

FSD1053

Finnish EU Attitudes 1997

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish EU Attitudes 1997 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (20.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1053 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA) & Yhdyskuntatutkimus:
Finnish EU Attitudes 1997 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-20). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1053>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	79
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	79
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	87
A	Questionnaire in Finnish	95

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish EU Attitudes 1997

Titles and data version in Finnish: EVAn EU-asennetutkimus 1997

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (20.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA)
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA)
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Date of deposit

25.10.2000

Keywords

European integration; European monetary system; European Union; Finland; membership

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: government, political systems and organisations; international politics and organisations; political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish EU Attitudes

The research series, launched by the Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA) in 1992, studies Finnish public opinion on EU integration. Views are probed on Finland's EU membership, the future and enlargement of the EU, European economic and fiscal policy, EMU, the European Parliament, Finnish security policy, EU subsidies and European integration as a whole. In addition, the surveys canvass citizen satisfaction with the availability of EU-related information, as well as with the way the Finnish Government and Parliament have handled EU issues.

Starting from 2001, the surveys also cover opinions on international issues in general. Background information includes age, gender, education, economic activity, occupational group and trade union membership. Respondents have been asked which party they would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at the time of the study. The surveys are commissioned by EVA, and usually carried out by Yhdyskuntatutkimus.

Abstract

The survey focused on Finnish views on the European Monetary Union (EMU), and on the future of the European Union. The respondents were asked how interested they are in matters related to the Finnish EU membership, what kind of impact the membership had had in Finland, and what kind of consequences an eventual enlargement of the EU to Eastern and Central Europe could have. The respondents were asked about their attitudes towards the EMU, how likely it was that Finland would be among the first countries to join the EMU, and which were the most reliable sources for EMU information.

Background information included the respondent's sex, age group, size of municipality of residence, province of residence, education, professional group, industry of employment, trade union membership, and which party R would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at that time.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finnish population aged 18-70 years, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 10.9.1997 – 17.10.1997

Data collector(s): Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Data producer(s): Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies

Mode of data collection: Self-administered questionnaire: Paper

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: September 1997 – October 1997

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 154 variables and 1226 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Simple random

The material was collected through a mail survey. There were 1,266 respondents in all, representing the Finnish population aged 18-70 years, the Åland Islands excluded. The essential demographic, social and regional factors correspond to the population structure. The questionnaire was in Finnish and the survey was carried out in two waves (the original questionnaire survey and one additional survey).

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

Responses to open-ended questions are missing from the data.

Related publications

Finnish EU opinion: Autumn 1997 (1997). Helsinki: Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA).

Haikonen, Jyrki & Kiljunen, Pentti (2003). Mitä mieltä, suomalainen? EVAn asennetutkimuksien kertomaa vuosilta 1984-2003. Helsinki: Taloustieto. EVA-raportti.

Suomalaisten EU-kannanotot: syksy 1997 (1997). Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta (EVA).

Tuokko, Katja (2012) From Left to West: The position of Vasemmistoliitto on European integration in 1990 - 1998. Poliittisen historian pro gradu -tutkielma. Helsingin yliopisto. hdl:10138/37140

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1053?lang=en&study_language=en

1. Study description

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1226
minimum	1053.00
maximum	1053.00
mean	1053.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1226
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id**Question***FSD case id***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1226
minimum	1.00
maximum	1226.00
mean	613.50
standard deviation	354.06

[Q1] How interested are you at present of issues related to Finland's EU membership?**Question***How interested are you at present of issues related to Finland's EU membership?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.3	–
very interested	1	120	9.8	10.1
fairly interested	2	586	47.8	49.5
not very interested	3	407	33.2	34.3
not at all interested	4	56	4.6	4.7
can't say	5	16	1.3	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q2] How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel...**Question***How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel...***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.5	–
very well informed	1	24	2.0	2.0
fairly well informed	2	328	26.8	27.7
not very well informed	3	650	53.0	54.9
not at all well informed	4	154	12.6	13.0
can't say	5	27	2.2	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_1] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Living conditions in Finland on the whole

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Living conditions in Finland on the whole

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.1	–
positive impact	1	181	14.8	15.2
no noticeable impact	2	732	59.7	61.6
negative impact	3	201	16.4	16.9
can't say	4	74	6.0	6.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_2] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Standard of living and material well-being

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Standard of living and material well-being

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.3	–
positive impact	1	137	11.2	11.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
no noticeable impact	2	772	63.0	65.1
negative impact	3	199	16.2	16.8
can't say	4	77	6.3	6.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_3] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The state/development of national economy

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The state/development of national economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
positive impact	1	217	17.7	18.4
no noticeable impact	2	564	46.0	47.9
negative impact	3	210	17.1	17.8
can't say	4	187	15.3	15.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_4] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Employment

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.1	–
positive impact	1	110	9.0	9.3
no noticeable impact	2	665	54.2	56.0
negative impact	3	330	26.9	27.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	4	83	6.8	7.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_5] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Enterprises

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Enterprises

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.2	–
positive impact	1	418	34.1	35.6
no noticeable impact	2	418	34.1	35.6
negative impact	3	134	10.9	11.4
can't say	4	205	16.7	17.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_6] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Exports and foreign trade

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Exports and foreign trade

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
positive impact	1	628	51.2	53.4
no noticeable impact	2	311	25.4	26.4
negative impact	3	45	3.7	3.8
can't say	4	193	15.7	16.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_7] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The prices of food products

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The prices of food products

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.8	–
positive impact	1	600	48.9	50.3
no noticeable impact	2	416	33.9	34.9
negative impact	3	140	11.4	11.7
can't say	4	36	2.9	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_8] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The quality of food products

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The quality of food products

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	3.0	–
positive impact	1	153	12.5	12.9
no noticeable impact	2	540	44.0	45.4
negative impact	3	441	36.0	37.1
can't say	4	55	4.5	4.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_9] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Education environment

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Education environment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.1	–
positive impact	1	251	20.5	21.1
no noticeable impact	2	718	58.6	60.4
negative impact	3	75	6.1	6.3
can't say	4	144	11.7	12.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_10] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Social security**Question**

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.9	–
positive impact	1	30	2.4	2.5
no noticeable impact	2	676	55.1	56.8
negative impact	3	374	30.5	31.4
can't say	4	111	9.1	9.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_11] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Environmental protection**Question**

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Environmental protection

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.9	–
positive impact	1	356	29.0	29.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
no noticeable impact	2	437	35.6	36.7
negative impact	3	258	21.0	21.7
can't say	4	139	11.3	11.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_12] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Disparities in the well-being of different regions/population groups

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Disparities in the well-being of different regions/population groups

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.2	–
positive impact	1	42	3.4	3.5
no noticeable impact	2	413	33.7	34.8
negative impact	3	570	46.5	48.0
can't say	4	162	13.2	13.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_13] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Gender equality /status of women

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Gender equality /status of women

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	3.0	–
positive impact	1	110	9.0	9.3
no noticeable impact	2	826	67.4	69.5
negative impact	3	123	10.0	10.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	4	130	10.6	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_14] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: National sovereignty

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: National sovereignty

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.2	–
positive impact	1	34	2.8	2.9
no noticeable impact	2	331	27.0	27.9
negative impact	3	721	58.8	60.7
can't say	4	101	8.2	8.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_15] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's military security

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's military security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.5	–
positive impact	1	331	27.0	28.0
no noticeable impact	2	505	41.2	42.7
negative impact	3	172	14.0	14.5
can't say	4	175	14.3	14.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_16] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's relations with Russia

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's relations with Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.3	–
positive impact	1	126	10.3	10.6
no noticeable impact	2	690	56.3	58.2
negative impact	3	183	14.9	15.4
can't say	4	186	15.2	15.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_17] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Nordic cooperation

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Nordic cooperation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.4	–
positive impact	1	181	14.8	15.3
no noticeable impact	2	658	53.7	55.6
negative impact	3	222	18.1	18.8
can't say	4	123	10.0	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_18] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's image abroad

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's image abroad

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.3	–
positive impact	1	508	41.4	42.9
no noticeable impact	2	478	39.0	40.3
negative impact	3	65	5.3	5.5
can't say	4	134	10.9	11.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_19] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland as a foreign investment target**Question**

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland as a foreign investment target

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	3.3	–
positive impact	1	418	34.1	35.2
no noticeable impact	2	434	35.4	36.6
negative impact	3	103	8.4	8.7
can't say	4	231	18.8	19.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_20] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finnish self-respect/self-image/national identity**Question**

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finnish self-respect/self-image/national identity

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
positive impact	1	265	21.6	22.5
no noticeable impact	2	545	44.5	46.2
negative impact	3	237	19.3	20.1
can't say	4	132	10.8	11.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_21] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's spiritual and intellectual climate

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's spiritual and intellectual climate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.9	–
positive impact	1	134	10.9	11.3
no noticeable impact	2	478	39.0	40.2
negative impact	3	441	36.0	37.1
can't say	4	137	11.2	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_22] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Crime rate

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Crime rate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	3.0	–
positive impact	1	56	4.6	4.7
no noticeable impact	2	368	30.0	31.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
negative impact	3	653	53.3	54.9
can't say	4	112	9.1	9.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_23] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of salaried employees

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of salaried employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	3.0	–
positive impact	1	55	4.5	4.6
no noticeable impact	2	700	57.1	58.9
negative impact	3	302	24.6	25.4
can't say	4	132	10.8	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_24] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of farmers

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of farmers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.8	–
positive impact	1	80	6.5	6.7
no noticeable impact	2	164	13.4	13.8
negative impact	3	826	67.4	69.3
can't say	4	122	10.0	10.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_25] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of young people

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of young people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.2	–
positive impact	1	325	26.5	27.4
no noticeable impact	2	578	47.1	48.7
negative impact	3	151	12.3	12.7
can't say	4	133	10.8	11.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q3_26] What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Your own circumstances and life in general

Question

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Your own circumstances and life in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.4	–
positive impact	1	140	11.4	11.7
no noticeable impact	2	815	66.5	68.1
negative impact	3	183	14.9	15.3
can't say	4	58	4.7	4.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q4_1] Should Finland join the EMU (European Economic and Monetary Union) in the first wave?

Question

Should Finland join the EMU (European Economic and Monetary Union) in the first wave?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	95	7.7	–
yes, Finland should join	1	243	19.8	21.5
no, Finland should not join	2	662	54.0	58.5
can't say	3	226	18.4	20.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q4_2] And in the case that most EU member countries will join?

Question

And in the case that most EU member countries will join?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	111	9.1	–
yes, Finland should join	1	558	45.5	50.0
no, Finland should not join	2	344	28.1	30.9
can't say	3	213	17.4	19.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q5] How firm is your opinion of the EMU (whatever the opinion)?

