

FSD1058

Finnish EU Attitudes Autumn 1993

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish EU Attitudes Autumn 1993 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (20.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1058 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA) & Yhdyskuntatutkimus:
Finnish EU Attitudes Autumn 1993 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-20). Finnish
Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1058>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	4
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	83
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	83
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	91
A	Questionnaire in Finnish	99

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish EU Attitudes Autumn 1993

Titles and data version in Finnish: EVAn EU-asennetutkimus syksy 1993

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (20.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA)
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA)
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Date of deposit

1.6.2001

Keywords

attitudes; economic integration; European Economic Community; European integration; European Union; Finland; membership

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: government, political systems and organisations; international politics and organisations; political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish EU Attitudes

The research series, launched by the Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA) in 1992, studies Finnish public opinion on EU integration. Views are probed on Finland's EU membership, the future and enlargement of the EU, European economic and fiscal policy, EMU, the European Parliament, Finnish security policy, EU subsidies and European integration as a whole. In addition, the surveys canvass citizen satisfaction with the availability of EU-related information, as well as with the way the Finnish Government and Parliament have handled EU issues.

Starting from 2001, the surveys also cover opinions on international issues in general. Background information includes age, gender, education, economic activity, occupational group and trade union membership. Respondents have been asked which party they would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at the time of the study. The surveys are commissioned by EVA, and usually carried out by Yhdyskuntatutkimus.

Abstract

The survey charted Finnish expectations of what kind of impact the EU membership would have on Finland. It also contains the citizens' estimates of both Finnish influence as a member of the Union and issues Finland should be able to influence in the European Union. The abbreviation EC, European Community, was still used in this data because the Maastricht Treaty was not yet in force when the data were compiled.

The respondents were first asked what their attitude towards Finland's potential membership in the EU was, and to what extent their attitude was dependent on the so-called edge conditions. Familiarity with Finnish EU membership and European integration issues was surveyed. The respondents were asked whether they thought they would vote in the referendum on membership, and whether Finland was likely to join the EU. In addition, the respondents were asked to estimate what kind of impact the EU membership would have on living conditions in Finland, standard of living, national economy, export, business life, interest level, wage level, price and

quality of food, arts and culture, education, social security, health services, environment, equality, security policy, democracy, crime, employment, the position of some population groups, etc.

The respondents were also asked to what extent they agreed with a number of statements relating to the potential EU membership, its impact on Finland, the need for integration, and who should decide about the membership and when. The survey carried a number of characterisations of the EU, and the respondents were asked whether the characterisations were consistent with their own views (e.g. EU consolidates peace in Europe, takes environmental protection seriously). The respondents were asked how important it was to them that Finland attained certain negotiation goals (e.g. in agricultural policy, hunting and fishing issues) in the membership negotiations.

Background variables included the respondent's gender, age group, size of municipality of residence, province of residence, vocational education, industry of employment, occupational group, type of employer, trade union membership, and which party R would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at that time.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finnish population aged 18-70 years, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 27.10.1993 – 9.12.1993

Data collector(s): Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Data producer(s): Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies

Mode of data collection: Self-administered questionnaire: Paper

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 1993

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Response rate: 48,3%

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 159 variables and 1912 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Simple random

The number of questionnaires posted was 4000, of which 1932 were returned. The randomly drawn sample was representative of the Finnish population aged 18 - 70 in terms of major demographic, social and regional factors.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

The data do not include answers to the open-ended question asking for comments.

Related publications

Haikonen, Jyrki & Kiljunen, Pentti (2003). Mitä mieltä, suomalainen? EVAn asennetutkimuksen kertomaa vuosilta 1984-2003. Helsinki: Taloustieto. EVA-raportti.

Suomalaisten EY-kannanotot: syksy 1993 (1993). Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta (EVA).

Tuokko, Katja (2012) From Left to West: The position of Vasemmistoliitto on European integration in 1990 - 1998. Poliittisen historian pro gradu -tutkielma. Helsingin yliopisto. hdl:10138/37140

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1058?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1912
minimum	1058.00
maximum	1058.00
mean	1058.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1912
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id**Question***FSD case id***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1912
minimum	1.00
maximum	1912.00
mean	956.50
standard deviation	552.09

[Q1] What is your attitude towards Finland's EC membership?**Question***What is your attitude towards Finland's EC membership?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	6	0.3	–
I'm in favour of Finland's EC membership	1	744	38.9	39.0
I'm against Finland's EC membership	2	782	40.9	41.0
Cannot say	3	380	19.9	19.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q2] How firm a view do you have on the issue?**Question***How firm a view do you have on the issue?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	16	0.8	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very firm a view	1	679	35.5	35.8
Quite firm a view	2	688	36.0	36.3
Not a very firm view	3	350	18.3	18.5
I'm very uncertain about my view	4	121	6.3	6.4
Cannot say	5	58	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q3] To what extent does your attitude towards the EC membership depend on the outcome for certain negotiation goals (i.e. future of Finland's neutrality, transition periods for agriculture)?

Question

To what extent does your attitude towards the EC membership depend on the outcome for certain negotiation goals (i.e. future of Finland's neutrality, transition periods for agriculture)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	0.9	–
Totally dependent	1	350	18.3	18.5
Somewhat dependent	2	857	44.8	45.2
Not dependent at all	3	562	29.4	29.7
Cannot say	4	125	6.5	6.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q4] Do you believe that Finland is able to achieve this type of dispensations or transition periods?

Question

Do you believe that Finland is able to achieve this type of dispensations or transition periods?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	16	0.8	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finland gets notable dispensations/long transition periods	1	76	4.0	4.0
Finland gets minor dispensations/short transition periods	2	1277	66.8	67.4
Finland gets no dispensations, EC dictates the terms	3	414	21.7	21.8
Cannot say	4	129	6.7	6.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q5] How interested are you personally in issues concerning the Finnish EU membership and the development of the European integration?

Question

How interested are you personally in issues concerning the Finnish EU membership and the development of the European integration?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	1.2	–
Very interested, follow closely	1	472	24.7	25.0
Somewhat interested, follow to some extent	2	1010	52.8	53.5
Only remotely interested, do not follow much	3	333	17.4	17.6
Not at all interested, do not follow at all	4	47	2.5	2.5
Cannot say	5	27	1.4	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q6] How well informed do you consider yourself to be on these issues? How much do you think you know about them?

Question

How well informed do you consider yourself to be on these issues? How much do you think you know about them?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	1.6	–
A lot	1	69	3.6	3.7
A fair amount	2	868	45.4	46.1
Only a little	3	723	37.8	38.4
Very little	4	163	8.5	8.7
Cannot say	5	58	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q7] How certain are you that you will vote in the referendum on Finland's EU membership?

Question

How certain are you that you will vote in the referendum on Finland's EU membership?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	0.9	–
I will certainly vote	1	1218	63.7	64.3
I am fairly certain I will vote	2	405	21.2	21.4
Perhaps I will vote, perhaps not	3	184	9.6	9.7
I am fairly certain I will not vote	4	44	2.3	2.3
I will certainly not vote	5	9	0.5	0.5
Cannot say	6	34	1.8	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q8] In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland joins the EU within the next few years?

Question

In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland joins the EU within the next few years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	1.2	–
Will definitely join the EU	1	108	5.6	5.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very likely	2	593	31.0	31.4
Fairly likely	3	664	34.7	35.2
Not very likely	4	243	12.7	12.9
Not at all likely	5	85	4.4	4.5
Will definitely not join the EU	6	70	3.7	3.7
Cannot say	7	126	6.6	6.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q9_1] What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common foreign policy of the member states

Question

What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common foreign policy of the member states

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	72	3.8	–
Very positive	1	117	6.1	6.4
Fairly positive	2	628	32.8	34.1
Fairly negative	3	554	29.0	30.1
Very negative	4	342	17.9	18.6
Cannot say	5	199	10.4	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q9_2] What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common security and defence policy

Question

What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common security and defence policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	66	3.5	–
Very positive	1	286	15.0	15.5
Fairly positive	2	602	31.5	32.6
Fairly negative	3	420	22.0	22.8
Very negative	4	387	20.2	21.0
Cannot say	5	151	7.9	8.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q9_3] What is you attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common economic policy of the member states

Question

What is you attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common economic policy of the member states

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	81	4.2	–
Very positive	1	215	11.2	11.7
Fairly positive	2	643	33.6	35.1
Fairly negative	3	477	24.9	26.1
Very negative	4	318	16.6	17.4
Cannot say	5	178	9.3	9.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q9_4] What is you attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Single currency of the member states (ecu)

Question

What is you attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Single currency of the member states (ecu)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	81	4.2	–
Very positive	1	227	11.9	12.4
Fairly positive	2	519	27.1	28.3
Fairly negative	3	416	21.8	22.7
Very negative	4	473	24.7	25.8
Cannot say	5	196	10.3	10.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q9_5] What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common EC nationality (in addition to own nationality)

Question

What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common EC nationality (in addition to own nationality)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	68	3.6	–
Very positive	1	276	14.4	15.0
Fairly positive	2	506	26.5	27.4
Fairly negative	3	374	19.6	20.3
Very negative	4	499	26.1	27.1
Cannot say	5	189	9.9	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_1] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: General living conditions in Finland

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: General living conditions in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	159	8.3	–
Positive effect	1	358	18.7	20.4
No significant effect	2	715	37.4	40.8
Negative effect	3	505	26.4	28.8
Cannot say	4	175	9.2	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_2] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on:
Standard of living and material welfare**

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Standard of living and material welfare

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	112	5.9	–
Positive effect	1	277	14.5	15.4
No significant effect	2	878	45.9	48.8
Negative effect	3	478	25.0	26.6
Cannot say	4	167	8.7	9.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_3] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on:
Steady development of national economy**

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Steady development of national economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	129	6.7	–
Positive effect	1	450	23.5	25.2
No significant effect	2	688	36.0	38.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Negative effect	3	392	20.5	22.0
Cannot say	4	253	13.2	14.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_4] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Employment

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	99	5.2	–
Positive effect	1	308	16.1	17.0
No significant effect	2	675	35.3	37.2
Negative effect	3	662	34.6	36.5
Cannot say	4	168	8.8	9.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_5] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Export and foreign trade

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Export and foreign trade

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	99	5.2	–
Positive effect	1	1050	54.9	57.9
No significant effect	2	508	26.6	28.0
Negative effect	3	125	6.5	6.9
Cannot say	4	130	6.8	7.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1912	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_6] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on:
Vigour of business life in general**

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Vigour of business life in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	100	5.2	–
Positive effect	1	870	45.5	48.0
No significant effect	2	582	30.4	32.1
Negative effect	3	161	8.4	8.9
Cannot say	4	199	10.4	11.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_7] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on:
Competition in business life**

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Competition in business life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	100	5.2	–
Positive effect	1	914	47.8	50.4
No significant effect	2	425	22.2	23.5
Negative effect	3	275	14.4	15.2
Cannot say	4	198	10.4	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_8] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Interest level

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Interest level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	99	5.2	–
Positive effect	1	559	29.2	30.8
No significant effect	2	683	35.7	37.7
Negative effect	3	174	9.1	9.6
Cannot say	4	397	20.8	21.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_9] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Level of taxation

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Level of taxation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	102	5.3	–
Positive effect	1	416	21.8	23.0
No significant effect	2	748	39.1	41.3
Negative effect	3	326	17.1	18.0
Cannot say	4	320	16.7	17.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_10] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Wage level

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Wage level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	94	4.9	–
Positive effect	1	159	8.3	8.7
No significant effect	2	733	38.3	40.3
Negative effect	3	723	37.8	39.8
Cannot say	4	203	10.6	11.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_11] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on:
Price level in general**

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Price level in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	108	5.6	–
Positive effect	1	838	43.8	46.5
No significant effect	2	687	35.9	38.1
Negative effect	3	164	8.6	9.1
Cannot say	4	115	6.0	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_12] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on:
Food prices**

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Food prices

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	104	5.4	–
Positive effect	1	979	51.2	54.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
No significant effect	2	609	31.9	33.7
Negative effect	3	129	6.7	7.1
Cannot say	4	91	4.8	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_13] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Quality of food

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Quality of food

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	94	4.9	–
Positive effect	1	198	10.4	10.9
No significant effect	2	628	32.8	34.5
Negative effect	3	890	46.5	49.0
Cannot say	4	102	5.3	5.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_14] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Arts and culture

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Arts and culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	91	4.8	–
Positive effect	1	522	27.3	28.7
No significant effect	2	791	41.4	43.4
Negative effect	3	275	14.4	15.1
Cannot say	4	233	12.2	12.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_15] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Education

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	101	5.3	–
Positive effect	1	491	25.7	27.1
No significant effect	2	885	46.3	48.9
Negative effect	3	270	14.1	14.9
Cannot say	4	165	8.6	9.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_16] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Science and research activities

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Science and research activities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	112	5.9	–
Positive effect	1	855	44.7	47.5
No significant effect	2	605	31.6	33.6
Negative effect	3	96	5.0	5.3
Cannot say	4	244	12.8	13.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_17] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Social security

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	93	4.9	–
Positive effect	1	52	2.7	2.9
No significant effect	2	490	25.6	26.9
Negative effect	3	1120	58.6	61.6
Cannot say	4	157	8.2	8.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_18] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Public health services

