

**FSD1059**

**Finnish EU Attitudes 2001**

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

**The bibliographic citation for this codebook:**

Finnish EU Attitudes 2001 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (23.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive  
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:  
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi  
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:  
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive  
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

# To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1059 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA) & Yhdyskuntatutkimus:  
Finnish EU Attitudes 2001 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-23). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1059>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Study description</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Titles . . . . .	1
1.2	Subject description . . . . .	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data . . . . .	3
1.4	Use of data . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Variables</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Indexes</b>	<b>89</b>
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence . . . . .	89
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order . . . . .	97
<b>A</b>	<b>Questionnaire in Finnish</b>	<b>105</b>



# Chapter 1

## Study description

### 1.1 Titles

**Titles and data version:** Finnish EU Attitudes 2001

**Titles and data version in Finnish:** EVAn EU-asennetutkimus 2001

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (23.7.2018) of the data.

### 1.2 Subject description

#### **Authoring entity**

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA)  
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

#### **Copyright statement for the data**

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

#### **Depositor**

Kiljunen, Pentti (Yhdyskuntatutkimus)

#### **Date of deposit**

7.6.2002

### **Keywords**

attitudes; economic integration; effects; enlargement; EU politics; Europe; European monetary system; European Union; future; monetary policy; single European currency

### **Topic Classification**

**Fields of Science Classification:** social sciences

**CESSDA Classification:** economic systems and development; government, political systems and organisations; international politics and organisations; political behaviour and attitudes

### **Series description**

The data belong to the series:

Finnish EU Attitudes

The research series, launched by the Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA) in 1992, studies Finnish public opinion on EU integration. Views are probed on Finland's EU membership, the future and enlargement of the EU, European economic and fiscal policy, EMU, the European Parliament, Finnish security policy, EU subsidies and European integration as a whole. In addition, the surveys canvass citizen satisfaction with the availability of EU-related information, as well as with the way the Finnish Government and Parliament have handled EU issues.

Starting from 2001, the surveys also cover opinions on international issues in general. Background information includes age, gender, education, economic activity, occupational group and trade union membership. Respondents have been asked which party they would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at the time of the study. The surveys are commissioned by EVA, and usually carried out by Yhdyskuntatutkimus.

### **Abstract**

The survey studies what Finns think about the EU membership and its effects. The respondents were asked how interested they were of matters connected to Finland's membership in the EU, how actively they followed these matters and how well-informed of them they considered themselves to be. They were also asked what kind of policy and development goals Finland should promote as a member of the union. For example, the respondents were asked to comment on whether Finland should concentrate on advancing its own national interests or on issues concerning the whole union. Finns' opinions about the effects of the EU were examined with questions about the standard of living, taxation, social services, the equality between men and women, Finland's relations with foreign countries, public morals, and the status of persons belonging to various socio-economic groups. One theme was EU's enlargement to Eastern and Western Europe and the Baltic Countries. The respondents were asked to evaluate what kind of consequences the enlargement might have.

The respondents' attitude towards Finland's membership in NATO under different conditions was canvassed. The conditions mentioned included the Baltic Countries joining NATO, Sweden



joining or both the Baltic Countries and Sweden joining. Their opinions about the integration of European Union, that is, increasing the economical and political co-operation and unifying the legislation, were also surveyed. In connection with the euro, the respondents were asked whether they found it easy or difficult to get used to the new currency, whether Finnish identity is affected by the renunciation of the national currency, and whether travel and shopping has become easier. Opinions on the effects of euro on the international economy, or on the sense of togetherness between the citizens of EU countries were also surveyed.

Background variables included the respondents' gender, age group, size of municipality of residence, province of residence, level of education, occupational group, industry of employment, membership in a trade union, and which party R would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at that moment.

## 1.3 Structure and collection of the data

**Country:** Finland

**Geographic coverage:** Finland

**Analysis or observation unit type:** Individual

**Universe:** Finnish population aged 18-70, excluding the Åland Islands

**Collection date:** 1.11.2001 – 19.12.2001

**Data collector(s):** Yhdyskuntatutkimus

**Data producer(s):** Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies

**Mode of data collection:** Self-administered questionnaire: Paper

**Type of research instrument:** Structured questionnaire

**Time period covered:** 2001

**Time method of the data collection:** Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

**Response rate:** 45.70%

**Number of variables and cases:** The data contain 175 variables and 1371 cases.

**Sampling procedure:** Probability: Simple random

The original target group of the survey consisted of 3,000 persons (the Åland Islands excluded), representing the randomly selected Finnish population aged 18-70 years. There were 1,371 respondents, which means that the response rate was 45.7 percent. The data is correct and representative.

## 1.4 Use of data

### Related publications

Haikonen, Jyrki & Kiljunen, Pentti (2003). Mitä mieltä, suomalainen? EVAn asennetutkimuksen kertomaa vuosilta 1984-2003. Helsinki: Taloustieto. EVA-raportti.

## 1. Study description

---

Suomi, EU ja maailma. Suomalaisten EU-kannanotot 2002 (2002). Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta (EVA).

Updated list of publications in the study description at

[https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1059?lang=en&study\\_language=en](https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1059?lang=en&study_language=en)

### **Location of the data collection**

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

### **Weighting**

There are no weight variables in the data.

### **Restrictions**

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

# Chapter 2

## Variables

### [FSD\_NO] FSD study number

#### Question

*FSD study number*

#### Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1371
minimum	1059.00
maximum	1059.00
mean	1059.00
standard deviation	0.00

### [FSD\_VR] FSD edition number

#### Question

*FSD edition number*

#### Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1371
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

**[FSD\_ID] FSD case id**

**Question**

*FSD case id*

**Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1371
minimum	1.00
maximum	1371.00
mean	686.00
standard deviation	395.92

**[Q1] How interested are you of issues related to Finland’s EU membership?**

**Question**

*How interested are you of issues related to Finland’s EU membership?*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	92	6.7	–
very interested	1	104	7.6	8.1
fairly interested	2	673	49.1	52.6
not very interested	3	455	33.2	35.6
not at all interested	4	36	2.6	2.8
can’t say	5	11	0.8	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q2] How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel...**

**Question**

*How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel...*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	95	6.9	–
very well informed	1	26	1.9	2.0
fairly well informed	2	453	33.0	35.5
not very well informed	3	668	48.7	52.4
not at all informed	4	97	7.1	7.6
can't say	5	32	2.3	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_1] Views on EU membership: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are just

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are just*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	14	1.0	–
strongly agree	1	178	13.0	13.1
agree	2	382	27.9	28.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	96	7.0	7.1
disagree	4	429	31.3	31.6
strongly disagree	5	272	19.8	20.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_2] Views on EU membership: EU membership fees are far too high when compared with the advantages brought by the membership

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: EU membership fees are far too high when compared with the advantages brought by the membership*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	15	1.1	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	388	28.3	28.6
agree	2	362	26.4	26.7
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	475	34.6	35.0
disagree	4	107	7.8	7.9
strongly disagree	5	24	1.8	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_3] Views on EU membership: Although the EU has its problems, it is nevertheless the only power that can lead and control Europe's development**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Although the EU has its problems, it is nevertheless the only power that can lead and control Europe's development*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	1.8	–
strongly agree	1	112	8.2	8.3
agree	2	382	27.9	28.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	346	25.2	25.7
disagree	4	333	24.3	24.7
strongly disagree	5	174	12.7	12.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_4] Views on EU membership: Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland is more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland is more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.2	–
strongly agree	1	295	21.5	21.8
agree	2	387	28.2	28.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	303	22.1	22.4
disagree	4	234	17.1	17.3
strongly disagree	5	135	9.8	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_5] Views on EU membership: Joining EMU (Economic and Monetary Union) was the right decision for Finland

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: Joining EMU (Economic and Monetary Union) was the right decision for Finland*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	14	1.0	–
strongly agree	1	223	16.3	16.4
agree	2	306	22.3	22.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	383	27.9	28.2
disagree	4	197	14.4	14.5
strongly disagree	5	248	18.1	18.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_6] Views on EU membership: If EU makes decisions which have a significant effect on living conditions in member states, they must submitted to a referendum in Finland

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: If EU makes decisions which have a significant effect on living conditions in member states, they must submitted to a referendum in Finland*

#### Frequencies

## 2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	1.5	–
strongly agree	1	667	48.7	49.4
agree	2	382	27.9	28.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	143	10.4	10.6
disagree	4	114	8.3	8.4
strongly disagree	5	45	3.3	3.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_7] Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the Finnish parliament has been dealing with EU matters**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the Finnish parliament has been dealing with EU matters*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.2	–
strongly agree	1	52	3.8	3.8
agree	2	381	27.8	28.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	490	35.7	36.2
disagree	4	310	22.6	22.9
strongly disagree	5	121	8.8	8.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_8] Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way our current government has been dealing with EU matters**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way our current government has been dealing with EU matters*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	1.5	–

(continued on next page)



(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	81	5.9	6.0
agree	2	411	30.0	30.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	420	30.6	31.1
disagree	4	305	22.2	22.6
strongly disagree	5	134	9.8	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_9] Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the president of Finland has been dealing with EU matters

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the president of Finland has been dealing with EU matters*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	15	1.1	–
strongly agree	1	221	16.1	16.3
agree	2	504	36.8	37.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	391	28.5	28.8
disagree	4	158	11.5	11.7
strongly disagree	5	82	6.0	6.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_10] Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries*

#### Frequencies

## 2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	16	1.2	–
strongly agree	1	554	40.4	40.9
agree	2	422	30.8	31.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	236	17.2	17.4
disagree	4	124	9.0	9.2
strongly disagree	5	19	1.4	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_11] Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland's EU membership**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland's EU membership*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	15	1.1	–
strongly agree	1	274	20.0	20.2
agree	2	398	29.0	29.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	271	19.8	20.0
disagree	4	303	22.1	22.3
strongly disagree	5	110	8.0	8.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_12] Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	1.3	–
strongly agree	1	220	16.0	16.3
agree	2	110	8.0	8.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	301	22.0	22.2
disagree	4	258	18.8	19.1
strongly disagree	5	464	33.8	34.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_13] Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (e.g. NATO)

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (e.g. NATO)*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	1.3	–
strongly agree	1	224	16.3	16.6
agree	2	378	27.6	27.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	369	26.9	27.3
disagree	4	212	15.5	15.7
strongly disagree	5	170	12.4	12.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_14] Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	14	1.0	–
strongly agree	1	386	28.2	28.4

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	446	32.5	32.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	166	12.1	12.2
disagree	4	282	20.6	20.8
strongly disagree	5	77	5.6	5.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_15] Views on EU membership: The EU constitutional treaty should state clearly which issues can be decided nationally and which issues must be decided collectively in the Union**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: The EU constitutional treaty should state clearly which issues can be decided nationally and which issues must be decided collectively in the Union*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	21	1.5	–
strongly agree	1	750	54.7	55.6
agree	2	452	33.0	33.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	125	9.1	9.3
disagree	4	13	0.9	1.0
strongly disagree	5	10	0.7	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_16] Views on EU membership: It is unnecessary to criticize the EU since it has succeeded well in its main tasks: keeping peace and maintaining prosperity**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: It is unnecessary to criticize the EU since it has succeeded well in its main tasks: keeping peace and maintaining prosperity*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	15	1.1	–
strongly agree	1	52	3.8	3.8
agree	2	347	25.3	25.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	424	30.9	31.3
disagree	4	357	26.0	26.3
strongly disagree	5	176	12.8	13.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_17] Views on EU membership: Taxation should be uniform (similar) in EU member states**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Taxation should be uniform (similar) in EU member states*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.4	–
strongly agree	1	357	26.0	26.4
agree	2	369	26.9	27.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	296	21.6	21.9
disagree	4	215	15.7	15.9
strongly disagree	5	115	8.4	8.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_18] Views on EU membership: Nowadays, EU takes public opinion into account when deciding how to develop the Union**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Nowadays, EU takes public opinion into account when deciding how to develop the Union*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.2	–
strongly agree	1	21	1.5	1.6