Question

How firm is your opinion of the EMU (whatever the opinion)?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.6	–
very firm	1	297	24.2	25.1
fairly firm	2	399	32.5	33.8
not very firm	3	341	27.8	28.8
I'm very uncertain of my opinion	4	87	7.1	7.4
can't say	5	58	4.7	4.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_1] During its EU presidency Finland should: Increase the knowledge of Finland in other EU member countries

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Increase the knowledge of Finland in other EU member countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.2	–
very important	1	684	55.8	57.6
fairly important	2	388	31.6	32.7
cannot say	3	57	4.6	4.8
not very important	4	44	3.6	3.7
not at all important	5	14	1.1	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_2] During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.1	–
very important	1	259	21.1	22.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	512	41.8	43.5
cannot say	3	239	19.5	20.3
not very important	4	141	11.5	12.0
not at all important	5	25	2.0	2.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_3] During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole Union

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	55	4.5	–
very important	1	177	14.4	15.1
fairly important	2	491	40.0	41.9
cannot say	3	287	23.4	24.5
not very important	4	185	15.1	15.8
not at all important	5	31	2.5	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_4] During its EU presidency Finland should: Above all, function impartially and fairly during the chairmanship

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Above all, function impartially and fairly during the chairmanship

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.6	–
very important	1	571	46.6	48.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	422	34.4	35.7
cannot say	3	91	7.4	7.7
not very important	4	73	6.0	6.2
not at all important	5	25	2.0	2.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_5] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote Finnish exports with all available means

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote Finnish exports with all available means

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	4.4	–
very important	1	748	61.0	63.8
fairly important	2	299	24.4	25.5
cannot say	3	73	6.0	6.2
not very important	4	40	3.3	3.4
not at all important	5	12	1.0	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_6] During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to slow down projects that are not important to our country

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to slow down projects that are not important to our country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	55	4.5	–
very important	1	136	11.1	11.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	235	19.2	20.1
cannot say	3	393	32.1	33.6
not very important	4	291	23.7	24.9
not at all important	5	116	9.5	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_7] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote good relations between Russia and the EU

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote good relations between Russia and the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	4.4	–
very important	1	336	27.4	28.7
fairly important	2	473	38.6	40.4
cannot say	3	204	16.6	17.4
not very important	4	109	8.9	9.3
not at all important	5	50	4.1	4.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_8] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote gender equality within the Union

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote gender equality within the Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
very important	1	417	34.0	35.4
fairly important	2	434	35.4	36.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
cannot say	3	140	11.4	11.9
not very important	4	141	11.5	12.0
not at all important	5	45	3.7	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_9] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the introduction of common foreign and security policy in the EU

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the introduction of common foreign and security policy in the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.2	–
very important	1	316	25.8	26.9
fairly important	2	394	32.1	33.5
cannot say	3	252	20.6	21.4
not very important	4	158	12.9	13.4
not at all important	5	55	4.5	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_10] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote common employment policy in the EU

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote common employment policy in the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–
very important	1	454	37.0	38.5
fairly important	2	422	34.4	35.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
cannot say	3	190	15.5	16.1
not very important	4	78	6.4	6.6
not at all important	5	35	2.9	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_11] During its EU presidency Finland should: Preserve and increase the vitality of national cultures

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Preserve and increase the vitality of national cultures

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–
very important	1	530	43.2	45.0
fairly important	2	437	35.6	37.1
cannot say	3	109	8.9	9.2
not very important	4	80	6.5	6.8
not at all important	5	23	1.9	2.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_12] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the efficiency of international crime prevention

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the efficiency of international crime prevention

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	3.3	–
very important	1	937	76.4	79.0
fairly important	2	197	16.1	16.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
cannot say	3	37	3.0	3.1
not very important	4	11	0.9	0.9
not at all important	5	4	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_13] During its EU presidency Finland should: Show how well our forests and the rest of our environment are cared for

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Show how well our forests and the rest of our environment are cared for

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.7	–
very important	1	590	48.1	50.0
fairly important	2	385	31.4	32.6
cannot say	3	103	8.4	8.7
not very important	4	87	7.1	7.4
not at all important	5	16	1.3	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_14] During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to bring EU's common social policy towards the Scandinavian model

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to bring EU's common social policy towards the Scandinavian model

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.2	–
very important	1	336	27.4	28.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	460	37.5	39.1
cannot say	3	248	20.2	21.1
not very important	4	101	8.2	8.6
not at all important	5	30	2.4	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_15] During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to attract foreign enterprises to Finland

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to attract foreign enterprises to Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.4	–
very important	1	323	26.3	27.3
fairly important	2	386	31.5	32.6
cannot say	3	224	18.3	18.9
not very important	4	174	14.2	14.7
not at all important	5	77	6.3	6.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_16] During its EU presidency Finland should: Build a lasting image of Finland as a co-operative country

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Build a lasting image of Finland as a co-operative country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	4.4	–
very important	1	447	36.5	38.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	461	37.6	39.3
cannot say	3	161	13.1	13.7
not very important	4	86	7.0	7.3
not at all important	5	17	1.4	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_17] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU enlargement

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU enlargement

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.7	–
very important	1	73	6.0	6.2
fairly important	2	254	20.7	21.5
cannot say	3	370	30.2	31.3
not very important	4	339	27.7	28.7
not at all important	5	145	11.8	12.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_18] During its EU presidency Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.1	–
very important	1	105	8.6	8.9
fairly important	2	259	21.1	22.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
cannot say	3	397	32.4	33.8
not very important	4	288	23.5	24.5
not at all important	5	127	10.4	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_19] During its EU presidency Finland should: Reduce bureaucracy and complexity of administration in the EU

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Reduce bureaucracy and complexity of administration in the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.3	–
very important	1	701	57.2	59.2
fairly important	2	315	25.7	26.6
cannot say	3	128	10.4	10.8
not very important	4	27	2.2	2.3
not at all important	5	14	1.1	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q6_20] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote transparency in the EU

Question

During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote transparency in the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.1	–
very important	1	744	60.7	62.6
fairly important	2	319	26.0	26.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
cannot say	3	98	8.0	8.2
not very important	4	17	1.4	1.4
not at all important	5	10	0.8	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_1] Views on the EMU: Necessary to strengthen the competitiveness of Europe

Question

Views on the EMU: Necessary to strengthen the competitiveness of Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.6	–
strongly agree	1	214	17.5	18.1
agree	2	407	33.2	34.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	282	23.0	23.9
disagree	4	177	14.4	15.0
strongly disagree	5	102	8.3	8.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_2] Views on the EMU: Will be implemented in the beginning of 1999, on the agreed schedule

Question

Views on the EMU: Will be implemented in the beginning of 1999, on the agreed schedule

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	132	10.8	11.2
agree	2	259	21.1	22.0
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	500	40.8	42.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
disagree	4	167	13.6	14.2
strongly disagree	5	121	9.9	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_3] Views on the EMU: As much a political as an economic project**Question***Views on the EMU: As much a political as an economic project***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	398	32.5	33.8
agree	2	427	34.8	36.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	262	21.4	22.3
disagree	4	66	5.4	5.6
strongly disagree	5	23	1.9	2.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_4] Views on the EMU: A step towards federation, 'United States of Europe'**Question***Views on the EMU: A step towards federation, 'United States of Europe'***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	352	28.7	29.8
agree	2	412	33.6	34.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	246	20.1	20.8
disagree	4	111	9.1	9.4
strongly disagree	5	59	4.8	5.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_5] Views on the EMU: A Union that Finland should join in the first wave

Question

Views on the EMU: A Union that Finland should join in the first wave

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	191	15.6	16.2
agree	2	164	13.4	13.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	263	21.5	22.3
disagree	4	247	20.1	20.9
strongly disagree	5	315	25.7	26.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_6] Views on the EMU: An unrealistic project, difficult to implement

Question

Views on the EMU: An unrealistic project, difficult to implement

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.6	–
strongly agree	1	199	16.2	16.8
agree	2	340	27.7	28.8
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	308	25.1	26.1
disagree	4	216	17.6	18.3
strongly disagree	5	119	9.7	10.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_7] Views on the EMU: Will enable Finland to make important economic reforms

Question

Views on the EMU: Will enable Finland to make important economic reforms

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	4.2	–
strongly agree	1	98	8.0	8.3
agree	2	311	25.4	26.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	430	35.1	36.6
disagree	4	220	17.9	18.7
strongly disagree	5	115	9.4	9.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_8] Views on the EMU: Will divide Europe into two unequal parts (to those who are inside and those who are outside the EMU)

Question

Views on the EMU: Will divide Europe into two unequal parts (to those who are inside and those who are outside the EMU)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	292	23.8	24.8
agree	2	418	34.1	35.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	307	25.0	26.0
disagree	4	109	8.9	9.2
strongly disagree	5	53	4.3	4.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_9] Views on the EMU: Will speed European economic growth

Question

Views on the EMU: Will speed European economic growth

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.6	–
strongly agree	1	162	13.2	13.7
agree	2	376	30.7	31.8
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	370	30.2	31.3
disagree	4	192	15.7	16.2
strongly disagree	5	82	6.7	6.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_10] Views on the EMU: Very risky for Finland**Question**

Views on the EMU: Very risky for Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.7	–
strongly agree	1	285	23.2	24.1
agree	2	331	27.0	28.0
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	369	30.1	31.2
disagree	4	161	13.1	13.6
strongly disagree	5	35	2.9	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_11] Views on the EMU: Provides a chance to improve employment in Finland**Question**

Views on the EMU: Provides a chance to improve employment in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.6	–
strongly agree	1	75	6.1	6.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	248	20.2	21.0
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	367	29.9	31.0
disagree	4	348	28.4	29.4
strongly disagree	5	144	11.7	12.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_12] Views on the EMU: Whether Finland is to join the EMU or not is a major choice and should be decided with the help of a referendum

Question

Views on the EMU: Whether Finland is to join the EMU or not is a major choice and should be decided with the help of a referendum

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.6	–
strongly agree	1	588	48.0	49.7
agree	2	161	13.1	13.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	180	14.7	15.2
disagree	4	108	8.8	9.1
strongly disagree	5	145	11.8	12.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_13] Views on the EMU: It would strengthen Finland's security

Question

Views on the EMU: It would strengthen Finland's security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.4	–
strongly agree	1	137	11.2	11.6
agree	2	253	20.6	21.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	382	31.2	32.3
disagree	4	259	21.1	21.9
strongly disagree	5	153	12.5	12.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_14] Views on the EMU: EMU's impact exaggerated by the media, will not affect the daily life of ordinary citizens

Question

Views on the EMU: EMU's impact exaggerated by the media, will not affect the daily life of ordinary citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	134	10.9	11.4
agree	2	312	25.4	26.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	373	30.4	31.6
disagree	4	239	19.5	20.3
strongly disagree	5	122	10.0	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_15] Views on the EMU: It would change the Finnish society more than EU membership

