

FSD1033

Finnish Voter Barometer March 1992

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Voter Barometer March 1992 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (17.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1033 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Gallup Finland: Finnish Voter Barometer March 1992 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-17). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1033>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	73
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	73
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	80
A	Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties	87

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Voter Barometer March 1992

Titles and data version in Finnish: Puolueiden ajankohtaistutkimus maaliskuu 1992

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (17.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Other material

Information on the Gallup Channel research system¹

Authoring entity

Gallup Finland

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Gallup Finland

¹<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/english/data/background/gchannelge.html>

Date of deposit

27.10.1999

Keywords

elections; municipal elections; political attitudes; political behaviour; political parties; political support; voting behaviour

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Voter Barometers

The Finnish Voter Barometer surveys are commissioned by the major parties in the Parliament. In election years, other sponsors have also been involved. Up till 1988, the surveys were planned by Gallup Finland (TNS Gallup Ltd) and the Department of Political and Economic Studies at the University of Helsinki, in collaboration with the political parties. After 1988, the planning has been in the hands of Gallup Finland and the parties.

From 1973 to 1990, the annual voter barometers were collected mainly with face-to-face interviews using structured questionnaires, 1000-2000 interviews/barometer. Since 1991, the data have been collected with GallupChannel (PC's installed in respondents' homes). No surveys were conducted in 1985 and 1989.

Survey themes include voting behaviour, opinions on the government and the parties, and attitudes towards national political issues. In addition, respondents' economic expectations and political participation have often been studied, as well as their opinions on local politics, and the significance of the party leader to a party. Background variables have often included the social class of the respondent and the household head, economic activity of the household head, respondent's education, age, mother tongue, gender, party membership, political views, trade union membership, and family's annual income. There are also regional variables. Even though the variables are not always fully comparable from one survey to another, all kinds of comparisons over time are possible. The FSD collections cover data from 1973.

Abstract

The survey studied the attitudes of the Finns towards different political parties. The respondents were asked how favourably or negatively they viewed the Centre Party of Finland, the Finnish

Social Democratic Party, the National Coalition Party, the Left Alliance and the Green League. Views on government and opposition performance were evaluated. The respondents assessed the significance of party leaders and other opinion leaders to the parties. Voting behaviour was studied by asking how tempting it would be for the respondents to vote for different parties, what parties they might vote for and if the elections were held now, how would they vote. In addition, the respondents were asked whether they took care of everyday shopping or cooking in the household.

Background variables included the respondent's year of birth, marital status, household annual gross income, place of residence, occupational group, line of work, trade union membership, and mother tongue.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Population of Finland aged 15-70 years, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 27.3.1992 – 31.3.1992

Data collector(s): Gallup Finland

Data producer(s): Gallup Finland

Mode of data collection: Self-administered questionnaire: Web-based (CAWI)

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 1992

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 173 variables and 836 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Multistage

The information has been gathered through GallupChannel with personal computers and Internet terminals installed in people's homes. The sample has been carried out as a multistage stratified sample resulting in a fixed sample.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

The variable identifying postal code has been removed from the data set.

Related publications

Borg, Sami & Ruostetsaari, Ilkka (2002). Suuret ikäluokat ja valta. Hyvinvointikatsaus 1/2002, 51-58.

Grönlund, Kimmo & Paloheimo, Heikki & Wass, Hanna (2005). Äänestysosallistuminen. Teoksessa: Vaalit ja demokratia Suomessa (toim. Heikki Paloheimo), 119-146. Helsinki: WSOY.

Karvonen, Lauri (2014). Parties, Governments and Voters in Finland. Politics under Fundamental Societal Transformation. Colchester. ECPR Press

Paloheimo, Heikki (2002). Hallituksen toimintaan ja puoluejohdon taitavuuteen kohdistuva arviointi puoluekannatuksen selittäjänä. *Politiikka* 44(2), 124-143.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2003). Miten äänestäjät valitsevat puolueen? *Politiikka* 45(3), 175-193.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2005). Puoluevalinnan tilannetekijät. Teoksessa: Vaalit ja demokratia Suomessa (toim. Heikki Paloheimo), 202-228. Helsinki: WSOY.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2005). Vaalit ja demokratia Suomessa. Helsinki: WSOY.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2006). Asenteet poliittisia instituutioita ja toimijoita kohtaan. Teoksessa: Suomen demokatiaindikaattorit (toim. Sami Borg), 128 - 153. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.

Suomen demokatiaindikaattorit (2006). Toim. Sami Borg. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 2006:1.

Wass, Hanna (2007). The effects of age, generation and period on turnout in Finland 1975-2003. *Electoral Studies* 26(3), 648-659.

Wass, Hanna (2008). Generations and turnout: Generational effect in electoral participation in Finland. Helsinki: University of Helsinki. Department of Political Science. *Acta Politica* 35.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1033?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	836
minimum	1033.00
maximum	1033.00
mean	1033.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	836
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	836
minimum	1.00
maximum	836.00
mean	418.50
standard deviation	241.48

[V3] Respondent identification

Question

Respondent identification

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	836
minimum	1.00
maximum	6.00
mean	1.74
standard deviation	0.88

[V5] Size of the household

Question

Size of the household

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	810
minimum	1.00

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
maximum	15.00
mean	3.36
standard deviation	1.53

[V6] Number of children aged under 20 in the household**Question***Number of children aged under 20 in the household***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	810
minimum	0.00
maximum	13.00
mean	1.36
standard deviation	1.42

[V7_1] Children's age: no children**Question***Children's age: no children***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
was not mentioned	0	541	64.7	66.8
was mentioned	1	269	32.2	33.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V7_2] Children's age: less than a year**Question***Children's age: less than a year***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
was not mentioned	0	781	93.4	96.4
was mentioned	1	29	3.5	3.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V7_3] Children's age: one-year-old

Question

Children's age: one-year-old

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
was not mentioned	0	768	91.9	94.8
was mentioned	1	42	5.0	5.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V7_4] Children's age: 2-3 years old

Question

Children's age: 2-3 years old

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
was not mentioned	0	753	90.1	93.0
was mentioned	1	57	6.8	7.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V7_5] Children's age: 4-6 years old

Question

Children's age: 4-6 years old

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
was not mentioned	0	684	81.8	84.4
was mentioned	1	126	15.1	15.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V7_6] Children's age: 7-11 years old

Question

Children's age: 7-11 years old

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
was not mentioned	0	610	73.0	75.3
was mentioned	1	200	23.9	24.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V7_7] Children's age: 12-15 years old

Question

Children's age: 12-15 years old

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
was not mentioned	0	580	69.4	71.6
was mentioned	1	230	27.5	28.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V7_8] Children's age: 16-20 years old

Question

Children's age: 16-20 years old

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
was not mentioned	0	594	71.1	73.3
was mentioned	1	216	25.8	26.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V8] Annual gross income

Question

Annual gross income

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
less than 50.000 mk	1	14	1.7	1.7
50.001 - 75.000 mk	2	34	4.1	4.2
75.001 - 100.000 mk	3	52	6.2	6.4
100.001 - 125.000 mk	4	43	5.1	5.3
125.001 - 150.000 mk	5	87	10.4	10.7
150.001 - 175.000 mk	6	102	12.2	12.6
175.001 - 200.000 mk	7	100	12.0	12.3
200.001 - 225.000 mk	8	108	12.9	13.3
225.000 - 250.000 mk	9	70	8.4	8.6
over 250.000 mk	10	163	19.5	20.1
can't say	11	37	4.4	4.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V9] Respondent's place of residence