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Public health services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	97	5.1	–
Positive effect	1	57	3.0	3.1
No significant effect	2	721	37.7	39.7
Negative effect	3	884	46.2	48.7
Cannot say	4	153	8.0	8.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_19] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Work environment and working conditions

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Work environment and working conditions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	98	5.1	–
Positive effect	1	131	6.9	7.2
No significant effect	2	978	51.2	53.9
Negative effect	3	518	27.1	28.6
Cannot say	4	187	9.8	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_20] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Environmental protection**Question**

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Environmental protection

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	92	4.8	–
Positive effect	1	512	26.8	28.1
No significant effect	2	698	36.5	38.4
Negative effect	3	435	22.8	23.9
Cannot say	4	175	9.2	9.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_21] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Disparity between population groups in the standard of living**Question**

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Disparity between population groups in the standard of living

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	96	5.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Positive effect	1	100	5.2	5.5
No significant effect	2	653	34.2	36.0
Negative effect	3	869	45.4	47.9
Cannot say	4	194	10.1	10.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_22] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Disparity between Finnish regions in the standard of living

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Disparity between Finnish regions in the standard of living

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	96	5.0	–
Positive effect	1	131	6.9	7.2
No significant effect	2	660	34.5	36.3
Negative effect	3	805	42.1	44.3
Cannot say	4	220	11.5	12.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_23] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Citizens' legal protection

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Citizens' legal protection

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	99	5.2	–
Positive effect	1	216	11.3	11.9
No significant effect	2	825	43.1	45.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Negative effect	3	547	28.6	30.2
Cannot say	4	225	11.8	12.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_24] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Gender equality

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Gender equality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	95	5.0	–
Positive effect	1	163	8.5	9.0
No significant effect	2	1033	54.0	56.9
Negative effect	3	435	22.8	23.9
Cannot say	4	186	9.7	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_25] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: National sovereignty

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: National sovereignty

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	91	4.8	–
Positive effect	1	51	2.7	2.8
No significant effect	2	394	20.6	21.6
Negative effect	3	1232	64.4	67.7
Cannot say	4	144	7.5	7.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_26] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finnish security policy

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finnish security policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	93	4.9	–
Positive effect	1	453	23.7	24.9
No significant effect	2	503	26.3	27.7
Negative effect	3	624	32.6	34.3
Cannot say	4	239	12.5	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_27] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finland's relationship to Russia

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finland's relationship to Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	89	4.7	–
Positive effect	1	102	5.3	5.6
No significant effect	2	860	45.0	47.2
Negative effect	3	574	30.0	31.5
Cannot say	4	287	15.0	15.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_28] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Nordic co-operation

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Nordic co-operation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	103	5.4	–
Positive effect	1	452	23.6	25.0
No significant effect	2	922	48.2	51.0
Negative effect	3	260	13.6	14.4
Cannot say	4	175	9.2	9.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_29] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finnish image abroad

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finnish image abroad

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	96	5.0	–
Positive effect	1	771	40.3	42.5
No significant effect	2	697	36.5	38.4
Negative effect	3	163	8.5	9.0
Cannot say	4	185	9.7	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_30] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	91	4.8	–
Positive effect	1	739	38.7	40.6
No significant effect	2	574	30.0	31.5
Negative effect	3	225	11.8	12.4
Cannot say	4	283	14.8	15.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_31] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on:
General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland**

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	95	5.0	–
Positive effect	1	348	18.2	19.2
No significant effect	2	646	33.8	35.6
Negative effect	3	575	30.1	31.6
Cannot say	4	248	13.0	13.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_32] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on:
Public morals in general**

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Public morals in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	105	5.5	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Positive effect	1	158	8.3	8.7
No significant effect	2	717	37.5	39.7
Negative effect	3	672	35.1	37.2
Cannot say	4	260	13.6	14.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_33] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Functioning of democracy

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Functioning of democracy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	103	5.4	–
Positive effect	1	143	7.5	7.9
No significant effect	2	754	39.4	41.7
Negative effect	3	650	34.0	35.9
Cannot say	4	262	13.7	14.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_34] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Citizens' possibilities to influence things

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Citizens' possibilities to influence things

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	107	5.6	–
Positive effect	1	96	5.0	5.3
No significant effect	2	621	32.5	34.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Negative effect	3	939	49.1	52.0
Cannot say	4	149	7.8	8.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_35] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Level of crime

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Level of crime

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	97	5.1	–
Positive effect	1	136	7.1	7.5
No significant effect	2	279	14.6	15.4
Negative effect	3	1288	67.4	71.0
Cannot say	4	112	5.9	6.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_36] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Degree of bureaucracy

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Degree of bureaucracy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	97	5.1	–
Positive effect	1	160	8.4	8.8
No significant effect	2	413	21.6	22.8
Negative effect	3	1012	52.9	55.8
Cannot say	4	230	12.0	12.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_37] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Religious/spiritual life

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Religious/spiritual life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	97	5.1	–
Positive effect	1	120	6.3	6.6
No significant effect	2	1111	58.1	61.2
Negative effect	3	244	12.8	13.4
Cannot say	4	340	17.8	18.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_38] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of managerial, professional and intermediate level employees

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of managerial, professional and intermediate level employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	96	5.0	–
Positive effect	1	251	13.1	13.8
No significant effect	2	993	51.9	54.7
Negative effect	3	311	16.3	17.1
Cannot say	4	261	13.7	14.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_39] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of workers

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of workers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	92	4.8	–
Positive effect	1	179	9.4	9.8
No significant effect	2	785	41.1	43.1
Negative effect	3	674	35.3	37.0
Cannot say	4	182	9.5	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_40] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of farmers

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of farmers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	94	4.9	–
Positive effect	1	58	3.0	3.2
No significant effect	2	290	15.2	16.0
Negative effect	3	1290	67.5	71.0
Cannot say	4	180	9.4	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_41] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of pensioners and the retired

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of pensioners and the retired

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	92	4.8	–
Positive effect	1	42	2.2	2.3
No significant effect	2	717	37.5	39.4
Negative effect	3	836	43.7	45.9
Cannot say	4	225	11.8	12.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_42] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on:
Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs**

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	96	5.0	–
Positive effect	1	705	36.9	38.8
No significant effect	2	577	30.2	31.8
Negative effect	3	318	16.6	17.5
Cannot say	4	216	11.3	11.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_43] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on:
Circumstances of children and families with children**

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of children and families with children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	93	4.9	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Positive effect	1	80	4.2	4.4
No significant effect	2	798	41.7	43.9
Negative effect	3	701	36.7	38.5
Cannot say	4	240	12.6	13.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_44] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of women

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of women

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	91	4.8	–
Positive effect	1	129	6.7	7.1
No significant effect	2	949	49.6	52.1
Negative effect	3	528	27.6	29.0
Cannot say	4	215	11.2	11.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q10_45] What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Your own circumstances and life in general

Question

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Your own circumstances and life in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	87	4.6	–
Positive effect	1	283	14.8	15.5
No significant effect	2	849	44.4	46.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Negative effect	3	491	25.7	26.9
Cannot say	4	202	10.6	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_1] Even though joining the EC may mean tough times for Finland, things would be even more difficult if we stayed outside the EC

Question

Even though joining the EC may mean tough times for Finland, things would be even more difficult if we stayed outside the EC

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	90	4.7	–
Strongly agree	1	388	20.3	21.3
Agree to some extent	2	419	21.9	23.0
Difficult to say	3	431	22.5	23.7
Disagree to some extent	4	370	19.4	20.3
Strongly disagree	5	214	11.2	11.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_2] Instead of the joining the EC, Finland should promote closer co-operation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union

Question

Instead of the joining the EC, Finland should promote closer cooperation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	94	4.9	–
Strongly agree	1	51	2.7	2.8
Agree to some extent	2	162	8.5	8.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	389	20.3	21.4
Disagree to some extent	4	504	26.4	27.7
Strongly disagree	5	712	37.2	39.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_3] There is no point worrying over what will happen to Finnish agriculture in the EC, since even without the EC membership our agriculture must be reformed

Question

There is no point worrying over what will happen to Finnish agriculture in the EC, since even without the EC membership our agriculture must be reformed

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	90	4.7	–
Strongly agree	1	477	24.9	26.2
Agree to some extent	2	602	31.5	33.0
Difficult to say	3	231	12.1	12.7
Disagree to some extent	4	318	16.6	17.5
Strongly disagree	5	194	10.1	10.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_4] Recently, the significance of neutrality has changed so much that strict adherence to it makes no sense any more

Question

Recently, the significance of neutrality has changed so much that strict adherence to it makes no sense any more

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	92	4.8	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	254	13.3	14.0
Agree to some extent	2	456	23.8	25.1
Difficult to say	3	318	16.6	17.5
Disagree to some extent	4	459	24.0	25.2
Strongly disagree	5	333	17.4	18.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_5] The final decision on whether Finland should join the EC should be made after a consultative referendum, according to the majority opinion

Question

The final decision on whether Finland should join the EC should be made after a consultative referendum, according to the majority opinion

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	92	4.8	–
Strongly agree	1	1053	55.1	57.9
Agree to some extent	2	407	21.3	22.4
Difficult to say	3	158	8.3	8.7
Disagree to some extent	4	117	6.1	6.4
Strongly disagree	5	85	4.4	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_6] EC membership would disrupt the peace of Finnish society and destroy the traditional Finnish way of life

Question

EC membership would disrupt the peace of Finnish society and destroy the traditional Finnish way of life

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	88	4.6	–
Strongly agree	1	318	16.6	17.4
Agree to some extent	2	348	18.2	19.1
Difficult to say	3	378	19.8	20.7
Disagree to some extent	4	433	22.6	23.7
Strongly disagree	5	347	18.1	19.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_7] Spokesmen for the EC membership are intentionally spreading false information in order to make citizens favourable to the membership

Question

Spokesmen for the EC membership are intentionally spreading false information in order to make citizens favourable to the membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	97	5.1	–
Strongly agree	1	352	18.4	19.4
Agree to some extent	2	372	19.5	20.5
Difficult to say	3	511	26.7	28.2
Disagree to some extent	4	326	17.1	18.0
Strongly disagree	5	254	13.3	14.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_8] Opposition to the EC membership is based more on emotions than knowledge

Question

Opposition to the EC membership is based more on emotions than knowledge

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	98	5.1	–
Strongly agree	1	343	17.9	18.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree to some extent	2	562	29.4	31.0
Difficult to say	3	366	19.1	20.2
Disagree to some extent	4	325	17.0	17.9
Strongly disagree	5	218	11.4	12.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_9] It actually makes no difference whether the Finnish laws are made in the Finnish Parliament or in the EC as long as they are just and well-considered

Question

It actually makes no difference whether the Finnish laws are made in the Finnish Parliament or in the EC as long as they are just and well-considered

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	104	5.4	–
Strongly agree	1	393	20.6	21.7
Agree to some extent	2	487	25.5	26.9
Difficult to say	3	179	9.4	9.9
Disagree to some extent	4	387	20.2	21.4
Strongly disagree	5	362	18.9	20.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_10] EC membership forces Finns to comply with many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need

Question

EC membership forces Finns to comply with many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	96	5.0	–
Strongly agree	1	500	26.2	27.5
Agree to some extent	2	553	28.9	30.5
Difficult to say	3	430	22.5	23.7
Disagree to some extent	4	261	13.7	14.4
Strongly disagree	5	72	3.8	4.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_11] An application for EC membership is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland

Question

An application for EC membership is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	91	4.8	–
Strongly agree	1	507	26.5	27.8
Agree to some extent	2	282	14.7	15.5
Difficult to say	3	297	15.5	16.3
Disagree to some extent	4	358	18.7	19.7
Strongly disagree	5	377	19.7	20.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_12] Effective environmental protection in Europe is possible only through the co-operation of EC member states

Question

Effective environmental protection in Europe is possible only through the co-operation of EC member states

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	95	5.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	353	18.5	19.4
Agree to some extent	2	471	24.6	25.9
Difficult to say	3	329	17.2	18.1
Disagree to some extent	4	418	21.9	23.0
Strongly disagree	5	246	12.9	13.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_13] Civil servants and politicians participating in the EC membership negotiations are thinking only of the interests of business, forgetting the interests of ordinary citizens

Question

Civil servants and politicians participating in the EC membership negotiations are thinking only of the interests of business, forgetting the interests of ordinary citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	92	4.8	–
Strongly agree	1	571	29.9	31.4
Agree to some extent	2	549	28.7	30.2
Difficult to say	3	286	15.0	15.7
Disagree to some extent	4	325	17.0	17.9
Strongly disagree	5	89	4.7	4.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_14] Opposing the EC membership is useless because Finland will join the EC sooner or later anyway

Question

Opposing the EC membership is useless because Finland will join the EC sooner or later anyway

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	96	5.0	–
Strongly agree	1	227	11.9	12.5
Agree to some extent	2	425	22.2	23.4
Difficult to say	3	413	21.6	22.7
Disagree to some extent	4	378	19.8	20.8
Strongly disagree	5	373	19.5	20.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_15] By joining the EC, Finland increases its scope for action both in the East and in the West

Question

By joining the EC, Finland increases its scope for action both in the East and in the West

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	98	5.1	–
Strongly agree	1	327	17.1	18.0
Agree to some extent	2	466	24.4	25.7
Difficult to say	3	544	28.5	30.0
Disagree to some extent	4	326	17.1	18.0
Strongly disagree	5	151	7.9	8.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_16] The EEA contract (European Economic Area) is perfectly sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration

Question

The EEA contract (European Economic Area) is perfectly sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	103	5.4	–
Strongly agree	1	421	22.0	23.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree to some extent	2	362	18.9	20.0
Difficult to say	3	465	24.3	25.7
Disagree to some extent	4	397	20.8	21.9
Strongly disagree	5	164	8.6	9.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_17] Finland should make an independent decision on whether to join the EC. The decisions of other Nordic countries applying for the membership should have no bearing

Question

Finland should make an independent decision on whether to join the EC. The decisions of other Nordic countries applying for the membership should have no bearing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	96	5.0	–
Strongly agree	1	845	44.2	46.5
Agree to some extent	2	469	24.5	25.8
Difficult to say	3	153	8.0	8.4
Disagree to some extent	4	253	13.2	13.9
Strongly disagree	5	96	5.0	5.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_18] EC membership fees are much too high when compared with the advantages

Question

EC membership fees are much too high when compared with the advantages

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	95	5.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	616	32.2	33.9
Agree to some extent	2	333	17.4	18.3
Difficult to say	3	660	34.5	36.3
Disagree to some extent	4	151	7.9	8.3
Strongly disagree	5	57	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_19] There is enough reliable information within everybody's reach concerning Finland's EC membership

Question

There is enough reliable information within everybody's reach concerning Finland's EC membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	92	4.8	–
Strongly agree	1	185	9.7	10.2
Agree to some extent	2	249	13.0	13.7
Difficult to say	3	238	12.4	13.1
Disagree to some extent	4	601	31.4	33.0
Strongly disagree	5	547	28.6	30.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_20] Compared with Finland, social security in many EC countries is as good or better

Question

Compared with Finland, social security in many EC countries is as good or better

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	93	4.9	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	112	5.9	6.2
Agree to some extent	2	239	12.5	13.1
Difficult to say	3	416	21.8	22.9
Disagree to some extent	4	617	32.3	33.9
Strongly disagree	5	435	22.8	23.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_21] EC membership gives Finland more influence over European matters than the EEA contract

Question

EC membership gives Finland more influence over European matters than the EEA contract

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	93	4.9	–
Strongly agree	1	291	15.2	16.0
Agree to some extent	2	474	24.8	26.1
Difficult to say	3	587	30.7	32.3
Disagree to some extent	4	272	14.2	15.0
Strongly disagree	5	195	10.2	10.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_22] EC membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside home

Question

EC membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside home

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	90	4.7	–
Strongly agree	1	134	7.0	7.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree to some extent	2	277	14.5	15.2
Difficult to say	3	540	28.2	29.6
Disagree to some extent	4	495	25.9	27.2
Strongly disagree	5	376	19.7	20.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_23] Instead of being neutral, the Finnish media is restricting the publication of material opposing the EC membership

Question

Instead of being neutral, the Finnish media is restricting the publication of material opposing the EC membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	92	4.8	–
Strongly agree	1	310	16.2	17.0
Agree to some extent	2	409	21.4	22.5
Difficult to say	3	628	32.8	34.5
Disagree to some extent	4	313	16.4	17.2
Strongly disagree	5	160	8.4	8.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_24] Development of the EC will decelerate and become more difficult in the future

Question

Development of the EC will decelerate and become more difficult in the future

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	94	4.9	–
Strongly agree	1	373	19.5	20.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree to some extent	2	529	27.7	29.1
Difficult to say	3	742	38.8	40.8
Disagree to some extent	4	135	7.1	7.4
Strongly disagree	5	39	2.0	2.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_25] The decision to join the EC should be made by the President and Parliament. They have been elected to be the decision-making bodies

Question

The decision to join the EC should be made by the President and Parliament. They have been elected to be the decision-making bodies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	89	4.7	–
Strongly agree	1	130	6.8	7.1
Agree to some extent	2	170	8.9	9.3
Difficult to say	3	135	7.1	7.4
Disagree to some extent	4	434	22.7	23.8
Strongly disagree	5	954	49.9	52.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_26] Even as an EC member, Finland will maintain its national distinctiveness

Question

Even as an EC member, Finland will maintain its national distinctiveness

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	63	3.3	–
Strongly agree	1	459	24.0	24.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree to some extent	2	462	24.2	25.0
Difficult to say	3	284	14.9	15.4
Disagree to some extent	4	396	20.7	21.4
Strongly disagree	5	248	13.0	13.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_27] The decision to join the EC is the most important and far-reaching political decision in decades

Question

The decision to join the EC is the most important and far-reaching political decision in decades

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	77	4.0	–
Strongly agree	1	889	46.5	48.4
Agree to some extent	2	494	25.8	26.9
Difficult to say	3	230	12.0	12.5
Disagree to some extent	4	128	6.7	7.0
Strongly disagree	5	94	4.9	5.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_28] The Parliament should honour the outcome of the consultative referendum even if the difference between YES and NO votes would be marginal

Question

The Parliament should honour the outcome of the consultative referendum even if the difference between YES and NO votes would be marginal

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	76	4.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	593	31.0	32.3
Agree to some extent	2	476	24.9	25.9
Difficult to say	3	404	21.1	22.0
Disagree to some extent	4	231	12.1	12.6
Strongly disagree	5	132	6.9	7.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_29] The Parliament should honour the outcome of the consultative referendum even if the turnout percentage would be considerably low

Question

The Parliament should honour the outcome of the consultative referendum even if the turnout percentage would be considerably low

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	67	3.5	–
Strongly agree	1	435	22.8	23.6
Agree to some extent	2	454	23.7	24.6
Difficult to say	3	430	22.5	23.3
Disagree to some extent	4	341	17.8	18.5
Strongly disagree	5	185	9.7	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_30] The EC membership would weaken the position and influence of the trade unions

Question

The EC membership would weaken the position and influence of the trade unions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	66	3.5	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	404	21.1	21.9
Agree to some extent	2	532	27.8	28.8
Difficult to say	3	578	30.2	31.3
Disagree to some extent	4	250	13.1	13.5
Strongly disagree	5	82	4.3	4.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_31] As a result of the EC membership, foreign cheap labour would replace domestic labour force and worsen the unemployment situation in Finland

Question

As a result of the EC membership, foreign cheap labour would replace domestic labour force and worsen the unemployment situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	62	3.2	–
Strongly agree	1	487	25.5	26.3
Agree to some extent	2	505	26.4	27.3
Difficult to say	3	358	18.7	19.4
Disagree to some extent	4	378	19.8	20.4
Strongly disagree	5	122	6.4	6.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_32] EC membership would increase flexibility in the labour market, and more and more things would be agreed upon directly at workplaces

Question

EC membership would increase flexibility in the labour market, and more and more things would be agreed upon directly at workplaces

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	66	3.5	–
Strongly agree	1	201	10.5	10.9
Agree to some extent	2	616	32.2	33.4
Difficult to say	3	652	34.1	35.3
Disagree to some extent	4	246	12.9	13.3
Strongly disagree	5	131	6.9	7.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_33] In Finland's EC negotiations, far too much emphasis is put on agricultural matters

Question

In Finland's EC negotiations, far too much emphasis is put on agricultural matters

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	66	3.5	–
Strongly agree	1	543	28.4	29.4
Agree to some extent	2	521	27.2	28.2
Difficult to say	3	288	15.1	15.6
Disagree to some extent	4	292	15.3	15.8
Strongly disagree	5	202	10.6	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_34] If I got a job within the EC area which matched my professional skills, I would be ready to leave Finland

Question

If I got a job within the EC area which matched my professional skills, I would be ready to leave Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	86	4.5	–
Strongly agree	1	339	17.7	18.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree to some extent	2	246	12.9	13.5
Difficult to say	3	328	17.2	18.0
Disagree to some extent	4	260	13.6	14.2
Strongly disagree	5	653	34.2	35.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_35] EC's more liberal alcohol policy and pricing of alcohol beverages should be followed also in Finland

Question

EC's more liberal alcohol policy and pricing of alcohol beverages should be followed also in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	64	3.3	–
Strongly agree	1	698	36.5	37.8
Agree to some extent	2	461	24.1	24.9
Difficult to say	3	219	11.5	11.9
Disagree to some extent	4	217	11.3	11.7
Strongly disagree	5	253	13.2	13.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_36] Finland should not allow the free sale of summer houses and waterfronts to foreigners

Question

Finland should not allow the free sale of summer houses and waterfronts to foreigners

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	65	3.4	–
Strongly agree	1	563	29.4	30.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree to some extent	2	430	22.5	23.3
Difficult to say	3	167	8.7	9.0
Disagree to some extent	4	394	20.6	21.3
Strongly disagree	5	293	15.3	15.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_37] Subvention from EC structural funds would mean substantial financial aid to the poorest parts of Finland

Question

Subvention from EC structural funds would mean substantial financial aid to the poorest parts of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	69	3.6	–
Strongly agree	1	121	6.3	6.6
Agree to some extent	2	307	16.1	16.7
Difficult to say	3	721	37.7	39.1
Disagree to some extent	4	423	22.1	23.0
Strongly disagree	5	271	14.2	14.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_38] The fundamental question in Finland's EC membership is not the economy or even the level of sovereignty, but whether we want to be a part of the East or the West

Question

The fundamental question in Finland's EC membership is not the economy or even the level of sovereignty, but whether we want to be a part of the East or the West

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	70	3.7	–
Strongly agree	1	196	10.3	10.6
Agree to some extent	2	347	18.1	18.8
Difficult to say	3	504	26.4	27.4
Disagree to some extent	4	439	23.0	23.8
Strongly disagree	5	356	18.6	19.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_39] If Finland joined the EC, Finnish trade unions would have an influence on important issues related to working life at the international level

Question

If Finland joined the EC, Finnish trade unions would have an influence on important issues related to working life at the international level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	68	3.6	–
Strongly agree	1	67	3.5	3.6
Agree to some extent	2	279	14.6	15.1
Difficult to say	3	670	35.0	36.3
Disagree to some extent	4	497	26.0	27.0
Strongly disagree	5	331	17.3	18.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_40] As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EC member than to be outside it

Question

As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EC member than to be outside it

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	73	3.8	–
Strongly agree	1	430	22.5	23.4
Agree to some extent	2	407	21.3	22.1
Difficult to say	3	498	26.0	27.1
Disagree to some extent	4	295	15.4	16.0
Strongly disagree	5	209	10.9	11.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_41] If Finland stays outside the EC, the position of its export industry will weaken at its primary market area

Question

If Finland stays outside the EC, the position of its export industry will weaken at its primary market area

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	67	3.5	–
Strongly agree	1	382	20.0	20.7
Agree to some extent	2	485	25.4	26.3
Difficult to say	3	479	25.1	26.0
Disagree to some extent	4	354	18.5	19.2
Strongly disagree	5	145	7.6	7.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_42] Finland's EC membership poses a threat to my home province's future

Question

Finland's EC membership poses a threat to my home province's future

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	68	3.6	–
Strongly agree	1	231	12.1	12.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree to some extent	2	224	11.7	12.1
Difficult to say	3	502	26.3	27.2
Disagree to some extent	4	427	22.3	23.2
Strongly disagree	5	460	24.1	24.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_43] EC membership is no security political life insurance. On the contrary, it would create new tension in our eastern border

Question

EC membership is no security political life insurance. On the contrary, it would create new tension in our eastern border

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	73	3.8	–
Strongly agree	1	286	15.0	15.6
Agree to some extent	2	382	20.0	20.8
Difficult to say	3	499	26.1	27.1
Disagree to some extent	4	420	22.0	22.8
Strongly disagree	5	252	13.2	13.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_44] If suggestions put forward in certain EC circles of diminishing the voice of the small member states come true, my attitude towards Finland's EC membership will become significantly more negative

Question

If suggestions put forward in certain EC circles of diminishing the voice of the small member states come true, my attitude towards Finland's EC membership will become significantly more negative

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	67	3.5	–
Strongly agree	1	771	40.3	41.8
Agree to some extent	2	568	29.7	30.8
Difficult to say	3	306	16.0	16.6
Disagree to some extent	4	133	7.0	7.2
Strongly disagree	5	67	3.5	3.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_45] Finland should call a time-out in the EC membership issue and wait to see what the EC turns out to be

Question

Finland should call a time-out in the EC membership issue and wait to see what the EC turns out to be

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	68	3.6	–
Strongly agree	1	694	36.3	37.6
Agree to some extent	2	382	20.0	20.7
Difficult to say	3	290	15.2	15.7
Disagree to some extent	4	285	14.9	15.5
Strongly disagree	5	193	10.1	10.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_46] EC membership would enliven Finnish economy and quicken economic recovery

Question

EC membership would enliven Finnish economy and quicken economic recovery

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	66	3.5	–
Strongly agree	1	229	12.0	12.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree to some extent	2	405	21.2	21.9
Difficult to say	3	528	27.6	28.6
Disagree to some extent	4	421	22.0	22.8
Strongly disagree	5	263	13.8	14.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_47] Finland must in all circumstances be able to answer for its own safety. Others cannot help

Question

Finland must in all circumstances be able to answer for its own safety. Others cannot help

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	68	3.6	–
Strongly agree	1	631	33.0	34.2
Agree to some extent	2	557	29.1	30.2
Difficult to say	3	226	11.8	12.3
Disagree to some extent	4	331	17.3	18.0
Strongly disagree	5	99	5.2	5.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_48] In the future, Finland's most important export markets will be comprised of the Far East and other regions of strong economic growth, not of the declining EC-Europe

