisia väittämiä. Sanokaa jokaisen väittämän kohdalla erikseen oletteko samaa vai eri mieltä? (KORTTI 31 JA 33)

(LUETELLAAN)	TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	SAMAA MIELTÄ	ERI MIELTÄ	TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ	EN OSAA SANOA
Pääkaupunkiseudun kasvua on rajoitettava	1	2	3	4	237 5
Julkista hallintoa tulisi hajauttaa siirtämällä päätäntävaltaa maakuntiin, lääneihin ja kuntiin	1	2	3	4	238 5
Maatalouden aluetukea pitäisi lisätä	1	2	3	4	239 5
Maakuntakorkeakoulujen määrärahoja on lisättävä	1	2	3	4	240 5
Yritystoiminnan välitöntä taloudellista tukea on lisättävä heikommin kehittyneillä alueilla	1	2	3	4	241 5
Tasapainoisesta alueellisesta kehittämisestä voidaan tinkiä	1	2	3	4	242 5

32. Työelämän uudistamisen yhteydessä on keskusteltu siitä, mistä toimista yritysjohton pitäisi yrityksissä henkilöstön kanssa neuvotella ennen kuin lopullisia päätöksiä yrityksessä tehdään? Seuraavaksi luettelen erilaisia yritystoimintoja. Sanokaa jokaisen asian kohdalla kortin vaihtoehtoja käyttäen, miten toivoisitte meneteltävän? (KORTTI 32)

LUETELLAAN

YRITYSTEN HENKILÖSTÖN EDUSTAJIEN KANSSA

	PITÄISI NEUVOTELLA SELVÄSTI ENNEN PÄÄTÖKSIÄ	PITÄISI NEUVOTELLA VÄHÄN ENNEN PÄÄTÖKSIÄ	PITÄISI NEUVOTELLA VASTA PÄÄTÖSTEN JÄLKEEN	EI PITÄISI LAINKAAN NEUVOTELLA	EN OSAA SANOA
Yrityksen tuotanto- suunnan muutokset	1	2	3	4	243 5
Yrityksen henkilöstön joukkoirtisanomiset tai lomautukset	1	2	3	4	244 5
Yrityksen myyminen	1	2	3	4	245 5
Yritysten fuusiot eli yhtymiset	1	2	3	4	246 5
Yrityksen johdossa tapahtuvat muutokset	1	2	3	4	247 5
Yrityksen organisaation muutoksia koskevat pää- tökset	1	2	3	4	248 5

33. Seuraavaksi esitän Teille eräitä verotusta koskevia väittämiä. Sanokaa jokaisen väittämän kohdalla erikseen oletteko samaa vai eri mieltä? (KORTTI 31 JA 33) Sanokaa numero kortilta.

(LUETELLAAN)

	TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	SAMAA MIELTÄ	ERI MIELTÄ	TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ	EN OSAA SANOA
Kaikkia tuloja on verotettava yhtäläisesti	1	2	3	4	5 249
Yksityisten henkilöiden tulo- veroasteikkoja tulee alentaa	1	2	3	4	5 250
Luontaisetuja on verotettava täydestä arvosta	1	2	3	4	5 251
Tavanomaisten talletusten korot on säilytettävä verovapaina	1	2	3	4	5 252
Pitkäaikaistalletusten ja obli- kaatioiden korot on saatettava veronalaisiksi	1	2	3	4	5 253

	TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	SAMAA MIELTÄ	ERI MIELTÄ	TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ	EN OSAA SANOA	
Verovähennyksiä on karsittava	1	2	3	4	5	254
Verovähennykset ja verotaulukot on säilytettävä ennallaan	1	2	3	4	5	255
Sosiaaliset vähennykset verotuksessa on korvattava suoralla tuella	1	2	3	4	5	256
Työtulovähennys ja palkkatulovähennys on siirrettävä veroasteikkoihin	1	2	3	4	5	257
Ammattiyhdistysjäsenmaksut on säilytettävä verovähennyskelpoisina	1	2	3	4	5	258
Verovähennykset hyödyttävät eniten suurituloisia	1	2	3	4	5	259
Veroasteikkojen kevennykset suosivat suurituloisia	1	2	3	4	5	260
Yritysverotusta on kiristettävä	1	2	3	4	5	261
Maatalouden verotusta on helpotettava	1	2	3	4	5	262
Varallisuusverotusta on kiristettävä	1	2	3	4	5	263
Metsätaloudessa verotusta on kiristettävä	1	2	3	4	5	264
Pienituloiset hyötyvät eniten verovähennyksistä	1	2	3	4	5	265
Myyntivoittojen verotusta on kiristettävä	1	2	3	4	5	266
Asuntotulo on saatettava verotuksen piiriin	1	2	3	4	5	267
Kuluttamista on verotettava voimakkaammin	1	2	3	4	5	268
Kunnallisveroäyrin hinta on tasattava samaksi koko maassa	1	2	3	4	5	269
Perintöverotusta on kiristettävä	1	2	3	4	5	270