Question

Respondent's place of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki	1	74	8.9	9.1
Espoo, Kauniainen	2	26	3.1	3.2
Vantaa	3	26	3.1	3.2
Turku	4	22	2.6	2.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Tampere	5	24	2.9	3.0
other city/town	6	323	38.6	39.9
population centre in a rural area	7	229	27.4	28.3
sparsely populated rural area	8	86	10.3	10.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V10] Respondent's province of residence

Question

Respondent's province of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	196	23.4	24.2
Turku and Pori	2	111	13.3	13.7
Häme	3	117	14.0	14.4
Kymi	4	54	6.5	6.7
Mikkeli	5	41	4.9	5.1
Kuopio	6	46	5.5	5.7
Keski-Suomi	7	41	4.9	5.1
Vaasa	8	60	7.2	7.4
Pohjois-Karjala	9	38	4.5	4.7
Oulu	10	76	9.1	9.4
Lappi	11	30	3.6	3.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V13] Economic activity and occupational group of the head of the household

Question

Economic activity and occupational group of the head of the household

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
higher managerial occupation (employee)	1	69	8.3	8.5
lower managerial or professional employee	2	155	18.5	19.1
intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	3	159	19.0	19.6
worker	4	218	26.1	26.9
entrepreneur or self-employed person	5	62	7.4	7.7
farmer	6	37	4.4	4.6
unemployed	7	9	1.1	1.1
student	8	16	1.9	2.0
retired	9	65	7.8	8.0
housewife	10	8	1.0	1.0
other	11	12	1.4	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V14] Respondent's sex

Question

Respondent's sex

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
female	1	417	49.9	49.9
male	2	419	50.1	50.1
		836	100.0	100.0

[V15] Respondent's year of birth

Question

Respondent's year of birth

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	836
minimum	1914.00
maximum	1977.00
mean	1953.30

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
standard deviation	13.81

[V16] Is respondent the person with the highest income in the household (head of household)?

Question

Is respondent the person with the highest income in the household (head of household)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	405	48.4	49.0
no	2	421	50.4	51.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	10	1.2	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V17] Is the respondent responsible for what food and other everyday products are bought to the household?

Question

Is the respondent responsible for what food and other everyday products are bought to the household?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes, me alone	1	175	20.9	21.2
yes, along with someone else	2	436	52.2	52.8
no	3	215	25.7	26.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	10	1.2	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V18] Who does the cooking in the household?

Question

Who does the cooking in the household?

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
mostly self	1	379	45.3	45.9
occasionally self	2	229	27.4	27.7
hardly ever self	3	218	26.1	26.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	10	1.2	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V19] Respondent's marital status

Question

Respondent's marital status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
single	1	166	19.9	20.1
cohabiting	2	116	13.9	14.0
married	3	488	58.4	59.1
widowed	4	17	2.0	2.1
divorced/separated	5	39	4.7	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	10	1.2	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V20] Age when respondent finished studying full-time

Question

Age when respondent finished studying full-time

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	836
minimum	0.00
maximum	40.00
mean	16.72
standard deviation	8.84

[V21] Respondent's basic education**Question***Respondent's basic education***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
primary education or less	1	258	30.9	32.2
lower secondary education	2	307	36.7	38.3
upper secondary education (matriculation examination)	3	237	28.3	29.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	34	4.1	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V22] Respondent's vocational or other education**Question***Respondent's vocational or other education***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
no vocational education	1	67	8.0	9.9
vocational course or other short vocational training	2	157	18.8	23.1
vocational school or equivalent (upper secondary level)	3	186	22.2	27.4
college level vocational education	4	168	20.1	24.7
university education	5	91	10.9	13.4
other	6	10	1.2	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	157	18.8	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V23] Respondent's economic activity and occupational group**Question***Respondent's economic activity and occupational group***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
higher managerial occupation (employee)	1	38	4.5	4.6
lower managerial or professional employee	2	89	10.6	10.8
intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	3	146	17.5	17.7
worker	4	199	23.8	24.1
entrepreneur or self-employed person	5	56	6.7	6.8
farmer	6	26	3.1	3.1
unemployed	7	29	3.5	3.5
student	8	125	15.0	15.1
retired	9	68	8.1	8.2
housewife	10	28	3.3	3.4
other	11	22	2.6	2.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	10	1.2	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V24] How many hours a week do you normally work?

Question

How many hours a week do you normally work?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
full-time, about 40 hours per week	1	467	55.9	88.4
part-time, less than 40 hours per week	2	61	7.3	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	308	36.8	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V25] Respondent's employment sector

Question

Respondent's employment sector

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
agriculture and forestry	1	12	1.4	2.3
industry and construction	2	142	17.0	26.9
trade	3	53	6.3	10.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
other private services	4	105	12.6	19.9
public services	5	199	23.8	37.7
not working	6	13	1.6	2.5
can't say	7	4	0.5	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	308	36.8	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V26] Respondent's employer**Question***Respondent's employer***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
state, government agency/department	1	59	7.1	11.5
municipality, federation of municipalities	2	119	14.2	23.3
voluntary/civic organization, association	3	13	1.6	2.5
state-owned enterprise	4	24	2.9	4.7
private company/enterprise	5	230	27.5	45.0
church, parish	6	1	0.1	0.2
other employer	7	33	3.9	6.5
self-employed, entrepreneur or assisting family member	8	31	3.7	6.1
farmer or farmer's spouse	9	1	0.1	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	325	38.9	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V27] Respondent's membership in a trade union**Question***Respondent's membership in a trade union***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
SAK (Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions)	1	158	18.9	28.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
TVK (Confederation of Salaried Employees in Finland)	2	117	14.0	21.0
Akava (Confed. of Unions for Academic Professionals)	3	71	8.5	12.7
STTK (Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	4	48	5.7	8.6
does not belong to any trade union	5	141	16.9	25.3
can't say	6	22	2.6	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	279	33.4	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V28] What party or group did you vote for in the 1991 parliamentary elections?

Question

What party or group did you vote for in the 1991 parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	135	16.1	17.8
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	122	14.6	16.1
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	156	18.7	20.6
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	17	2.0	2.2
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	5	22	2.6	2.9
VIHR (Green League)	6	64	7.7	8.5
SKL (Christian League of Finland)	7	12	1.4	1.6
SMP (Finnish Rural Party)	8	15	1.8	2.0
LKP (Liberal Party)	9	3	0.4	0.4
some other party/group	10	7	0.8	0.9
did not vote	11	105	12.6	13.9
can't say	12	10	1.2	1.3
	13	89	10.6	11.8
don't want to say	14	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	79	9.4	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V29] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	99	11.8	13.1
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	114	13.6	15.1
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	123	14.7	16.2
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	16	1.9	2.1
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	5	17	2.0	2.2
VIHR (Green League)	6	70	8.4	9.2
SKL (Christian League of Finland)	7	13	1.6	1.7
SMP (Finnish Rural Party)	8	13	1.6	1.7
LKP (Liberal Party)	9	2	0.2	0.3
some other party/group	10	5	0.6	0.7
wouldn't vote	11	79	9.4	10.4
can't say	12	138	16.5	18.2
don't want to say	13	68	8.1	9.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	79	9.4	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V30] If you couldn't vote for candidate of the party you chose in the previous question, which party would be your second choice?