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	119	8.7	8.8
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	424	30.9	31.3
disagree	4	491	35.8	36.3
strongly disagree	5	299	21.8	22.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_19] Views on EU membership: After EU's enlargement to Eastern Europe, Finland's subsidies should remain the same even if it means increasing EU's budget**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: After EU's enlargement to Eastern Europe, Finland's subsidies should remain the same even if it means increasing EU's budget*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	22	1.6	–
strongly agree	1	380	27.7	28.2
agree	2	434	31.7	32.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	386	28.2	28.6
disagree	4	124	9.0	9.2
strongly disagree	5	25	1.8	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_20] Views on EU membership: The President of European Commission should be elected through a vote in the European Parliament**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: The President of European Commission should be elected through a vote in the European Parliament*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.5	–
strongly agree	1	372	27.1	27.8
agree	2	451	32.9	33.7
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	387	28.2	28.9
disagree	4	77	5.6	5.8
strongly disagree	5	50	3.6	3.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q3\_21] Views on EU membership: Finns are quite capable of making decisions on their own, there is no need for anyone else/any union**

**Question**

*Views on EU membership: Finns are quite capable of making decisions on their own, there is no need for anyone else/any union*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	1.8	–
strongly agree	1	368	26.8	27.3
agree	2	439	32.0	32.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	203	14.8	15.1
disagree	4	269	19.6	20.0
strongly disagree	5	68	5.0	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q3\_22] Views on EU membership: EU is a selfish organization of affluent European countries; it supervises the interests of its members states and lacks any sense of global responsibility**

**Question**

*Views on EU membership: EU is a selfish organization of affluent European countries; it supervises the interests of its members states and lacks any sense of global responsibility*

**Frequencies**

## 2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.2	–
strongly agree	1	180	13.1	13.4
agree	2	344	25.1	25.7
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	325	23.7	24.2
disagree	4	380	27.7	28.3
strongly disagree	5	112	8.2	8.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_23] Views on EU membership: I have no idea what Finnish European Parliament members have done or aim to do

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: I have no idea what Finnish European Parliament members have done or aim to do*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	1.9	–
strongly agree	1	256	18.7	19.0
agree	2	445	32.5	33.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	188	13.7	14.0
disagree	4	375	27.4	27.9
strongly disagree	5	81	5.9	6.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_24] Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to influence EU's decision-making

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to influence EU's decision-making*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	22	1.6	–
strongly agree	1	31	2.3	2.3

(continued on next page)



(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	321	23.4	23.8
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	455	33.2	33.7
disagree	4	399	29.1	29.6
strongly disagree	5	143	10.4	10.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_25] Views on EU membership: In the future, the EU will become a tight federation where nation states no longer have any significance**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: In the future, the EU will become a tight federation where nation states no longer have any significance*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	1.9	–
strongly agree	1	177	12.9	13.2
agree	2	285	20.8	21.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	442	32.2	32.9
disagree	4	302	22.0	22.5
strongly disagree	5	139	10.1	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_26] Views on EU membership: EU keeps on developing and changing and therefore its jurisdiction cannot be finally determined**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: EU keeps on developing and changing and therefore its jurisdiction cannot be finally determined*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.1	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	210	15.3	15.6
agree	2	684	49.9	51.0
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	330	24.1	24.6
disagree	4	102	7.4	7.6
strongly disagree	5	16	1.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_27] Views on EU membership: EU decision-making should be based more on majority voting and the veto powers of individual member states should be limited**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: EU decision-making should be based more on majority voting and the veto powers of individual member states should be limited*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.2	–
strongly agree	1	216	15.8	16.1
agree	2	343	25.0	25.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	301	22.0	22.4
disagree	4	285	20.8	21.3
strongly disagree	5	196	14.3	14.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_28] Views on EU membership: Even though EU enlargement to Eastern Europe will be costly, it is politically and morally necessary**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Even though EU enlargement to Eastern Europe will be costly, it is politically and morally necessary*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.3	–
strongly agree	1	118	8.6	8.8
agree	2	406	29.6	30.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	442	32.2	33.0
disagree	4	245	17.9	18.3
strongly disagree	5	128	9.3	9.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_29] Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to retain its national identity even as an EU member

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to retain its national identity even as an EU member*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	1.9	–
strongly agree	1	194	14.2	14.4
agree	2	524	38.2	39.0
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	274	20.0	20.4
disagree	4	244	17.8	18.1
strongly disagree	5	109	8.0	8.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_30] Views on EU membership: Finland could be represented in the UN by the representative of the EU

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: Finland could be represented in the UN by the representative of the EU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	1.9	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	93	6.8	6.9
agree	2	98	7.1	7.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	323	23.6	24.0
disagree	4	366	26.7	27.2
strongly disagree	5	465	33.9	34.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_31] Views on EU membership: EU enlargement to the East would eventually lead to EU's disintegration, since a larger (and more complex) Union would be ungovernable**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: EU enlargement to the East would eventually lead to EU's disintegration, since a larger (and more complex) Union would be ungovernable*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–
strongly agree	1	148	10.8	11.1
agree	2	266	19.4	19.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	544	39.7	40.7
disagree	4	279	20.4	20.9
strongly disagree	5	99	7.2	7.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_32] Views on EU membership: If I did not know Finland is an EU member, I would not notice it in my everyday life**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: If I did not know Finland is an EU member, I would not notice it in my everyday life*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	1.8	–
strongly agree	1	180	13.1	13.4
agree	2	367	26.8	27.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	119	8.7	8.8
disagree	4	414	30.2	30.8
strongly disagree	5	266	19.4	19.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_1] As an EU member Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests**

#### **Question**

*As an EU member Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.0	–
definitely yes	1	278	20.3	20.9
yes	2	515	37.6	38.7
maybe, maybe not	3	312	22.8	23.5
no	4	202	14.7	15.2
definitely not	5	23	1.7	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_2] As an EU member Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole Union**

#### **Question**

*As an EU member Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole Union*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.4	–
definitely yes	1	124	9.0	9.4
yes	2	664	48.4	50.1

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
maybe, maybe not	3	380	27.7	28.7
no	4	127	9.3	9.6
definitely not	5	30	2.2	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_3] As an EU member Finland should: Promote good relations between Russia and the EU**

#### **Question**

*As an EU member Finland should: Promote good relations between Russia and the EU*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
definitely yes	1	198	14.4	14.9
yes	2	705	51.4	52.9
maybe, maybe not	3	328	23.9	24.6
no	4	79	5.8	5.9
definitely not	5	22	1.6	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_4] As an EU member Finland should: Try to bring EU's common social policy towards the Nordic concept**

#### **Question**

*As an EU member Finland should: Try to bring EU's common social policy towards the Nordic concept*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.2	–
definitely yes	1	251	18.3	18.9
yes	2	714	52.1	53.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
maybe, maybe not	3	291	21.2	21.9
no	4	62	4.5	4.7
definitely not	5	9	0.7	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_5] As an EU member Finland should: Focus on preseving the EU as a loose union

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Focus on preseving the EU as a loose union*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.5	–
definitely yes	1	154	11.2	11.6
yes	2	365	26.6	27.6
maybe, maybe not	3	431	31.4	32.6
no	4	312	22.8	23.6
definitely not	5	61	4.4	4.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_6] As an EU member Finland should: Focus on changing the EU into a tight federation

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Focus on changing the EU into a tight federation*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.5	–
definitely yes	1	25	1.8	1.9
yes	2	86	6.3	6.5
maybe, maybe not	3	357	26.0	27.0

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	4	447	32.6	33.8
definitely not	5	408	29.8	30.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_7] As an EU member Finland should: Promote EU enlargement to new countries/Eastern Europe**

#### **Question**

*As an EU member Finland should: Promote EU enlargement to new countries/Eastern Europe*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.2	–
definitely yes	1	41	3.0	3.1
yes	2	341	24.9	25.7
maybe, maybe not	3	570	41.6	43.0
no	4	287	20.9	21.6
definitely not	5	88	6.4	6.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_8] As an EU member Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership**

#### **Question**

*As an EU member Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–
definitely yes	1	106	7.7	7.9
yes	2	460	33.6	34.4
maybe, maybe not	3	515	37.6	38.5
no	4	209	15.2	15.6

(continued on next page)



(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
definitely not	5	46	3.4	3.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_9] As an EU member Finland should: Improve international crime prevention

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Improve international crime prevention*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.2	–
definitely yes	1	904	65.9	67.4
yes	2	396	28.9	29.5
maybe, maybe not	3	34	2.5	2.5
no	4	5	0.4	0.4
definitely not	5	2	0.1	0.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_10] As an EU member Finland should: Actively participate in fighting terrorism

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Actively participate in fighting terrorism*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–
definitely yes	1	709	51.7	53.1
yes	2	446	32.5	33.4
maybe, maybe not	3	148	10.8	11.1
no	4	26	1.9	1.9
definitely not	5	7	0.5	0.5

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_11] As an EU member Finland should: Promote openness/transparency in the EU**

#### **Question**

*As an EU member Finland should: Promote openness/transparency in the EU*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	2.8	–
definitely yes	1	629	45.9	47.2
yes	2	551	40.2	41.3
maybe, maybe not	3	145	10.6	10.9
no	4	7	0.5	0.5
definitely not	5	1	0.1	0.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_12] As an EU member Finland should: Promote the introduction of common crisis management forces**

#### **Question**

*As an EU member Finland should: Promote the introduction of common crisis management forces*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.0	–
definitely yes	1	174	12.7	13.1
yes	2	481	35.1	36.2
maybe, maybe not	3	433	31.6	32.6
no	4	180	13.1	13.5
definitely not	5	62	4.5	4.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_13] As an EU member Finland should: Promote the efficiency of EU's common environmental policy

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Promote the efficiency of EU's common environmental policy*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	2.9	–
definitely yes	1	488	35.6	36.7
yes	2	647	47.2	48.6
maybe, maybe not	3	138	10.1	10.4
no	4	43	3.1	3.2
definitely not	5	15	1.1	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_14] As an EU member Finland should: Strengthen the influence of small member states in EU decision-making

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Strengthen the influence of small member states in EU decision-making*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
definitely yes	1	631	46.0	47.4
yes	2	576	42.0	43.2
maybe, maybe not	3	109	8.0	8.2
no	4	16	1.2	1.2
definitely not	5	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_15] As an EU member Finland should: Promote common foreign and security policy**

#### **Question**

*As an EU member Finland should: Promote common foreign and security policy*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.3	–
definitely yes	1	222	16.2	16.7
yes	2	587	42.8	44.3
maybe, maybe not	3	373	27.2	28.1
no	4	115	8.4	8.7
definitely not	5	29	2.1	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_16] As an EU member Finland should: Promote common defence policy**