Question

Views on the EMU: It would change the Finnish society more than EU membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
strongly agree	1	273	22.3	23.2
agree	2	372	30.3	31.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	340	27.7	28.9
disagree	4	148	12.1	12.6
strongly disagree	5	44	3.6	3.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_16] Views on the EMU: It would weaken the status of trade unions**Question***Views on the EMU: It would weaken the status of trade unions***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.5	–
strongly agree	1	212	17.3	17.9
agree	2	345	28.1	29.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	440	35.9	37.2
disagree	4	136	11.1	11.5
strongly disagree	5	50	4.1	4.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_17] Views on the EMU: Will facilitate trade, investment, currency flows, travelling etc.**Question***Views on the EMU: Will facilitate trade, investment, currency flows, travelling etc.***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.6	–
strongly agree	1	565	46.1	47.8
agree	2	413	33.7	34.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	116	9.5	9.8
disagree	4	61	5.0	5.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly disagree	5	27	2.2	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_18] Views on the EMU: Will deepen the intergovernmental co-operation and make it more efficient

Question

Views on the EMU: Will deepen the intergovernmental co-operation and make it more efficient

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	173	14.1	14.7
agree	2	418	34.1	35.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	381	31.1	32.3
disagree	4	160	13.1	13.6
strongly disagree	5	46	3.8	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_19] Views on the EMU: Finland will become more closely connected to the Western Europe

Question

Views on the EMU: Finland will become more closely connected to the Western Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	430	35.1	36.5
agree	2	449	36.6	38.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	182	14.8	15.4
disagree	4	82	6.7	7.0
strongly disagree	5	35	2.9	3.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_20] Views on the EMU: Will weaken Germany's economical leadership in Europe

Question

Views on the EMU: Will weaken Germany's economical leadership in Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.7	–
strongly agree	1	101	8.2	8.6
agree	2	326	26.6	27.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	427	34.8	36.2
disagree	4	198	16.2	16.8
strongly disagree	5	129	10.5	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_21] Views on the EMU: Will help to maintain peace in Europe

Question

Views on the EMU: Will help to maintain peace in Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	169	13.8	14.3
agree	2	267	21.8	22.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	385	31.4	32.6
disagree	4	246	20.1	20.8
strongly disagree	5	113	9.2	9.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_22] Views on the EMU: Will reduce Finland's sovereignty and diminish its economic decision power considerably

Question

Views on the EMU: Will reduce Finland's sovereignty and diminish its economic decision power considerably

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.7	–
strongly agree	1	465	37.9	39.4
agree	2	373	30.4	31.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	185	15.1	15.7
disagree	4	119	9.7	10.1
strongly disagree	5	39	3.2	3.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_23] Views on the EMU: Will increase socioeconomic disparity

Question

Views on the EMU: Will increase socioeconomic disparity

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.5	–
strongly agree	1	349	28.5	29.5
agree	2	298	24.3	25.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	346	28.2	29.2
disagree	4	129	10.5	10.9
strongly disagree	5	61	5.0	5.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_24] Views on the EMU: The disappearance of the national currency will weaken Finnish national identity

Question

Views on the EMU: The disappearance of the national currency will weaken Finnish national identity

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.3	–
strongly agree	1	427	34.8	36.0
agree	2	368	30.0	31.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	146	11.9	12.3
disagree	4	157	12.8	13.2
strongly disagree	5	87	7.1	7.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q7_25] Views on the EMU: Will be difficult to learn to use the new currency

Question

Views on the EMU: Will be difficult to learn to use the new currency

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.9	–
strongly agree	1	156	12.7	13.1
agree	2	303	24.7	25.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't say	3	221	18.0	18.6
disagree	4	293	23.9	24.6
strongly disagree	5	218	17.8	18.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_1] Views on EU membership: Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland is more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union

Question

Views on EU membership: Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland is more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	363	29.6	30.8
agree	2	347	28.3	29.4
can't choose	3	230	18.8	19.5
disagree	4	142	11.6	12.0
strongly disagree	5	97	7.9	8.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_2] Views on EU membership: If Finland joins EMU, it is vital that Finland accumulates some kind of buffer funds to protect itself from economic fluctuations

Question

Views on EU membership: If Finland joins EMU, it is vital that Finland accumulates some kind of buffer funds to protect itself from economic fluctuations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
strongly agree	1	240	19.6	20.4
agree	2	325	26.5	27.6
can't choose	3	488	39.8	41.5
disagree	4	70	5.7	5.9
strongly disagree	5	54	4.4	4.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_3] Views on EU membership: The EU is too complex and distant to be understood

Question

Views on EU membership: The EU is too complex and distant to be understood

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.7	–
strongly agree	1	199	16.2	16.9
agree	2	412	33.6	34.9
can't choose	3	184	15.0	15.6
disagree	4	305	24.9	25.8
strongly disagree	5	81	6.6	6.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_4] Views on EU membership: European Union membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership

Question

Views on EU membership: European Union membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.6	–
strongly agree	1	448	36.5	37.9
agree	2	285	23.2	24.1
can't choose	3	307	25.0	26.0
disagree	4	118	9.6	10.0
strongly disagree	5	24	2.0	2.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_5] Views on EU membership: Even though EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development

Question

Views on EU membership: Even though EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	116	9.5	9.8
agree	2	337	27.5	28.6
can't choose	3	336	27.4	28.5
disagree	4	229	18.7	19.4
strongly disagree	5	161	13.1	13.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_6] Views on EU membership: In the Amsterdam summit, EU leaders were wise to decide to change the Union as little as possible

Question

Views on EU membership: In the Amsterdam summit, EU leaders were wise to decide to change the Union as little as possible

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	72	5.9	6.1
agree	2	237	19.3	20.2
can't choose	3	654	53.3	55.6
disagree	4	157	12.8	13.4
strongly disagree	5	56	4.6	4.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_7] Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to influence EU decision-making

Question

Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to influence EU decision-making

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
strongly agree	1	40	3.3	3.4
agree	2	265	21.6	22.5
can't choose	3	400	32.6	34.0
disagree	4	319	26.0	27.1
strongly disagree	5	153	12.5	13.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_8] Views on EU membership: Finland should not become a NATO member even if Sweden and the Baltic countries were to join

Question

Views on EU membership: Finland should not become a NATO member even if Sweden and the Baltic countries were to join

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	257	21.0	21.9
agree	2	161	13.1	13.7
can't choose	3	372	30.3	31.6
disagree	4	208	17.0	17.7
strongly disagree	5	178	14.5	15.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_9] Views on EU membership: EU should work more effectively to promote European competitiveness, otherwise new jobs will be created mainly in the U.S or Asia

Question

Views on EU membership: EU should work more effectively to promote European competitiveness, otherwise new jobs will be created mainly in the U.S or Asia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
strongly agree	1	484	39.5	41.1
agree	2	449	36.6	38.1
can't choose	3	187	15.3	15.9
disagree	4	40	3.3	3.4
strongly disagree	5	17	1.4	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_10] Views on EU membership: As regards trade and co-operation between the EU and Russia/St Petersburg area, Finland's bridgehead position will be a significant advantage to our country

Question

Views on EU membership: As regards trade and co-operation between the EU and Russia/St Petersburg area, Finland's bridgehead position will be a significant advantage to our country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	276	22.5	23.4
agree	2	445	36.3	37.8
can't choose	3	344	28.1	29.2
disagree	4	84	6.9	7.1
strongly disagree	5	29	2.4	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_11] Views on EU membership: Estonia's EU membership would weaken Finland's competitive position and reduce jobs in our country

Question

Views on EU membership: Estonia's EU membership would weaken Finland's competitive position and reduce jobs in our country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
strongly agree	1	93	7.6	7.9
agree	2	199	16.2	16.9
can't choose	3	459	37.4	39.0
disagree	4	320	26.1	27.2
strongly disagree	5	106	8.6	9.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_12] Views on EU membership: As the EU has become a part of everyday life in Finland, it no longer arouses passionate opinions

Question

Views on EU membership: As the EU has become a part of everyday life in Finland, it no longer arouses passionate opinions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	133	10.8	11.3
agree	2	414	33.8	35.1
can't choose	3	282	23.0	23.9
disagree	4	247	20.1	21.0
strongly disagree	5	102	8.3	8.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_13] Views on EU membership: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are fair and just

Question

Views on EU membership: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are fair and just

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	217	17.7	18.4
agree	2	305	24.9	25.9
can't choose	3	100	8.2	8.5
disagree	4	267	21.8	22.7
strongly disagree	5	289	23.6	24.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_14] Views on EU membership: The fact that Finland takes over the EU presidency in 1999 will bring the Union closer to Finns

Question

Views on EU membership: The fact that Finland takes over the EU presidency in 1999 will bring the Union closer to Finns

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	223	18.2	18.9
agree	2	480	39.2	40.7
can't choose	3	287	23.4	24.4
disagree	4	129	10.5	11.0
strongly disagree	5	59	4.8	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_15] Views on EU membership: It is important that the president of Finland will continue to play an active part in the creation of Finnish EU policy

Question

Views on EU membership: It is important that the president of Finland will continue to play an active part in the creation of Finnish EU policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	249	20.3	21.1
agree	2	349	28.5	29.6
can't choose	3	275	22.4	23.3
disagree	4	189	15.4	16.0
strongly disagree	5	118	9.6	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_16] Views on EU membership: Regardless of cost, the EU must participate in solving the environmental problems of future member countries in the Eastern and Central Europe

Question

Views on EU membership: Regardless of cost, the EU must participate in solving the environmental problems of future member countries in the Eastern and Central Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	259	21.1	22.0
agree	2	391	31.9	33.2
can't choose	3	246	20.1	20.9
disagree	4	180	14.7	15.3
strongly disagree	5	103	8.4	8.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_17] Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries

Question

Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.7	–
strongly agree	1	359	29.3	30.4
agree	2	339	27.7	28.7
can't choose	3	333	27.2	28.2
disagree	4	134	10.9	11.3
strongly disagree	5	16	1.3	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_18] Views on EU membership: EU membership redefines Finland's international position

Question

Views on EU membership: EU membership redefines Finland's international position

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.7	–
strongly agree	1	195	15.9	16.5
agree	2	439	35.8	37.2
can't choose	3	376	30.7	31.8
disagree	4	142	11.6	12.0
strongly disagree	5	29	2.4	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_19] Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland's EU membership

Question

Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland's EU membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.2	–
strongly agree	1	198	16.2	16.9
agree	2	273	22.3	23.2
can't choose	3	230	18.8	19.6
disagree	4	296	24.1	25.2
strongly disagree	5	178	14.5	15.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_20] Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU

Question

Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	188	15.3	15.9
agree	2	88	7.2	7.5
can't choose	3	349	28.5	29.6
disagree	4	167	13.6	14.2
strongly disagree	5	387	31.6	32.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_21] Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the current government has handled EU matters

Question

Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the current government has handled EU matters

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	67	5.5	5.7
agree	2	283	23.1	24.0
can't choose	3	369	30.1	31.3
disagree	4	279	22.8	23.7
strongly disagree	5	180	14.7	15.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_22] Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the president of Finland has handled EU matters**Question**

Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the president of Finland has handled EU matters

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	120	9.8	10.2
agree	2	307	25.0	26.0
can't choose	3	391	31.9	33.2
disagree	4	209	17.0	17.7
strongly disagree	5	152	12.4	12.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_23] Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the Finnish parliament has handled EU matters**Question**

Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the Finnish parliament has handled EU matters

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
strongly agree	1	39	3.2	3.3
agree	2	286	23.3	24.3
can't choose	3	440	35.9	37.4
disagree	4	250	20.4	21.2
strongly disagree	5	162	13.2	13.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_24] Views on EU membership: Finland's EU membership opened up our borders, which has weakened our moral backbone

Question

Views on EU membership: Finland's EU membership opened up our borders, which has weakened our moral backbone

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	150	12.2	12.7
agree	2	208	17.0	17.6
can't choose	3	289	23.6	24.5
disagree	4	325	26.5	27.5
strongly disagree	5	208	17.0	17.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_25] Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU)

Question

Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	204	16.6	17.3
agree	2	384	31.3	32.6
can't choose	3	395	32.2	33.5
disagree	4	99	8.1	8.4
strongly disagree	5	97	7.9	8.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_26] Views on EU membership: Staying outside the EMU would be fatal for Finland, if several other EU countries would join

Question

Views on EU membership: Staying outside the EMU would be fatal for Finland, if several other EU countries would join

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.8	–
strongly agree	1	222	18.1	18.8
agree	2	283	23.1	24.0
can't choose	3	383	31.2	32.5
disagree	4	159	13.0	13.5
strongly disagree	5	133	10.8	11.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q8_27] Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us

Question

Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.5	–
strongly agree	1	419	34.2	35.4
agree	2	333	27.2	28.1
can't choose	3	174	14.2	14.7
disagree	4	185	15.1	15.6
strongly disagree	5	72	5.9	6.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_1] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: EU's importance in the world economy will increase

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: EU's importance in the world economy will increase

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.1	–
likely	1	521	42.5	44.3
can't say/don't know	2	391	31.9	33.2
unlikely	3	264	21.5	22.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_2] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finland's and other member countries' membership fees will become significantly higher

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finland's and other member countries' membership fees will become significantly higher

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.7	–
likely	1	794	64.8	67.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say/don't know	2	329	26.8	27.9
unlikely	3	58	4.7	4.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_3] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Is politically and morally necessary, despite its costs

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Is politically and morally necessary, despite its costs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	56	4.6	–
likely	1	295	24.1	25.2
can't say/don't know	2	600	48.9	51.3
unlikely	3	275	22.4	23.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_4] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent crises or civils wars like in Yugoslavia from happening in Europe

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent crises or civils wars like in Yugoslavia from happening in Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.1	–
likely	1	336	27.4	28.6
can't say/don't know	2	462	37.7	39.3
unlikely	3	378	30.8	32.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_5] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will isolate Russia from the rest of Europe

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will isolate Russia from the rest of Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
likely	1	370	30.2	31.4
can't say/don't know	2	446	36.4	37.9
unlikely	3	362	29.5	30.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_6] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent Eastern Europe from sliding into (fanatical) nationalism or post-communism

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent Eastern Europe from sliding into (fanatical) nationalism or post-communism

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.1	–
likely	1	349	28.5	29.7
can't say/don't know	2	608	49.6	51.7
unlikely	3	219	17.9	18.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_7] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will further complicate EU decision-making

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will further complicate EU decision-making

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	56	4.6	–
likely	1	674	55.0	57.6
can't say/don't know	2	370	30.2	31.6
unlikely	3	126	10.3	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_8] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will increase economic growth and wealth throughout Europe

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will increase economic growth and wealth throughout Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
likely	1	235	19.2	19.9
can't say/don't know	2	499	40.7	42.4
unlikely	3	444	36.2	37.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_9] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will strengthen EU defence

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will strengthen EU defence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	4.2	–
likely	1	348	28.4	29.6
can't say/don't know	2	481	39.2	41.0
unlikely	3	345	28.1	29.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_10] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Agricultural surpluses will become even larger than at present**Question**

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Agricultural surpluses will become even larger than at present

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	4.3	–
likely	1	480	39.2	40.9
can't say/don't know	2	468	38.2	39.9
unlikely	3	225	18.4	19.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_11] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finnish agriculture will lose its competitiveness**Question**

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finnish agriculture will lose its competitiveness

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
likely	1	623	50.8	52.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say/don't know	2	356	29.0	30.2
unlikely	3	199	16.2	16.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_12] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will turn the EU into a real European Union instead of the current Western-European Union

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will turn the EU into a real European Union instead of the current Western-European Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	4.2	–
likely	1	518	42.3	44.1
can't say/don't know	2	536	43.7	45.7
unlikely	3	120	9.8	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_13] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Eastern European members will become a burden that slows down development in the western part of the EU

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Eastern European members will become a burden that slows down development in the western part of the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
likely	1	440	35.9	37.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say/don't know	2	499	40.7	42.4
unlikely	3	239	19.5	20.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_14] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will be a short-term economic liability and will offer considerable advantages later

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will be a short-term economic liability and will offer considerable advantages later

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
likely	1	295	24.1	25.1
can't say/don't know	2	505	41.2	42.9
unlikely	3	377	30.8	32.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_15] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will bring a new mobile labour force, also to Finland

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will bring a new mobile labour force, also to Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
likely	1	791	64.5	67.1
can't say/don't know	2	258	21.0	21.9
unlikely	3	129	10.5	11.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_16] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will hinder/slow down integration

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will hinder/slow down integration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
likely	1	403	32.9	34.2
can't say/don't know	2	683	55.7	58.0
unlikely	3	91	7.4	7.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q9_17] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will enrich the cultural life of the EU

Question

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will enrich the cultural life of the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.8	–
likely	1	763	62.2	64.7
can't say/don't know	2	276	22.5	23.4
unlikely	3	141	11.5	11.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_1] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Television and radio

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Television and radio

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
very reliable	1	242	19.7	20.5
somewhat reliable	2	640	52.2	54.3
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	132	10.8	11.2
somewhat unreliable	4	127	10.4	10.8
very unreliable	5	37	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_2] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Newspapers

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	4.3	–
very reliable	1	156	12.7	13.3
somewhat reliable	2	635	51.8	54.1
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	182	14.8	15.5
somewhat unreliable	4	154	12.6	13.1
very unreliable	5	46	3.8	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_3] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Finnish civil servants

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Finnish civil servants

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	55	4.5	–
very reliable	1	86	7.0	7.3
somewhat reliable	2	363	29.6	31.0
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	330	26.9	28.2
somewhat unreliable	4	269	21.9	23.0
very unreliable	5	123	10.0	10.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_4] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: EU leaders and officials**Question**

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: EU leaders and officials

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	55	4.5	–
very reliable	1	105	8.6	9.0
somewhat reliable	2	300	24.5	25.6
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	368	30.0	31.4
somewhat unreliable	4	262	21.4	22.4
very unreliable	5	136	11.1	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_5] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: President of Finland**Question**

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: President of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
very reliable	1	242	19.7	20.5
somewhat reliable	2	394	32.1	33.4
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	296	24.1	25.1
somewhat unreliable	4	159	13.0	13.5
very unreliable	5	87	7.1	7.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_6] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Prime minister

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Prime minister

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.0	–
very reliable	1	161	13.1	13.7
somewhat reliable	2	358	29.2	30.4
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	273	22.3	23.2
somewhat unreliable	4	220	17.9	18.7
very unreliable	5	165	13.5	14.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_7] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Social Democratic ministers in the government

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Social Democratic ministers in the government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	4.2	–
very reliable	1	56	4.6	4.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
somewhat reliable	2	268	21.9	22.8
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	409	33.4	34.8
somewhat unreliable	4	290	23.7	24.7
very unreliable	5	151	12.3	12.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_8] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Conservative (National Coalition Party) ministers in the government

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Conservative (National Coalition Party) ministers in the government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.2	–
very reliable	1	69	5.6	5.9
somewhat reliable	2	266	21.7	22.6
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	416	33.9	35.4
somewhat unreliable	4	278	22.7	23.7
very unreliable	5	146	11.9	12.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_9] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Leaders of the main opposition party (Centre Party)

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Leaders of the main opposition party (Centre Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	56	4.6	–
very reliable	1	45	3.7	3.8
somewhat reliable	2	179	14.6	15.3
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	454	37.0	38.8
somewhat unreliable	4	337	27.5	28.8
very unreliable	5	155	12.6	13.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_10] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Members of Finnish Parliament

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Members of Finnish Parliament

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	4.2	–
very reliable	1	19	1.5	1.6
somewhat reliable	2	237	19.3	20.2
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	463	37.8	39.4
somewhat unreliable	4	350	28.5	29.8
very unreliable	5	105	8.6	8.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_11] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Trade unions

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Trade unions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.2	–
very reliable	1	64	5.2	5.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
somewhat reliable	2	317	25.9	27.0
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	449	36.6	38.2
somewhat unreliable	4	247	20.1	21.0
very unreliable	5	98	8.0	8.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_12] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Commercial and industrial organizations

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Commercial and industrial organizations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.1	–
very reliable	1	62	5.1	5.3
somewhat reliable	2	385	31.4	32.7
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	447	36.5	38.0
somewhat unreliable	4	202	16.5	17.2
very unreliable	5	80	6.5	6.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_13] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Corporate management

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Corporate management

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.2	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
very reliable	1	60	4.9	5.1
somewhat reliable	2	294	24.0	25.0
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	459	37.4	39.1
somewhat unreliable	4	233	19.0	19.8
very unreliable	5	129	10.5	11.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_14] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Agricultural producers

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Agricultural producers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.1	–
very reliable	1	76	6.2	6.5
somewhat reliable	2	229	18.7	19.5
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	426	34.7	36.2
somewhat unreliable	4	314	25.6	26.7
very unreliable	5	131	10.7	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_15] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Bank of Finland

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Bank of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.2	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
very reliable	1	150	12.2	12.8
somewhat reliable	2	437	35.6	37.2
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	371	30.3	31.6
somewhat unreliable	4	139	11.3	11.8
very unreliable	5	78	6.4	6.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_16] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Economic research institutions

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Economic research institutions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.2	–
very reliable	1	178	14.5	15.1
somewhat reliable	2	557	45.4	47.4
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	286	23.3	24.3
somewhat unreliable	4	95	7.7	8.1
very unreliable	5	59	4.8	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_17] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: The group of professors appointed to study EMU impacts

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: The group of professors appointed to study EMU impacts

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	4.4	–
very reliable	1	224	18.3	19.1
somewhat reliable	2	490	40.0	41.8
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	317	25.9	27.0
somewhat unreliable	4	91	7.4	7.8
very unreliable	5	50	4.1	4.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_18] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Anti-EU non-governmental organizations

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Anti-EU non-governmental organizations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.2	–
very reliable	1	81	6.6	6.9
somewhat reliable	2	244	19.9	20.8
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	413	33.7	35.1
somewhat unreliable	4	291	23.7	24.8
very unreliable	5	146	11.9	12.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q10_19] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Friends, relatives, colleagues

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Friends, relatives, colleagues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	4.3	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
very reliable	1	41	3.3	3.5
somewhat reliable	2	176	14.4	15.0
neither reliable nor unreliable/can't say	3	513	41.8	43.7
somewhat unreliable	4	287	23.4	24.5
very unreliable	5	156	12.7	13.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q11] What is your present attitude towards Finland's EU membership?