Question

If you couldn't vote for candidate of the party you chose in the previous question, which party would be your second choice?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	53	6.3	11.2
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	30	3.6	6.4
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	58	6.9	12.3
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	16	1.9	3.4
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	5	25	3.0	5.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
VIHR (Green League)	6	55	6.6	11.7
SKL (Christian League of Finland)	7	7	0.8	1.5
SMP (Finnish Rural Party)	8	17	2.0	3.6
LKP (Liberal Party)	9	19	2.3	4.0
some other party/group	10	13	1.6	2.8
wouldn't vote	11	74	8.9	15.7
can't say	12	92	11.0	19.5
don't want to say	13	13	1.6	2.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	364	43.5	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V37] Respondent's age

Question

Respondent's age

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	836
minimum	15.00
maximum	78.00
mean	37.92
standard deviation	13.81

[V38] How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Centre Party of Finland?

Question

How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Centre Party of Finland?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very positive	1	30	3.6	3.6
somewhat positive	2	172	20.6	20.6
somewhat negative	3	299	35.8	35.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
very negative	4	215	25.7	25.7
can't say	5	120	14.4	14.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V39] How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Social Democratic Party of Finland?

Question

How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Social Democratic Party of Finland?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very positive	1	24	2.9	2.9
somewhat positive	2	176	21.1	21.1
somewhat negative	3	332	39.7	39.7
very negative	4	169	20.2	20.2
can't say	5	135	16.1	16.1
		836	100.0	100.0

[V40] How positive or negative is your attitude towards National Coalition Party?

Question

How positive or negative is your attitude towards National Coalition Party?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very positive	1	53	6.3	6.3
somewhat positive	2	189	22.6	22.6
somewhat negative	3	286	34.2	34.2
very negative	4	183	21.9	21.9
can't say	5	125	15.0	15.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V41] How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Left Alliance?**Question**

How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Left Alliance?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very positive	1	9	1.1	1.1
somewhat positive	2	72	8.6	8.6
somewhat negative	3	267	31.9	31.9
very negative	4	354	42.3	42.3
can't say	5	134	16.0	16.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V42] How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Green League?**Question**

How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Green League?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very positive	1	37	4.4	4.4
somewhat positive	2	264	31.6	31.6
somewhat negative	3	267	31.9	31.9
very negative	4	126	15.1	15.1
can't say	5	142	17.0	17.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V43] How well the government formed after that last parliamentary elections has succeeded in its tasks so far?**Question**

How well the government formed after that last parliamentary elections has succeeded in its tasks so far?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	9	1.1	1.1
well enough	2	121	14.5	14.5
badly enough	3	275	32.9	32.9
very badly	4	367	43.9	43.9
can't say	5	64	7.7	7.7
		836	100.0	100.0

[V44] How well opposition parties have succeeded in their opposition politics?

Question

How well opposition parties have succeeded in their opposition politics?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	5	0.6	0.6
well enough	2	71	8.5	8.5
badly enough	3	361	43.2	43.2
very badly	4	264	31.6	31.6
can't say	5	135	16.1	16.1
		836	100.0	100.0

[V45] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of children

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	10	1.2	1.2
well enough	2	163	19.5	19.5
badly enough	3	261	31.2	31.2
very badly	4	289	34.6	34.6
can't say	5	113	13.5	13.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V46] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of young people

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of young people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	8	1.0	1.0
well enough	2	92	11.0	11.0
badly enough	3	288	34.4	34.4
very badly	4	359	42.9	42.9
can't say	5	89	10.6	10.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V47] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of families with children

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of families with children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	12	1.4	1.4
well enough	2	143	17.1	17.1
badly enough	3	256	30.6	30.6
very badly	4	334	40.0	40.0
can't say	5	91	10.9	10.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V48] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of elderly and retired people

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of elderly and retired people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	16	1.9	1.9
well enough	2	129	15.4	15.4
badly enough	3	243	29.1	29.1
very badly	4	363	43.4	43.4
can't say	5	85	10.2	10.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V49] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of workers

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of workers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	9	1.1	1.1
well enough	2	110	13.2	13.2
badly enough	3	282	33.7	33.7
very badly	4	365	43.7	43.7
can't say	5	70	8.4	8.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V50] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of farmers

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of farmers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	73	8.7	8.7
well enough	2	198	23.7	23.7
badly enough	3	233	27.9	27.9
very badly	4	225	26.9	26.9
can't say	5	107	12.8	12.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V51] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of enterprises

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of enterprises

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	55	6.6	6.6
well enough	2	199	23.8	23.8
badly enough	3	267	31.9	31.9
very badly	4	199	23.8	23.8
can't say	5	116	13.9	13.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V52] How well the government has succeeded in: Environmental protection

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Environmental protection

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	11	1.3	1.3
well enough	2	215	25.7	25.7
badly enough	3	289	34.6	34.6
very badly	4	204	24.4	24.4
can't say	5	117	14.0	14.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V53] How well the government has succeeded in: Organizing energy production

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Organizing energy production

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	11	1.3	1.3
well enough	2	141	16.9	16.9
badly enough	3	282	33.7	33.7
very badly	4	265	31.7	31.7
can't say	5	137	16.4	16.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V54] How well the government has succeeded in: Promoting energy saving

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Promoting energy saving

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	8	1.0	1.0
well enough	2	99	11.8	11.8
badly enough	3	319	38.2	38.2
very badly	4	278	33.3	33.3
can't say	5	132	15.8	15.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V55] How well the government has succeeded in: Promoting public transport

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Promoting public transport

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	8	1.0	1.0
well enough	2	191	22.8	22.8
badly enough	3	259	31.0	31.0
very badly	4	217	26.0	26.0
can't say	5	161	19.3	19.3
		836	100.0	100.0

[V56] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of private transport (motoring)

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of private transport (motoring)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	36	4.3	4.3
well enough	2	193	23.1	23.1
badly enough	3	223	26.7	26.7
very badly	4	237	28.3	28.3
can't say	5	147	17.6	17.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V57] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs city dwellers

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs city dwellers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	31	3.7	3.7
well enough	2	222	26.6	26.6
badly enough	3	223	26.7	26.7
very badly	4	184	22.0	22.0
can't say	5	176	21.1	21.1
		836	100.0	100.0

[V58] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of rural dwellers

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of rural dwellers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	32	3.8	3.8
well enough	2	181	21.7	21.7
badly enough	3	276	33.0	33.0
very badly	4	216	25.8	25.8
can't say	5	131	15.7	15.7
		836	100.0	100.0

[V59] How well the government has succeeded in: Finland's participation in European integration

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Finland's participation in European integration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	101	12.1	12.1
well enough	2	311	37.2	37.2
badly enough	3	179	21.4	21.4
very badly	4	142	17.0	17.0
can't say	5	103	12.3	12.3
		836	100.0	100.0

[V60] How well the government has succeeded in: Improving national economy

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Improving national economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	3	0.4	0.4
well enough	2	44	5.3	5.3
badly enough	3	227	27.2	27.2
very badly	4	496	59.3	59.3
can't say	5	66	7.9	7.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V61] How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of yourself and your family

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of yourself and your family

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	8	1.0	1.0
well enough	2	142	17.0	17.0
badly enough	3	269	32.2	32.2
very badly	4	322	38.5	38.5
can't say	5	95	11.4	11.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V62] How well the government has succeeded in: Lowering prices

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Lowering prices

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	5	0.6	0.6
well enough	2	53	6.3	6.3
badly enough	3	232	27.8	27.8
very badly	4	489	58.5	58.5
can't say	5	57	6.8	6.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V63] How well the government has succeeded in: Housing

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Housing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	6	0.7	0.7
well enough	2	96	11.5	11.5
badly enough	3	250	29.9	29.9
very badly	4	402	48.1	48.1
can't say	5	82	9.8	9.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V64] How well the government has succeeded in: Taxation