#### **Question**

*As an EU member Finland should: Promote common defence policy*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.1	–
definitely yes	1	123	9.0	9.3
yes	2	363	26.5	27.3
maybe, maybe not	3	515	37.6	38.8
no	4	231	16.8	17.4
definitely not	5	97	7.1	7.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_17] As an EU member Finland should: Promote common employment policy in the EU

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Promote common employment policy in the EU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.1	–
definitely yes	1	218	15.9	16.4
yes	2	648	47.3	48.8
maybe, maybe not	3	345	25.2	26.0
no	4	97	7.1	7.3
definitely not	5	20	1.5	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_18] As an EU member Finland should: Promote common development co-operation policy

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Promote common development co-operation policy*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	3.9	–
definitely yes	1	200	14.6	15.2
yes	2	642	46.8	48.7
maybe, maybe not	3	380	27.7	28.8
no	4	77	5.6	5.8
definitely not	5	19	1.4	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_19] As an EU member Finland should: Protect the viability of Finnish agriculture

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Protect the viability of Finnish agriculture*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.1	–
definitely yes	1	721	52.6	53.7
yes	2	485	35.4	36.1
maybe, maybe not	3	102	7.4	7.6
no	4	25	1.8	1.9
definitely not	5	9	0.7	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_20] As an EU member Finland should: Preserve and increase the vitality of national cultures

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Preserve and increase the vitality of national cultures*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
definitely yes	1	613	44.7	46.0
yes	2	592	43.2	44.4
maybe, maybe not	3	110	8.0	8.3
no	4	12	0.9	0.9
definitely not	5	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_21] As an EU member Finland should: Reduce bureaucracy and complexity of administration in the EU

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Reduce bureaucracy and complexity of administration in the EU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
definitely yes	1	706	51.5	53.0
yes	2	469	34.2	35.2
maybe, maybe not	3	139	10.1	10.4
no	4	15	1.1	1.1
definitely not	5	3	0.2	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_22] As an EU member Finland should: Promote gender equality in the Union

#### Question

*As an EU member Finland should: Promote gender equality in the Union*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.3	–
definitely yes	1	553	40.3	41.3
yes	2	594	43.3	44.3
maybe, maybe not	3	161	11.7	12.0
no	4	26	1.9	1.9
definitely not	5	6	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_1] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General living conditions in Finland

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General living conditions in Finland*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	148	10.8	–
positive impact	1	213	15.5	17.4
little or no impact	2	703	51.3	57.5
negative impact	3	199	14.5	16.3
can't say	4	108	7.9	8.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_2] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Standard of living and material well-being

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Standard of living and material well-being*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	57	4.2	–
positive impact	1	181	13.2	13.8
little or no impact	2	839	61.2	63.9
negative impact	3	191	13.9	14.5
can't say	4	103	7.5	7.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_3] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: National economy

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: National economy*

#### Frequencies



label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	59	4.3	–
positive impact	1	275	20.1	21.0
little or no impact	2	685	50.0	52.2
negative impact	3	166	12.1	12.7
can't say	4	186	13.6	14.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_4] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Employment

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Employment*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.3	–
positive impact	1	164	12.0	12.2
little or no impact	2	814	59.4	60.8
negative impact	3	223	16.3	16.7
can't say	4	138	10.1	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_5] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Exports and foreign trade

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Exports and foreign trade*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.1	–
positive impact	1	597	43.5	45.0
little or no impact	2	457	33.3	34.4
negative impact	3	57	4.2	4.3
can't say	4	217	15.8	16.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

## [Q5\_6] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General state of enterprises

### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General state of enterprises*

### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.1	–
positive impact	1	406	29.6	30.6
little or no impact	2	584	42.6	44.0
negative impact	3	76	5.5	5.7
can't say	4	262	19.1	19.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

## [Q5\_7] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Competition in business life

### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Competition in business life*

### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.3	–
positive impact	1	403	29.4	30.4
little or no impact	2	463	33.8	34.9
negative impact	3	146	10.6	11.0
can't say	4	314	22.9	23.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

## [Q5\_8] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Interest rate

### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Interest rate*

### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.4	–
positive impact	1	520	37.9	39.2
little or no impact	2	452	33.0	34.1
negative impact	3	89	6.5	6.7
can't say	4	264	19.3	19.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_9] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Level of taxation

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Level of taxation*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	2.8	–
positive impact	1	97	7.1	7.3
little or no impact	2	887	64.7	66.5
negative impact	3	158	11.5	11.9
can't say	4	191	13.9	14.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_10] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Wage level

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Wage level*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–
positive impact	1	45	3.3	3.4
little or no impact	2	951	69.4	71.2
negative impact	3	168	12.3	12.6
can't say	4	172	12.5	12.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_11] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General price level****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General price level***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.1	–
positive impact	1	265	19.3	19.9
little or no impact	2	684	49.9	51.5
negative impact	3	285	20.8	21.4
can't say	4	95	6.9	7.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_12] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Prices of food products****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Prices of food products***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.3	–
positive impact	1	376	27.4	28.1
little or no impact	2	578	42.2	43.1
negative impact	3	310	22.6	23.1
can't say	4	76	5.5	5.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_13] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Quality of food products****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Quality of food products***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.1	–
positive impact	1	176	12.8	13.1
little or no impact	2	659	48.1	49.1
negative impact	3	407	29.7	30.3
can't say	4	100	7.3	7.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_14] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Cultural life

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Cultural life*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	2.7	–
positive impact	1	217	15.8	16.3
little or no impact	2	850	62.0	63.7
negative impact	3	54	3.9	4.0
can't say	4	213	15.5	16.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_15] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Education

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Education*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.6	–
positive impact	1	252	18.4	18.9
little or no impact	2	877	64.0	65.7
negative impact	3	54	3.9	4.0
can't say	4	152	11.1	11.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_16] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Science and research****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Science and research***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	2.9	–
positive impact	1	377	27.5	28.3
little or no impact	2	627	45.7	47.1
negative impact	3	30	2.2	2.3
can't say	4	297	21.7	22.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_17] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Social security****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Social security***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	2.7	–
positive impact	1	33	2.4	2.5
little or no impact	2	884	64.5	66.3
negative impact	3	275	20.1	20.6
can't say	4	142	10.4	10.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_18] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Public health services****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Public health services***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	2.8	–
positive impact	1	41	3.0	3.1
little or no impact	2	901	65.7	67.6
negative impact	3	256	18.7	19.2
can't say	4	135	9.8	10.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_19] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Working environment and working conditions

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Working environment and working conditions*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	2.7	–
positive impact	1	119	8.7	8.9
little or no impact	2	913	66.6	68.4
negative impact	3	134	9.8	10.0
can't say	4	168	12.3	12.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_20] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Environmental protection

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Environmental protection*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	2.9	–
positive impact	1	419	30.6	31.5
little or no impact	2	598	43.6	44.9
negative impact	3	172	12.5	12.9
can't say	4	142	10.4	10.7

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_21] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Disparities in the standard of living between different population groups

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Disparities in the standard of living between different population groups*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
positive impact	1	36	2.6	2.7
little or no impact	2	605	44.1	45.4
negative impact	3	534	38.9	40.1
can't say	4	157	11.5	11.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_22] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Disparities in the standard of living between different regions

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Disparities in the standard of living between different regions*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–
positive impact	1	65	4.7	4.9
little or no impact	2	477	34.8	35.7
negative impact	3	646	47.1	48.4
can't say	4	148	10.8	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)



(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_23] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Citizens' chances to obtain justice/legal protection

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Citizens' chances to obtain justice/legal protection*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	2.8	–
positive impact	1	205	15.0	15.4
little or no impact	2	747	54.5	56.0
negative impact	3	202	14.7	15.2
can't say	4	179	13.1	13.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_24] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Gender equality/women's status

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Gender equality/women's status*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.5	–
positive impact	1	128	9.3	9.6
little or no impact	2	986	71.9	73.7
negative impact	3	72	5.3	5.4
can't say	4	151	11.0	11.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_25] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: National sovereignty****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: National sovereignty***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.6	–
positive impact	1	34	2.5	2.5
little or no impact	2	405	29.5	30.3
negative impact	3	775	56.5	58.1
can't say	4	121	8.8	9.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_26] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's security situation****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's security situation***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.0	–
positive impact	1	300	21.9	22.6
little or no impact	2	659	48.1	49.5
negative impact	3	173	12.6	13.0
can't say	4	198	14.4	14.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_27] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's relations to Russia****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's relations to Russia***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–
positive impact	1	202	14.7	15.1
little or no impact	2	864	63.0	64.7
negative impact	3	95	6.9	7.1
can't say	4	175	12.8	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_28] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Nordic cooperation

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Nordic cooperation*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.6	–
positive impact	1	231	16.8	17.3
little or no impact	2	727	53.0	54.5
negative impact	3	229	16.7	17.2
can't say	4	148	10.8	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_29] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's influence in Europe

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's influence in Europe*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
positive impact	1	494	36.0	37.1
little or no impact	2	594	43.3	44.6
negative impact	3	107	7.8	8.0
can't say	4	137	10.0	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q5\_30] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's image abroad**

#### **Question**

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's image abroad*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
positive impact	1	578	42.2	43.4
little or no impact	2	568	41.4	42.6
negative impact	3	51	3.7	3.8
can't say	4	135	9.8	10.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q5\_31] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finnish self-respect/self-image/national identity**

#### **Question**

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finnish self-respect/self-image/national identity*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
positive impact	1	304	22.2	22.8
little or no impact	2	672	49.0	50.5
negative impact	3	214	15.6	16.1
can't say	4	142	10.4	10.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_32] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland as a foreign investment target

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland as a foreign investment target*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	2.8	–
positive impact	1	363	26.5	27.2
little or no impact	2	558	40.7	41.9
negative impact	3	112	8.2	8.4
can't say	4	300	21.9	22.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_33] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's spiritual and intellectual climate

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's spiritual and intellectual climate*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.1	–
positive impact	1	155	11.3	11.7
little or no impact	2	614	44.8	46.2
negative impact	3	396	28.9	29.8
can't say	4	163	11.9	12.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_34] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Society's morals

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Society's morals*

#### Frequencies

## 2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.1	–
positive impact	1	57	4.2	4.3
little or no impact	2	698	50.9	52.5
negative impact	3	365	26.6	27.5
can't say	4	209	15.2	15.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q5\_35] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: How well democracy works**

#### **Question**

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: How well democracy works*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.3	–
positive impact	1	71	5.2	5.4
little or no impact	2	706	51.5	53.2
negative impact	3	355	25.9	26.8
can't say	4	194	14.2	14.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q5\_36] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Citizens' opportunities to influence decision-making**

#### **Question**

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Citizens' opportunities to influence decision-making*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	2.7	–
positive impact	1	96	7.0	7.2
little or no impact	2	603	44.0	45.2
negative impact	3	502	36.6	37.6
can't say	4	133	9.7	10.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_37] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Crime rate****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Crime rate***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	2.4	–
positive impact	1	73	5.3	5.5
little or no impact	2	409	29.8	30.6
negative impact	3	722	52.7	54.0
can't say	4	134	9.8	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_38] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Amount of bureaucracy****Question***Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Amount of bureaucracy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.3	–
positive impact	1	76	5.5	5.7
little or no impact	2	225	16.4	16.8
negative impact	3	894	65.2	66.8
can't say	4	144	10.5	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_39] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of salaried employees

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of salaried employees*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	2.7	–
positive impact	1	73	5.3	5.5
little or no impact	2	906	66.1	67.9
negative impact	3	205	15.0	15.4
can't say	4	150	10.9	11.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_40] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of farmers and their families