Question

In the future, Finland's most important export markets will be comprised of the Far East and other regions of strong economic growth, not of the declining EC-Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	70	3.7	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	327	17.1	17.8
Agree to some extent	2	457	23.9	24.8
Difficult to say	3	616	32.2	33.4
Disagree to some extent	4	349	18.3	18.9
Strongly disagree	5	93	4.9	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_49] Despite its own problems, the EC is nevertheless the only power which can guide and control European development

Question

Despite its own problems, the EC is nevertheless the only power which can guide and control European development

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	65	3.4	–
Strongly agree	1	198	10.4	10.7
Agree to some extent	2	480	25.1	26.0
Difficult to say	3	492	25.7	26.6
Disagree to some extent	4	426	22.3	23.1
Strongly disagree	5	251	13.1	13.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_50] In the long run, the EC will in practise cover the whole of Europe, including the former socialist countries

Question

In the long run, the EC will in practise cover the whole of Europe, including the former socialist countries

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	68	3.6	–
Strongly agree	1	157	8.2	8.5
Agree to some extent	2	439	23.0	23.8
Difficult to say	3	737	38.5	40.0
Disagree to some extent	4	329	17.2	17.8
Strongly disagree	5	182	9.5	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q11_51] The Finnish countryside has to remain populated, regardless of cost

Question

The Finnish countryside has to remain populated, regardless of cost

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	62	3.2	–
Strongly agree	1	594	31.1	32.1
Agree to some extent	2	516	27.0	27.9
Difficult to say	3	266	13.9	14.4
Disagree to some extent	4	346	18.1	18.7
Strongly disagree	5	128	6.7	6.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_1] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Open about its goals and activities

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Open about its goals and activities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	85	4.4	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	286	15.0	15.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	761	39.8	41.7
Does not correspond with my views	3	591	30.9	32.3
Cannot say	4	189	9.9	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_2] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes peace in Europe

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes peace in Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	81	4.2	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	692	36.2	37.8
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	587	30.7	32.1
Does not correspond with my views	3	369	19.3	20.2
Cannot say	4	183	9.6	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_3] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Takes environmental protection seriously

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Takes environmental protection seriously

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	90	4.7	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	590	30.9	32.4
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	677	35.4	37.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Does not correspond with my views	3	374	19.6	20.5
Cannot say	4	181	9.5	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_4] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Democratic

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Democratic

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	97	5.1	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	301	15.7	16.6
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	652	34.1	35.9
Does not correspond with my views	3	583	30.5	32.1
Cannot say	4	279	14.6	15.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_5] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Withholds things

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Withholds things

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	94	4.9	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	398	20.8	21.9
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	583	30.5	32.1
Does not correspond with my views	3	490	25.6	27.0
Cannot say	4	347	18.1	19.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_6] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Reduces disparity in welfare and the standard of living between European countries

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Reduces disparity in welfare and the standard of living between European countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	97	5.1	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	421	22.0	23.2
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	708	37.0	39.0
Does not correspond with my views	3	507	26.5	27.9
Cannot say	4	179	9.4	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_7] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Acts on the terms of powerful countries

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Acts on the terms of powerful countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	91	4.8	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	958	50.1	52.6
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	442	23.1	24.3
Does not correspond with my views	3	293	15.3	16.1
Cannot say	4	128	6.7	7.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_8] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Disregards citizens's opinions

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Disregards citizens's opinions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	101	5.3	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	541	28.3	29.9
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	573	30.0	31.6
Does not correspond with my views	3	490	25.6	27.1
Cannot say	4	207	10.8	11.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_9] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Necessary to prevent Germany's intention to gain supremacy

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Necessary to prevent Germany's intention to gain supremacy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	106	5.5	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	373	19.5	20.7
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	477	24.9	26.4
Does not correspond with my views	3	571	29.9	31.6
Cannot say	4	385	20.1	21.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_10] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Overemphasises economic values, neglects social values

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Overemphasises economic values, neglects social values

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	100	5.2	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	665	34.8	36.7
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	607	31.7	33.5
Does not correspond with my views	3	295	15.4	16.3
Cannot say	4	245	12.8	13.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_11] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Responsible

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Responsible

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	100	5.2	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	276	14.4	15.2
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	657	34.4	36.3
Does not correspond with my views	3	532	27.8	29.4
Cannot say	4	347	18.1	19.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_12] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Bureaucratic

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Bureaucratic

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	100	5.2	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	910	47.6	50.2
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	393	20.6	21.7
Does not correspond with my views	3	232	12.1	12.8
Cannot say	4	277	14.5	15.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_13] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Efficiently managed**Question**

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Efficiently managed

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	110	5.8	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	277	14.5	15.4
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	643	33.6	35.7
Does not correspond with my views	3	551	28.8	30.6
Cannot say	4	331	17.3	18.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_14] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes the welfare of Europe as a whole**Question**

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes the welfare of Europe as a whole

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	101	5.3	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Corresponds well with my views	1	464	24.3	25.6
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	630	32.9	34.8
Does not correspond with my views	3	511	26.7	28.2
Cannot say	4	206	10.8	11.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_15] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Develops rapidly

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Develops rapidly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	102	5.3	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	306	16.0	16.9
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	642	33.6	35.5
Does not correspond with my views	3	575	30.1	31.8
Cannot say	4	287	15.0	15.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_16] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Standardizes the culture and social conditions of different countries too much

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Standardizes the culture and social conditions of different countries too much

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	97	5.1	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	578	30.2	31.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	509	26.6	28.0
Does not correspond with my views	3	525	27.5	28.9
Cannot say	4	203	10.6	11.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_17] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Constitutes a threat to the existence of small states

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Constitutes a threat to the existence of small states

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	96	5.0	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	681	35.6	37.5
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	367	19.2	20.2
Does not correspond with my views	3	590	30.9	32.5
Cannot say	4	178	9.3	9.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_18] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Modern-day Soviet Union, utopia doomed to failure

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Modern-day Soviet Union, utopia doomed to failure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	106	5.5	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	440	23.0	24.4
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	412	21.5	22.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Does not correspond with my views	3	533	27.9	29.5
Cannot say	4	421	22.0	23.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_19] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes interaction between the citizens of different countries

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes interaction between the citizens of different countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	102	5.3	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	764	40.0	42.2
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	635	33.2	35.1
Does not correspond with my views	3	229	12.0	12.7
Cannot say	4	182	9.5	10.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_20] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Unreliable contracting party which one cannot even get rid of

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Unreliable contracting party which one cannot even get rid of

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	98	5.1	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	444	23.2	24.5
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	423	22.1	23.3
Does not correspond with my views	3	632	33.1	34.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Cannot say	4	315	16.5	17.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_21] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: System which enhances co-operation and distribution of work, beneficial for all

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: System which enhances co-operation and distribution of work, beneficial for all

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	108	5.6	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	272	14.2	15.1
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	590	30.9	32.7
Does not correspond with my views	3	611	32.0	33.9
Cannot say	4	331	17.3	18.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_22] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Functions well only when the economy is strong, is disunited during economically difficult times

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Functions well only when the economy is strong, is disunited during economically difficult times

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	99	5.2	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	628	32.8	34.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	505	26.4	27.9
Does not correspond with my views	3	338	17.7	18.6
Cannot say	4	342	17.9	18.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_23] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Strengthens Europe's position in global competition

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Strengthens Europe's position in global competition

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	103	5.4	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	875	45.8	48.4
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	536	28.0	29.6
Does not correspond with my views	3	202	10.6	11.2
Cannot say	4	196	10.3	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_24] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Declining system/becoming out-dated

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Declining system/becoming out-dated

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	102	5.3	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	266	13.9	14.7
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	493	25.8	27.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Does not correspond with my views	3	603	31.5	33.3
Cannot say	4	448	23.4	24.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_25] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Part of an inescapable development towards global integration

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Part of an inescapable development towards global integration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	100	5.2	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	539	28.2	29.7
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	503	26.3	27.8
Does not correspond with my views	3	367	19.2	20.3
Cannot say	4	403	21.1	22.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_26] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes the interests of business and financial community, not those of ordinary people

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes the interests of business and financial community, not those of ordinary people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	94	4.9	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	776	40.6	42.7
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	570	29.8	31.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Does not correspond with my views	3	308	16.1	16.9
Cannot say	4	164	8.6	9.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_27] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Firm supporter of the Western civilisation and value system

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Firm supporter of the Western civilisation and value system

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	93	4.9	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	539	28.2	29.6
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	590	30.9	32.4
Does not correspond with my views	3	415	21.7	22.8
Cannot say	4	275	14.4	15.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q12_28] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Constitutes a threat to national cultures

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Constitutes a threat to national cultures

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	88	4.6	–
Corresponds well with my views	1	484	25.3	26.5
Corresponds on one hand, on the other not	2	441	23.1	24.2
Does not correspond with my views	3	616	32.2	33.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Cannot say	4	283	14.8	15.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q13_1] How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Agricultural policy

Question

How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Agricultural policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	69	3.6	–
Very important	1	632	33.1	34.3
Somewhat important	2	667	34.9	36.2
Not very important	3	357	18.7	19.4
Not at all important	4	144	7.5	7.8
Cannot say	5	43	2.2	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q13_2] How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Regional policy

Question

How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Regional policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	78	4.1	–
Very important	1	745	39.0	40.6
Somewhat important	2	777	40.6	42.4
Not very important	3	181	9.5	9.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all important	4	45	2.4	2.5
Cannot say	5	86	4.5	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q13_3] How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Policy of neutrality

Question

How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Policy of neutrality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	75	3.9	–
Very important	1	983	51.4	53.5
Somewhat important	2	530	27.7	28.9
Not very important	3	186	9.7	10.1
Not at all important	4	74	3.9	4.0
Cannot say	5	64	3.3	3.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q13_4] How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Customs policy

Question

How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Customs policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	83	4.3	–
Very important	1	569	29.8	31.1
Somewhat important	2	648	33.9	35.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very important	3	337	17.6	18.4
Not at all important	4	149	7.8	8.1
Cannot say	5	126	6.6	6.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q13_5] How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Issues related to employment pension

Question

How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Issues related to employment pension

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	69	3.6	–
Very important	1	1039	54.3	56.4
Somewhat important	2	596	31.2	32.3
Not very important	3	108	5.6	5.9
Not at all important	4	25	1.3	1.4
Cannot say	5	75	3.9	4.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q13_6] How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Foreign ownership of summer cottages (holiday home ownership)

Question

How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Foreign ownership of summer cottages (holiday home ownership)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	77	4.0	–
Very important	1	512	26.8	27.9
Somewhat important	2	416	21.8	22.7
Not very important	3	490	25.6	26.7
Not at all important	4	345	18.0	18.8
Cannot say	5	72	3.8	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q13_7] How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Hunting and fishing issues

Question

How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Hunting and fishing issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	75	3.9	–
Very important	1	511	26.7	27.8
Somewhat important	2	486	25.4	26.5
Not very important	3	502	26.3	27.3
Not at all important	4	255	13.3	13.9
Cannot say	5	83	4.3	4.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[Q13_8] How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Alcohol policy

Question

How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Alcohol policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	75	3.9	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	349	18.3	19.0
Somewhat important	2	321	16.8	17.5
Not very important	3	576	30.1	31.4
Not at all important	4	515	26.9	28.0
Cannot say	5	76	4.0	4.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	2.1	–
Male	1	985	51.5	52.6
Female	2	887	46.4	47.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's age group

Question

Respondent's age group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	1.9	–
18 - 25 years of age	1	263	13.8	14.0
26 - 35 years of age	2	403	21.1	21.5
36 - 45 years of age	3	391	20.4	20.9
46 - 55 years of age	4	341	17.8	18.2
56 - 65 years of age	5	288	15.1	15.4
over 65 years of age	6	189	9.9	10.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV3] Size of the municipality of residence**Question***Size of the municipality of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	57	3.0	–
Less than 4,000 inhabitants	1	139	7.3	7.5
4,000 - 8,000 inhabitants	2	281	14.7	15.1
8,000 - 30,000 inhabitants	3	616	32.2	33.2
30,000 - 80,000 inhabitants	4	282	14.7	15.2
Over 80,000 inhabitants	5	537	28.1	28.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Respondent's province of residence**Question***Respondent's province of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	1.8	–
Uusimaa province	1	470	24.6	25.0
Turku and Pori province	2	260	13.6	13.9
Häme province	3	282	14.7	15.0
Kymi province	4	116	6.1	6.2
Mikkeli province	5	77	4.0	4.1
Pohjois-Karjala province	6	79	4.1	4.2
Kuopio province	7	104	5.4	5.5
Keski-Suomi province	8	114	6.0	6.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Vaasa province	9	148	7.7	7.9
Oulu province	10	160	8.4	8.5
Lappi province	11	67	3.5	3.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	2.7	–
No vocational training	1	273	14.3	14.7
Vocational course, other short vocational training	2	416	21.8	22.4
Vocational school or equivalent (upper secondary level)	3	418	21.9	22.5
College level vocational education	4	478	25.0	25.7
University education	5	276	14.4	14.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Respondent's industry of employment

Question

Respondent's industry of employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	2.4	–
Agriculture and forestry	1	119	6.2	6.4
Industry and construction industry	2	324	16.9	17.4
Private service sector	3	313	16.4	16.8
Public service sector	4	476	24.9	25.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not working	5	634	33.2	34.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV7] Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	1.9	–
Higher managerial occupation (employee)	1	91	4.8	4.9
Lower managerial/professional employee	2	199	10.4	10.6
Intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	3	234	12.2	12.5
Worker	4	411	21.5	21.9
Entrepreneur or self-employed	5	139	7.3	7.4
Farmer	6	72	3.8	3.8
Student	7	153	8.0	8.2
Pensioner	8	327	17.1	17.4
Housewife	9	44	2.3	2.3
Unemployed	10	171	8.9	9.1
Something else	11	34	1.8	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV8] Is the company you work for engaged in exporting products or services?