Question

How well the government has succeeded in: Taxation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	9	1.1	1.1
well enough	2	56	6.7	6.7
badly enough	3	170	20.3	20.3
very badly	4	543	65.0	65.0
can't say	5	58	6.9	6.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V65_1] Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Question

Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	709	84.8	84.8
yes	1	127	15.2	15.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V65_2] Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Question

Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	723	86.5	86.5
yes	1	113	13.5	13.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V65_3] Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Question

Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	742	88.8	88.8
yes	1	94	11.2	11.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V65_4] Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: VAS (Left Alliance)

Question

Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: VAS (Left Alliance)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	774	92.6	92.6
yes	1	62	7.4	7.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V65_5] Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: VIHR (Green League)

Question

Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	638	76.3	76.3
yes	1	198	23.7	23.7
		836	100.0	100.0

[V65_6] Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: None of these parties

Question

Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	578	69.1	69.1
yes	1	258	30.9	30.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V65_7] Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: Can't say

Question

Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	677	81.0	81.0
yes	1	159	19.0	19.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V66_1] Reforms the society: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Question

Reforms the society: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	741	88.6	88.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	95	11.4	11.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V66_2] Reforms the society: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Question

Reforms the society: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	736	88.0	88.0
yes	1	100	12.0	12.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V66_3] Reforms the society: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Question

Reforms the society: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	673	80.5	80.5
yes	1	163	19.5	19.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V66_4] Reforms the society: VAS (Left Alliance)

Question

Reforms the society: VAS (Left Alliance)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	796	95.2	95.2
yes	1	40	4.8	4.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V66_5] Reforms the society: VIHR (Green League)

Question

Reforms the society: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	667	79.8	79.8
yes	1	169	20.2	20.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V66_6] Reforms the society: None of these parties

Question

Reforms the society: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	583	69.7	69.7
yes	1	253	30.3	30.3
		836	100.0	100.0

[V66_7] Reforms the society: Can't say

Question

Reforms the society: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	667	79.8	79.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	169	20.2	20.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V67_1] Incompetent leaders: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Question

Incompetent leaders: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	500	59.8	59.8
yes	1	336	40.2	40.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V67_2] Incompetent leaders: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Question

Incompetent leaders: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	578	69.1	69.1
yes	1	258	30.9	30.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V67_3] Incompetent leaders: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Question

Incompetent leaders: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	587	70.2	70.2
yes	1	249	29.8	29.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V67_4] Incompetent leaders: VAS (Left Alliance)

Question

Incompetent leaders: VAS (Left Alliance)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	505	60.4	60.4
yes	1	331	39.6	39.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V67_5] Incompetent leaders: VIHR (Green League)

Question

Incompetent leaders: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	605	72.4	72.4
yes	1	231	27.6	27.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V67_6] Incompetent leaders: None of these parties

Question

Incompetent leaders: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	778	93.1	93.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	58	6.9	6.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V67_7] Incompetent leaders: Can't say

Question

Incompetent leaders: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	641	76.7	76.7
yes	1	195	23.3	23.3
		836	100.0	100.0

[V68_1] Acts contrary to my own interests: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Question

Acts contrary to my own interests: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	481	57.5	57.5
yes	1	355	42.5	42.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V68_2] Acts contrary to my own interests: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Question

Acts contrary to my own interests: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	593	70.9	70.9
yes	1	243	29.1	29.1
		836	100.0	100.0

[V68_3] Acts contrary to my own interests: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Question

Acts contrary to my own interests: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	549	65.7	65.7
yes	1	287	34.3	34.3
		836	100.0	100.0

[V68_4] Acts contrary to my own interests: VAS (Left Alliance)

Question

Acts contrary to my own interests: VAS (Left Alliance)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	528	63.2	63.2
yes	1	308	36.8	36.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V68_5] Acts contrary to my own interests: VIHR (Green League)

Question

Acts contrary to my own interests: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	675	80.7	80.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	161	19.3	19.3
		836	100.0	100.0

[V68_6] Acts contrary to my own interests: None of these parties

Question

Acts contrary to my own interests: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	773	92.5	92.5
yes	1	63	7.5	7.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V68_7] Acts contrary to my own interests: Can't say

Question

Acts contrary to my own interests: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	629	75.2	75.2
yes	1	207	24.8	24.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V69_1] Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Question

Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	627	75.0	75.0
yes	1	209	25.0	25.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V69_2] Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Question

Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	752	90.0	90.0
yes	1	84	10.0	10.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V69_3] Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Question

Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	698	83.5	83.5
yes	1	138	16.5	16.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V69_4] Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: VAS (Left Alliance)

Question

Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: VAS (Left Alliance)

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	781	93.4	93.4
yes	1	55	6.6	6.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V69_5] Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: VIHR (Green League)

Question

Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	756	90.4	90.4
yes	1	80	9.6	9.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V69_6] Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: None of these parties

Question

Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	565	67.6	67.6
yes	1	271	32.4	32.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V69_7] Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: Can't say

Question

Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	648	77.5	77.5
yes	1	188	22.5	22.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V70_1] Suppresses (conceals) information: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)**Question**

Suppresses (conceals) information: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	497	59.4	59.4
yes	1	339	40.6	40.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V70_2] Suppresses (conceals) information: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)**Question**

Suppresses (conceals) information: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	586	70.1	70.1
yes	1	250	29.9	29.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V70_3] Suppresses (conceals) information: KOK (National Coalition Party)**Question**

Suppresses (conceals) information: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	578	69.1	69.1
yes	1	258	30.9	30.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V70_4] Suppresses (conceals) information: VAS (Left Alliance)

Question

Suppresses (conceals) information: VAS (Left Alliance)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	573	68.5	68.5
yes	1	263	31.5	31.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V70_5] Suppresses (conceals) information: VIHR (Green League)

Question

Suppresses (conceals) information: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	684	81.8	81.8
yes	1	152	18.2	18.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V70_6] Suppresses (conceals) information: None of these parties

Question

Suppresses (conceals) information: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	779	93.2	93.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	57	6.8	6.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V70_7] Suppresses (conceals) information: Can't say

Question

Suppresses (conceals) information: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	531	63.5	63.5
yes	1	305	36.5	36.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V71_1] Acts arrogantly: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Question

Acts arrogantly: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	486	58.1	58.1
yes	1	350	41.9	41.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V71_2] Acts arrogantly: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Question

Acts arrogantly: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	611	73.1	73.1
yes	1	225	26.9	26.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V71_3] Acts arrogantly: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Question

Acts arrogantly: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	498	59.6	59.6
yes	1	338	40.4	40.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V71_4] Acts arrogantly: VAS (Left Alliance)

Question

Acts arrogantly: VAS (Left Alliance)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	686	82.1	82.1
yes	1	150	17.9	17.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V71_5] Acts arrogantly: VIHR (Green League)

Question

Acts arrogantly: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	717	85.8	85.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	119	14.2	14.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V71_6] Acts arrogantly: None of these parties

Question

Acts arrogantly: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	777	92.9	92.9
yes	1	59	7.1	7.1
		836	100.0	100.0

[V71_7] Acts arrogantly: Can't say

Question

Acts arrogantly: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	623	74.5	74.5
yes	1	213	25.5	25.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V72_1] My trust in this party has increased: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Question

My trust in this party has increased: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	760	90.9	90.9
yes	1	76	9.1	9.1
		836	100.0	100.0

[V72_2] My trust in this party has increased: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Question

My trust in this party has increased: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	755	90.3	90.3
yes	1	81	9.7	9.7
		836	100.0	100.0