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of farmers and their families*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.3	–
positive impact	1	99	7.2	7.4
little or no impact	2	178	13.0	13.3
negative impact	3	950	69.3	70.9
can't say	4	113	8.2	8.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_41] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of retired persons

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of retired persons*



**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–
positive impact	1	26	1.9	1.9
little or no impact	2	696	50.8	52.1
negative impact	3	410	29.9	30.7
can't say	4	204	14.9	15.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_42] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of entrepreneurs/self-employed persons****Question**

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of entrepreneurs/self-employed persons*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
positive impact	1	263	19.2	19.7
little or no impact	2	605	44.1	45.4
negative impact	3	206	15.0	15.5
can't say	4	258	18.8	19.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q5\_43] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of young people****Question**

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of young people*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	2.7	–
positive impact	1	271	19.8	20.3

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
little or no impact	2	736	53.7	55.2
negative impact	3	154	11.2	11.5
can't say	4	173	12.6	13.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q5\_44] Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Your own life and circumstances

#### Question

*Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Your own life and circumstances*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.3	–
positive impact	1	139	10.1	10.4
little or no impact	2	905	66.0	67.5
negative impact	3	224	16.3	16.7
can't say	4	72	5.3	5.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q6\_1] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: EU's importance in the world economy will increase

#### Question

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: EU's importance in the world economy will increase*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
likely	1	564	41.1	42.3
can't say/don't know	2	460	33.6	34.5
unlikely	3	308	22.5	23.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

## [Q6\_2] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finland's and other member countries' membership fees will become significantly higher

### Question

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finland's and other member countries' membership fees will become significantly higher*

### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.6	–
likely	1	966	70.5	72.4
can't say/don't know	2	313	22.8	23.4
unlikely	3	56	4.1	4.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

## [Q6\_3] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent crises or Yugoslavia-type civils wars from happening in Europe

### Question

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent crises or Yugoslavia-type civils wars from happening in Europe*

### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.6	–
likely	1	364	26.5	27.3
can't say/don't know	2	619	45.1	46.4
unlikely	3	352	25.7	26.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q6\_4] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will isolate Russia from the rest of Europe**

#### **Question**

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will isolate Russia from the rest of Europe*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.5	–
likely	1	251	18.3	18.8
can't say/don't know	2	579	42.2	43.3
unlikely	3	507	37.0	37.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q6\_5] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will further complicate EU decision-making**

#### **Question**

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will further complicate EU decision-making*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.0	–
likely	1	876	63.9	65.9
can't say/don't know	2	351	25.6	26.4
unlikely	3	103	7.5	7.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q6\_6] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will increase economic growth and wealth throughout Europe**

#### **Question**

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will increase economic growth and wealth throughout Europe*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	2.9	–
likely	1	257	18.7	19.3
can't say/don't know	2	554	40.4	41.6
unlikely	3	520	37.9	39.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q6\_7] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will strengthen EU's defence****Question**

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will strengthen EU's defence*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	2.9	–
likely	1	333	24.3	25.0
can't say/don't know	2	613	44.7	46.1
unlikely	3	385	28.1	28.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q6\_8] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Agricultural surpluses will become even larger than at present****Question**

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Agricultural surpluses will become even larger than at present*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
likely	1	532	38.8	39.9
can't say/don't know	2	534	38.9	40.1

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
unlikely	3	266	19.4	20.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q6\_9] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finnish agriculture will lose its competitiveness**

#### **Question**

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finnish agriculture will lose its competitiveness*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.6	–
likely	1	705	51.4	52.8
can't say/don't know	2	417	30.4	31.2
unlikely	3	213	15.5	16.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q6\_10] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will turn the EU into a real European Union instead of the current Western European Union**

#### **Question**

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will turn the EU into a real European Union instead of the current Western European Union*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	3.7	–
likely	1	518	37.8	39.2
can't say/don't know	2	639	46.6	48.4
unlikely	3	163	11.9	12.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q6\_11] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Eastern European members will become a burden that slows down development in the western EU**

**Question**

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Eastern European members will become a burden that slows down development in the western EU*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	2.9	–
likely	1	502	36.6	37.7
can't say/don't know	2	577	42.1	43.4
unlikely	3	252	18.4	18.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q6\_12] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will be a short-term economic liability and will offer considerable advantages later**

**Question**

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will be a short-term economic liability and will offer considerable advantages later*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.2	–
likely	1	309	22.5	23.3
can't say/don't know	2	618	45.1	46.6
unlikely	3	400	29.2	30.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q6\_13] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will bring a new mobile labour force, also to Finland

#### Question

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will bring a new mobile labour force, also to Finland*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.6	–
likely	1	941	68.6	70.5
can't say/don't know	2	288	21.0	21.6
unlikely	3	106	7.7	7.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q6\_14] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will hinder/slow down integration

#### Question

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will hinder/slow down integration*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.3	–
likely	1	447	32.6	33.7
can't say/don't know	2	762	55.6	57.5
unlikely	3	117	8.5	8.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q6\_15] Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will enrich the cultural life of the EU

#### Question

*Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will enrich the cultural life of the EU*



**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	2.7	–
likely	1	793	57.8	59.4
can't say/don't know	2	358	26.1	26.8
unlikely	3	183	13.3	13.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q7\_1] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The changeover has been so well prepared that there will be no problems****Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The changeover has been so well prepared that there will be no problems*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	2.4	–
strongly agree	1	308	22.5	23.0
agree	2	577	42.1	43.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	185	13.5	13.8
disagree	4	177	12.9	13.2
strongly disagree	5	91	6.6	6.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q7\_2] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: On the whole, Finns have a positive attitude towards the changeover to the euro****Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: On the whole, Finns have a positive attitude towards the changeover to the euro*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.0	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	105	7.7	7.8
agree	2	382	27.9	28.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	232	16.9	17.3
disagree	4	438	31.9	32.6
strongly disagree	5	187	13.6	13.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_3] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Personally, I feel the changeover to the euro is positive and justified**

#### **Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Personally, I feel the changeover to the euro is positive and justified*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.1	–
strongly agree	1	289	21.1	21.5
agree	2	354	25.8	26.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	116	8.5	8.6
disagree	4	277	20.2	20.6
strongly disagree	5	306	22.3	22.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_4] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The changeover will cause a lot of criticism and dissatisfaction among citizens**

#### **Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The changeover will cause a lot of criticism and dissatisfaction among citizens*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	2.4	–
strongly agree	1	421	30.7	31.5
agree	2	621	45.3	46.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	131	9.6	9.8
disagree	4	140	10.2	10.5
strongly disagree	5	25	1.8	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q7\_5] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Misunderstandings and (unintentional) mistakes will occur quite often, e.g. when shopping**

**Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Misunderstandings and (unintentional) mistakes will occur quite often, e.g. when shopping*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.3	–
strongly agree	1	526	38.4	39.3
agree	2	608	44.3	45.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	102	7.4	7.6
disagree	4	94	6.9	7.0
strongly disagree	5	10	0.7	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q7\_6] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: In the beginning, many people will be swindled and cheated**

**Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: In the beginning, many people will be swindled and cheated*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.2	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	471	34.4	35.1
agree	2	528	38.5	39.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	209	15.2	15.6
disagree	4	119	8.7	8.9
strongly disagree	5	14	1.0	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_7] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Especially elderly people will be confused and stressed**

#### **Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Especially elderly people will be confused and stressed*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.2	–
strongly agree	1	839	61.2	62.6
agree	2	413	30.1	30.8
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	48	3.5	3.6
disagree	4	34	2.5	2.5
strongly disagree	5	7	0.5	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_8] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Finns will quickly learn to calculate their wages, taxes and expenses in euros**

#### **Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Finns will quickly learn to calculate their wages, taxes and expenses in euros*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.3	–
strongly agree	1	370	27.0	27.6
agree	2	560	40.8	41.8
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	222	16.2	16.6
disagree	4	137	10.0	10.2
strongly disagree	5	51	3.7	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_9] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: New euro coins and notes are beautiful and will bring a welcome change

#### Question

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: New euro coins and notes are beautiful and will bring a welcome change*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.3	–
strongly agree	1	142	10.4	10.6
agree	2	235	17.1	17.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	320	23.3	23.9
disagree	4	344	25.1	25.7
strongly disagree	5	298	21.7	22.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_10] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The possibility of prize comparisons between countries will lower prices in Finland

#### Question

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The possibility of prize comparisons between countries will lower prices in Finland*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	56	4.1	4.2
agree	2	191	13.9	14.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	347	25.3	26.0
disagree	4	390	28.4	29.2
strongly disagree	5	352	25.7	26.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_11] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The national identity will weaken as Finns become emotionally detached from the national currency (mark)**

#### **Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The national identity will weaken as Finns become emotionally detached from the national currency (mark)*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.3	–
strongly agree	1	394	28.7	29.4
agree	2	418	30.5	31.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	173	12.6	12.9
disagree	4	246	17.9	18.4
strongly disagree	5	109	8.0	8.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_12] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The euro will tie Finland more tightly to the cultural environment to which it belongs in any case**

#### **Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The euro will tie Finland more tightly to the cultural environment to which it belongs in any case*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
strongly agree	1	154	11.2	11.6
agree	2	305	22.2	22.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	426	31.1	32.0
disagree	4	273	19.9	20.5
strongly disagree	5	174	12.7	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_13] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The removal of the national currency will mean losing our independence for good

#### Question

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The removal of the national currency will mean losing our independence for good*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.0	–
strongly agree	1	295	21.5	22.0
agree	2	345	25.2	25.7
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	125	9.1	9.3
disagree	4	258	18.8	19.2
strongly disagree	5	320	23.3	23.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_14] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Travelling and shopping abroad/in the eurozone countries will become more simple

#### Question

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Travelling and shopping abroad/in the eurozone countries will become more simple*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	1.9	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	850	62.0	63.2
agree	2	369	26.9	27.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	75	5.5	5.6
disagree	4	38	2.8	2.8
strongly disagree	5	13	0.9	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_15] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Common currency will strengthen a sense of unity among EU citizens**

#### **Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Common currency will strengthen a sense of unity among EU citizens*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	2.4	–
strongly agree	1	243	17.7	18.2
agree	2	471	34.4	35.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	294	21.4	22.0
disagree	4	236	17.2	17.6
strongly disagree	5	94	6.9	7.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_16] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: As an international currency, the euro will become a strong rival to the US dollar**

#### **Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: As an international currency, the euro will become a strong rival to the US dollar*

#### **Frequencies**



label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.3	–
strongly agree	1	247	18.0	18.4
agree	2	438	31.9	32.7
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	411	30.0	30.7
disagree	4	157	11.5	11.7
strongly disagree	5	86	6.3	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_17] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: EU will have as much influence in the world as the USA

#### Question

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: EU will have as much influence in the world as the USA*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	2.4	–
strongly agree	1	108	7.9	8.1
agree	2	282	20.6	21.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	462	33.7	34.5
disagree	4	326	23.8	24.4
strongly disagree	5	160	11.7	12.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_18] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The introduction of the single European currency will push the EU more towards a federation

#### Question

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The introduction of the single European currency will push the EU more towards a federation*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	190	13.9	14.2
agree	2	434	31.7	32.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	493	36.0	36.9
disagree	4	175	12.8	13.1
strongly disagree	5	44	3.2	3.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_19] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Economic connections (investments, cross-border acquisitions etc) will increase between EU states**