34. Seuraavassa Teille esitetään vaatimuksia, joiden toteuttamisesta ihmiset ovat usein eri mieltä. Sanokaa jokaisen asian kohdalla kannatatteko ehdotusta, vastustatteko sitä vai onko asia yhden-
tekevä?

	KANNATAN	VASTUSTAN	ASIA ON YHDENTE- KEVÄ	EI OSAA SANOA	
Henkilöstön osallistumista yritysten päätöksentekoon on lisättävä	1	2	3	4	311
Henkilöstö- tai koulutus-rahastot on perustettava	1	2	3	4	312
Työntekijöiden irtisano- misaikoja on pidennettävä	1	2	3	4	313
Julkista sektoria on supistettava	1	2	3	4	314
Valtion ja kuntien virkamiesten määrää on supistettava	1	2	3	4	315
Julkisia palveluita on supistettava	1	2	3	4	316
Suuryritykset on valtiollistettava	1	2	3	4	317
Puolustusmenoja on karsittava	1	2	3	4	318
Pankit on sosialisoitava	1	2	3	4	319
Sosiaaliapua on vähennettävä	1	2	3	4	320
Valtion yritykset on yksityistettävä	1	2	3	4	321
Kehitysapua on vähennettävä	1	2	3	4	322
Yksityisiä terveydenhoi- topalveluksia on lisättävä	1	2	3	4	323
Kaikki pornografia on kiellettävä	1	2	3	4	324
Ydinvoimasta on luovut- tava	1	2	3	4	325

35. Seuraavassa esitämme puolueita ja politiikkaa koskevia mielipiteitä, joita joskus kuulee ihmisten esittävän. Luettelen nyt mielipiteet yhden kerrallaan ja pyydän Teitä joka kerran valitsemaan yhden vastausvaihtoehdon. Oletteko samaa vai eri mieltä? (KORTTI 35) Sanokaa numero kortilta.

	TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	OSITTAIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	VAIKEA SANOJA	OSITTAIN ERI MIELTÄ	TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ		
On ainakin yksi puolue, joka aina yrittää ajaa minun etuani	1	2	3	4	326	5	
Puolueet ovat kiinnostuneita vain ihmisten antamista äänistä eivätkä heidän mielipiteistään	1	2	3	4	327	5	
Puolueiden kautta kansalaisten mielipiteet tulevat päätöksenteossa huomioonotetuksi	1	2	3	4	328	5	
Ns. vaihtoehtoliikkeet edustavat kansalaisten mielipiteitä monissa asioissa varsinaisia puolueita paremmin	1	2	3	4	329	5	

37. LOPUKSI KYSYISIN ERÄITÄ TAUSTATIIETOJA

Tällä kortilla (KORTTI 37) on lueteltuna eräitä työmarkkina- ja etujärjestöjä. Kuulutteko tällä hetkellä mihinkään sellaiseen ammatilliseen tai muuhun yhdistykseen, joka on jonkun tällä kortilla mainitun järjestön jäsen?

- | | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|--|
| | 1 | STK | Suomen Työnantajien Keskusliitto ry |
| | 2 | LTK | Liiketyönantajien Keskusliitto ry |
| | 3 | SAK | Suomen Ammattiliittojen Keskusjärjestö ry |
| | 4 | TVK | Toimihenkilö- ja Virkamiesjärjestöjen Keskusliitto ry |
| 338 | 5 | AKAVA | |
| | 6 | STTK | Suomen Teknisten Toimihenkilöjärjestöjen Keskusliitto ry |
| | 7 | MTK | Maataloustuottajien Keskusliitto ry |
| | 8 | SYKL | Suomen Yrittäjien Keskusliitto |
| | 9 | Ei kuulu mihinkään | |
| | 0 | En osaa sanoa | |
-

38. Entä oletteko nykyisin jonkun puolueen perusjärjestön tai nais- tai nuorisojärjestön jäsen?

- | | | |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | 1 | Kyllä |
| 339 | 2 | En |
| | 3 | En osaa sanoa |
-