[V72_3] My trust in this party has increased: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Question

My trust in this party has increased: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	744	89.0	89.0
yes	1	92	11.0	11.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V72_4] My trust in this party has increased: VAS (Left Alliance)

Question

My trust in this party has increased: VAS (Left Alliance)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	797	95.3	95.3
yes	1	39	4.7	4.7
		836	100.0	100.0

[V72_5] My trust in this party has increased: VIHR (Green League)

Question

My trust in this party has increased: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	720	86.1	86.1
yes	1	116	13.9	13.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V72_6] My trust in this party has increased: None of these parties

Question

My trust in this party has increased: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	471	56.3	56.3
yes	1	365	43.7	43.7
		836	100.0	100.0

[V72_7] My trust in this party has increased: Can't say

Question

My trust in this party has increased: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	701	83.9	83.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
yes	1	135	16.1	16.1
		836	100.0	100.0

[V73_1] Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Question

Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	690	82.5	82.5
yes	1	146	17.5	17.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V73_2] Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Question

Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	730	87.3	87.3
yes	1	106	12.7	12.7
		836	100.0	100.0

[V73_3] Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Question

Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	665	79.5	79.5
yes	1	171	20.5	20.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V73_4] Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: VAS (Left Alliance)**Question**

Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: VAS (Left Alliance)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	804	96.2	96.2
yes	1	32	3.8	3.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V73_5] Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: VIHR (Green League)**Question**

Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	699	83.6	83.6
yes	1	137	16.4	16.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V73_6] Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: None of these parties**Question**

Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: None of these parties

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	566	67.7	67.7
yes	1	270	32.3	32.3
		836	100.0	100.0

[V73_7] Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: Can't say

Question

Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	661	79.1	79.1
yes	1	175	20.9	20.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V74_1] My trust in this party has decreased: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Question

My trust in this party has decreased: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	427	51.1	51.1
yes	1	409	48.9	48.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V74_2] My trust in this party has decreased: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Question

My trust in this party has decreased: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	517	61.8	61.8
yes	1	319	38.2	38.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V74_3] My trust in this party has decreased: KOK (National Coalition Party)**Question**

My trust in this party has decreased: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	497	59.4	59.4
yes	1	339	40.6	40.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V74_4] My trust in this party has decreased: VAS (Left Alliance)**Question**

My trust in this party has decreased: VAS (Left Alliance)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	552	66.0	66.0
yes	1	284	34.0	34.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V74_5] My trust in this party has decreased: VIHR (Green League)**Question**

My trust in this party has decreased: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	622	74.4	74.4
yes	1	214	25.6	25.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V74_6] My trust in this party has decreased: None of these parties

Question

My trust in this party has decreased: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	784	93.8	93.8
yes	1	52	6.2	6.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V74_7] My trust in this party has decreased: Can't say

Question

My trust in this party has decreased: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	654	78.2	78.2
yes	1	182	21.8	21.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V75] Chairman Esko Aho's significance to the Centre Party of Finland

Question

Chairman Esko Aho's significance to the Centre Party of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	94	11.2	11.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a little	2	235	28.1	28.1
no significance	3	244	29.2	29.2
decreases party support a little	4	136	16.3	16.3
decreases party support a lot	5	127	15.2	15.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V76] Paavo Väyrynen's significance to the Centre Party of Finland

Question

Paavo Väyrynen's significance to the Centre Party of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	41	4.9	4.9
increases party support a little	2	188	22.5	22.5
no significance	3	228	27.3	27.3
decreases party support a little	4	216	25.8	25.8
decreases party support a lot	5	163	19.5	19.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V77] Eeva Kuuskoski's significance to the Centre Party of Finland

Question

Eeva Kuuskoski's significance to the Centre Party of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	108	12.9	12.9
increases party support a little	2	360	43.1	43.1
no significance	3	249	29.8	29.8
decreases party support a little	4	67	8.0	8.0
decreases party support a lot	5	52	6.2	6.2
		836	100.0	100.0

[V78] Chairman Ulf Sundqvist's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland

Question

Chairman Ulf Sundqvist's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	78	9.3	9.3
increases party support a little	2	304	36.4	36.4
no significance	3	319	38.2	38.2
decreases party support a little	4	88	10.5	10.5
decreases party support a lot	5	47	5.6	5.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V79] Kalevi Sorsa's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland

Question

Kalevi Sorsa's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	63	7.5	7.5
increases party support a little	2	288	34.4	34.4
no significance	3	348	41.6	41.6
decreases party support a little	4	83	9.9	9.9
decreases party support a lot	5	54	6.5	6.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V80] Markku Hyvärinen's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland

Question

Markku Hyvärinen's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	6	0.7	0.7
increases party support a little	2	61	7.3	7.3
no significance	3	697	83.4	83.4
decreases party support a little	4	41	4.9	4.9
decreases party support a lot	5	31	3.7	3.7
		836	100.0	100.0

[V81] Chairman Pertti Salolainen's significance to the National Coalition Party

Question

Chairman Pertti Salolainen's significance to the National Coalition Party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	184	22.0	22.0
increases party support a little	2	349	41.7	41.7
no significance	3	223	26.7	26.7
decreases party support a little	4	47	5.6	5.6
decreases party support a lot	5	33	3.9	3.9
		836	100.0	100.0

[V82] Iiro Viinanen's significance to the National Coalition Party

Question

Iiro Viinanen's significance to the National Coalition Party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	33	3.9	3.9
increases party support a little	2	122	14.6	14.6
no significance	3	215	25.7	25.7
decreases party support a little	4	248	29.7	29.7
decreases party support a lot	5	218	26.1	26.1
		836	100.0	100.0

[V83] Sirpa Pietikäinen's significance to the National Coalition Party**Question***Sirpa Pietikäinen's significance to the National Coalition Party***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	27	3.2	3.2
increases party support a little	2	225	26.9	26.9
no significance	3	422	50.5	50.5
decreases party support a little	4	100	12.0	12.0
decreases party support a lot	5	62	7.4	7.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V84] Chairman Claes Andersson's significance to the Left Alliance**Question***Chairman Claes Andersson's significance to the Left Alliance***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	40	4.8	4.8
increases party support a little	2	186	22.2	22.2
no significance	3	503	60.2	60.2
decreases party support a little	4	70	8.4	8.4
decreases party support a lot	5	37	4.4	4.4
		836	100.0	100.0

[V85] Kalevi Kivistö's significance to the Left Alliance**Question***Kalevi Kivistö's significance to the Left Alliance***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	110	13.2	13.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a little	2	301	36.0	36.0
no significance	3	365	43.7	43.7
decreases party support a little	4	35	4.2	4.2
decreases party support a lot	5	25	3.0	3.0
		836	100.0	100.0

[V86] Matti Viialainen's significance to the Left Alliance

Question

Matti Viialainen's significance to the Left Alliance

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	6	0.7	0.7
increases party support a little	2	96	11.5	11.5
no significance	3	633	75.7	75.7
decreases party support a little	4	69	8.3	8.3
decreases party support a lot	5	32	3.8	3.8
		836	100.0	100.0

[V87] Chairman Pekka Sauri's significance to the Green League

Question

Chairman Pekka Sauri's significance to the Green League

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	36	4.3	4.3
increases party support a little	2	274	32.8	32.8
no significance	3	445	53.2	53.2
decreases party support a little	4	55	6.6	6.6
decreases party support a lot	5	26	3.1	3.1
		836	100.0	100.0