#### **Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Economic connections (investments, cross-border acquisitions etc) will increase between EU states*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.0	–
strongly agree	1	229	16.7	17.2
agree	2	594	43.3	44.7
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	378	27.6	28.4
disagree	4	112	8.2	8.4
strongly disagree	5	17	1.2	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_20] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The common currency will lead to a closer coordination of economic policies among EU states**

#### **Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The common currency will lead to a closer coordination of economic policies among EU states*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.4	–
strongly agree	1	141	10.3	10.6
agree	2	506	36.9	38.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	493	36.0	37.2
disagree	4	155	11.3	11.7
strongly disagree	5	30	2.2	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q7\_21] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: EU countries will be divided into insiders and outsiders, which is not good**

**Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: EU countries will be divided into insiders and outsiders, which is not good*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
strongly agree	1	243	17.7	18.2
agree	2	412	30.1	30.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	509	37.1	38.2
disagree	4	136	9.9	10.2
strongly disagree	5	32	2.3	2.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q7\_22] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The introduction of the euro will speed up Sweden's and Britain's EMU membership**

**Question**

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The introduction of the euro will speed up Sweden's and Britain's EMU membership*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	2.4	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	186	13.6	13.9
agree	2	416	30.3	31.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	526	38.4	39.3
disagree	4	153	11.2	11.4
strongly disagree	5	57	4.2	4.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_23] Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Finland's influence in the EU/importance in Europe will increase

#### Question

*Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Finland's influence in the EU/importance in Europe will increase*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–
strongly agree	1	66	4.8	4.9
agree	2	245	17.9	18.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	434	31.7	32.5
disagree	4	412	30.1	30.8
strongly disagree	5	179	13.1	13.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_1] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks shocked me deeply

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks shocked me deeply*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.3	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	454	33.1	33.9
agree	2	569	41.5	42.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	102	7.4	7.6
disagree	4	177	12.9	13.2
strongly disagree	5	38	2.8	2.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_2] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: My own personal sense of safety was shaken

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: My own personal sense of safety was shaken*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.5	–
strongly agree	1	144	10.5	10.8
agree	2	388	28.3	29.0
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	206	15.0	15.4
disagree	4	351	25.6	26.3
strongly disagree	5	248	18.1	18.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_3] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: I intend to avoid flying and travelling abroad

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: I intend to avoid flying and travelling abroad*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–
strongly agree	1	132	9.6	9.9

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	139	10.1	10.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	256	18.7	19.2
disagree	4	347	25.3	26.0
strongly disagree	5	462	33.7	34.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_4] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks have significant and far-reaching consequences**

#### **Question**

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks have significant and far-reaching consequences*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.4	–
strongly agree	1	642	46.8	48.5
agree	2	481	35.1	36.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	161	11.7	12.2
disagree	4	32	2.3	2.4
strongly disagree	5	9	0.7	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_5] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks will not have long-lasting effects**

#### **Question**

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks will not have long-lasting effects*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.1	–
strongly agree	1	108	7.9	8.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	161	11.7	12.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	390	28.4	29.4
disagree	4	388	28.3	29.2
strongly disagree	5	281	20.5	21.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_6] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: EU did the right thing in supporting US actions in the fight against terrorism

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: EU did the right thing in supporting US actions in the fight against terrorism*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.5	–
strongly agree	1	495	36.1	37.0
agree	2	375	27.4	28.0
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	270	19.7	20.2
disagree	4	128	9.3	9.6
strongly disagree	5	69	5.0	5.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_7] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Finland's decision-makers should not have supported US actions

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Finland's decision-makers should not have supported US actions*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.1	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	147	10.7	11.1
agree	2	180	13.1	13.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	374	27.3	28.2
disagree	4	285	20.8	21.5
strongly disagree	5	342	24.9	25.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_8] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The world will be divided even further into rich and poor**

#### **Question**

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The world will be divided even further into rich and poor*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.1	–
strongly agree	1	469	34.2	35.3
agree	2	412	30.1	31.0
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	318	23.2	23.9
disagree	4	90	6.6	6.8
strongly disagree	5	39	2.8	2.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_9] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: UN influence will increase significantly**

#### **Question**

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: UN influence will increase significantly*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.2	–

(continued on next page)



(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	118	8.6	8.9
agree	2	339	24.7	25.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	545	39.8	41.1
disagree	4	256	18.7	19.3
strongly disagree	5	69	5.0	5.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q8\_10] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: What happened after the attacks has brought the Western countries and Russia closer**

**Question**

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: What happened after the attacks has brought the Western countries and Russia closer*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.6	–
strongly agree	1	343	25.0	25.7
agree	2	571	41.6	42.7
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	316	23.0	23.7
disagree	4	82	6.0	6.1
strongly disagree	5	24	1.8	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q8\_11] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The polarization between wealthy countries and third world countries will become even more pronounced**

**Question**

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The polarization between wealthy countries and third world countries will become even more pronounced*

**Frequencies**

## 2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	2.8	–
strongly agree	1	392	28.6	29.4
agree	2	521	38.0	39.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	332	24.2	24.9
disagree	4	67	4.9	5.0
strongly disagree	5	20	1.5	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_12] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The reasons behind the attacks are economical rather than religious

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The reasons behind the attacks are economical rather than religious*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.1	–
strongly agree	1	272	19.8	20.5
agree	2	339	24.7	25.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	383	27.9	28.8
disagree	4	209	15.2	15.7
strongly disagree	5	126	9.2	9.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_13] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Islam is as peaceful a religion as Christianity

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Islam is as peaceful a religion as Christianity*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	2.8	–
strongly agree	1	202	14.7	15.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	245	17.9	18.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	310	22.6	23.3
disagree	4	281	20.5	21.1
strongly disagree	5	295	21.5	22.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_14] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: No act of force is too harsh in the fight against terrorism

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: No act of force is too harsh in the fight against terrorism*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.4	–
strongly agree	1	328	23.9	24.8
agree	2	246	17.9	18.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	301	22.0	22.7
disagree	4	277	20.2	20.9
strongly disagree	5	173	12.6	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_15] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Use of force will create a vicious circle of retaliation and new terrorist attacks

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Use of force will create a vicious circle of retaliation and new terrorist attacks*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.2	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	489	35.7	36.9
agree	2	459	33.5	34.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	254	18.5	19.1
disagree	4	84	6.1	6.3
strongly disagree	5	41	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_16] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Terrorist attacks will be extended to other Western/EU countries**

#### **Question**

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Terrorist attacks will be extended to other Western/EU countries*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.0	–
strongly agree	1	200	14.6	15.0
agree	2	483	35.2	36.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	505	36.8	38.0
disagree	4	118	8.6	8.9
strongly disagree	5	24	1.8	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_17] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Terrorism cannot be eliminated unless the causes creating it are removed**

#### **Question**

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Terrorism cannot be eliminated unless the causes creating it are removed*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	2.8	–
strongly agree	1	721	52.6	54.1
agree	2	422	30.8	31.7
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	133	9.7	10.0
disagree	4	36	2.6	2.7
strongly disagree	5	21	1.5	1.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_18] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Even though the attacks are unacceptable, I can partly understand the reasons behind them

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Even though the attacks are unacceptable, I can partly understand the reasons behind them*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	2.7	–
strongly agree	1	105	7.7	7.9
agree	2	252	18.4	18.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	222	16.2	16.6
disagree	4	280	20.4	21.0
strongly disagree	5	475	34.6	35.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_19] Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Both Finland and the EU as a whole should tighten the immigration policy

#### Question

*Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Both Finland and the EU as a whole should tighten the immigration policy*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	2.7	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	532	38.8	39.9
agree	2	336	24.5	25.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	243	17.7	18.2
disagree	4	159	11.6	11.9
strongly disagree	5	64	4.7	4.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q9\_1] Finland should join Nato: In the present situation (regardless of whether other countries join or not)**

#### **Question**

*Finland should join Nato: In the present situation (regardless of whether other countries join or not)*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.6	–
yes	1	147	10.7	11.0
can't say/don't know	2	322	23.5	24.1
no	3	866	63.2	64.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### **[Q9\_2] Finland should join Nato: If the Baltic countries join**

#### **Question**

*Finland should join Nato: If the Baltic countries join*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	3.6	–
yes	1	169	12.3	12.8
can't say/don't know	2	401	29.2	30.4
no	3	751	54.8	56.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q9\_3] Finland should join Nato: If Sweden joins****Question***Finland should join Nato: If Sweden joins***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.5	–
yes	1	285	20.8	21.5
can't say/don't know	2	410	29.9	31.0
no	3	628	45.8	47.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[Q9\_4] Finland should join Nato: If both Sweden and the Baltic countries join****Question***Finland should join Nato: If both Sweden and the Baltic countries join***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.1	–
yes	1	403	29.4	30.3
can't say/don't know	2	390	28.4	29.3
no	3	536	39.1	40.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

## [Q10] In the next few years, should the EU deepen the intergration / enlarge?

### Question

*In the next few years, should the EU deepen the intergration / enlarge?*

### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.5	–
both enlarge and integrate	1	269	19.6	20.1
enlarge, but not integrate	2	191	13.9	14.3
integrate, but not enlarge	3	339	24.7	25.4
neither integrate nor enlarge	4	299	21.8	22.4
can't say	5	239	17.4	17.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

## [Q11] What is your attitude towards Finland's EU membership?

### Question

*What is your attitude towards Finland's EU membership?*

### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	1.8	–
very positive	1	121	8.8	9.0
somewhat positive	2	378	27.6	28.1
neither positive nor negative, neutral	3	405	29.5	30.1
somewhat negative	4	271	19.8	20.1
very negative	5	151	11.0	11.2
can't say	6	21	1.5	1.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

## [BV1] Respondent's sex

### Question

*Respondent's sex*



**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	5	0.4	–
male	1	656	47.8	48.0
female	2	710	51.8	52.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[BV2] Respondent's age group****Question**

*Respondent's age group*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.4	–
18-25 years	1	194	14.2	14.3
26-35 years	2	211	15.4	15.6
36-45 years	3	256	18.7	18.9
46-55 years	4	314	22.9	23.2
56-65 years	5	248	18.1	18.3
over 65 years	6	129	9.4	9.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[BV3] Size of the respondent's municipality of residence****Question**

*Size of the respondent's municipality of residence*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.3	–
less 4000 inhabitants	1	116	8.5	8.7
4000-8000 inhabitants	2	170	12.4	12.7
8000-30000 inhabitants	3	364	26.5	27.2
30000-80000 inhabitants	4	259	18.9	19.3

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
over 80000 inhabitants	5	431	31.4	32.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [BV4] Province of residence

#### Question

*Province of residence*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	9	0.7	–
Uusimaa	1	344	25.1	25.3
Itä-Uusimaa	2	24	1.8	1.8
Varsinais-Suomi	3	117	8.5	8.6
Satakunta	4	67	4.9	4.9
Häme	5	62	4.5	4.6
Pirkanmaa	6	108	7.9	7.9
Päijät-Häme	7	40	2.9	2.9
Kymenlaakso	8	54	3.9	4.0
Etelä-Karjala	9	36	2.6	2.6
Etelä-Savo	10	42	3.1	3.1
Pohjois-Savo	11	67	4.9	4.9
Pohjois-Karjala	12	51	3.7	3.7
Keski-Suomi	13	99	7.2	7.3
Etelä-Pohjanmaa	14	50	3.6	3.7
Pohjanmaa (Coastal Vaasa)	15	22	1.6	1.6
Keski-Pohjanmaa	16	26	1.9	1.9
Pohjois-Pohjanmaa	17	85	6.2	6.2
Kainuu	18	19	1.4	1.4
Lappi	19	49	3.6	3.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [BV5] Respondent's basic education