39. Mikä on ammattinne tällä hetkellä? (JOS ELÄKKEELLÄ, MIKÄ ON ENTINEN AMMATTI)

340 _____

40. Voisitteko vielä sanoa, mikä on Teidän perheenne päähenkilön ammatti tai työn laatu? (JOS ELÄKKEELLÄ, MIKÄ ON ENTINEN AMMATTI)

341 _____

41. Mikä on ammattiryhmä/sosiaaliryhmä, johon katsotte kuuluvanne? (KORTTI 41)

- | | | |
|-----|---|--|
| | 1 | Johtavassa asemassa toisen palveluksessa |
| | 2 | Ylempi toimihenkilö |
| | 3 | Alempi toimihenkilö |
| | 4 | Työntekijä |
| | 5 | Yrittäjä tai yksityinen ammatinharjoittaja |
| 342 | 6 | Maanviljelijä |
| | 7 | Koululainen tai opiskelija |
| | 8 | Eläkeläinen |
| | 9 | Kotirouva |
| | 0 | Työtön |
| | x | Joku muu |
-

42. Oletteko Te itse tai joku perheenne jäsenistä viimeksi kuluneiden kahden vuoden aikana ollut työttömänä pitemmän kuin yhden kuukauden yhtäjaksoisen ajan?

- 1 En ole ollut
343 2 Olen itse
3 On joku perheenjäsen
4 En osaa sanoa
-

43. Seuraavaksi kysyisin perhesuhteitanne. Oletteko naimaton, avoliitossa, avioliitossa, eronnut vai leski?

- 1 Naimaton
2 Avoliitossa
344 3 Avioliitossa
4 Eronnut
5 Leski
-

44. Mikä on peruskoulutuksenne?

- 1 Kansakoulu tai vähemmän, kansalaiskoulu
2 Keskkoulu tai peruskoulu
345 3 Ylioppilastutkinto
4 Muu, mikä?
-

45. Entä mikä on ammatillinen koulutuksenne? (KORTTI 45)

- 1 Ei ammatillista koulua ----- > KYSYMYS 47
2 Ammattikurssi, lyhyt ammattikoulutus, työn ohessa saatu koulutus
346 3 Ammattikoulu, kauppakoulu tai näihin verrattava
4 Opistotasoinen ammattikoulutus
5 Yliopisto- tai korkeakoulututkinto
6 Joku muu, mikä
-

(JOS EDELLISESSÄ KYSYMYKSESSÄ MUU KUIN 1)

46. Sanokaa seuraavalta kortilta se koulutusala, joka parhaiten vastaa ammatillista koulutustanne (KORTTI 46)

- 1 Tekninen tai rakennusala
2 Kaupallinen ala
3 Terveystieteet, liikunta tai lääketiede
4 Maa- ja metsätalous
347 5 Sosiaali- ja terveysala
6 Humanistinen koulutusala
7 Muu yhteiskunnallinen koulutusala
8 Joku muu koulutusala, mikä
-

9 En osaa sanoa

47. Mikä Seuraavista vaihtoehtoista lähinnä vastaa toimialaa, jolla työskentelette? (KORTTI 47)

- 1 Valtio, kunta tai seurakunta
 2 Yksityinen teollisuuden alan yritys
 348 3 Yksityinen palvelualan yritys
 5 Joku muu
 6 Ei ole mukana työelämässä

48. Mikä on äidinkielenne?

- 1 Suomi
 349 2 Ruotsi
 3 Muu

49. Kohdehenkilö on ...

- 1 Nainen
 350 2 Mies

50. Valitkaa toinen näistä kahdesta kortista (TULOKORTIT). Mihin tuloluokkaan perheenne kuuluu, kun kaikkien perheenjäsenten tulot lasketaan yhteen veroja vähentämättä? Valitkaa vastaus siltä kortilta, jonka mukaan Teistä tuntuu helpoimmalta vastata.

PERHEEN YHTEENLASKETUT TULOT KORTTI A - KUUKAUSITULOT		PERHEEN YHTEENLASKETUT TULOT KORTTI B - VUOSITULOT	
1	alle 4.000 mk	1	alle 48.000 mk
2	4.001 - 6.000 mk	2	48.001 - 72.000 mk
6	6001 - 8.000 mk	3	72.001 - 96.000 mk
4	8.001 - 10.000 mk	4	96.001 -120.000 mk
351 5	10.001- 15.000 mk	352 5	120.001 180.000 mk
6	15.001- 20.000 mk	6	180.001 240.000 mk
7	20.001- 25.000 mk	7	240.001 300.000 mk
8	yli 25.000 mk	8	yli 300.000 mk
9	ei vastausta	9	ei vastausta