[V88] Heidi Hautala's significance to the Green League**Question***Heidi Hautala's significance to the Green League***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
increases party support a lot	1	60	7.2	7.2
increases party support a little	2	307	36.7	36.7
no significance	3	345	41.3	41.3
decreases party support a little	4	77	9.2	9.2
decreases party support a lot	5	47	5.6	5.6
		836	100.0	100.0

[V89] If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Centre Party of Finland**Question***If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Centre Party of Finland***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very tempted	1	46	5.5	6.0
tempted to some extent	2	60	7.2	7.9
not very tempted	3	155	18.5	20.3
not at all tempted	4	429	51.3	56.2
can't say	5	74	8.9	9.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V90] If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Social Democratic Party of Finland**Question***If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Social Democratic Party of Finland*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very tempted	1	44	5.3	5.8
tempted to some extent	2	96	11.5	12.6
not very tempted	3	187	22.4	24.5
not at all tempted	4	354	42.3	46.3
can't say	5	83	9.9	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V91] If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: National Coalition Party**Question**

If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: National Coalition Party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very tempted	1	66	7.9	8.6
tempted to some extent	2	117	14.0	15.3
not very tempted	3	184	22.0	24.1
not at all tempted	4	313	37.4	41.0
can't say	5	84	10.0	11.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V92] If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Left Alliance**Question**

If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Left Alliance

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very tempted	1	14	1.7	1.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
tempted to some extent	2	38	4.5	5.0
not very tempted	3	144	17.2	18.8
not at all tempted	4	494	59.1	64.7
can't say	5	74	8.9	9.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V93] If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Swedish People's Party in Finland

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Swedish People's Party in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very tempted	1	14	1.7	1.8
tempted to some extent	2	61	7.3	8.0
not very tempted	3	159	19.0	20.8
not at all tempted	4	455	54.4	59.6
can't say	5	75	9.0	9.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V94] If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Green League

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Green League

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very tempted	1	48	5.7	6.3
tempted to some extent	2	166	19.9	21.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
not very tempted	3	172	20.6	22.5
not at all tempted	4	302	36.1	39.5
can't say	5	76	9.1	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V95] If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Christian League of Finland

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Christian League of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very tempted	1	16	1.9	2.1
tempted to some extent	2	49	5.9	6.4
not very tempted	3	159	19.0	20.8
not at all tempted	4	468	56.0	61.3
can't say	5	72	8.6	9.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V96] If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Finnish Rural Party

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Finnish Rural Party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very tempted	1	12	1.4	1.6
tempted to some extent	2	44	5.3	5.8
not very tempted	3	128	15.3	16.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
not at all tempted	4	518	62.0	67.8
can't say	5	62	7.4	8.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V97] If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Liberal Party

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Liberal Party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very tempted	1	10	1.2	1.3
tempted to some extent	2	48	5.7	6.3
not very tempted	3	162	19.4	21.2
not at all tempted	4	464	55.5	60.7
can't say	5	80	9.6	10.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_1] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	590	70.6	77.2
yes	1	174	20.8	22.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_2] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	593	70.9	77.6
yes	1	171	20.5	22.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_3] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: KOK (National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	529	63.3	69.2
yes	1	235	28.1	30.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_4] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: VAS (Left Alliance)

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: VAS (Left Alliance)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	711	85.0	93.1
yes	1	53	6.3	6.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_5] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: RKP

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: RKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	681	81.5	89.1
yes	1	83	9.9	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_6] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: VIHR (Green League)

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: VIHR (Green League)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	552	66.0	72.3
yes	1	212	25.4	27.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_7] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SKL

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SKL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	720	86.1	94.2
yes	1	44	5.3	5.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_8] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SMP**Question**

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SMP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	715	85.5	93.6
yes	1	49	5.9	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_9] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: LKP**Question**

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: LKP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	691	82.7	90.4
yes	1	73	8.7	9.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	–
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_10] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: other party / group

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: other party / group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	730	87.3	95.5
yes	1	34	4.1	4.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_11] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: wouldn't vote

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: wouldn't vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	683	81.7	89.4
yes	1	81	9.7	10.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_12] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: can't say

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	710	84.9	92.9
yes	1	54	6.5	7.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V98_13] Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: don't want to say

Question

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: don't want to say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	699	83.6	91.5
yes	1	65	7.8	8.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V99] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	90	10.8	11.8
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	109	13.0	14.3
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	119	14.2	15.6
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	25	3.0	3.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	5	24	2.9	3.1
VIHR (Green League)	6	75	9.0	9.8
SKL (Christian League of Finland)	7	12	1.4	1.6
SMP (Finnish Rural Party)	8	11	1.3	1.4
LKP (Liberal Party)	9	6	0.7	0.8
some other party/group	10	12	1.4	1.6
wouldn't vote	11	108	12.9	14.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	12	106	12.7	13.9
don't want to say	13	67	8.0	8.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	8.6	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V100] Respondent's age group

Question

Respondent's age group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
25 or younger	1	171	20.5	20.5
25 - 34 years	2	192	23.0	23.0
35 - 49 years	3	318	38.0	38.0
50 or older	4	155	18.5	18.5
		836	100.0	100.0

[V101] Respondent's place of residence

Question

Respondent's place of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki area	1	126	15.1	15.6
Turku/Tampere	2	46	5.5	5.7
other city/town	3	323	38.6	39.9
rural area	4	315	37.7	38.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	—
		836	100.0	100.0

[V102] Part of country in which the respondent lives

Question

Part of country in which the respondent lives

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Southern Finland	1	478	57.2	59.0
Central Finland	2	188	22.5	23.2
Northern Finland	3	144	17.2	17.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	26	3.1	—
		836	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
Respondent identification [V3]	6
Size of the household [V5]	6
Number of children aged under 20 in the household [V6]	7
Children's age: no children [V7_1]	7
Children's age: less than a year [V7_2]	7
Children's age: one-year-old [V7_3]	8
Children's age: 2-3 years old [V7_4]	8
Children's age: 4-6 years old [V7_5]	8
Children's age: 7-11 years old [V7_6]	9
Children's age: 12-15 years old [V7_7]	9
Children's age: 16-20 years old [V7_8]	9
Annual gross income [V8]	10
Respondent's place of residence [V9]	10
Respondent's province of residence [V10]	11
Economic activity and occupational group of the head of the household [V13]	11
Respondent's sex [V14]	12
Respondent's year of birth [V15]	12
Is respondent the person with the highest income in the household (head of household)? [V16]	13
Is the respondent responsible for what food and other everyday products are bought to the	

3. Indexes

household? [V17]	13
Who does the cooking in the household? [V18]	13
Respondent's marital status [V19]	14
Age when respondent finished studying full-time [V20]	14
Respondent's basic education [V21]	15
Respondent's vocational or other education [V22]	15
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group [V23]	15
How many hours a week do you normally work? [V24]	16
Respondent's employment sector [V25]	16
Respondent's employer [V26]	17
Respondent's membership in a trade union [V27]	17
What party or group did you vote for in the 1991 parliamentary elections? [V28]	18
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [V29]	19
If you couldn't vote for candidate of the party you chose in the previous question, which party would be your second choice? [V30]	19
Respondent's age [V37]	20
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Centre Party of Finland? [V38]	20
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Social Democratic Party of Finland? [V39]	21
How positive or negative is your attitude towards National Coalition Party? [V40]	21
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Left Alliance? [V41]	22
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Green League? [V42]	22
How well the government formed after that last parliamentary elections has succeeded in its tasks so far? [V43]	22
How well opposition parties have succeeded in their opposition politics? [V44]	23
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of children [V45]	23
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of young people [V46]	24
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of families with children [V47]	24
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of elderly and retired people [V48]	24
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of workers [V49]	25
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of farmers [V50]	25
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of enterprises [V51] .	26
How well the government has succeeded in: Environmental protection [V52]	26
How well the government has succeeded in: Organizing energy production [V53]	26