#### Question

*Respondent's basic education*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	2.8	–
primary education	1	386	28.2	29.0
lower secondary education	2	449	32.7	33.7
upper secondary education (matriculation examination)	3	498	36.3	37.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[BV6] Respondent's vocational education****Question**

*Respondent's vocational education*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	1.7	–
no vocational education	1	257	18.7	19.1
vocational course or other short vocational training	2	196	14.3	14.5
Vocational school or equivalent (upper secondary level)	3	380	27.7	28.2
college level vocational education	4	321	23.4	23.8
university or other higher education	5	194	14.2	14.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

**[BV7] Respondent's economic activity and occupational group****Question**

*Respondent's economic activity and occupational group*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	9	0.7	–
higher managerial occupation (employee)	1	60	4.4	4.4
lower managerial/ professional employee	2	145	10.6	10.6

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	3	195	14.2	14.3
worker	4	323	23.6	23.7
entrepreneur or self-employed	5	95	6.9	7.0
farmer	6	45	3.3	3.3
student	7	131	9.6	9.6
retired/pensioner	8	242	17.7	17.8
housewife/househusband, homemaker	9	26	1.9	1.9
unemployed	10	85	6.2	6.2
other	11	15	1.1	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [BV8] Respondent's trade union membership

#### Question

*Respondent's trade union membership*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.3	–
no	1	569	41.5	42.5
yes, my trade union is	2	37	2.7	2.8
SAK (Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions)	3	328	23.9	24.5
STTK (Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	4	174	12.7	13.0
Akava (Confed. of Unions for Academic Professionals)	5	180	13.1	13.4
MTK (Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners)	6	51	3.7	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0

### [BV9] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

#### Question

*If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?*

## Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	1.5	–
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	1	212	15.5	15.7
Center Party of Finland (KESK)	2	180	13.1	13.3
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	208	15.2	15.4
Left Alliance (Vasemmistoliitto)	4	58	4.2	4.3
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	5	15	1.1	1.1
Green League (Vihreät)	6	132	9.6	9.8
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	7	36	2.6	2.7
True Finns (Perussuomalaiset)	8	12	0.9	0.9
some other party/group	9	17	1.2	1.3
would't vote	10	101	7.4	7.5
can't say	11	220	16.0	16.3
don't want to say	12	160	11.7	11.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1371	100.0	100.0



# Chapter 3

## Indexes

### 3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO] .....	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR] .....	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID] .....	6
How interested are you of issues related to Finland's EU membership? [Q1] .....	6
How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel... [Q2] .....	6
Views on EU membership: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are just [Q3_1] .....	7
Views on EU membership: EU membership fees are far too high when compared with the advantages brought by the membership [Q3_2] .....	7
Views on EU membership: Although the EU has its problems, it is nevertheless the only power that can lead and control Europe's development [Q3_3] .....	8
Views on EU membership: Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland is more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union [Q3_4] .....	8
Views on EU membership: Joining EMU (Economic and Monetary Union) was the right decision for Finland [Q3_5] .....	9
Views on EU membership: If EU makes decisions which have a significant effect on living conditions in member states, they must submitted to a referendum in Finland [Q3_6] .....	9
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the Finnish parliament has been dealing with EU matters [Q3_7] .....	10
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way our current government has been dealing with EU matters [Q3_8] .....	10
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the president of Finland has been dealing with EU matters [Q3_9] .....	11
Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries [Q3_10] .....	11

### 3. Indexes

---

Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland's EU membership [Q3_11] .....	12
Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU [Q3_12] .....	12
Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (e.g. NATO) [Q3_13] .....	13
Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us [Q3_14] .....	13
Views on EU membership: The EU constitutional treaty should state clearly which issues can be decided nationally and which issues must be decided collectively in the Union [Q3_15] .	14
Views on EU membership: It is unnecessary to criticize the EU since it has succeeded well in its main tasks: keeping peace and maintaining prosperity [Q3_16] .....	14
Views on EU membership: Taxation should be uniform (similar) in EU member states [Q3_17]	15
Views on EU membership: Nowadays, EU takes public opinion into account when deciding how to develop the Union [Q3_18] .....	15
Views on EU membership: After EU's enlargement to Eastern Europe, Finland's subsidies should remain the same even if it means increasing EU's budget [Q3_19] .....	16
Views on EU membership: The President of European Commission should be elected through a vote in the European Parliament [Q3_20] .....	16
Views on EU membership: Finns are quite capable of making decisions on their own, there is no need for anyone else/any union [Q3_21] .....	17
Views on EU membership: EU is a selfish organization of affluent European countries; it supervises the interests of its members states and lacks any sense of global responsibility [Q3_22]	17
Views on EU membership: I have no idea what Finnish European Parliament members have done or aim to do [Q3_23] .....	18
Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to influence EU's decision-making [Q3_24]	18
Views on EU membership: In the future, the EU will become a tight federation where nation states no longer have any significance [Q3_25] .....	19
Views on EU membership: EU keeps on developing and changing and therefore its jurisdiction cannot be finally determined [Q3_26] .....	19
Views on EU membership: EU decision-making should be based more on majority voting and the veto powers of individual member states should be limited [Q3_27] .....	20
Views on EU membership: Even though EU enlargement to Eastern Europe will be costly, it is politically and morally necessary [Q3_28] .....	20
Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to retain its national identity even as an EU member [Q3_29] .....	21
Views on EU membership: Finland could be represented in the UN by the representative of the EU [Q3_30] .....	21



Views on EU membership: EU enlargement to the East would eventually lead to EU's disintegration, since a larger (and more complex) Union would be ungovernable [Q3_31] .....	22
Views on EU membership: If I did not know Finland is an EU member, I would not notice it in my everyday life [Q3_32] .....	22
As an EU member Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests [Q4_1]	23
As an EU member Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole Union [Q4_2]	23
As an EU member Finland should: Promote good relations between Russia and the EU [Q4_3]	24
As an EU member Finland should: Try to bring EU's common social policy towards the Nordic concept [Q4_4] .....	24
As an EU member Finland should: Focus on preseving the EU as a loose union [Q4_5] ....	25
As an EU member Finland should: Focus on changing the EU into a tight federation [Q4_6]	25
As an EU member Finland should: Promote EU enlanrgement to new countries/Eastern Europe [Q4_7] .....	26
As an EU member Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership [Q4_8] ..	26
As an EU member Finland should: Improve international crime prevention [Q4_9] .....	27
As an EU member Finland should: Actively participate in fighting terrorism [Q4_10] .....	27
As an EU member Finland should: Promote openness/transparency in the EU [Q4_11] ....	28
As an EU member Finland should: Promote the introduction of common crisis management forces [Q4_12] .....	28
As an EU member Finland should: Promote the efficiency of EU's common environmental policy [Q4_13] .....	29
As an EU member Finland should: Strengthen the influence of small member states in EU decision-making [Q4_14] .....	29
As an EU member Finland should: Promote common foreign and security policy [Q4_15] .	30
As an EU member Finland should: Promote common defence policy [Q4_16] .....	30
As an EU member Finland should: Promote common employment policy in the EU [Q4_17]	31
As an EU member Finland should: Promote common development co-operation policy [Q4_18]	31
As an EU member Finland should: Protect the viability of Finnish agriculture [Q4_19] ....	32
As an EU member Finland should: Preserve and increase the vitality of national cultures [Q4_20]	32
As an EU member Finland should: Reduce bureaucracy and complexity of administration in the EU [Q4_21] .....	33
As an EU member Finland should: Promote gender equality in the Union [Q4_22] .....	33
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General living conditions in Finland [Q5_1] .....	34
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Standard of living and material well-being [Q5_2]	34

### 3. Indexes

---

Impact of Finland's EU membership on: National economy [Q5_3] .....	34
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Employment [Q5_4] .....	35
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Exports and foreign trade [Q5_5] .....	35
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General state of enterprises [Q5_6] .....	36
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Competition in business life [Q5_7] .....	36
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Interest rate [Q5_8] .....	36
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Level of taxation [Q5_9] .....	37
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Wage level [Q5_10] .....	37
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General price level [Q5_11] .....	38
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Prices of food products [Q5_12] .....	38
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Quality of food products [Q5_13] .....	38
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Cultural life [Q5_14] .....	39
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Education [Q5_15] .....	39
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Science and research [Q5_16] .....	40
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Social security [Q5_17] .....	40
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Public health services [Q5_18] .....	40
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Working environment and working conditions [Q5_19]	41
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Environmental protection [Q5_20] .....	41
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Disparities in the standard of living between different population groups [Q5_21] .....	42
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Disparities in the standard of living between different regions [Q5_22] .....	42
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Citizens' chances to obtain justice/legal protection [Q5_23] .....	43
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Gender equality/women's status [Q5_24] .....	43
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: National sovereignty [Q5_25] .....	44
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's security situation [Q5_26] .....	44
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's relations to Russia [Q5_27] .....	44
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Nordic cooperation [Q5_28] .....	45
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's influence in Europe [Q5_29] .....	45
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's image abroad [Q5_30] .....	46
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finnish self-respect/self-image/national identity [Q5_31]	46
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland as a foreign investment target [Q5_32] ...	47
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's spiritual and intellectual climate [Q5_33]	47

Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Society's morals [Q5_34] .....	47
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: How well democracy works [Q5_35] .....	48
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Citizens' opportunities to influence decision-making [Q5_36] .....	48
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Crime rate [Q5_37] .....	49
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Amount of bureaucracy [Q5_38] .....	49
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of salaried employees [Q5_39] ....	50
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of farmers and their families [Q5_40]	50
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of retired persons [Q5_41] .....	50
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of entrepreneurs/self-employed persons [Q5_42] .....	51
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of young people [Q5_43] .....	51
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Your own life and circumstances [Q5_44] .....	52
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: EU's importance in the world economy will increase [Q6_1] .....	52
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finland's and other member countries' membership fees will become significantly higher [Q6_2] .....	53
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent crises or Yugoslavia-type civil wars from happening in Europe [Q6_3] .....	53
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will isolate Russia from the rest of Europe [Q6_4] .....	54
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will further complicate EU decision-making [Q6_5] .....	54
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will increase economic growth and wealth throughout Europe [Q6_6] .....	54
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will strengthen EU's defence [Q6_7]	55
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Agricultural surpluses will become even larger than at present [Q6_8] .....	55
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finnish agriculture will lose its competitiveness [Q6_9] .....	56
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will turn the EU into a real European Union instead of the current Western European Union [Q6_10] .....	56
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Eastern European members will become a burden that slows down development in the western EU [Q6_11] .....	57
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will be a short-term economic liability and will offer considerable advantages later [Q6_12] .....	57
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will bring a new mobile labour force,	