51. Kohdehenkilön ikä ja syntymävuosi:

- 1 Alle 20 v
 2 20 - 24 v
 3 25 - 29 v
 353 4 30 - 34 v
 5 35 - 39 v
 6 40 - 49 v
 7 50 - 64 v
 8 65 - v
- Syntymävuosi: _____ 354-
355

52. Paikkakuntatyyppi:

- | | | |
|-----|---|---------------------------|
| | 1 | Helsinki |
| | 2 | Espoo |
| | 3 | Vantaa |
| | 4 | Kauniainen |
| 356 | 5 | Turku |
| | 6 | Tampere |
| | 7 | Lahti, Oulu, Pori, Kuopio |
| | 8 | Muut kaupungit |
| | 9 | Maalaiskunnat |
-

53. Vaalipiiri:

- | | | |
|-----|-----|-------------------------|
| | 1 | Helsingin kaupungin |
| | 2 | Uudenmaan läänin |
| | 3 | Turun läänin eteläinen |
| | 4 | Turun läänin pohjoinen |
| | 5 | Hämeen läänin eteläinen |
| 357 | 6 | Hämeen läänin pohjoinen |
| | 7 | Kymen läänin |
| | 8 | Mikkelin läänin |
| | 9 | Pohjois-Karjalan läänin |
| | 0 | Kuopion läänin |
| | --- | |
| | 1 | Vaasan läänin |
| 358 | 2 | Keski-Suomen läänin |
| | 3 | Oulun läänin |
| | 4 | Lapin läänin |
-

54. Kunta: _____

359-
360

55. Seuraavaksi kysyisin muutaman kysymyksen presidentinvaaleista Älkää kuitenkaan missään vaiheessa ilmoittako vastaustanne minulle. Vastaminen esitettäviin kysymyksiin tapahtuu siten, että kysyttyäni kysymyksen Te ympyröitte mielipidettänne vastaavan vaihtoehdon numeron tältä erilliseltä lomakkeelta.

OJENNA VASTAUSLOMAKE JA TARVITTAESSA MYÖS KYNÄ.

Vastaamisen jälkeen pyydän Teitä sulkemaan lomakkeen kirjekuo-reen, jonka postitan avaamattomana Suomen Gallupiin. Tuloksista ei koskaan tule selviämään kenenkään yksityisen vastaajan henkilöllisyys.

Siis siirtykäämme ensimmäiseen kysymykseen:

1. Ketä presidenttiehdokasta Te äänestitte suoraan tasavallan presidentiksi juuri käydyissä presidentinvaaleissa?
 2. Minkä puolueen tai muun ryhmittymän ehdokasta Te äänestitte valitsijamiesvaalissa?
-

56. Lopuksi siirtyisin kysymään poliittista kantaanne. Älkää kuitenkaan missään vaiheessa ilmoittako puoluekantaanne minulle. Vastaaminen esitettäviin kysymyksiin tapahtuu siten, että kysytyäni kysymyksen Te ympäröitte mielipidettänne vastaavan vaihtoehdon numeron tältä erilliseltä lomakkeelta.

OJENNA VASTAUSLOMAKE JA TARVITTAESSA MYÖS KYNÄ.

Vastaamisen jälkeen pyydän Teitä sulkemaan lomakkeen tähän kirjekuoreen, jonka postitan avaamattomana Suomen Gallupiin. Tuloksista ei koskaan tule selviämään kenenkään yksityisen vastajan henkilöllisyys.

Siis siirtykäämme ensimmäiseen kysymykseen:

1. Jos maassamme järjestettäisiin kunnallisvaalit nyt, niin kuinka varmasti kävisitte äänestämässä?
2. Jos maassamme järjestettäisiin kunnallisvaalit nyt, minkä puolueen tai ryhmittymän edustajaehdokkaalle antaisitte äänenne?
3. Mikä puolue tai ryhmittymä olisi Teille toiseksi mieluisin?
4. Meillä järjestettiin viime vuoden maaliskuussa eduskuntavaalit. Minkä puolueen tai ryhmittymän ehdokkaalle annoitte äänenne näissä vaaleissa?
5. Jos ajattelette omia poliittisia mielipiteitänne, niin miten lähellä olette kysymyksessä kaksi merkitsemäänne puoluetta tai ryhmittymää?