Question

Is the company you work for engaged in exporting products or services?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	99	5.2	–
Not at all	1	728	38.1	40.2
To some extent	2	289	15.1	15.9
To a great extent	3	197	10.3	10.9
Not working	4	599	31.3	33.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV9] Is your employer..

Question

Is your employer..

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	119	6.2	–
Company with less than 50 workers	1	260	13.6	14.5
Company with 50-500 workers	2	192	10.0	10.7
Company with more than 500 workers	3	181	9.5	10.1
State, government agency/department	4	134	7.0	7.5
Municipality, municipal federation	5	260	13.6	14.5
Other	6	132	6.9	7.4
Not working	7	634	33.2	35.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV10] Respondent's trade union membership

Question

Respondent's trade union membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	80	4.2	–
No	1	700	36.6	38.2
Yes	2	47	2.5	2.6
SAK (The Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions)	3	498	26.0	27.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
STTK (The Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	4	295	15.4	16.1
Akava (Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals)	5	228	11.9	12.4
MTK (Central Union of Agric. Producers and Forest Owners)	6	64	3.3	3.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

[BV11] If the parliamentary elections were held now, for which party would you vote?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, for which party would you vote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	2.7	–
Center Party of Finland (KESK)	1	209	10.9	11.2
National Coalition Party (KOK)	2	259	13.5	13.9
Liberal party (LKP)	3	8	0.4	0.4
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	4	31	1.6	1.7
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	5	371	19.4	19.9
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	6	27	1.4	1.5
True Finns (Perussuom., former Finnish Rural Party SMP)	7	17	0.9	0.9
Left Alliance (Vasemmistoliitto)	8	70	3.7	3.8
Green league (Vihreät)	9	114	6.0	6.1
Some other party	10	44	2.3	2.4
I would not vote	11	112	5.9	6.0
Cannot say	12	298	15.6	16.0
Don't want to say	13	301	15.7	16.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1912	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
What is your attitude towards Finland's EC membership? [Q1]	6
How firm a view do you have on the issue? [Q2]	6
To what extent does your attitude towards the EC membership depend on the outcome for certain negotiation goals (i.e. future of Finland's neutrality, transition periods for agriculture)? [Q3]	7
Do you believe that Finland is able to achieve this type of dispensations or transition periods? [Q4]	7
How interested are you personally in issues concerning the Finnish EU membership and the development of the European integration? [Q5]	8
How well informed do you consider yourself to be on these issues? How much do you think you know about them? [Q6]	8
How certain are you that you will vote in the referendum on Finland's EU membership? [Q7]	9
In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland joins the EU within the next few years? [Q8] ...	9
What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common foreign policy of the member states [Q9_1]	10
What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common security and defence policy [Q9_2]	10
What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common economic policy of the member states [Q9_3]	11
What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maas-	

3. Indexes

strict, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Single currency of the member states (ecu) [Q9_4]	11
What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common EC nationality (in addition to own nationality) [Q9_5]	12
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: General living conditions in Finland [Q10_1]	12
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Standard of living and material welfare [Q10_2]	13
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Steady development of national economy [Q10_3]	13
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Employment [Q10_4]	14
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Export and foreign trade [Q10_5]	14
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Vigour of business life in general [Q10_6]	15
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Competition in business life [Q10_7]	15
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Interest level [Q10_8]	16
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Level of taxation [Q10_9] ..	16
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Wage level [Q10_10]	16
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Price level in general [Q10_11]	17
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Food prices [Q10_12]	17
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Quality of food [Q10_13] ..	18
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Arts and culture [Q10_14] ..	18
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Education [Q10_15]	19
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Science and research activities [Q10_16]	19
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Social security [Q10_17] ...	20
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Public health services [Q10_18]	20
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Work environment and working conditions [Q10_19]	20
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Environmental protection [Q10_20]	21
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Disparity between population groups in the standard of living [Q10_21]	21
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Disparity between Finnish re-	

gions in the standard of living [Q10_22]	22
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Citizens' legal protection [Q10_23]	22
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Gender equality [Q10_24] ..	23
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: National sovereignty [Q10_25]	23
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finnish security policy [Q10_26]	24
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finland's relationship to Russia [Q10_27]	24
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Nordic co-operation [Q10_28]	25
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finnish image abroad [Q10_29]	25
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments [Q10_30]	25
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland [Q10_31]	26
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Public morals in general [Q10_32]	26
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Functioning of democracy [Q10_33]	27
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Citizens' possibilities to influence things [Q10_34]	27
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Level of crime [Q10_35] ...	28
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Degree of bureaucracy [Q10_36]	28
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Religious/spiritual life [Q10_37]	29
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of managerial, professional and intermediate level employees [Q10_38]	29
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of workers [Q10_39]	30
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of farmers [Q10_40]	30
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of pensioners and the retired [Q10_41]	30
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs [Q10_42]	31

3. Indexes

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of children and families with children [Q10_43]	31
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of women [Q10_44]	32
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Your own circumstances and life in general [Q10_45]	32
Even though joining the EC may mean tough times for Finland, things would be even more difficult if we stayed outside the EC [Q11_1]	33
Instead of the joining the EC, Finland should promote closer cooperation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union [Q11_2]	33
There is no point worrying over what will happen to Finnish agriculture in the EC, since even without the EC membership our agriculture must be reformed [Q11_3]	34
Recently, the significance of neutrality has changed so much that strict adherence to it makes no sense any more [Q11_4]	34
The final decision on whether Finland should join the EC should be made after a consultative referendum, according to the majority opinion [Q11_5]	35
EC membership would disrupt the peace of Finnish society and destroy the traditional Finnish way of life [Q11_6]	35
Spokesmen for the EC membership are intentionally spreading false information in order to make citizens favourable to the membership [Q11_7]	36
Opposition to the EC membership is based more on emotions than knowledge [Q11_8]	36
It actually makes no difference whether the Finnish laws are made in the Finnish Parliament or in the EC as long as they are just and well-considered [Q11_9]	37
EC membership forces Finns to comply with many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need [Q11_10]	37
An application for EC membership is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland [Q11_11]	38
Effective environmental protection in Europe is possible only through the co-operation of EC member states [Q11_12]	38
Civil servants and politicians participating in the EC membership negotiations are thinking only of the interests of business, forgetting the interests of ordinary citizens [Q11_13]	39
Opposing the EC membership is useless because Finland will join the EC sooner or later anyway [Q11_14]	39
By joining the EC, Finland increases its scope for action both in the East and in the West [Q11_15]	40
The EEA contract (European Economic Area) is perfectly sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration [Q11_16]	40
Finland should make an independent decision on whether to join the EC. The decisions of other Nordic countries applying for the membership should have no bearing [Q11_17]	41
EC membership fees are much too high when compared with the advantages [Q11_18]	41

There is enough reliable information within everybody's reach concerning Finland's EC membership [Q11_19]	42
Compared with Finland, social security in many EC countries is as good or better [Q11_20]	42
EC membership gives Finland more influence over European matters than the EEA contract [Q11_21]	43
EC membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside home [Q11_22] ..	43
Instead of being neutral, the Finnish media is restricting the publication of material opposing the EC membership [Q11_23]	44
Development of the EC will decelerate and become more difficult in the future [Q11_24] ..	44
The decision to join the EC should be made by the President and Parliament. They have been elected to be the decision-making bodies [Q11_25]	45
Even as an EC member, Finland will maintain its national distinctiveness [Q11_26]	45
The decision to join the EC is the most important and far-reaching political decision in decades [Q11_27]	46
The Parliament should honour the outcome of the consultative referendum even if the difference between YES and NO votes would be marginal [Q11_28]	46
The Parliament should honour the outcome of the consultative referendum even if the turnout percentage would be considerably low [Q11_29]	47
The EC membership would weaken the position and influence of the trade unions [Q11_30]	47
As a result of the EC membership, foreign cheap labour would replace domestic labour force and worsen the unemployment situation in Finland [Q11_31]	48
EC membership would increase flexibility in the labour market, and more and more things would be agreed upon directly at workplaces [Q11_32]	48
In Finland's EC negotiations, far too much emphasis is put on agricultural matters [Q11_33]	49
If I got a job within the EC area which matched my professional skills, I would be ready to leave Finland [Q11_34]	49
EC's more liberal alcohol policy and pricing of alcohol beverages should be followed also in Finland [Q11_35]	50
Finland should not allow the free sale of summer houses and waterfronts to foreigners [Q11_36]	50
Subvention from EC structural funds would mean substantial financial aid to the poorest parts of Finland [Q11_37]	51
The fundamental question in Finland's EC membership is not the economy or even the level of sovereignty, but whether we want to be a part of the East or the West [Q11_38]	51
If Finland joined the EC, Finnish trade unions would have an influence on important issues related to working life at the international level [Q11_39]	52
As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EC member than to be outside it [Q11_40]	52
If Finland stays outside the EC, the position of its export industry will weaken at its primary	

3. Indexes

market area [Q11_41]	53
Finland's EC membership poses a threat to my home province's future [Q11_42]	53
EC membership is no security political life insurance. On the contrary, it would create new tension in our eastern border [Q11_43]	54
If suggestions put forward in certain EC circles of diminishing the voice of the small member states come true, my attitude towards Finland's EC membership will become significantly more negative [Q11_44]	54
Finland should call a time-out in the EC membership issue and wait to see what the EC turns out to be [Q11_45]	55
EC membership would enliven Finnish economy and quicken economic recovery [Q11_46]	55
Finland must in all circumstances be able to answer for its own safety. Others cannot help [Q11_47]	56
In the future, Finland's most important export markets will be comprised of the Far East and other regions of strong economic growth, not of the declining EC-Europe [Q11_48]	56
Despite its own problems, the EC is nevertheless the only power which can guide and control European development [Q11_49]	57
In the long run, the EC will in practise cover the whole of Europe, including the former socialist countries [Q11_50]	57
The Finnish countryside has to remain populated, regardless of cost [Q11_51]	58
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Open about its goals and activities [Q12_1]	58
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes peace in Europe [Q12_2]	59
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Takes environmental protection seriously [Q12_3]	59
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Democratic [Q12_4]	60
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Withholds things [Q12_5]	60
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Reduces disparity in welfare and the standard of living between European countries [Q12_6]	61
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Acts on the terms of powerful countries [Q12_7]	61
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Disregards citizens's opinions [Q12_8]	62
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Necessary to prevent Germany's intention to gain supremacy [Q12_9]	62
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Overemphasises economic values, neglects social values [Q12_10]	63

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Responsible [Q12_11]	63
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Bureaucratic [Q12_12]	63
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Efficiently managed [Q12_13]	64
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes the welfare of Europe as a whole [Q12_14]	64
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Develops rapidly [Q12_15]	65
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Standardizes the culture and social conditions of different countries too much [Q12_16]	65
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Constitutes a threat to the existence of small states [Q12_17]	66
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Modern-day Soviet Union, utopia doomed to failure [Q12_18]	66
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes interaction between the citizens of different countries [Q12_19]	67
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Unreliable contracting party which one cannot even get rid of [Q12_20]	67
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: System which enhances co-operation and distribution of work,beneficial for all [Q12_21]	68
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Functions well only when the economy is strong, is disunited during economically difficult times [Q12_22]	68
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Strengthens Europe's position in global competition [Q12_23]	69
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Declining system/becoming out-dated [Q12_24]	69
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Part of an inescapable development towards global integration [Q12_25]	70
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes the interests of business and financial community, not those of ordinary people [Q12_26]	70
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Firm supporter of the Western civilisation and value system [Q12_27]	71
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Constitutes a threat to national cultures [Q12_28]	71
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Agricultural policy [Q13_1]	72
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Regional policy [Q13_2]	72

3. Indexes

How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Policy of neutrality [Q13_3]	73
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Customs policy [Q13_4]	73
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Issues related to employment pension [Q13_5]	74
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Foreign ownership of summer cottages (holiday home ownership) [Q13_6]	74
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Hunting and fishing issues [Q13_7]	75
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Alcohol policy [Q13_8]	75
Respondent's gender [BV1]	76
Respondent's age group [BV2]	76
Size of the municipality of residence [BV3]	77
Respondent's province of residence [BV4]	77
Respondent's vocational education [BV5]	78
Respondent's industry of employment [BV6]	78
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group [BV7]	79
Is the company you work for engaged in exporting products or services? [BV8]	79
Is your employer.. [BV9]	80
Respondent's trade union membership [BV10]	80
If the parliamentary elections were held now, for which party would you vote? [BV11]	81