How well the government has succeeded in: Promoting energy saving [V54]	27
How well the government has succeeded in: Promoting public transport [V55]	27
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of private transport (motoring) [V56]	28
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs city dwellers [V57] ..	28
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of rural dwellers [V58]	28
How well the government has succeeded in: Finland's participation in European integration [V59]	29
How well the government has succeeded in: Improving national economy [V60]	29
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of yourself and your family [V61]	30
How well the government has succeeded in: Lowering prices [V62]	30
How well the government has succeeded in: Housing [V63]	30
How well the government has succeeded in: Taxation [V64]	31
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V65_1]	31
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V65_2]	31
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V65_3]	32
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: VAS (Left Alliance) [V65_4]	32
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: VIHR (Green League) [V65_5]	32
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: None of these parties [V65_6]	33
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: Can't say [V65_7]	33
Reforms the society: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V66_1]	33
Reforms the society: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V66_2]	34
Reforms the society: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V66_3]	34
Reforms the society: VAS (Left Alliance) [V66_4]	34
Reforms the society: VIHR (Green League) [V66_5]	35
Reforms the society: None of these parties [V66_6]	35
Reforms the society: Can't say [V66_7]	35
Incompetent leaders: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V67_1]	36
Incompetent leaders: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V67_2]	36
Incompetent leaders: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V67_3]	36
Incompetent leaders: VAS (Left Alliance) [V67_4]	37
Incompetent leaders: VIHR (Green League) [V67_5]	37
Incompetent leaders: None of these parties [V67_6]	37

3. Indexes

Incompetent leaders: Can't say [V67_7]	38
Acts contrary to my own interests: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V68_1]	38
Acts contrary to my own interests: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V68_2]	38
Acts contrary to my own interests: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V68_3]	39
Acts contrary to my own interests: VAS (Left Alliance) [V68_4]	39
Acts contrary to my own interests: VIHR (Green League) [V68_5]	39
Acts contrary to my own interests: None of these parties [V68_6]	40
Acts contrary to my own interests: Can't say [V68_7]	40
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V69_1]	40
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V69_2]	41
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V69_3]	41
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: VAS (Left Alliance) [V69_4]	41
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: VIHR (Green League) [V69_5]	42
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: None of these parties [V69_6]	42
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: Can't say [V69_7]	42
Suppresses (conceals) information: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V70_1]	43
Suppresses (conceals) information: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V70_2]	43
Suppresses (conceals) information: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V70_3]	43
Suppresses (conceals) information: VAS (Left Alliance) [V70_4]	44
Suppresses (conceals) information: VIHR (Green League) [V70_5]	44
Suppresses (conceals) information: None of these parties [V70_6]	44
Suppresses (conceals) information: Can't say [V70_7]	45
Acts arrogantly: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V71_1]	45
Acts arrogantly: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V71_2]	45
Acts arrogantly: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V71_3]	46
Acts arrogantly: VAS (Left Alliance) [V71_4]	46
Acts arrogantly: VIHR (Green League) [V71_5]	46
Acts arrogantly: None of these parties [V71_6]	47
Acts arrogantly: Can't say [V71_7]	47
My trust in this party has increased: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V72_1]	47

My trust in this party has increased: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V72_2] ...	48
My trust in this party has increased: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V72_3]	48
My trust in this party has increased: VAS (Left Alliance) [V72_4]	48
My trust in this party has increased: VIHR (Green League) [V72_5]	49
My trust in this party has increased: None of these parties [V72_6]	49
My trust in this party has increased: Can't say [V72_7]	49
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V73_1]	50
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V73_2]	50
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V73_3]	50
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: VAS (Left Alliance) [V73_4]	51
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: VIHR (Green League) [V73_5] ...	51
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: None of these parties [V73_6] ...	51
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: Can't say [V73_7]	52
My trust in this party has decreased: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V74_1]	52
My trust in this party has decreased: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V74_2] ...	52
My trust in this party has decreased: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V74_3]	53
My trust in this party has decreased: VAS (Left Alliance) [V74_4]	53
My trust in this party has decreased: VIHR (Green League) [V74_5]	53
My trust in this party has decreased: None of these parties [V74_6]	54
My trust in this party has decreased: Can't say [V74_7]	54
Chairman Esko Aho's significance to the Centre Party of Finland [V75]	54
Paavo Väyrynen's significance to the Centre Party of Finland [V76]	55
Eeva Kuuskoski's significance to the Centre Party of Finland [V77]	55
Chairman Ulf Sundqvist's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland [V78] ...	56
Kalevi Sorsa's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland [V79]	56
Markku Hyvärinen's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland [V80]	56
Chairman Pertti Salolainen's significance to the National Coalition Party [V81]	57
Iiro Viinanen's significance to the National Coalition Party [V82]	57
Sirpa Pietikäinen's significance to the National Coalition Party [V83]	58
Chairman Claes Andersson's significance to the Left Alliance [V84]	58
Kalevi Kivistö's significance to the Left Alliance [V85]	58
Matti Viialainen's significance to the Left Alliance [V86]	59

3. Indexes

Chairman Pekka Sauri's significance to the Green League [V87]	59
Heidi Hautala's significance to the Green League [V88]	60
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Centre Party of Finland [V89]	60
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Social Democratic Party of Finland [V90]	60
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: National Coalition Party [V91]	61
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Left Alliance [V92]	61
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Swedish People's Party in Finland [V93]	62
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Green League [V94]	62
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Christian League of Finland [V95]	63
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Finnish Rural Party [V96]	63
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Liberal Party [V97]	64
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) [V98_1]	64
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland) [V98_2]	65
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: KOK (National Coalition Party) [V98_3]	65
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: VAS (Left Alliance) [V98_4]	65
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: RKP [V98_5]	66
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: VIHR (Green League) [V98_6]	66
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SKL [V98_7]	66
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SMP [V98_8]	67
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: LKP [V98_9]	67
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: other party / group [V98_10]	68
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: wouldn't vote [V98_11]	68
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: can't say [V98_12]	

68

Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: don't want to say [V98_13]	69
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [V99]	69
Respondent's age group [V100]	70
Respondent's place of residence [V101]	70
Part of country in which the respondent lives [V102]	70

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: Can't say	42
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	40
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: KOK (National Coalition Party)	41
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: None of these parties .	42
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	41
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: VAS (Left Alliance) ..	41
Active and successful in looking after the interests of its supporters: VIHR (Green League)	42
Acts arrogantly: Can't say	47
Acts arrogantly: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	45
Acts arrogantly: KOK (National Coalition Party)	46
Acts arrogantly: None of these parties	47
Acts arrogantly: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	45
Acts arrogantly: VAS (Left Alliance)	46
Acts arrogantly: VIHR (Green League)	46
Acts contrary to my own interests: Can't say	40
Acts contrary to my own interests: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	38
Acts contrary to my own interests: KOK (National Coalition Party)	39
Acts contrary to my own interests: None of these parties	40
Acts contrary to my own interests: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	38
Acts contrary to my own interests: VAS (Left Alliance)	39
Acts contrary to my own interests: VIHR (Green League)	39
Age when respondent finished studying full-time	14
Annual gross income	10
Chairman Claes Andersson's significance to the Left Alliance	58
Chairman Esko Aho's significance to the Centre Party of Finland	54
Chairman Pekka Sauri's significance to the Green League	59
Chairman Pertti Salolainen's significance to the National Coalition Party	57
Chairman Ulf Sundqvist's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland	56
Children's age: 12-15 years old	9
Children's age: 16-20 years old	9