HAASTATTELIJA TÄYTTÄÄ:

HAASTATTELIJA: _____

VALTUUSKORTIN NUMERO: _____

HAASTATTELUPÄIVÄ: ____ / ____ 1988

HAASTATTELUN KESTO: _____ MIN

1. Ketä presidenttiehdokasta Te äänestitte suoraan tasavallan presidentiksi juuri käydyissä presidentinvaaleissa?

- 1 Harri Holkeri
- 2 Jouko Kajanoja
- 3 Kalevi Kivistö
- 411 4 Mauno Koivisto
- 5 Paavo Väyrynen
- 6 Äänestin tyhjä
- 7 En äänestänyt lainkaan
- 8 En halua sanoa

2. Minkä puolueen tai muun ryhmittymän ehdokasta Te äänestitte valitsijamiesvaalissa

- 1 Sosialidemokraattinen puolue (SDP)
 - 2 Kansallinen Kokoomus (KOK)
 - 3 Keskustapuolue (KESK)
 - 4 Suomen Kansan Demokraattisen Liiton ympärille kerätty
 - 412 "Liike -88"
 - 5 Suomen Maaseudun Puolue (SMP)
 - 6 Demokraattinen Vaihtoehto (DEVA)
 - 7 Pro Koivisto-liike
 - 8 Äänestin tyhjä
 - 0 En käynyt äänestämässä lainkaan
 - 9 En osaa/en halua sanoa
-

1. Jos maassamme järjestettäisiin kunnallisvaalit nyt, niin kuinka varmasti kävisitte äänestämässä?
- 1 Äänestäisin varmasti
2 Luultavasti äänestäisin
413 3 Luultavasti en äänestäisi
4 En osaa sanoa
2. Jos kunnallisvaalit järjestettäisiin nyt, minkä puolueen tai ryhmittymän edustajaehdokkaalle antaisitte äänenne?
- 1 DEVA (Demokraattinen vaihtoehto)
2 KESK (Keskustapuolue)
3 KOK (Kansallinen Kokoomus)
4 RKP (Ruotsalainen Kansanpuolue)
414 5 SDP (Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue)
6 SKDL (Suomen Kansan Demokraattinen Liitto) 419
7 SKL (Suomen Kristillinen Liitto)
8 SMP (Suomen Maaseudun Puolue)
9 'VIHREÄT'
0 LKP (Liberaalinen Kansanpuolue)
X POP (Perustuslaillinen Oikeistopuolue)
V SEP (Suomen Eläkeläisten Puolue)
415 1 Joku muu ryhmittymä
2 En äänestäisi
3 En halua/en osaa sanoa
3. Mikä puolue tai ryhmittymä olisi Teille toiseksi mieluisin?
- TOISEKSI MIELUISIN
- 1 DEVA (Demokraattinen vaihtoehto)
2 KESK (Keskustapuolue)
3 KOK (Kansallinen Kokoomus)
4 RKP (Ruotsalainen Kansanpuolue)
5 SDP (Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue)
416 6 SKDL (Suomen Kansan Demokraattinen Liitto)
7 SKL (Suomen Kristillinen Liitto)
8 SMP (Suomen Maaseudun Puolue)
9 'VIHREÄT'
0 LKP (Liberaalinen Kansanpuolue)
X POP (Perustuslaillinen Oikeistopuolue)
V SEP (Suomen Eläkeläisten Puolue)
417 1 Joku muu ryhmittymä
2 Toiseksi mieluisinta ei ole
3 En halua/en osaa sanoa
4. Meillä järjestettiin eduskuntavaalit viime vuoden maaliskuussa. Minkä puolueen tai ryhmittymän ehdokkaalle annoitte äänenne näissä eduskuntavaaleissa?
- 1 DEVA (Demokraattinen vaihtoehto)
2 KESK (Keskustapuolue)
418 3 KOK (Kansallinen Kokoomus)
4 RKP (Ruotsalainen Kansanpuolue)
5 SDP (Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue)
6 SKDL (Suomen Kansan Demokraattinen Liitto)
7 SKL (Suomen Kristillinen Liitto)
8 SMP (Suomen Maaseudun Puolue)
9 'VIHREÄT'
0 LKP (Liberaalinen Kansanpuolue)
X POP (Perustuslaillinen Oikeistopuolue)
V SEP (Suomen Eläkeläisten Puolue)
1 Joku muu ryhmittymä
2 En äänestänyt
3 En muista
4 En halua/en osaa sanoa
5. Jos ajattelette omia poliittisia mielipiteitänne, niin miten lähellä tätä kysymyksessä 2 merkitsemäanne puoluetta tai ryhmittymää olette?
- 1 Erittäin lähellä
2 Melko lähellä
420 3 En kovinkaan lähellä
4 En osaa sanoa