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

An application for EC membership is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland	38
As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EC member than to be outside it	52
As a result of the EC membership, foreign cheap labour would replace domestic labour force and worsen the unemployment situation in Finland	48
By joining the EC, Finland increases its scope for action both in the East and in the West ..	40
Civil servants and politicians participating in the EC membership negotiations are thinking only of the interests of business, forgetting the interests of ordinary citizens	39
Compared with Finland, social security in many EC countries is as good or better	42
Despite its own problems, the EC is nevertheless the only power which can guide and control European development	57
Development of the EC will decelerate and become more difficult in the future	44
Do you believe that Finland is able to achieve this type of dispensations or transition periods? 7	
EC membership fees are much too high when compared with the advantages	41
EC membership forces Finns to comply with many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need	37
EC membership gives Finland more influence over European matters than the EEA contract	43
EC membership is no security political life insurance. On the contrary, it would create new tension in our eastern border	54
EC membership would disrupt the peace of Finnish society and destroy the traditional Finnish way of life	35
EC membership would enliven Finnish economy and quicken economic recovery	55
EC membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside home	43
EC membership would increase flexibility in the labour market, and more and more things would be agreed upon directly at workplaces	48
EC's more liberal alcohol policy and pricing of alcohol beverages should be followed also in Finland	50
Effective environmental protection in Europe is possible only through the co-operation of EC member states	38
Even as an EC member, Finland will maintain its national distinctiveness	45
Even though joining the EC may mean tough times for Finland, things would be even more difficult if we stayed outside the EC	33
Finland must in all circumstances be able to answer for its own safety. Others cannot help ..	56
Finland should call a time-out in the EC membership issue and wait to see what the EC turns out to be	55

3. Indexes

Finland should make an independent decision on whether to join the EC. The decisions of other Nordic countries applying for the membership should have no bearing	41
Finland should not allow the free sale of summer houses and waterfronts to foreigners	50
Finland's EC membership poses a threat to my home province's future	53
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
How certain are you that you will vote in the referendum on Finland's EU membership?	9
How firm a view do you have on the issue?	6
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Agricultural policy	72
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Alcohol policy	75
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Customs policy	73
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Foreign ownership of summer cottages (holiday home ownership)	74
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Hunting and fishing issues	75
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Issues related to employment pension	74
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Policy of neutrality	73
How important do you consider it to be that Finland reaches its goals in EC membership negotiations regarding: Regional policy	72
How interested are you personally in issues concerning the Finnish EU membership and the development of the European integration?	8
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Acts on the terms of powerful countries	61
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Bureaucratic	63
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Constitutes a threat to national cultures	71
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Constitutes a threat to the existence of small states	66
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Declining system/becoming out-dated	69
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Democratic	60
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Develops rapidly	65

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Disregards citizens's opinions	62
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Efficiently managed	64
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Firm supporter of the Western civilisation and value system	71
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Functions well only when the economy is strong, is disunited during economically difficult times	68
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Modern-day Soviet Union, utopia doomed to failure	66
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Necessary to prevent Germany's intention to gain supremacy	62
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Open about its goals and activities	58
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Overemphasises economic values, neglects social values	63
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Part of an inescapable development towards global integration	70
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes interaction between the citizens of different countries	67
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes peace in Europe	59
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes the interests of business and financial community, not those of ordinary people	70
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Promotes the welfare of Europe as a whole	64
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Reduces disparity in welfare and the standard of living between European countries	61
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Responsible	63
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Standardizes the culture and social conditions of different countries too much	65
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Strengthens Europe's position in global competition	69
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: System which enhances co-operation and distribution of work,beneficial for all	68
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Takes environmental protection seriously	59
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Unreliable contracting party which one cannot even get rid of	67
How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EC: Withholds things	60

3. Indexes

How well informed do you consider yourself to be on these issues? How much do you think you know about them?	8
If Finland joined the EC, Finnish trade unions would have an influence on important issues related to working life at the international level	52
If Finland stays outside the EC, the position of its export industry will weaken at its primary market area	53
If I got a job within the EC area which matched my professional skills, I would be ready to leave Finland	49
If suggestions put forward in certain EC circles of diminishing the voice of the small member states come true, my attitude towards Finland's EC membership will become significantly more negative	54
If the parliamentary elections were held now, for which party would you vote?	81
In Finland's EC negotiations, far too much emphasis is put on agricultural matters	49
In the future, Finland's most important export markets will be comprised of the Far East and other regions of strong economic growth, not of the declining EC-Europe	56
In the long run, the EC will in practise cover the whole of Europe, including the former socialist countries	57
In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland joins the EU within the next few years?	9
Instead of being neutral, the Finnish media is restricting the publication of material opposing the EC membership	44
Instead of the joining the EC, Finland should promote closer cooperation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union	33
Is the company you work for engaged in exporting products or services?	79
Is your employer.. ..	80
It actually makes no difference whether the Finnish laws are made in the Finnish Parliament or in the EC as long as they are just and well-considered	37
Opposing the EC membership is useless because Finland will join the EC sooner or later anyway	39
Opposition to the EC membership is based more on emotions than knowledge	36
Recently, the significance of neutrality has changed so much that strict adherence to it makes no sense any more	34
Respondent's age group	76
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group	79
Respondent's gender	76
Respondent's industry of employment	78
Respondent's province of residence	77
Respondent's trade union membership	80
Respondent's vocational education	78

Size of the municipality of residence	77
Spokesmen for the EC membership are intentionally spreading false information in order to make citizens favourable to the membership	36
Subvention from EC structural funds would mean substantial financial aid to the poorest parts of Finland	51
The decision to join the EC is the most important and far-reaching political decision in decades	46
The decision to join the EC should be made by the President and Parliament. They have been elected to be the decision-making bodies	45
The EC membership would weaken the position and influence of the trade unions	47
The EEA contract (European Economic Area) is perfectly sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration	40
The final decision on whether Finland should join the EC should be made after a consultative referendum, according to the majority opinion	35
The Finnish countryside has to remain populated, regardless of cost	58
The fundamental question in Finland's EC membership is not the economy or even the level of sovereignty, but whether we want to be a part of the East or the West	51
The Parliament should honour the outcome of the consultative referendum even if the difference between YES and NO votes would be marginal	46
The Parliament should honour the outcome of the consultative referendum even if the turnout percentage would be considerably low	47
There is enough reliable information within everybody's reach concerning Finland's EC membership	42
There is no point worrying over what will happen to Finnish agriculture in the EC, since even without the EC membership our agriculture must be reformed	34
To what extent does your attitude towards the EC membership depend on the outcome for certain negotiation goals (i.e. future of Finland's neutrality, transition periods for agriculture)?	7
What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common EC nationality (in addition to own nationality)	12
What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common economic policy of the member states	11
What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common foreign policy of the member states	10
What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Common security and defence policy	10

3. Indexes

What is your attitude towards the possibility that the following principles of the Treaty of Maastricht, deepening the European integration, would apply to Finland: Single currency of the member states (ecu)	11
What is your attitude towards Finland's EC membership?	6
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Arts and culture	18
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of children and families with children	31
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of farmers ...	30
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of managerial, professional and intermediate level employees	29
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of pensioners and the retired	30
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs	31
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of women ...	32
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Circumstances of workers ..	30
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Citizens' legal protection ...	22
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Citizens' possibilities to influence things	27
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Competition in business life	15
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Degree of bureaucracy	28
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Disparity between Finnish regions in the standard of living	22
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Disparity between population groups in the standard of living	21
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Education	19
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Employment	14
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Environmental protection ...	21
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Export and foreign trade	14
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments	25
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finland's relationship to Russia	24
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finnish image abroad	25
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Finnish security policy	24
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Food prices	17
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Functioning of democracy ..	27

What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Gender equality	23
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: General living conditions in Finland	12
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland	26
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Interest level	16
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Level of crime	28
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Level of taxation	16
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: National sovereignty	23
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Nordic co-operation	25
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Price level in general	17
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Public health services	20
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Public morals in general	26
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Quality of food	18
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Religious/spiritual life	29
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Science and research activities	19
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Social security	20
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Standard of living and material welfare	13
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Steady development of national economy	13
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Vigour of business life in general	15
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Wage level	16
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Work environment and working conditions	20
What kind of effect Finland's EC membership would have on: Your own circumstances and life in general	32

Appendix A

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1058 EVAn EU-asennetutkimus syksy 1993

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1058 Finnish EU Attitudes Autumn 1993

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>



Pyydämme Teitä vastaamaan jokaiseen kysymykseen rengastamalla sen vaihtoehdon, joka vastaa Teidän henkilökohtaista mielipidettänne.

1. SUOMI KÄY PARHAILLAAN EY-JÄSENYYSNKUVOTTELUJA. MIKÄ ON TEIDÄN KANTANNE MAAMME EY-JÄSENYYTEEN? (OLETTAEN ETTÄ SE TOTEUTUISI NOIN V. 1995-1996)

- 1 KANNATAN SUOMEN EY- JÄSENYYTTÄ
- 2 VASTUSTAN SUOMEN EY- JÄSENYYTTÄ
- 3 EN OSAA SANOA

5. KUINKA KIINNOSTUNUT TE HENKILÖKOHTAISESTI OLETTE MAAMME EY- JÄSENYYTEEN JA EUROOPAN YHDENTYMISKEHITYKSEEN LIITTYVISTÄ ASIOISTA?

- 1 ERITTÄIN KIINNOSTUNUT, SEURAAAN AKTIIVISESTI
- 2 MELKO KIINNOSTUNUT, SEURAAAN JONKIN VERRAN
- 3 VAIN VÄHÄN KIINNOSTUNUT, EN JUURI SEURAA
- 4 EN LAINKAAN KIINNOSTUNUT, EN SEURAA LAINKAAN
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

2. KUINKA VARMA MIELIPIDE/SELVÄ KANTA TEILLÄ ON ASIASTA?

- 1 TÄYSIN SELVÄ KANTA
- 2 MELKO SELVÄ KANTA
- 3 EI KOVIN SELVÄÄ KANTAA
- 4 OLEN HYVIN EPÄVARMA KANNASTANI
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

6. ENTÄ KUINKA HYVIN TAI HUONOSTI KATSOTTE TUNTEVANNKYEISEISIÄ ASIOITA? OLETTEKO NIISTÄ MIELESTÄNNE PERILLÄ ...

- 1 ERITTÄIN HYVIN
- 2 MELKO HYVIN
- 3 MELKO HUONOSTI
- 4 ERITTÄIN HUONOSTI
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

3. MISSÄ MÄÄRIN EY- KANTANNE RIIPPUU NS. REUNAEHTOJEN TOTEUTUMISESTA ELI SIITÄ, SAAKO SUOMI EY- NEUVOTTELUISSA LÄPI HALUAMANSÄ ERIVAPAUDET/SIIRTYMÄAJAT? (KOSKIEN ESIM. MAATALOUTTA, ALUETUKEÄ, ELÄKEJÄRJESTELMIÄ JA PUOLUEETTOMUUTTA)

- 1 RIIPPUU TÄYSIN
- 2 RIIPPUU JONKIN VERRAN
- 3 EI RIIPU LAINKAAN
- 4 EN OSAA SANOA

7. MIKÄLI MAASSAMME JÄRJESTETÄÄN EY-KANSANÄÄNESTYS, KUINKA VARMANA PIDÄTTE SITÄ ETTÄ KÄYTTE ÄÄNESTÄMÄSSÄ?

- 1 ÄÄNESTÄN VARMASTI
- 2 MELKO VARMASTI
- 3 EHKÄ ÄÄNESTÄN, EHKÄ EN
- 4 TODENNÄKÖISESTI EN ÄÄNESTÄ
- 5 EN VARMASTI ÄÄNESTÄ
- 6 EN OSAA SANOA

4. USKOTTEKO ETTÄ SUOMI SÄÄ NEUVOTELTUÄ ITSELLEEN TÄLLÄISIÄ ERIVAPAUKSIA TÄI SIIRTYMÄÄIKOJÄ?

- 1 SUOMI SÄÄ HUOMATTAVIÄ ERIVAPAUKSIA/ PITKIÄ SIIRTYMÄÄIKOJÄ
- 2 SUOMI SÄÄ VÄHÄISIÄ ERIVAPAUKSIA/ LYHYITÄ SIIRTYMÄÄIKOJÄ
- 3 SUOMI EI SÄÄ MINKÄÄNLAISIÄ ERIVAPAUKSIA, EY SÄNELEE JÄSENYSEHDOT KOKONÄÄN
- 4 EN OSÄÄ SÄNOÄ

8. KUINKÄ TODENNÄKÖISENÄ PIDÄTTE SITÄ, ETTÄ SUOMESÄ TULEE LÄHIVUOSINÄ EYn JÄSEN?

- 1 TOTEUTUÄ VARMASTI
- 2 HYVIN TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 3 MELKO TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 4 EI KOVIN TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 5 HYVIN EPÄTODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 6 EI VARMASTI TOTEUDU
- 7 EN OSÄÄ SÄNOÄ

9. EY ON NYKYISIN PAITSI LÄÄJENTUMASSÄ OTTÄMÄLLÄ UUSIÄ JÄSENIÄ, MYÖS TIIVISTÄMÄSSÄ JÄSENMAIDENSÄ VÄLISTÄ INTEG- RAATIOTÄ (ns. MÄÄSTRICHTIN SOPIMUKSEN MUKÄISESTI).

EYn TIIVISTÄMINEN TÄHTÄÄ EUROOPAN UNIONIN (LIITON) MUODOSTÄMISEEN, JONKÄ KESKEISET PERIÄÄTTEET ON LUETELTU SEURÄÄVASSÄ. MITEN TE SUHTÄUDUTTE SIIHEN, ETTÄ NÄMÄ PERIÄÄTTEET TOTEUTETTÄISIIN/KOSKISIVÄT JOSKUS SUOMEÄ?