Children's age: 2-3 years old	8
Children's age: 4-6 years old	8
Children's age: 7-11 years old	9
Children's age: less than a year	7
Children's age: no children	7
Children's age: one-year-old	8
Economic activity and occupational group of the head of the household	11
Eeva Kuuskoski's significance to the Centre Party of Finland	55
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: Can't say	52
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: KESK (Centre Party of Finland) ..	50
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: KOK (National Coalition Party) ..	50
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: None of these parties	51
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	50
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: VAS (Left Alliance)	51
Has promoted issues important to Finland and all Finns: VIHR (Green League)	51
Heidi Hautala's significance to the Green League	60
How many hours a week do you normally work?	16
How positive or negative is your attitude towards National Coalition Party?	21
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Centre Party of Finland?	20
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Green League?	22
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Left Alliance?	22
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Social Democratic Party of Finland? ..	21
How well opposition parties have succeeded in their opposition politics?	23
How well the government formed after that last parliamentary elections has succeeded in its tasks so far?	22
How well the government has succeeded in: Environmental protection	26
How well the government has succeeded in: Finland's participation in European integration	29
How well the government has succeeded in: Housing	30
How well the government has succeeded in: Improving national economy	29
How well the government has succeeded in: Lowering prices	30
How well the government has succeeded in: Organizing energy production	26

3. Indexes

How well the government has succeeded in: Promoting energy saving	27
How well the government has succeeded in: Promoting public transport	27
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs city dwellers	28
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of children	23
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of elderly and retired people	24
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of enterprises	26
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of families with children	24
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of farmers	25
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of private transport (motoring)	28
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of rural dwellers	28
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of workers	25
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of young people	24
How well the government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of yourself and your family	30
How well the government has succeeded in: Taxation	31
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Centre Party of Finland	60
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Christian League of Finland	63
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Finnish Rural Party	63
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Green League	62
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Left Alliance	61
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Liberal Party	64
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: National Coalition Party	61
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Social Democratic Party of Finland	60
If the parliamentary elections were held now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Swedish People's Party in Finland	62
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?	19, 69
If you couldn't vote for candidate of the party you chose in the previous question, which party	

would be your second choice?	19
Iiro Viinanen's significance to the National Coalition Party	57
Incompetent leaders: Can't say	38
Incompetent leaders: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	36
Incompetent leaders: KOK (National Coalition Party)	36
Incompetent leaders: None of these parties	37
Incompetent leaders: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	36
Incompetent leaders: VAS (Left Alliance)	37
Incompetent leaders: VIHR (Green League)	37
Is respondent the person with the highest income in the household (head of household)? ...	13
Is the respondent responsible for what food and other everyday products are bought to the household?	13
Kalevi Kivistö's significance to the Left Alliance	58
Kalevi Sorsa's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland	56
Markku Hyvärinen's significance to the Social Democratic Party of Finland	56
Matti Viialainen's significance to the Left Alliance	59
My trust in this party has decreased: Can't say	54
My trust in this party has decreased: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	52
My trust in this party has decreased: KOK (National Coalition Party)	53
My trust in this party has decreased: None of these parties	54
My trust in this party has decreased: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	52
My trust in this party has decreased: VAS (Left Alliance)	53
My trust in this party has decreased: VIHR (Green League)	53
My trust in this party has increased: Can't say	49
My trust in this party has increased: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	47
My trust in this party has increased: KOK (National Coalition Party)	48
My trust in this party has increased: None of these parties	49
My trust in this party has increased: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	48
My trust in this party has increased: VAS (Left Alliance)	48
My trust in this party has increased: VIHR (Green League)	49
Number of children aged under 20 in the household	7
Paavo Väyrynen's significance to the Centre Party of Finland	55
Part of country in which the respondent lives	70
Reforms the society: Can't say	35

3. Indexes

Reforms the society: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	33
Reforms the society: KOK (National Coalition Party)	34
Reforms the society: None of these parties	35
Reforms the society: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	34
Reforms the society: VAS (Left Alliance)	34
Reforms the society: VIHR (Green League)	35
Respondent identification	6
Respondent's age	20
Respondent's age group	70
Respondent's basic education	15
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group	15
Respondent's employer	17
Respondent's employment sector	16
Respondent's marital status	14
Respondent's membership in a trade union	17
Respondent's place of residence	10, 70
Respondent's province of residence	11
Respondent's sex	12
Respondent's vocational or other education	15
Respondent's year of birth	12
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: Can't say	33
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	31
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: KOK (National Coalition Party)	32
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: None of these parties	33
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	31
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: VAS (Left Alliance)	32
Responds to the needs of ordinary citizens: VIHR (Green League)	32
Sirpa Pietikäinen's significance to the National Coalition Party	58
Size of the household	6
Suppresses (conceals) information: Can't say	45
Suppresses (conceals) information: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	43
Suppresses (conceals) information: KOK (National Coalition Party)	43
Suppresses (conceals) information: None of these parties	44
Suppresses (conceals) information: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	43

Suppresses (conceals) information: VAS (Left Alliance)	44
Suppresses (conceals) information: VIHR (Green League)	44
What party or group did you vote for in the 1991 parliamentary elections?	18
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: can't say	68
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: don't want to say 69	
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	64
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: KOK (National Coalition Party)	65
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: LKP	67
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: other party / group 68	
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: RKP	66
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	65
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SKL	66
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: SMP	67
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: VAS (Left Al- liance)	65
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: VIHR (Green League)	66
Which parties do you think you could vote for in the parliamentary elections: wouldn't vote	68
Who does the cooking in the household?	13

Appendix A

Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

DEVA	Democratic Alternative
EKA	Pensioners for the People
EKO	Ecological Party
EPV	Ecological Party The Greens
EVY	Party for Pensioners and Green Mutual Responsibility
IPU	Humanity Party
KD	Christian Democrats
KESK Keskustapuolue	Center Party
KESK Suomen Keskusta	Centre Party of Finland
KESK/LKP	Center Party + Liberal Party
KIPU	Ecological Party
KOK	National Coalition Party
KTP	For Peace and Socialism-Communist Worker's Party
KVL	Union for Democracy
LIB	Liberals
LKP	Liberal Party
LLP	Natural Law Party
NAISL	Womens' Movement
NAISP	Womens' Party
NUORS	Progressive Finnish Party
NUSU	Progressive Finnish Party
PKP	Constitutional People's Party
POP	Constitutional Party of Finland
PS	True Finns
REM	Reform Group
RKP	Swedish People's Party in Finland
RSES	Independent Pensioners of Finland
SDP	Social Democratic Party of Finland
SEP	Finnish Pensioners' Party
SKDL	Democratic League of the People of Finland
SKL	Christian League of Finland
SKP	The Communist Party of Finland
SKYP	Unification Party of the Finnish People
SMP	Finnish Rural Party

A. Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

SPK	Finnish Constitutional People's Party
STP	Socialist Workers' Party
SYP	Private Entrepreneurs' Party in Finland
TPSL	Social Democratic Union of Workers and Small Farmers
VAS	Left Alliance
VASL	Left Alliance
VEV	Alternative Union
VIHR Vihreä Liitto	Green League
VIHR Vihreät	The Greens
VSL	Alliance for Free Finland
YVP	Joint Responsibility Party