Question

What is your present attitude towards Finland's EU membership?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.9	–
very positive	1	120	9.8	10.1
somewhat positive	2	332	27.1	27.9
neutral / neither positive nor negative	3	376	30.7	31.6
somewhat negative	4	214	17.5	18.0
very negative	5	130	10.6	10.9
can't say	6	18	1.5	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[Q12] Do you think it likely that Finland will join EMU in the first wave?

Question

Do you think it likely that Finland will join EMU in the first wave?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	3.0	–
will certainly join	1	81	6.6	6.8
very likely	2	368	30.0	31.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
likely	3	492	40.1	41.4
unlikely	4	109	8.9	9.2
very unlikely	5	40	3.3	3.4
will not join	6	31	2.5	2.6
can't say	7	68	5.5	5.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's sex**Question***Respondent's sex***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.0	–
male	1	644	52.5	53.6
female	2	558	45.5	46.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's age group**Question***Respondent's age group***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.4	–
18 - 25 years	1	171	13.9	14.3
26 - 35 years	2	201	16.4	16.8
36 - 45 years	3	258	21.0	21.6
46 - 55 years	4	258	21.0	21.6
56 - 65 years	5	217	17.7	18.1
> 65 years	6	91	7.4	7.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[BV3] Size of the respondent's place of residence

Question

Size of the respondent's place of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.6	–
less than 4,000 inhabitants	1	91	7.4	7.7
4,000 - 8,000 inhabitants	2	147	12.0	12.4
8,000 - 30,000 inhabitants	3	329	26.8	27.8
30,000 - 80,000 inhabitants	4	232	18.9	19.6
> 80,000 inhabitants	5	383	31.2	32.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Respondent's province of residence (according to the previous provincial division)

Question

Respondent's province of residence (according to the previous provincial division)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.1	–
Uusimaa	1	350	28.5	29.2
Turku and Pori	2	170	13.9	14.2
Häme	3	147	12.0	12.3
Kymi	4	76	6.2	6.3
Mikkeli	5	54	4.4	4.5
Pohjois-Karjala	6	46	3.8	3.8
Kuopio	7	51	4.2	4.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Keski-Suomi	8	58	4.7	4.8
Vaasa	9	96	7.8	8.0
Oulu	10	106	8.6	8.8
Lappi	11	46	3.8	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Respondent's basic education**Question***Respondent's basic education***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.5	–
primary education	1	385	31.4	32.2
lower secondary education	2	429	35.0	35.9
upper secondary education (matriculation examination)	3	381	31.1	31.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Respondent's vocational education**Question***Respondent's vocational education***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.2	–
no vocational education	1	217	17.7	18.3
vocational course or other short vocational training	2	220	17.9	18.5
vocational school or equivalent (upper secondary level)	3	282	23.0	23.8
college level vocational education	4	295	24.1	24.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
university or polytechnic education	5	173	14.1	14.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[BV7] Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	1.6	–
higher managerial occupation (employee)	1	54	4.4	4.5
lower managerial/professional employee	2	114	9.3	9.5
intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	3	166	13.5	13.8
worker	4	302	24.6	25.0
entrepreneur or self-employed	5	85	6.9	7.0
farmer	6	38	3.1	3.2
student	7	106	8.6	8.8
retired	8	214	17.5	17.7
housewife	9	30	2.4	2.5
unemployed	10	82	6.7	6.8
other	11	15	1.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[BV8] Respondent's employment sector

Question

Respondent's employment sector

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.5	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agriculture, forestry, fishing	1	67	5.5	5.7
industry and construction	2	220	17.9	18.6
private services	3	204	16.6	17.2
public services	4	331	27.0	28.0
not working	5	361	29.4	30.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[BV9] Respondent's trade union membership

Question

Respondent's trade union membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	3.8	–
no	1	486	39.6	41.2
yes	2	32	2.6	2.7
SAK (The Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions)	3	329	26.8	27.9
STTK (The Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	4	175	14.3	14.8
Akava (Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals)	5	121	9.9	10.3
MTK (Central Union of Agric. Producers and Forest Owners)	6	36	2.9	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

[BV10] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.4	–
Center Party of Finland (KESK)	1	147	12.0	12.3
National Coalition Party (KOK)	2	167	13.6	14.0
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	3	26	2.1	2.2
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	4	192	15.7	16.1
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	5	20	1.6	1.7
True Finns (Perussuomalaiset)	6	8	0.7	0.7
Left Alliance (Vasemmistoliitto)	7	61	5.0	5.1
Green League (Vihreät)	8	58	4.7	4.8
Progressive Finnish Party (Nuorsuomalaiset)	9	33	2.7	2.8
some other party/group	10	22	1.8	1.8
wouldn't vote	11	114	9.3	9.5
can't say	12	224	18.3	18.7
don't want to say	13	124	10.1	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1226	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
How interested are you at present of issues related to Finland's EU membership? [Q1]	6
How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel... [Q2]	6
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Living conditions in Finland on the whole [Q3_1]	7
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Standard of living and material well-being [Q3_2]	7
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The state/development of national economy [Q3_3]	8
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Employment [Q3_4]	8
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Enterprises [Q3_5]	9
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Exports and foreign trade [Q3_6]	9
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The prices of food products [Q3_7]	10
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The quality of food products [Q3_8]	10
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Education environment [Q3_9]	10
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Social security [Q3_10]	11
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Environmental protection [Q3_11]	11
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Disparities in the well-being of different regions/population groups [Q3_12]	12

3. Indexes

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Gender equality /status of women [Q3_13]	12
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: National sovereignty [Q3_14] .	13
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's military security [Q3_15]	13
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's relations with Russia [Q3_16]	14
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Nordic cooperation [Q3_17] ..	14
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's image abroad [Q3_18]	14
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland as a foreign investment target [Q3_19]	15
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finnish self-respect/self-image/national identity [Q3_20]	15
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's spiritual and intellectual climate [Q3_21]	16
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Crime rate [Q3_22]	16
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of salaried employees [Q3_23]	17
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of farmers [Q3_24]	17
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of young people [Q3_25]	18
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Your own circumstances and life in general [Q3_26]	18
Should Finland join the EMU (European Economic and Monetary Union) in the first wave? [Q4_1]	19
And in the case that most EU member countries will join? [Q4_2]	19
How firm is your opinion of the EMU (whatever the opinion)? [Q5]	19
During its EU presidency Finland should: Increase the knowledge of Finland in other EU member countries [Q6_1]	20
During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests [Q6_2]	20
During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole Union [Q6_3]	21
During its EU presidency Finland should: Above all, function impartially and fairly during the chairmanship [Q6_4]	21
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote Finnish exports with all available means [Q6_5]	22
During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to slow down projects that are not important to	

our country [Q6_6]	22
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote good relations between Russia and the EU [Q6_7]	23
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote gender equality within the Union [Q6_8]	23
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the introduction of common foreign and security policy in the EU [Q6_9]	24
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote common employment policy in the EU [Q6_10]	24
During its EU presidency Finland should: Preserve and increase the vitality of national cultures [Q6_11]	25
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the efficiency of international crime prevention [Q6_12]	25
During its EU presidency Finland should: Show how well our forests and the rest of our environment are cared for [Q6_13]	26
During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to bring EU's common social policy towards the Scandinavian model [Q6_14]	26
During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to attract foreign enterprises to Finland [Q6_15]	27
During its EU presidency Finland should: Build a lasting image of Finland as a co-operative country [Q6_16]	27
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU enlargement [Q6_17]	28
During its EU presidency Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership [Q6_18]	28
During its EU presidency Finland should: Reduce bureaucracy and complexity of administration in the EU [Q6_19]	29
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote transparency in the EU [Q6_20]	29
Views on the EMU: Necessary to strengthen the competitiveness of Europe [Q7_1]	30
Views on the EMU: Will be implemented in the beginning of 1999, on the agreed schedule [Q7_2]	30
Views on the EMU: As much a political as an economic project [Q7_3]	31
Views on the EMU: A step towards federation, 'United States of Europe' [Q7_4]	31
Views on the EMU: A Union that Finland should join in the first wave [Q7_5]	32
Views on the EMU: An unrealistic project, difficult to implement [Q7_6]	32
Views on the EMU: Will enable Finland to make important economic reforms [Q7_7]	33
Views on the EMU: Will divide Europe into two unequal parts (to those who are inside and those who are outside the EMU) [Q7_8]	33
Views on the EMU: Will speed European economic growth [Q7_9]	33
Views on the EMU: Very risky for Finland [Q7_10]	34

3. Indexes

Views on the EMU: Provides a chance to improve employment in Finland [Q7_11]	34
Views on the EMU: Whether Finland is to join the EMU or not is a major choice and should be decided with the help of a referendum [Q7_12]	35
Views on the EMU: It would strengthen Finland's security [Q7_13]	35
Views on the EMU: EMU's impact exaggerated by the media, will not affect the daily life of ordinary citizens [Q7_14]	36
Views on the EMU: It would change the Finnish society more than EU membership [Q7_15]	36
Views on the EMU: It would weaken the status of trade unions [Q7_16]	37
Views on the EMU: Will facilitate trade, investment, currency flows, travelling etc. [Q7_17]	37
Views on the EMU: Will deepen the intergovernmental co-operation and make it more efficient [Q7_18]	38
Views on the EMU: Finland will become more closely connected to the Western Europe [Q7_19]	38
Views on the EMU: Will weaken Germany's economical leadership in Europe [Q7_20]	39
Views on the EMU: Will help to maintain peace in Europe [Q7_21]	39
Views on the EMU: Will reduce Finland's sovereignty and diminish its economic decision power considerably [Q7_22]	40
Views on the EMU: Will increase socioeconomic disparity [Q7_23]	40
Views on the EMU: The disappearance of the national currency will weaken Finnish national identity [Q7_24]	41
Views on the EMU: Will be difficult to learn to use the new currency [Q7_25]	41
Views on EU membership: Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland is more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union [Q8_1]	42
Views on EU membership: If Finland joins EMU, it is vital that Finland accumulates some kind of buffer funds to protect itself from economic fluctuations [Q8_2]	42
Views on EU membership: The EU is too complex and distant to be understood [Q8_3] ...	43
Views on EU membership: European Union membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership [Q8_4]	43
Views on EU membership: Even though EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development [Q8_5]	44
Views on EU membership: In the Amsterdam summit, EU leaders were wise to decide to change the Union as little as possible [Q8_6]	44
Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to influence EU decision-making [Q8_7]	45
Views on EU membership: Finland should not become a NATO member even if Sweden and the Baltic countries were to join [Q8_8]	45
Views on EU membership: EU should work more effectively to promote European competitiveness, otherwise new jobs will be created mainly in the U.S or Asia [Q8_9]	46