	ERITTÄIN MYÖNTEI- SESTI	MELKO MYÖNTEI- SESTI	MELKO KIELTEI- SESTI	ERITTÄIN KIELTEI- SESTI	EN OSÄÄ SÄNOÄ
JÄSENMÄIDEN YHTEINEN ULKOPOLITIIKKÄ	1	2	3	4	5
YHTEINEN TURVALLISUUS- JÄ PUOLUSTUSPOLITIIKKÄ	1	2	3	4	5
JÄSENMÄIDEN YHTEINEN TALOUSPOLITIIKKÄ	1	2	3	4	5
JÄSENMÄIDEN YHTEINEN VALUUTTA/RAHÄYKSIKKÖ (ECU)	1	2	3	4	5
YHTEINEN EY-KÄNSÄLÄISUUS (OMÄN MÄÄN KÄNSÄLÄIS. LISÄKSI)	1	2	3	4	5

10. MILLAINEN VAIKUTUS EY- JÄSENYDELLÄ KÄSITTÄÄKSENNE OLISI SEURAAVIIN ASIOIHIN MAASSAMME?

ASIA, JONKA KANNALTA JÄSENYYDEN VAIKUTUSTA ARVIOIDAAN:	MYÖNTEI- NEN VAI- KUTUS	EI OLEN- NAISTA VAI- KUTUSTA	KIELTEI- NEN VAI- KUTUS	EN OSAA SANOA
ELINOLOT MAASSAMME KOKONAISUUTENA	1	2	3	4
ELINTASO JA AINEELLINEN VAURAUUS	1	2	3	4
KANSANTALouden VAKAA KEHITYS	1	2	3	4
TYÖLLISYYSTILANNE	1	2	3	4
VIENTI JA ULKOMAANKAUPPA	1	2	3	4
YRITYSTOIMINNAN VIREYS YLEENSÄ	1	2	3	4
KILPAILU YRITYSTOIMINNASSA	1	2	3	4
KORKOTASO	1	2	3	4
VEROTUKSEN TASO	1	2	3	4
PALKKATASO	1	2	3	4
HINTATASO YLEENSÄ	1	2	3	4
ELINTARVIKKEIDEN HINTATASO	1	2	3	4
ELINTARVIKKEIDEN LAATUTASO	1	2	3	4
KULTTUURIOLOT	1	2	3	4
KOULUTUSOLOT	1	2	3	4
TIEDE JA TUTKIMUSTOIMINTA	1	2	3	4
SOSIAALITURVA	1	2	3	4
TERVEYDENHUOLTO	1	2	3	4
TYÖYMPÄRISTÖ JA TYÖOLOT	1	2	3	4
YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELU	1	2	3	4
HYVINVOINTIEROT ERI VÄESTÖRYHMIEN VÄLILLÄ	1	2	3	4
HYVINVOINTIEROT MAAN ERI ALUEIDEN VÄLILLÄ	1	2	3	4
KANSALAISTEN OIKEUSTURVA	1	2	3	4
SUKUPUOLTEN TASA-ARVO	1	2	3	4
KANSALLINEN ITSEMÄÄRÄÄMISOIKEUS	1	2	3	4
SUOMEN TURVALLISUUSPOLIITTINEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
SUOMEN SUHTEET VENÄJÄÄN	1	2	3	4
POHJOISMAINEN YHTEISTYÖ	1	2	3	4
SUOMI -KUVA ULKOMAILLA, MAAMME IMAGO	1	2	3	4
SUOMI PÄÄOMIEN SIOITUSKOHTENA	1	2	3	4
MAAN HENKINEN ILMAPIIRI	1	2	3	4
YLEINEN YHTEISKUNTAMORAALI	1	2	3	4
DEMOKRATIAN TOIMIVUUS	1	2	3	4
YKSILÖN VAIKUTUSMAHDOLLISUUDET	1	2	3	4
RIKOLLISUUDEN MÄÄRÄ	1	2	3	4
BYROKRATIAN MÄÄRÄ	1	2	3	4
USKONNOLLINEN/ HENGELLINEN ELÄMÄ	1	2	3	4
TOIMIHENKILÖVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
TYÖNTEKIJÄVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
MAATALOUSVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
ELÄKELÄISVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
YRITTÄJIEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
LASTEN JA LAPSIPERHEIDEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
NAISTEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
OMA ASEMANNE JA ELÄMÄNNE	1	2	3	4

11. SEURAAVASSA ON JOUKKO EY-KYSYMYKSESTÄ JULKISUUDESSA ESITETTYJÄ VÄITTEITÄ. OLETTEKO SAMAA VAI ERI MIELTÄ?

V Ä I T T Ä M Ä T	OLEN TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	OLEN JOK- SEENKIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	VAIKEA SANOJA	OLEN JOK- SEENKIN ERI MIELTÄ	OLEN TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ
VAIKKA EY-JÄSENYYS VOI MERKITÄ MAALLEMME TIUKKOJA AIKOJA, VIELÄ VAIKEAMMAKSI ELÄMÄMME MUODOSTUISI EYn ULKOPUOLELLA	1	2	3	4	5
EYn SIJASTA SUOMEN PITÄISI HAKEUTUA TIIVIIMPÄÄN YHTEISTYÖHÖN VENÄJÄN JA MUIDEN ENTISEN NEUVOSTOLIITON OSIEN KANSSA	1	2	3	4	5
MAATALOUEDESTA MUREHTIMINEN EI OLE EY-KYSYMYKSESSÄ JÄRKEVÄÄ, KOSKA MAATALOUETEMME JOUTUU VÄISTÄMÄTTÄ REMONTTIIN ILMAN EY-JÄSENYYYTTÄKIN	1	2	3	4	5
PUOLUEETTOMUUDEN MERKITYS ON VIIME AIKONA SIINÄ MÄÄRIN MUUT- TUNUT, ETTEI EHDOTON SIITÄ KIINNI PITÄMINEN OLE ENÄÄ JÄRKEVÄÄ	1	2	3	4	5
LOPULLINEN PÄÄTÖS MAAMME LIITTYMISESTÄ EYn JÄSENEKSI TULEE TEHDÄ KANSANÄÄNESTYKSEN JÄLKEEN KANSALAISTEN ENEMMISTÖN MIELIPITEEN MUKAAN	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS RIKKOISI SUOMALAISEN YHTEISKUNNAN RAUHAN JA TUHOAISI PERINTEISEN ELÄMÄNMUOTOMME	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYYDEN PUOLESTAPUHUJAT LEVITTÄVÄT TAHALLAAN VÄÄRIÄ TIETOJA ASIASTA SAADAKSEEN KANSALAISET SUOPEIKSI JÄSENYYYDELLE	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYYDEN VASTUSTUS PERUSTUU ENEMMÄNKIN TUNTEISIIN KUIN TIETOOIN	1	2	3	4	5
ON OIKEASTAAN YHDENTEKEVÄÄ, SÄÄDETTÄÄNKÖ LAKEJAMME EDUSKUNNAS-SA VAIKO EYssä, KUNHAN LAIT VAIN OVAT OIKEUDENMUKAISIA JA JÄRKEVIÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS PAKOTTAA SUOMALAISET NOUDATTAMAAN MONIA SELLAISIA NORMEJA JA YKSITYISKOHTAISIA SÄÄDÖKSIÄ, JOITA EMME HALUA EMMEKÄ TARVITSE	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENHAKEMUS ON LOUKKAUS NIITÄ KOHTAAN, JOTKA OVAT TAISTEL- LEET MAALLEMME ITSENÄISYYDEN	1	2	3	4	5
TEHOKAS YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELU EUROOPASSA ON MAHDOLLISTA VAIN EY- MAIDEN YHTEISVOIMIN	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYSNEUVOTTELUJA KÄYVÄT VIRKAMIEHET JA POLIITIKOT AJAT- TELEVAT VAIN TALOUSELÄMÄN ETUJA JA UNOHTAVAT TAVALLISTEN KANSALAISTEN EDUT	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYYDEN VASTUSTUS ON TURHAA, KOSKA MAAMME ENNEMMIN TAI MYÖHEMMIN JOKA TAPAUKSESSA LIITTYY EYn JÄSENEKSI	1	2	3	4	5
LIITTYMÄLLÄ EYn JÄSENEKSI SUOMI LISÄÄ TOIMINTAMAHDOLLISUUKSIAAN SEKÄ IDÄSSÄ ETTÄ LÄNNESSÄ	1	2	3	4	5
ETA-SOPIMUS (EUROOPAN TALOUSALUE) ON SUOMELLE AIVAN RIITTÄVÄ, SEN TIIVIIMPÄÄ INTEGRATIOTA MAAMME EI TARVITSE	1	2	3	4	5
EY-RATKAISUSSA SUOMEN PITÄISI TEHDÄ OMA PÄÄTÖKSENSÄ RIIPPUMATTA MUIDEN JÄSENYYYTTÄ HAKENEIDEN POHJOISMAIDEN RATKAISUISTA	1	2	3	4	5
EYn JÄSENMAKSUT OVAT AIVAN LIIAN KORKEAT JÄSENYYYDESTÄ SAATAVIIN ETUIHIN NÄHDEN	1	2	3	4	5
MAAMME EY-JÄSENYYYTEEN LIITTYVISTÄ ASIOISTA ON JOKAISEN SAATAVILLA RIITTÄVÄSTI LUOTETTAVAA TIETOA	1	2	3	4	5
MONISSA EY-MAISSA ON YHTÄ HYVÄ TAI PAREMPI SOSIAALITURVA KUIN SUOMESSA	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS ANTAA SUOMELLE ENEMMÄN VAIKUTUSVALTAA EUROOPAN ASIOISSA KUIN ETA-SOPIMUS	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS PAKOTTAISI SUOMALAISET NAISET TAKAISIN KOTIIN ANSIOTYÖSTÄ	1	2	3	4	5
MAAMME TIEDOTUSVÄLINEET EIVÄT TOIMI EY-KYSYMYKSESSÄ TASAPUO- LISESTI, VAAN RAJOITTAVAT EY-VASTAISEN AINEISTON ESILLETULOJA	1	2	3	4	5
EYn KEHITYS HIDASTUU JA VAIKEUTUU TULEVAISUUDESSA TUNTUVASTI	1	2	3	4	5
EY-RATKAISU KUULUU PRESIDENTILLE JA EDUSKUNNALLE, JOTKA MUUTOIN- KIN ON VALITTU ASIOISTAMME PÄÄTTÄMÄÄN	1	2	3	4	5