### 3. Indexes

---

also to Finland [Q6_13] .....	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will hinder/slow down integration [Q6_14] .....	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will enrich the cultural life of the EU [Q6_15] .....	58
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The changeover has been so well prepared that there will be no problems [Q7_1] .....	59
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: On the whole, Finns have a positive attitude towards the changeover to the euro [Q7_2] .....	59
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Personally, I feel the changeover to the euro is positive and justified [Q7_3] .....	60
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The changeover will cause a lot of criticism and dissatisfaction among citizens [Q7_4] .....	60
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Misunderstandings and (unintentional) mistakes will occur quite often, e.g. when shopping [Q7_5] .....	61
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: In the beginning, many people will be swindled and cheated [Q7_6] .....	61
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Especially elderly people will be confused and stressed [Q7_7] .....	62
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Finns will quickly learn to calculate their wages, taxes and expenses in euros [Q7_8] .....	62
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: New euro coins and notes are beautiful and will bring a welcome change [Q7_9] .....	63
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The possibility of price comparisons between countries will lower prices in Finland [Q7_10] .....	63
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The national identity will weaken as Finns become emotionally detached from the national currency (mark) [Q7_11] .....	64
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The euro will tie Finland more tightly to the cultural environment to which it belongs in any case [Q7_12] .....	64
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The removal of the national currency will mean losing our independence for good [Q7_13] .....	65
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Travelling and shopping abroad/in the eurozone countries will become more simple [Q7_14] .....	65
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Common currency will strengthen a sense of unity among EU citizens [Q7_15] .....	66
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: As an international currency, the euro will become a strong rival to the US dollar [Q7_16] .....	66
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: EU will have as much influence in the world as the USA [Q7_17] .....	67
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The introduction of the single European currency	

will push the EU more towards a federation [Q7_18] .....	67
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Economic connections (investments, cross-border acquisitions etc) will increase between EU states [Q7_19] .....	68
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The common currency will lead to a closer coordination of economic policies among EU states [Q7_20] .....	68
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: EU countries will be divided into insiders and outsiders, which is not good [Q7_21] .....	69
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The introduction of the euro will speed up Sweden's and Britain's EMU membership [Q7_22] .....	69
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Finland's influence in the EU/importance in Europe will increase [Q7_23] .....	70
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks shocked me deeply [Q8_1] .....	70
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: My own personal sense of safety was shaken [Q8_2]	71
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: I intend to avoid flying and travelling abroad [Q8_3]	71
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks have significant and far-reaching consequences [Q8_4] .....	72
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks will not have long-lasting effects [Q8_5]	72
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: EU did the right thing in supporting US actions in the fight against terrorism [Q8_6] .....	73
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Finland's decision-makers should not have supported US actions [Q8_7] .....	73
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The world will be divided even further into rich and poor [Q8_8] .....	74
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: UN influence will increase significantly [Q8_9] .	74
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: What happened after the attacks has brought the Western countries and Russia closer [Q8_10] .....	75
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The polarization between wealthy countries and third world countries will become even more pronounced [Q8_11] .....	75
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The reasons behind the attacks are economical rather than religious [Q8_12] .....	76
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Islam is as peaceful a religion as Christianity [Q8_13]	76
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: No act of force is too harsh in the fight against terrorism [Q8_14] .....	77
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Use of force will create a vicious circle of retaliation and new terrorist attacks [Q8_15] .....	77

### 3. Indexes

---

Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Terrorist attacks will be extended to other Western/EU countries [Q8_16] .....	78
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Terrorism cannot be eliminated unless the causes creating it are removed [Q8_17] .....	78
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Even though the attacks are unacceptable, I can partly understand the reasons behind them [Q8_18] .....	79
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Both Finland and the EU as a whole should tighten the immigration policy [Q8_19] .....	79
Finland should join Nato: In the present situation (regardless of whether other countries join or not [Q9_1] .....	80
Finland should join Nato: If the Baltic countries join [Q9_2] .....	80
Finland should join Nato: If Sweden joins [Q9_3] .....	81
Finland should join Nato: If both Sweden and the Baltic countries join [Q9_4] .....	81
In the next few years, should the EU deepen the intergration / enlarge? [Q10] .....	82
What is your attitude towards Finland's EU membership? [Q11] .....	82
Respondent's sex [BV1] .....	82
Respondent's age group [BV2] .....	83
Size of the respondent's municipality of residence [BV3] .....	83
Province of residence [BV4] .....	84
Respondent's basic education [BV5] .....	84
Respondent's vocational education [BV6] .....	85
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group [BV7] .....	85
Respondent's trade union membership [BV8] .....	86
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [BV9] .....	86

## 3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

As an EU member Finland should: Actively participate in fighting terrorism .....	27
As an EU member Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership .....	26
As an EU member Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole Union ...	23
As an EU member Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests .....	23
As an EU member Finland should: Focus on changing the EU into a tight federation .....	25
As an EU member Finland should: Focus on preseving the EU as a loose union .....	25
As an EU member Finland should: Improve international crime prevention .....	27
As an EU member Finland should: Preserve and increase the vitality of national cultures ...	32
As an EU member Finland should: Promote common defence policy .....	30
As an EU member Finland should: Promote common development co-operation policy ....	31
As an EU member Finland should: Promote common employment policy in the EU .....	31
As an EU member Finland should: Promote common foreign and security policy .....	30
As an EU member Finland should: Promote EU enlargement to new countries/Eastern Europe	26
As an EU member Finland should: Promote gender equality in the Union .....	33
As an EU member Finland should: Promote good relations between Russia and the EU ....	24
As an EU member Finland should: Promote openness/transparency in the EU .....	28
As an EU member Finland should: Promote the efficiency of EU's common environmental policy .....	29
As an EU member Finland should: Promote the introduction of common crisis management forces .....	28
As an EU member Finland should: Protect the viability of Finnish agriculture .....	32
As an EU member Finland should: Reduce bureaucracy and complexity of administration in the EU .....	33
As an EU member Finland should: Strenghten the influence of small member states in EU decision-making .....	29
As an EU member Finland should: Try to bring EU's common social policy towards the Nordic concept .....	24
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Agricultural surpluses will become even larger than at present .....	55
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Eastern European members will become a burden that slows down development in the western EU .....	57
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: EU's importance in the world econ- omy will increase .....	52
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finland's and other member coun- tries' membership fees will become significantly higher .....	53

### 3. Indexes

---

Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Finnish agriculture will lose its competitiveness .....	56
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will be a short-term economic liability and will offer considerable advantages later .....	57
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will bring a new mobile labour force, also to Finland .....	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will enrich the cultural life of the EU .....	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will further complicate EU decision-making .....	54
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will hinder/slow down integration	58
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will increase economic growth and wealth throughout Europe .....	54
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will isolate Russia from the rest of Europe .....	54
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will prevent crises or Yugoslavia-type civils wars from happening in Europe .....	53
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will strengthen EU's defence ...	55
Effects of EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe: Will turn the EU into a real European Union instead of the current Western European Union .....	56
Finland should join Nato: If both Sweden and the Baltic countries join .....	81
Finland should join Nato: If Sweden joins .....	81
Finland should join Nato: If the Baltic countries join .....	80
Finland should join Nato: In the present situation (regardless of whether other countries join or not) .....	80
FSD case id .....	6
FSD edition number .....	5
FSD study number .....	5
How interested are you of issues related to Finland's EU membership? .....	6
How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel... ..	6
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? .....	86
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Amount of bureaucracy .....	49
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of entrepreneurs/self-employed persons	51
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of farmers and their families .....	50
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of retired persons .....	50
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of salaried employees .....	50



Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Circumstances of young people .....	51
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Citizens' chances to obtain justice/legal protection	43
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Citizens' opportunities to influence decision-making	48
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Competition in business life .....	36
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Crime rate .....	49
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Cultural life .....	39
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Disparities in the standard of living between different population groups .....	42
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Disparities in the standard of living between different regions .....	42
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Education .....	39
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Employment .....	35
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Environmental protection .....	41
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Exports and foreign trade .....	35
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland as a foreign investment target .....	47
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's image abroad .....	46
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's influence in Europe .....	45
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's relations to Russia .....	44
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's security situation .....	44
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finland's spiritual and intellectual climate .....	47
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Finnish self-respect/self-image/national identity ..	46
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Gender equality/women's status .....	43
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General living conditions in Finland .....	34
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General price level .....	38
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: General state of enterprises .....	36
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: How well democracy works .....	48
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Interest rate .....	36
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Level of taxation .....	37
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: National economy .....	34
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: National sovereignty .....	44
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Nordic cooperation .....	45
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Prices of food products .....	38
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Public health services .....	40
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Quality of food products .....	38

### 3. Indexes

---

Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Science and research .....	40
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Social security .....	40
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Society's morals .....	47
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Standard of living and material well-being .....	34
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Wage level .....	37
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Working environment and working conditions ....	41
Impact of Finland's EU membership on: Your own life and circumstances .....	52
In the next few years, should the EU deepen the intergration / enlarge? .....	82
Province of residence .....	84
Respondent's age group .....	83
Respondent's basic education .....	84
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group .....	85
Respondent's sex .....	82
Respondent's trade union membership .....	86
Respondent's vocational education .....	85
Size of the respondent's municipality of residence .....	83
Views on EU membership: After EU's enlargement to Eastern Europe, Finland's subsidies should remain the same even if it means increasing EU's budget .....	16
Views on EU membership: Although the EU has its problems, it is nevertheless the only power that can lead and control Europe's development .....	8
Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (e.g. NATO) .....	13
Views on EU membership: Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland is more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union .....	8
Views on EU membership: EU decision-making should be based more on majority voting and the veto powers of individual member states should be limited .....	20
Views on EU membership: EU enlargement to the East would eventually lead to EU's disintegration, since a larger (and more complex) Union would be ungovernable .....	22
Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries .....	11
Views on EU membership: EU is a selfish organization of affluent European countries; it super-vises the interests of its members states and lacks any sense of global responsibility .....	17
Views on EU membership: EU keeps on developing and changing and therefore its jurisdiction cannot be finally determined .....	19
Views on EU membership: EU membership fees are far too high when compared with the advantages brought by the membership .....	7
Views on EU membership: Even though EU enlargement to Eastern Europe will be costly, it is	

politically and morally necessary .....	20
Views on EU membership: Finland could be represented in the UN by the representative of the EU .....	21
Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to influence EU's decision-making .....	18
Views on EU membership: Finland has been able to retain its national identity even as an EU member .....	21
Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us .....	13
Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU .....	12
Views on EU membership: Finns are quite capable of making decisions on their own, there is no need for anyone else/any union .....	17
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way our current government has been dealing with EU matters .....	10
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the Finnish parliament has been dealing with EU matters .....	10
Views on EU membership: I am satisfied with the way the president of Finland has been dealing with EU matters .....	11
Views on EU membership: I have no idea what Finnish European Parliament members have done or aim to do .....	18
Views on EU membership: If EU makes decisions which have a significant effect on living conditions in member states, they must be submitted to a referendum in Finland .....	9
Views on EU membership: If I did not know Finland is an EU member, I would not notice it in my everyday life .....	22
Views on EU membership: In the future, the EU will become a tight federation where nation states no longer have any significance .....	19
Views on EU membership: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are just .....	7
Views on EU membership: It is unnecessary to criticize the EU since it has succeeded well in its main tasks: keeping peace and maintaining prosperity .....	14
Views on EU membership: Joining EMU (Economic and Monetary Union) was the right decision for Finland .....	9
Views on EU membership: Nowadays, EU takes public opinion into account when deciding how to develop the Union .....	15
Views on EU membership: Taxation should be uniform (similar) in EU member states .....	15
Views on EU membership: The EU constitutional treaty should state clearly which issues can be decided nationally and which issues must be decided collectively in the Union .....	14
Views on EU membership: The President of European Commission should be elected through a vote in the European Parliament .....	16
Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about	