Views on EU membership: As regards trade and co-operation between the EU and Russia/St Petersburg area, Finland's bridgehead position will be a significant advantage to our country [Q8_10]	46
Views on EU membership: Estonia's EU membership would weaken Finland's competitive position and reduce jobs in our country [Q8_11]	47
Views on EU membership: As the EU has become a part of everyday life in Finland, it no longer arouses passionate opinions [Q8_12]	47
Views on EU membership: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are fair and just [Q8_13]	48
Views on EU membership: The fact that Finland takes over the EU presidency in 1999 will bring the Union closer to Finns [Q8_14]	48
Views on EU membership: It is important that the president of Finland will continue to play an active part in the creation of Finnish EU policy [Q8_15]	49
Views on EU membership: Regardless of cost, the EU must participate in solving the environmental problems of future member countries in the Eastern and Central Europe [Q8_16] ...	49
Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries [Q8_17]	50
Views on EU membership: EU membership redefines Finland's international position [Q8_18]	50
Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland's EU membership [Q8_19]	51
Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU [Q8_20]	51
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the current government has handled EU matters [Q8_21]	51
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the president of Finland has handled EU matters [Q8_22]	52
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the Finnish parliament has handled EU matters [Q8_23]	52
Views on EU membership: Finland's EU membership opened up our borders, which has weakened our moral backbone [Q8_24]	53
Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU) [Q8_25]	53
Views on EU membership: Staying outside the EMU would be fatal for Finland, if several other EU countries would join [Q8_26]	54
Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us [Q8_27]	54
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: EU's importance in the world economy will increase [Q9_1]	55
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finland's and other member countries' membership fees will become significantly higher [Q9_2]	55

3. Indexes

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Is politically and morally necessary, despite its costs [Q9_3]	56
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent crises or civil wars like in Yugoslavia from happening in Europe [Q9_4]	56
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will isolate Russia from the rest of Europe [Q9_5]	57
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent Eastern Europe from sliding into (fanatical) nationalism or post-communism [Q9_6]	57
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will further complicate EU decision-making [Q9_7]	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will increase economic growth and wealth throughout Europe [Q9_8]	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will strengthen EU defence [Q9_9]	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Agricultural surpluses will become even larger than at present [Q9_10]	59
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finnish agriculture will lose its competitiveness [Q9_11]	59
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will turn the EU into a real European Union instead of the current Western-European Union [Q9_12]	60
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Eastern European members will become a burden that slows down development in the western part of the EU [Q9_13]	60
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will be a short-term economic liability and will offer considerable advantages later [Q9_14]	61
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will bring a new mobile labour force, also to Finland [Q9_15]	61
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will hinder/slow down integration [Q9_16]	62
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will enrich the cultural life of the EU [Q9_17]	62
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Television and radio [Q10_1]	63
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Newspapers [Q10_2]	63
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Finnish civil servants [Q10_3]	63
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: EU leaders and officials [Q10_4]	64
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: President of Finland [Q10_5]	64

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Prime minister [Q10_6]	65
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Social Democratic ministers in the government [Q10_7]	65
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Conservative (National Coalition Party) ministers in the government [Q10_8]	66
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Leaders of the main opposition party (Centre Party) [Q10_9]	66
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Members of Finnish Parliament [Q10_10]	67
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Trade unions [Q10_11]	67
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Commercial and industrial organizations [Q10_12]	68
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Corporate management [Q10_13]	68
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Agricultural producers [Q10_14]	69
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Bank of Finland [Q10_15]	69
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Economic research institutions [Q10_16]	70
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: The group of professors appointed to study EMU impacts [Q10_17]	70
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Anti-EU non-governmental organizations [Q10_18]	71
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Friends, relatives, colleagues [Q10_19]	71
What is your present attitude towards Finland's EU membership? [Q11]	72
Do you think it likely that Finland will join EMU in the first wave? [Q12]	72
Respondent's sex [BV1]	73
Respondent's age group [BV2]	73
Size of the respondent's place of residence [BV3]	74
Respondent's province of residence (according to the previous provincial division) [BV4] ..	74
Respondent's basic education [BV5]	75
Respondent's vocational education [BV6]	75
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group [BV7]	76
Respondent's employment sector [BV8]	76

3. Indexes

Respondent's trade union membership [BV9]77
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [BV10] 77

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

And in the case that most EU member countries will join?	19
Do you think it likely that Finland will join EMU in the first wave?	72
During its EU presidency Finland should: Above all, function impartially and fairly during the chairmanship	21
During its EU presidency Finland should: Build a lasting image of Finland as a co-operative country	27
During its EU presidency Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership ..	28
During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole Union	21
During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests	20
During its EU presidency Finland should: Increase the knowledge of Finland in other EU member countries	20
During its EU presidency Finland should: Preserve and increase the vitality of national cultures	25
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote common employment policy in the EU .	24
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU enlargement	28
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote Finnish exports with all available means	22
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote gender equality within the Union	23
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote good relations between Russia and the EU	23
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the efficiency of international crime prevention	25
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the introduction of common foreign and security policy in the EU	24
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote transparency in the EU	29
During its EU presidency Finland should: Reduce bureaucracy and complexity of administration in the EU	29
During its EU presidency Finland should: Show how well our forests and the rest of our environment are cared for	26
During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to attract foreign enterprises to Finland	27
During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to bring EU's common social policy towards the Scandinavian model	26
During its EU presidency Finland should: Try to slow down projects that are not important to our country	22
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Agricultural surpluses will become even larger than at present	59

3. Indexes

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Eastern European members will become a burden that slows down development in the western part of the EU	60
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: EU's importance in the world economy will increase	55
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finland's and other member countries' membership fees will become significantly higher	55
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finnish agriculture will lose its competitiveness	59
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Is politically and morally necessary, despite its costs	56
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will be a short-term economic liability and will offer considerable advantages later	61
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will bring a new mobile labour force, also to Finland	61
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will enrich the cultural life of the EU	62
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will further complicate EU decision-making	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will hinder/slow down integration	62
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will increase economic growth and wealth throughout Europe	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will isolate Russia from the rest of Europe	57
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent crises or civil wars like in Yugoslavia from happening in Europe	56
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent Eastern Europe from sliding into (fanatical) nationalism or post-communism	57
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will strengthen EU defence	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will turn the EU into a real European Union instead of the current Western-European Union	60
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
How firm is your opinion of the EMU (whatever the opinion)?	19
How interested are you at present of issues related to Finland's EU membership?	6
How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel... ..	6
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?	77
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Agricultural produc-	

ers	69
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Anti-EU non-governmental organizations	71
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Bank of Finland	69
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Commercial and industrial organizations	68
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Conservative (National Coalition Party) ministers in the government	66
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Corporate management	68
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Economic research institutions	70
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: EU leaders and officials	64
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Finnish civil servants	63
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Friends, relatives, colleagues	71
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Leaders of the main opposition party (Centre Party)	66
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Members of Finnish Parliament	67
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Newspapers	63
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: President of Finland	64
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Prime minister ..	65
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Social Democratic ministers in the government	65
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Television and radio	63
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: The group of professors appointed to study EMU impacts	70
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EMU membership: Trade unions ...	67
Respondent's age group	73
Respondent's basic education	75
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group	76
Respondent's employment sector	76
Respondent's province of residence (according to the previous provincial division)	74

3. Indexes

Respondent's sex	73
Respondent's trade union membership	77
Respondent's vocational education	75
Should Finland join the EMU (European Economic and Monetary Union) in the first wave?	19
Size of the respondent's place of residence	74
Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU)	53
Views on EU membership: As regards trade and co-operation between the EU and Russia/St Petersburg area, Finland's bridgehead position will be a significant advantage to our country	46
Views on EU membership: As the EU has become a part of everyday life in Finland, it no longer arouses passionate opinions	47
Views on EU membership: Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland is more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union	42
Views on EU membership: Estonia's EU membership would weaken Finland's competitive position and reduce jobs in our country	47
Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries	50
Views on EU membership: EU membership redefines Finland's international position	50
Views on EU membership: EU should work more effectively to promote European competitiveness, otherwise new jobs will be created mainly in the U.S or Asia	46
Views on EU membership: European Union membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership	43
Views on EU membership: Even though EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development	44
Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to influence EU decision-making	45
Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us	54
Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU	51
Views on EU membership: Finland should not become a NATO member even if Sweden and the Baltic countries were to join	45
Views on EU membership: Finland's EU membership opened up our borders, which has weakened our moral backbone	53
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the current government has handled EU matters	51
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the Finnish parliament has handled EU matters	52
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the president of Finland has handled EU matters	52
Views on EU membership: If Finland joins EMU, it is vital that Finland accumulates some kind	

of buffer funds to protect itself from economic fluctuations	42
Views on EU membership: In the Amsterdam summit, EU leaders were wise to decide to change the Union as little as possible	44
Views on EU membership: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are fair and just	48
Views on EU membership: It is important that the president of Finland will continue to play an active part in the creation of Finnish EU policy	49
Views on EU membership: Regardless of cost, the EU must participate in solving the environmental problems of future member countries in the Eastern and Central Europe	49
Views on EU membership: Staying outside the EMU would be fatal for Finland, if several other EU countries would join	54
Views on EU membership: The EU is too complex and distant to be understood	43
Views on EU membership: The fact that Finland takes over the EU presidency in 1999 will bring the Union closer to Finns	48
Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland's EU membership	51
Views on the EMU: A step towards federation, 'United States of Europe'	31
Views on the EMU: A Union that Finland should join in the first wave	32
Views on the EMU: An unrealistic project, difficult to implement	32
Views on the EMU: As much a political as an economic project	31
Views on the EMU: EMU's impact exaggerated by the media, will not affect the daily life of ordinary citizens	36
Views on the EMU: Finland will become more closely connected to the Western Europe ...	38
Views on the EMU: It would change the Finnish society more than EU membership	36
Views on the EMU: It would strengthen Finland's security	35
Views on the EMU: It would weaken the status of trade unions	37
Views on the EMU: Necessary to strengthen the competitiveness of Europe	30
Views on the EMU: Provides a chance to improve employment in Finland	34
Views on the EMU: The disappearance of the national currency will weaken Finnish national identity	41
Views on the EMU: Very risky for Finland	34
Views on the EMU: Whether Finland is to join the EMU or not is a major choice and should be decided with the help of a referendum	35
Views on the EMU: Will be difficult to learn to use the new currency	41
Views on the EMU: Will be implemented in the beginning of 1999, on the agreed schedule	30
Views on the EMU: Will deepen the intergovernmental co-operation and make it more efficient	38

3. Indexes

Views on the EMU: Will divide Europe into two unequal parts (to those who are inside and those who are outside the EMU)	33
Views on the EMU: Will enable Finland to make important economic reforms	33
Views on the EMU: Will facilitate trade, investment, currency flows, travelling etc.	37
Views on the EMU: Will help to maintain peace in Europe	39
Views on the EMU: Will increase socioeconomic disparity	40
Views on the EMU: Will reduce Finland's sovereignty and diminish its economic decision power considerably	40
Views on the EMU: Will speed European economic growth	33
Views on the EMU: Will weaken Germany's economical leadership in Europe	39
What is your present attitude towards Finland's EU membership?	72
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of farmers	17
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of salaried employees	17
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Circumstances of young people	18
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Crime rate	16
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Disparities in the well-being of different regions/population groups	12
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Education environment	10
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Employment	8
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Enterprises	9
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Environmental protection	11
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Exports and foreign trade	9
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland as a foreign investment target	15
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's image abroad	14
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's military security	13
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's relations with Russia	14
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finland's spiritual and intellectual climate	16
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Finnish self-respect/self-image/national identity	15
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Gender equality /status of women	12
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Living conditions in Finland on the whole	7
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: National sovereignty	13

What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Nordic cooperation	14
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Social security	11
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Standard of living and material well-being	7
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The prices of food products ...	10
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The quality of food products ..	10
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: The state/development of national economy	8
What kind of impact Finland's EU membership has had on: Your own circumstances and life in general	18

Appendix A

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1053 EVAn EU-asennetutkimus 1997

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1053 Finnish EU Attitudes 1997

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>



Pyydämme Teitä vastaamaan jokaiseen kysymykseen rengastamalla sen vaihtoehdon, joka vastaa Teidän henkilökohtaista mielipidettänne.