V Ä I T T Ä M Ä T	OLEN TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	OLEN JOK- SEENKIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	VAIKEA SANOJA	OLEN JOK- SEENKIN ERI MIELTÄ	OLEN TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ
SUOMI SÄILYTTÄÄ KANSALLISEN OMALEIMAISUUTENSA EYn JÄSENEÄKIN	1	2	3	4	5
EY-RATKAISU ON SUOMEN TÄRKEIN JA KAUASKANTOISIN LINJAVALINTA VUOSIKYMMENIIN	1	2	3	4	5
EDUSKUNNAN TULISI NOUDATTAA NEUVOA-ANTAVAN EY-KANSANÄÄNESTYKSEN TULOSTA SIINÄKIN TAPAUKSESSA, ETTÄ ÄÄNIERO OLISI MITÄTÖMÄN PIENI JOMPAAN KUMPAAN SUUNTAAN	1	2	3	4	5
EDUSKUNNAN TULISI NOUDATTAA NEUVOA-ANTAVAN EY-KANSANÄÄNESTYKSEN TULOSTA SIINÄKIN TAPAUKSESSA, ETTÄ KANSANÄÄNESTYKSEN ÄÄNESTYSPROSENTTI JÄISI HUOMATTAVAN ALHAISEKSI	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS HEIKENTÄISI AMMATTIYHDISTYSLIIKKEEN ASEMAA JA VAIKUTUSMAHDOLLISUUKSIA MAASSAMME	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYPIDEN SEURAUKSENA ULKOMAALAINEN HALPA TYÖVOIMA SYRJÄYTTÄISI SUOMALAISTA JA PAHENTÄISI TYÖTTÖMYYYTTÄ MAASSAMME	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS LISÄISI JOUSTAVUUTTA TYÖMARKKINOILLA JA SIIRTÄISI YHÄ ENEMMÄN ASIOITA SOVITTAVAKSI SUORAAN TYÖPAIKOILLA	1	2	3	4	5
MAATALOUSASIAIT PAINOTTUVAT AIVAN LIIKAA SUOMEN EY-NEUVOTTELU-TAVOITTEISSA	1	2	3	4	5
MIKÄLI SAISIN AMMATTITAITOANI VASTAAVAA TYÖTÄ EY-ALUEELTA, OLISIN VALMIS LÄHTEMÄÄN SUOMESTA	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMESSA PITÄISI SIIRTYÄ NOUDATTAMAAN EY-MAIDEN VÄLJEMPÄÄ ALKOHOLIPOLITIIKKAA JA -HINNOITTELUA	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN EI PITÄISI SALLIA KESÄMÖKKIEN JA RANTATONTTIEN VAPAATA MYYNTIÄ ULKOMAALAISILLE	1	2	3	4	5
EYn RAKENNERAHASTOISTA SAATAVA TUKI MERKITSISI TUNTUVAA TALOUDELLISTA APUA MAAMME KÖYHIMMILLE ALUEILLE	1	2	3	4	5
PERUSKYSYMYKSI SUOMEN EY-JÄSENYYPIDESSÄ EI OLE TALOUS EIKÄ EDES ITSEMÄÄRÄÄMISOIKEUTEMME ASTE, VAAN SE HALUAMMEKO KUULUA ITÄÄN VAI LÄNTEEN	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYPIDEN MYÖTÄ MAAMME AY-LIIKE PÄÄSISI VAIKUTTAMAAN KANSAINVÄLISELLÄ TASOLLA TÄRKEISIIN TYÖELÄMÄN KYSYMYKSIIN	1	2	3	4	5
EPÄVAKAAN VENÄJÄN NAAPURINA SUOMEN OLISI TURVALLISEMPAA OLLA EYn JÄSENI KUIN JÄÄDÄ EYn ULKOPUOLELLE	1	2	3	4	5
MIKÄLI SUOMI JÄÄ EYn ULKOPUOLELLE, VIENTITEOLLISUUTEMME ASEMA PÄÄMARKKINA-ALUEELLAAN HEIKKENEE TUNTUVASTI	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN EY-JÄSENYYS ON UHKA KOTIMAAKUNTANI TULEVAISUUDELLE	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS EI OLISI SUOMELLE MIKÄÄN TURVALLISUUSPOLIITTINEN HENKI-VAKUUTUS, VAAN PÄINVASTOIN LOISI UUTTA JÄNNITYSTÄ ITÄRAJALLEMME	1	2	3	4	5
MIKÄLI EYn PIIRISSÄ ESITETYT KAAVAILUT PIENTEN JÄSENAIDEN SANANVALLAN KAVENTAMISESTA TOTEUTUVAT, KANTANI MAAMME EY-JÄSENYYPIDEN KIELTEISTYY HUOMATTAVASTI	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN PITÄISI OTTAA AIKALISÄ EY-ASIASSA JA ODOTTAA KUNNES NÄHDÄÄN MILLAISEKSI EY KEHITTYY	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS MERKITSISI PIRISTYSRUISKETTA SUOMEN TALOUDELLE JA NOPEUTTAISI MAAMME IRTIPÄÄSYÄ LAMASTA	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN ON KAIKISSA OLOISSA KYETTÄVÄ ITSE VASTAAMAAN TURVALISUUDESTAAN, MUISTA EI OLE SIINÄ APUA	1	2	3	4	5
TULEVAISUUDESSA TÄRKEIMMÄT VIENTIMARKKINAMME OVAT KAUKOIDÄN JA MUILLA VOIMAKKAAN TALOUSKASVUN ALUEILLA, EIVÄT TAANTUVASSA EY-EUROOPASSA	1	2	3	4	5
VAIKKA EYIIÄ ONKIN OMAT PULMANSÄ, SE ON JOKA TAPAUKSESSA AINOÄ VOIMÄ, JOKA VOI OHJATA JA HALLITA EUROOPAN KEHITYSTÄ	1	2	3	4	5
PITKÄLLÄ AIKÄVÄLILLÄ EY TULEE KÄTTÄMÄÄN KÄYTÄNNÖSSÄ KAIKKI EUROOPAN MAAT ENTISET SOSIALISTIMÄÄT MUKÄÄNLUKIEI	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN MAASEUTU ON PIDETTÄVÄ ASUTTUNA KUSTANNUKSIÄSTÄ RIIPPUMÄTTÄ	1	2	3	4	5

12. ERI IHMISILLÄ ON ERILAISIA KÄSITYKSIÄ JA MIELIKUVIA EUROOPAN YHTEISÖSTÄ. MITEN SEURAAVAT OMINAISUUDET JA LUONNEHDINNAT SOPIVAT KUVAAMAAN TEIDÄN HENKILÖKOHTAISTA KÄSITYSTÄNNE EYstä?

	SOPII HY- VIN	TOISAALTA SOPII, TOI- SAALTA EI	SOPII HUO- NOSTI	EN OSAA SANOA
KERTOO AVOIMESTI TAVOITTEISTAAN JA TOIMINNASTAAN	1	2	3	4
LUJITTAU RUAHAN SÄILYMISTÄ EUROOPASSA	1	2	3	4
OTTAU YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELUN VAKAVASTI	1	2	3	4
DEMOKRAATTINEN	1	2	3	4
SALAILEE ASIOITA	1	2	3	4
TASOITTAU EUROOPAN MAIDEN HYVINVOINTI- JA ELINTASOEROJA	1	2	3	4
TOIMII VAHVOJEN EHOILLA	1	2	3	4
EI VÄLITÄ JÄSENMAIDENSA KANSALAISTEN MIELIPITEISTÄ	1	2	3	4
TARPEELLINEN SAKSAN YLIVALTAPYRKIMYSTEN ESTÄMISEKSI	1	2	3	4
KOROSTAA LIIKAA TALOUDELLISIA ARVOJA, LAIMINLYÖ SOSIAALISIA	1	2	3	4
VASTUULLINEN	1	2	3	4
BYOKRAATTINEN	1	2	3	4
TEHOKKAASTI JOHDETTU	1	2	3	4
EDISTÄÄ KOKO EUROOPAN HYVINVOINTIA	1	2	3	4
NOPEASTI KEHITTYVÄ	1	2	3	4
YHDENMUKAISTAA LIIAKSI ERI MAIDEN OLOJA JA KULTTUUREJA	1	2	3	4
UHKA PIENTEN MAIDEN KANSALLISELLE OLEMASSAOLOLLE	1	2	3	4
NYKYAJAN NEUVOSTOLIITTO, EPÄONNISTUMAAN TUOMITTU UTOPIA	1	2	3	4
LÄHENTÄÄ/EDISTÄÄ ERI MAIDEN KANSALAISTEN VUOROVAIKUTUSTA	1	2	3	4
EPÄLUOTETTAVA SOPIMUSKUMPPANI, JOSTA EI EDES PÄÄSE EROON	1	2	3	4
KAIKKIA HYÖDYTTÄVÄ TYÖNJAKO- JA YHTEISTOIMINTAJÄRJESTELMÄ	1	2	3	4
TOIMII VAIN TALOUDELLISESTI HYVINÄ AIKAINA, RIITAUTUU HUONAINA	1	2	3	4
VAHVISTAA EUROOPAN ASEMAA MAAILMANLAAJUISESSA KILPAILUSSA	1	2	3	4
JÄÄMÄSSÄ AJASTAAN JÄLKEEN/TAANTUVA	1	2	3	4
OSA VÄISTÄMÄTÖNTÄ MAAILMANLAAJUISTA INTEGRAATIOKEHITYSTÄ	1	2	3	4
PALVELEE TALOUSELÄMÄN TARPEITA, EI TAVALLISTEN IHMISTEN	1	2	3	4
LÄNSIMAISEN SIVISTYKSEN JA ARVOMAAILMAN VANKKA PUOLUSTAJA	1	2	3	4
KANSALLISTEN KULTTUURIEN KUIHDUTTAJA	1	2	3	4

13. KUTEN EY-JÄSENYYSSNEUVOTTELUISTAMME TIEDETÄÄN, SUOMI EI HALUA KAIKISSA ASIOISSA SUORAAN SOPEUTUA EYn SÄÄNTÖIHIN. KUINKA TÄRKEÄNÄ PIDÄTTE SITÄ, ETTÄ SUOMI SAA NEUVOTTELUTAVOITTEENSA LÄPI SEURAAVISSA ASIOISSA?

	ERITTÄIN TÄR- KEÄTÄ	MELKO TÄR- KEÄTÄ	EI KOVIN TÄR- KEÄTÄ	EI LAIN- KAAN TÄRK.	EN OSAA SANOA
MAATALOUSPOLITIikka	1	2	3	4	5
ALUEPOLITIikka	1	2	3	4	5
PUOLUEETTOMUUSPOLITIikka	1	2	3	4	5
TULLIPOLITIikka	1	2	3	4	5
TYÖELÄKEKYSYMYKSET	1	2	3	4	5
KESÄASUNTOJEN ULKOMAALAISSOMISTUS	1	2	3	4	5
METSÄSTYS- JA KALASTUSKYSYMYKSET	1	2	3	4	5
ALKOHOLIPOLITIikka	1	2	3	4	5

VASTAAJIEN TAUSTATIEDOT AINEISTON TILASTOLLISTA RYHMITTELYÄ VARTEN

SUKUPUOLI

- 1 MIES
- 2 NAINEN

IKÄRYHMÄ

- 1 18 - 25 VUOTTA
- 2 26 - 35 VUOTTA
- 3 36 - 45 VUOTTA
- 4 46 - 55 VUOTTA
- 5 56 - 65 VUOTTA
- 6 YLI 65 VUOTTA

ASUINKUNNAN KOKO

- 1 ALLE 4 000 ASUKASTA
- 2 4 000 - 8 000 ASUKASTA
- 3 8 000 - 30 000 ASUKASTA
- 4 30 000 - 80 000 ASUKASTA
- 5 YLI 80 000 ASUKASTA

LÄÄNI, JOSSA ASUTTE

- 1 UUDENMAAN LÄÄNI
- 2 TURUN JA PORIN LÄÄNI
- 3 HÄMEEN LÄÄNI
- 4 KYMEN LÄÄNI
- 5 MIKKELIN LÄÄNI
- 6 POHJOIS-KARJALAN LÄÄNI
- 7 KUOPION LÄÄNI
- 8 KESKI-SUOMEN LÄÄNI
- 9 VAASAN LÄÄNI
- 10 OULUN LÄÄNI
- 11 LAPIN LÄÄNI

MILLAINEN AMMATILLINEN KOULUTUS TEILLÄ ON?

- 1 EI AMMATILLISTA KOULUTUSTA
- 2 AMMATTIKURSSI, LYHYT AMMATTIKOULUTUS, TYÖN OHESSA SAATU KOULUTUS
- 3 AMMATTIKOULU, KAUPPAKOULU TAI VASTAAVA
- 4 OPISTOTASOINEN AMMATTIKOULUTUS
- 5 YLIOPISTO- TAI KORKEAKOULUTUTKINTO

TOIMIALA, JOLLA TYÖSKENTELETTE

- 1 MAA- JA METSÄTALOUS
- 2 TEOLLISUUS JA RAKENNUSTOIMINTA
- 3 YKSITYINEN PALVELUTOIMINTA
- 4 JULKINEN PALVELUTOIMINTA
- 5 EN OLE MUKANA TYÖELÄMÄSSÄ

AMMATTIRYHMÄ, JOHON KATSOTTE LÄHINNÄ KUULUVANNE

- 1 JOHTAVASSA ASEMASSA TOISEN PALVELUKSESSA
- 2 YLEMPI TOIMIHENKILÖ
- 3 ALEMPI TOIMIHENKILÖ
- 4 TYÖNTEKIJÄ
- 5 YRITTÄJÄ TAI YKSITYINEN AMMATINHARJOITTAJA
- 6 MAATALOUSYRITTÄJÄ
- 7 OPISKELIJA
- 8 ELÄKELÄINEN
- 9 KOTIÄITI /-ROUVA
- 10 TYÖTÖN
- 11 MUU

HARJOITTAAKO TYÖPAIKKANNE TUOTTEIDEN TAI PALVELUJEN VIENTIÄ ULKOMAILLE?

- 1 EI LAINKAAN
- 2 JONKIN VERRAN
- 3 HUOMATTAVASSA MÄÄRIN
- 4 EN OLE MUKANA TYÖELÄMÄSSÄ

ONKO TYÖNANTAJANNE

- 1 ALLE 50 TYÖNTEKIJÄN YRITYS
- 2 50 - 500 TYÖNTEKIJÄN YRITYS
- 3 YLI 500 TYÖNTEKIJÄN YRITYS
- 4 VALTIO
- 5 KUNTA TAI KUNTAINLIITTO
- 6 MUU
- 7 EN OLE MUKANA TYÖELÄMÄSSÄ

KUULUTTEKO JOHONKIN AMMATILLISEEN KESKUSJÄRJESTÖÖN?

- 1 EN KUULU
- 2 KYLLÄ, AMMATILLINEN KESKUSJÄRJESTÖNI ON
 - A) SAK
 - B) STTK
 - C) AKAVA
 - D) MTK

JOS EDUSKUNTAVAALIT PIDETTÄISIIN NYT, MITÄ PUOLUETTA ÄÄNESTÄISITTE?

- 1 KESK
- 2 KOK
- 3 LKP
- 4 RKP
- 5 SDP
- 6 SKL
- 7 SMP
- 8 VASEMMISTOLIITTO
- 9 VIHREÄT
- 10 JOKIN MUU
- 11 EN ÄÄNESTÄISI LAINKAAN
- 12 EN OSAA SANOA
- 13 EN HALUA SANOA

KOMMENTTEJA?

LOMAKKEEN LOPPUUN OLEMME VARANNEET TILAA KOMMENTEILLE JA MIELIPITEILLE. VOITTE KIRJOITTA SIIHEN MITÄ TAHANSA NÄKEMYKSIÄNNE EY-KYSYMYKSESTÄ TAI TÄSTÄ TUTKIMUKSESTA. KAIKKI MIELIPITEET OVAT TERVETULLEITA JA ARVOKKAITA!

KIITOKSET VAIVANNÄÖSTÄ!

Palauttakaa tämä lomake oheisessa kirjekuoressa.