1. Kuinka kiinnostunut Te nykyisin olette/kuinka aktiivisesti seuraatte Suomen EU-jäsenyyteen liittyviä asioita?

- 1 Erittäin kiinnostunut, seuraan aktiivisesti
- 2 Melko kiinnostunut, seuraan jonkin verran
- 3 Vain vähän kiinnostunut, en juuri seuraa
- 4 En lainkaan kiinnostunut, en seuraa lainkaan
- 5 En osaa sanoa

2. Entä kuinka hyvin katsotte tuntevanne kyseisiä asioita? Oletteko niistä mielestänne perillä...

- 1 Erittäin hyvin
- 2 Melko hyvin
- 3 Melko huonosti
- 4 Erittäin huonosti
- 5 En osaa sanoa

3. Millainen vaikutus EU-jäsenyydellä on nähdäksenne tähän mennessä ollut seuraaviin asioihin maassamme?

	Myönteinen vaikutus	Ei ollenai- naista vaikutusta	Kielteinen vaikutus	En osaa sanoa
Elinolot maassamme kokonaisuutena	1	2	3	4
Elintaso ja aineellinen hyvinvointi	1	2	3	4
Kansantalouden tila/kehitys	1	2	3	4
Työllisyystilanne	1	2	3	4
Yritysten tilanne	1	2	3	4
Vienti ja ulkomaankauppa	1	2	3	4
Elintarvikkeiden hintataso	1	2	3	4
Elintarvikkeiden laatutaso	1	2	3	4
Koulutusolot	1	2	3	4
Sosiaaliturva	1	2	3	4
Ympäristönsuojelu	1	2	3	4
Hyvinvointierot väestöryhmien/maan eri alueiden välillä	1	2	3	4
Sukupuolten tasa-arvo/naisten asema	1	2	3	4
Kansallinen itsemääräämisoikeus	1	2	3	4
Suomen turvallisuuspoliittinen asema	1	2	3	4
Suomen suhteet Venäjään	1	2	3	4
Pohjoismainen yhteistyö	1	2	3	4
Suomi-kuva ulkomailla, maamme imago	1	2	3	4
Suomi pääomien sijoituskohteena	1	2	3	4
Suomalaisten itsetunto/minäkuva/identiteetti	1	2	3	4
Maan henkinen ilmapiiri	1	2	3	4
Rikollisuuden määrä	1	2	3	4
Palkansaajaväestön asema	1	2	3	4
Maatalousväestön asema	1	2	3	4
Nuorten asema	1	2	3	4
Oma asemanne ja elämäne	1	2	3	4

4. Miten suhtaudutte Suomen EMU-jäsenyyteen? Tulisiko maamme liittyä EMUun (Euroopan talous- ja rahaliitto) ensimmäisten maiden joukossa? Entä siinä tapauksessa että useimmat EU-maat liittyvät?

	Kyllä, tulisi liittyä	Ei tulisi liittyä	En osaa sanoa
Ensimmäisten joukossa	1	2	3
Mikäli useimmat EU-maat liittyvät	1	2	3

5. Kuinka varma mielipide/selvä kanta (millainen se sitten onkin) Teillä on EMU-kysymyksessä?

- 1 Täysin selvä kanta
- 2 Melko selvä kanta
- 3 Ei kovin selvää kantaa
- 4 Olen hyvin epävarma kannastani
- 5 En osaa sanoa

6. Suomi on EUn puheenjohtajamaa vuoden 1999 loppupuolen. Suomalaiset ovat puolen vuoden ajan puheenjohtajina unionin toimielimissä ja Suomessakin on yli 200 kokousta ainakin yhden huippukokouksen lisäksi. Mitä Suomen ja suomalaisten Teidän mielestänne tulisi tehdä puheenjohtajuuskauden aikana?

	Erittäin tärkeä	Melko tärkeä	Vaikea sanoa	Ei kovin tärkeä	Ei lainkaan tärkeä
Lisätä maamme tuntemusta unionin muissa jäsenmaissa	1	2	3	4	5
Keskittyä pääasiassa kansallisten etujen ajamiseen	1	2	3	4	5
Keskittyä pääasiassa koko unionia koskeviin kysymyksiin	1	2	3	4	5
Toimia ennen muuta tasapuolisena kokousisäntänä	1	2	3	4	5
Edistää vientiämme kaikin mahdollisin keinoin	1	2	3	4	5
Jarruttaa Suomelle vähemmän tärkeitä hankkeita	1	2	3	4	5
Edistää EUn ja Venäjän välisiä suhteita	1	2	3	4	5
Edistää sukupuolten välistä tasa-arvoa unionissa	1	2	3	4	5
Edistää EUn yhteisen ulko- ja turvallisuuspolitiikan luomista	1	2	3	4	5
Edistää EUn yhteisen työllisyyspolitiikan luomista	1	2	3	4	5
Vahvistaa kansallisten kulttuurien säilymistä elinvoimaisina	1	2	3	4	5
Tehostaa kansainvälisen rikollisuuden torjuntaa	1	2	3	4	5
Osoittaa miten hyvin metsiämme ja muuta ympäristöämme hoidetaan	1	2	3	4	5
Edistää EUn yhteistä sosiaalipolitiikkaa pohjoismaiden mallin suuntaan	1	2	3	4	5
Houkutellessa ulkomaalaisia yrityksiä Suomeen	1	2	3	4	5
Rakentaa pitkäjänteistä yhteistyökykyisen maan mielikuvaa	1	2	3	4	5
Toimia EUn laajentumisen hyväksi	1	2	3	4	5
Ajaa erityisesti Viron pääsyä EUn jäseneksi	1	2	3	4	5
Vähentää virkavaltaisuutta ja hallinnon monimutkaisuutta EUssa	1	2	3	4	5
Edistää avoimuutta EUn toiminnassa	1	2	3	4	5

7. Eri ihmisillä on erilaisia käsityksiä, odotuksia ja mielikuvia EMUsta (Euroopan talous- ja rahaliitto, jonka toteutuminen merkitsisi mm. yhteiseen rahaan siirtymistä). Miten seuraavat luonnehdinnat vastaavat Teidän henkilökohtaisia EMU-näkemyksiänne?

	Vastaa omaa näkemystä...				
	Pitkälti/ täysin	Jossain määrin	Vaikea sanoa	Ei juurikaan	Ei lainkaan
Välttämätön Euroopan kilpailukykyyn vahvistamiseksi maailmassa	1	2	3	4	5
Toteutuu sovitussa aikataulussa vuoden 1999 alussa	1	2	3	4	5
Vähintään yhtä paljon poliittinen kuin taloudellinen hanke	1	2	3	4	5
Askel kohti liittovaltiota, 'Euroopan Yhdysvaltoja'	1	2	3	4	5
Asia, jossa Suomen tulisi olla mukana ensimmäisten joukossa	1	2	3	4	5
Epärealistinen, vaikeasti toteutettava hanke	1	2	3	4	5
Auttaa Suomea toteuttamaan tärkeitä talouden rakenneuudistuksia	1	2	3	4	5
Jakaa Euroopan maat kahteen eriarvoiseen kastiin (EMUn sisä- ja ulkopuolisiin)	1	2	3	4	5
Vauhdittaa Euroopan talouskasvua	1	2	3	4	5
Suuria riskejä Suomelle sisältävä hanke	1	2	3	4	5
Luo edellytyksiä työllisyyden parantumiselle maassamme	1	2	3	4	5
Niin tärkeä valinta, että Suomen mukaanmeno tulisi ratkaista kansanäänestyksellä	1	2	3	4	5
Vahvistaisi Suomen turvallisuuspoliittista asemaa	1	2	3	4	5
Julkisuudessa paisuteltu, ei vaikuttaisi kansalaisten arkielämään juuri mitenkään	1	2	3	4	5
Toteutuessaan muuttaisi suomalaista yhteiskuntaa enemmän kuin EU-jäsenyys	1	2	3	4	5
Heikentää ammattiyhdistysliikkeen asemaa	1	2	3	4	5
Helpottaa kaupankäyntiä, investointeja, valuuttaliikkeitä, matkustusta yms.	1	2	3	4	5
Tiivistää ja tehostaa merkittävästi hallitusten välistä yhteistyötä	1	2	3	4	5
Liittää Suomen huomattavasti nykyistä tiiviimmin Länsi-Eurooppaan	1	2	3	4	5
Heikentää Saksan taloudellista johtotasemaa Euroopassa	1	2	3	4	5
Lujittaa rauhan säilymistä Euroopassa	1	2	3	4	5
Kaventaa Suomen itsenäisyyttä vieden maaltamme paljon taloudellista päätösvaltaa	1	2	3	4	5
Lisää taloudellis-sosiaalista eriarvoisuutta/kärjistää jakoa A- ja B-kansalaisiin	1	2	3	4	5
Heikentää suomalaisten kansallistuntoa oman rahan poistumisen myötä	1	2	3	4	5
Uuden (euro)rahan käyttöönotto on vaikeata oppia	1	2	3	4	5

8. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista maamme EU-jäsenyyttä koskevista väitteistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Vaikea sanoa	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä
Epävakaan Venäjän naapurina Suomen on turvallisempaa olla EUn jäsen kuin EUn ulkopuolella	1	2	3	4	5
Mikäli Suomi liittyy EMUun, maassamme tulee ehdottomasti kerätä ns. puskurirahastoja talouden suhdannevaihteluiden tasaamiseksi	1	2	3	4	5
EU on liian monimutkainen ja kaukainen, jotta sitä voisi ymmärtää	1	2	3	4	5
EUn jäsenmaksut ovat aivan liian korkeat jäsenyydestä saataviin etuihin nähden	1	2	3	4	5
Vaikka EUlla onkin omat pulmansa, se on joka tapauksessa ainoa voima joka voi ohjata ja hallita Euroopan kehitystä	1	2	3	4	5
EU-maiden johtajat toimivat Amsterdamin huippukokouksessa viisasti muuttaessaan unionia mahdollisimman vähän	1	2	3	4	5
Suomi on saanut hyvin äänensä kuuluviin EUn päätöksenteossa	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen tulee pysyttäytyä NATOn ulkopuolella siinäkin tapauksessa, että Ruotsi ja Baltian maat liittyisivät puolustusliittoon	1	2	3	4	5
EUn tulisi toimia tehokkaammin eurooppalaisen kilpailukykyyn parantamiseksi, sillä muuten uudet työpaikat syntyvät pääasiassa Amerikkaan ja Aasiaan	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen sillanpääasema EUn ja Venäjän/Pietarin alueen välisessä kaupassa ja yhteistyössä hyödyttää maamme tulevaisuudessa merkittävästi	1	2	3	4	5
Viron EU-jäsenyys heikentäisi Suomen kilpailuasemaa ja veisi maastamme työpaikkoja	1	2	3	4	5
EU on jo niin arkinen asia, ettei se herätä intohimoja	1	2	3	4	5
On oikeastaan yhdentekevää, säädetäänkö lakejamme eduskunnassa vaiko EUssa, kunhan ne vain ovat oikeudenmukaisia	1	2	3	4	5
Kun Suomesta tulee vuorollaan EUn puheenjohtajamaa vuonna 1999, se tuo unionin lähemmäksi suomalaisia	1	2	3	4	5
On tärkeää, että tasavallan presidentti osallistuu tulevaisuudessakin Suomen EU-politiikan luomiseen nykyiseen tapaan	1	2	3	4	5
EUn on osallistuttava Itä- ja Keski-Euroopan tulevien jäsenmaiden ympäristöongelmien hoitoon, vaikka se tulisi kalliiksi	1	2	3	4	5
EUn rahoja olisi käytettävä mieluummin Suomen syrjäseutujen kuin tulevien jäsenmaiden tukemiseen	1	2	3	4	5
EU-jäsenyys määrittää Suomen kansainvälisen aseman kokonaan uudella tavalla	1	2	3	4	5
Maamme EU-jäsenyyteen liittyvistä asioista on jokaisen saatavilla riittävästi luotettavaa tietoa	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen pitäisi erota EUsta	1	2	3	4	5
Olen tyytyväinen maamme nykyisen hallituksen toimintaan EU-asioissa	1	2	3	4	5
Olen tyytyväinen tasavallan presidentin toimintaan EU-asioissa	1	2	3	4	5
Olen tyytyväinen eduskunnan toimintaan EU-asioissa	1	2	3	4	5
EU-jäsenyyden myötä tapahtunut maamme rajojen avaaminen on murentanut suomalaisten moraalista selkärankaa	1	2	3	4	5
EUn jäsenenä maamme liittyy ennen pitkää myös jonkin sotilasliiton (Nato, WEU) jäseneksi	1	2	3	4	5
EMU:n ulkopuolelle jääminen olisi Suomelle kohtalokasta, mikäli useat muut EU-maat menevät rahaliittoon	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen on kaikissa oloissa kyettävä vastaamaan itse turvallisuudestaan, muista ei ole siinä apua	1	2	3	4	5

9. Kysymys EUn laajentumisesta Itä- ja Keski-Eurooppaan/Baltiaan on yksi unionin suurimmista haasteista. Jos oletetaan, että (joistakin) näistä maista tulee lähivuosina EUn jäseniä, millaisia seurauksia arvioitte sillä olevan?

	Toden- näköistä	Vaikea sanoa	Epätoden- näköistä
EUn merkitys maailmantaloudessa kasvaa	1	2	3
Suomen ja muiden nykyisten jäsenmaiden jäsenmaksut kasvavat huomattavasti	1	2	3
On kustannuksistaan huolimatta poliittisesti ja moraalisesti välttämätöntä	1	2	3
Estää kriisien/uusien Jugoslavian tapaisten sisällissotien syntymisen Euroopassa	1	2	3
Johtaa Venäjän eristymiseen muusta Euroopasta	1	2	3
Estää Itä-Euroopan vajoamisen (yltiö)kansallismielisyyteen/jälkikommunismiin	1	2	3
Monimutkaistaa entisestään EUn päätöksentekojärjestelmää	1	2	3
Lisää talouskasvua ja vaurautta läpi Euroopan	1	2	3
Lujittaa EUn puolustusta	1	2	3
Maatalouden ylituotanto paisuu EUssa entisestään	1	2	3
Suomen maatalous menettää kilpailukykyä	1	2	3
Muuttaa EUn luonteen todelliseksi Euroopan unioniksi nykyisen 'Länsi-Eur. unionin' sijasta	1	2	3
Itäisistä jäsenmaista tulee riippa, joka estää/jarruttaa läntisten kehitystä	1	2	3
Rasittaa unionin taloutta vain lyhyen siirtymävaiheen ajan, tarjoaa suuria etuja myöhemmin	1	2	3
Tuo uutta liikkuvaa työvoimaa EUn työmarkkinoille, myös Suomeen	1	2	3
Laajentuminen vaikeuttaa/hidastaa integraation tiivistämistä	1	2	3
Rikastuttaa EUta kulttuurisesti	1	2	3

10. EMU-keskustelussa on esitetty erilaisia käsityksiä siitä, mitä Suomen mukaanmeno maallemme ja sen eri kansalaisryhmille merkitsee. Kuinka luotettavina pidätte seuraavia tahoja maamme EMU-jäsenyyttä koskevan tiedon lähteinä?

	Varsin luotet- tava	Melko luotet- tava	Vaikea sanoa	Melko epäluo- tettava	Varsin epäluo- tettava
Televisio ja radio	1	2	3	4	5
Sanomalehdistö	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen omat virkamiehet	1	2	3	4	5
EUn johtohenkilöt ja virkamiehet	1	2	3	4	5
Tasavallan presidentti	1	2	3	4	5
Pääministeri	1	2	3	4	5
Hallituksen sosialidemokraattiset ministerit	1	2	3	4	5
Hallituksen kokoomuslaiset ministerit	1	2	3	4	5
Pääoppositiopuolue KESKn johto	1	2	3	4	5
Kansanedustajat yleensä	1	2	3	4	5
Ay-liike, ammattijärjestöt	1	2	3	4	5
Elinkeinoelämän järjestöt	1	2	3	4	5
Yritysjohtajat	1	2	3	4	5
Maataloustuottajat	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen Pankki	1	2	3	4	5
Taloudelliset tutkimuslaitokset	1	2	3	4	5
Asiaa selvittänyt professorityöryhmä	1	2	3	4	5
EU-kriittiset kansalaisjärjestöt	1	2	3	4	5
Ystävät, sukulaiset, työtoverit	1	2	3	4	5

11. Miten Te suhtaudutte nykyisin maamme EU-jäsenyyteen?

- 1 Erittäin myönteisesti
- 2 Melko myönteisesti
- 3 Neutraalisti/ei myönt. eikä kielt.
- 4 Melko kielteisesti
- 5 Erittäin kielteisesti
- 6 En osaa sanoa

12. Kuinka todennäköisenä pidätte sitä että Suomi liittyy EMUun ensimmäisten joukossa?

- 1 Toteutuu varmasti
- 2 Hyvin todennäköisesti
- 3 Melko todennäköisesti
- 4 Ei kovin todennäköisesti
- 5 Hyvin epätodennäköisesti
- 6 Ei varmasti toteudu
- 7 En osaa sanoa

VASTAAJIEN TAUSTATIEDOT AINEISTON TILASTOLLISTA RYHMITTELYÄ VARTEN

Sukupuoli

- 1 Mies
- 2 Nainen

Ikäryhmä

- 1 18 - 25 vuotta
- 2 26 - 35 vuotta
- 3 36 - 45 vuotta
- 4 46 - 55 vuotta
- 5 56 - 65 vuotta
- 6 Yli 65 vuotta

Asuinkunnan koko

- 1 Alle 4 000 asukasta
- 2 4 000 - 8 000 asukasta
- 3 8 000 - 30 000 asukasta
- 4 30 000 - 80 000 asukasta
- 5 Yli 80 000 asukasta

Lääni, jossa asutte (vanhan läänijaon mukaan)

- 1 Uudenmaan lääni
- 2 Turun ja Porin lääni
- 3 Hämeen lääni
- 4 Kymen lääni
- 5 Mikkelin lääni
- 6 Pohjois-Karjalan lääni
- 7 Kuopion lääni
- 8 Keski-Suomen lääni
- 9 Vaasan lääni
- 10 Oulun lääni
- 11 Lapin lääni

Millainen peruskoulutus Teillä on?

- 1 Kansakoulu
- 2 Keski- tai peruskoulu
- 3 Ylioppilastutkinto

Millainen ammatillinen koulutus Teillä on?

- 1 Ei ammatillista koulutusta
- 2 Ammattikurssi, muu lyhyt ammattikoulutus
- 3 Ammattikoulu, kauppakoulu tai vastaava
- 4 Opistotasoinen ammattikoulutus
- 5 Yliopisto- tai korkeakoulututkinto

Ammattiryhmä, johon katsotte lähinnä kuuluvanne

- 1 Johtavassa asemassa toisen palveluksessa
- 2 Ylempi toimihenkilö
- 3 Alempi toimihenkilö
- 4 Työntekijä
- 5 Yrittäjä tai yksityinen ammatinharjoittaja
- 6 Maatalousyrittäjä
- 7 Opiskelija
- 8 Eläkeläinen
- 9 Kotiäiti/koti-isä
- 10 Työtön
- 11 Muu

Toimiala, jolla työskentelette

- 1 Maa- ja metsätalous
- 2 Teollisuus ja rakennustoiminta
- 3 Yksityiset palvelut
- 4 Julkiset palvelut
- 5 En ole mukana työelämässä

Kuulutteko johonkin ammatilliseen keskusjärjestöön?

- 1 En kuulu
- 2 Kyllä, ammatillinen keskusjärjestöni on
 - A) SAK
 - B) STTK
 - C) Akava
 - D) MTK

Jos eduskuntavaalit pidettäisiin nyt, minkä puolueen ehdokasta äänestäisitte?

- 1 KESK
- 2 KOK
- 3 RKP
- 4 SDP
- 5 SKL
- 6 Perussuomalaiset
- 7 Vasemmistoliitto
- 8 Vihreät
- 9 Nuorsuomalaiset
- 10 Jokin muu
- 11 En äänestäisi lainkaan
- 12 En osaa sanoa
- 13 En halua sanoa

KOMMENTTEJA?

Kaikki mielipiteet EU-kysymyksestä tai tästä tutkimuksesta ovat tervetulleita ja arvokkaita.

KIITOKSET VAIVANNÄÖSTÄ!

Palauttakaa tämä lomake oheisessa kirjekuoressa.