### 3. Indexes

---

matters related to Finland's EU membership .....	12
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: As an international currency, the euro will become a strong rival to the US dollar .....	66
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Common currency will strengthen a sense of unity among EU citizens .....	66
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Economic connections (investments, cross-border acquisitions etc) will increase between EU states .....	68
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Especially elderly people will be confused and stressed .....	62
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: EU countries will be divided into insiders and outsiders, which is not good .....	69
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: EU will have as much influence in the world as the USA .....	67
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Finland's influence in the EU/importance in Europe will increase .....	70
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Finns will quickly learn to calculate their wages, taxes and expenses in euros .....	62
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: In the beginning, many people will be swindled and cheated .....	61
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Misunderstandings and (unintentional) mistakes will occur quite often, e.g. when shopping .....	61
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: New euro coins and notes are beautiful and will bring a welcome change .....	63
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: On the whole, Finns have a positive attitude towards the changeover to the euro .....	59
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Personally, I feel the changeover to the euro is positive and justified .....	60
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The changeover has been so well prepared that there will be no problems .....	59
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The changeover will cause a lot of criticism and dissatisfaction among citizens .....	60
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The common currency will lead to a closer coordination of economic policies among EU states .....	68
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The euro will tie Finland more tightly to the cultural environment to which it belongs in any case .....	64
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The introduction of the euro will speed up Sweden's and Britain's EMU membership .....	69
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The introduction of the single European currency will push the EU more towards a federation .....	67
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The national identity will weaken as Finns become	

emotionally detached from the national currency (mark) .....	64
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The possibility of prize comparisons between countries will lower prices in Finland .....	63
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: The removal of the national currency will mean losing our independence for good .....	65
Views on the euro/changeover to the euro: Travelling and shopping abroad/in the eurozone countries will become more simple .....	65
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Both Finland and the EU as a whole should tighten the immigration policy .....	79
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: EU did the right thing in supporting US actions in the fight against terrorism .....	73
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Even though the attacks are unacceptable, I can partly understand the reasons behind them .....	79
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Finland's decision-makers should not have supported US actions .....	73
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: I intend to avoid flying and travelling abroad ....	71
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Islam is as peaceful a religion as Christianity ....	76
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: My own personal sense of safety was shaken ....	71
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: No act of force is too harsh in the fight against terrorism .....	77
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Terrorism cannot be eliminated unless the causes creating it are removed .....	78
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Terrorist attacks will be extended to other Western/EU countries .....	78
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks have significant and far-reaching consequences .....	72
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks shocked me deeply .....	70
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The attacks will not have long-lasting effects ....	72
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The polarization between wealthy countries and third world countries will become even more pronounced .....	75
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The reasons behind the attacks are economical rather than religious .....	76
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: The world will be divided even further into rich and poor .....	74
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: UN influence will increase significantly .....	74
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: Use of force will create a vicious circle of retaliation and new terrorist attacks .....	77
Views on the terrorist attacks in the USA: What happened after the attacks has brought the Western countries and Russia closer .....	75

3. Indexes

---

What is your attitude towards Finland’s EU membership? .....82

# **Appendix A**

## **Questionnaire in Finnish**

---

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1059 EVAn EU-asennetutkimus 2001

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

---

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1059 Finnish EU Attitudes 2001

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

---





Pyydämme Teitä vastaamaan jokaiseen kysymykseen rengastamalla sen vaihtoehdon numeron, joka vastaa Teidän henkilökohtaista mielipidettänne.

Esimerkki vastauksen merkitsemistavasta:

1 ..... (2) ..... 3

1. Kuinka kiinnostunut Te nykyisin olette/kuinka aktiivisesti seuraatte Suomen EU-jäsenyyteen liittyviä asioita?

- 1 Erittäin kiinnostunut, seuraan aktiivisesti
- 2 Melko kiinnostunut, seuraan jonkin verran
- 3 Vain vähän kiinnostunut, en juuri seuraa
- 4 En lainkaan kiinnostunut, en seuraa lainkaan
- 5 En osaa sanoa



2. Entä kuinka hyvin katsotte tuntevanne kyseisiä asioita? Oletteko niistä mielestänne perillä...

- 1 Erittäin hyvin
- 2 Melko hyvin
- 3 Melko huonosti
- 4 Erittäin huonosti
- 5 En osaa sanoa

3. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista maamme EU-jäsenyyttä koskevista väitteistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Vaikea sanoa	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä
On oikeastaan yhdentekevää, säädetäänkö lakejamme eduskunnassa vai EU:ssa, kunhan ne vain ovat oikeudenmukaisia	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn jäsenmaksut ovat aivan liian korkeat jäsenyydestä saataviin etuihin nähden	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Vaikka EUlla onkin omat pulmansa, se on joka tapauksessa ainoa voima joka voi ohjata ja hallita Euroopan kehitystä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Epävakaan Venäjän naapurina Suomen on turvallisempaa olla EUn jäsen kuin EUn ulkopuolella	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen mukaanmeno EMUun oli oikea ratkaisu	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Mikäli EU tekee lähivuosina päätöksiä, jotka vaikuttavat olennaisesti jäsenmaiden elinoloihin, ne on alistettava maassamme kansanäänestykseen	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Olen tyytyväinen eduskunnan toimintaan EU-asioissa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Olen tyytyväinen maamme nykyisen hallituksen toimintaan EU-asioissa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Olen tyytyväinen tasavallan presidentin toimintaan EU-asioissa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn rahoja olisi käytettävä mieluummin Suomen syrjäseutujen kuin tulevien jäsenmaiden tukemiseen	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Maamme EU-jäsenyyteen liittyvistä asioista on jokaisen saatavilla riittävästi luotettavaa tietoa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen pitäisi erota EUsta	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn jäsenenä maamme liittyy ennen pitkää myös jonkin sotilasliiton (kuten Nato) jäseneksi	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen on kaikissa oloissa kyettävä vastaamaan itse turvallisuudestaan, muista ei ole siinä apua	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn perussopimuksessa pitäisi selkeästi määrätä, mitkä asiat kuuluvat kansallisen päätösvallan piiriin ja mistä asioista päätetään unionissa yhteisesti	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUta parjataan aivan suotta, sillä se on onnistunut päätehtävissään rauhan ja vaurauden turvaamisessa varsin hyvin	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EU-maissa tulisi olla yhdenmukainen (samanlainen) verotus	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EU kuuntelee nykyisin tarkkaan kansalaismielipidettä jäsenmaissa, eikä pyri kehittämään unionia sen vastaisesti	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn itälaajentumisen jälkeen Suomen saamat tuet on säilytettävä entisellään, vaikka se johtaisi EUn budjetin kasvuun	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5

(jatkuu...)	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Vaikea sanoa	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä
EU:n komission puheenjohtaja pitäisi valita vaalilla Euroopan parlamentissa	1	2	3	4	5
Suomalaiset pystyvät itse parhaiten päättämään omista asioistaan, siinä ei muita/mitään unionia tarvita	1	2	3	4	5
EU on hyvinvoivien eurooppalaisten maiden itsekäs edunvalvontakoneisto, jolta puuttuu maailmanlaajuinen yhteisvastuu	1	2	3	4	5
Minulla ei ole minkäänlaista kuvaa siitä, mitä maamme edustajat euro-parlamentissa ovat tehneet tai ylipäätään aikovat tehdä	1	2	3	4	5
Suomi on saanut hyvin äänensä kuuluviin EU:n päätöksenteossa	1	2	3	4	5
Tulevaisuudessa EU:sta muodostuu tiukka liittovaltio, jossa kansalliset valtiot ei ole enää merkitystä	1	2	3	4	5
Unionin toimivaltuuksia ei voida koskaan lopullisesti määritellä, sillä EU kehittyy aina ja on jatkuvassa muutostilassa	1	2	3	4	5
EU:n päätöksenteossa tulisi siirtyä nykyistä enemmän enemmistö-päätöksiin ja rajoittaa yksittäisten jäsenmaiden veto-oikeutta	1	2	3	4	5
Vaikka EU:n itälaajentumisesta aiheutuukin kustannuksia, on sen toteuttaminen poliittisesti ja moraalisesti välttämätöntä	1	2	3	4	5
Suomi on säilyttänyt hyvin kansallisen omaleimaisuutensa EU:n jäsenenä	1	2	3	4	5
YK:ssa Suomen edustajaksi riittäisi EU:n edustaja	1	2	3	4	5
EU:n itälaajentuminen johtaisi vähitellen koko EU:n hajoamiseen, sillä nykyistä laajempaa (ja moniaineksisempaa) unionia ei voida enää hallita	1	2	3	4	5
Jos en tietäisi maamme olevan EU:n jäsen, en helposti huomaisi sitä mistään asiasta omassa arkielämässäni	1	2	3	4	5

4. EU ei ole valmis, vaan muuttuu ja kehittyy jatkuvasti. Millaista toimintalinjaa ja mitä EU:n kehittämistavoitteita Suomen Teidän mielestänne pitäisi ajaa unionin jäsenmaana?

<u>Suomen pitäisi pyrkiä...</u>	Ehdottomasti	Kyllä	Ehkä, ehkä ei	Ei	Ehdottomasti ei
Keskittymään pääasiassa kansallisten etujensa ajamiseen	1	2	3	4	5
Keskittymään koko unionia koskeviin kysymyksiin	1	2	3	4	5
Edistämään EU:n ja Venäjän välisiä suhteita	1	2	3	4	5
Kehittämään EU:n yhteistä sosiaalipolitiikkaa pohjoismaisen mallin suuntaan	1	2	3	4	5
Pitämään EU:n mahdollisimman löyhänä yhteensuhteena	1	2	3	4	5
Luomaan EU:sta kiinteä liittovaltio (federaatio)	1	2	3	4	5
Edistämään EU:n laajentumista uusiin jäsenmaihin/Itä-Eurooppaan	1	2	3	4	5
Ajamaan erityisesti Viron pääsyä EU:n jäseneksi	1	2	3	4	5
Tehostamaan kansainvälisen rikollisuuden torjuntaa	1	2	3	4	5
Osallistua aktiivisesti EU:n toimiin terrorismin torjumiseksi	1	2	3	4	5
Edistämään avoimuutta/läpinäkyvyyttä EU:n toiminnassa	1	2	3	4	5
Edistämään EU:n yhteisten kriisinhallinta-/valmiusjoukkojen perustamista	1	2	3	4	5
Tehostamaan EU:n yhteistä ympäristöpolitiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5
Vahvistamaan pienten jäsenmaiden vaikutusvaltaa EU:n päätöksenteossa	1	2	3	4	5
Edistämään EU:n yhteisen ulko- ja turvallisuuspolitiikan luomista	1	2	3	4	5
Edistämään EU:n yhteisen puolustuksen luomista	1	2	3	4	5
Edistämään EU:n yhteisen työllisyyspolitiikan luomista	1	2	3	4	5
Tehostamaan unionin yhteistä kehitysyhteistyöpolitiikkaa	1	2	3	4	5
Turvaamaan Suomen maatalouden elinkelpoisuus	1	2	3	4	5
Vahvistamaan kansallisten kulttuurien säilymistä elinvoimaisena	1	2	3	4	5
Vähentämään virkavaltaisuutta ja hallinnon monimutkaisuutta EU:ssa	1	2	3	4	5
Edistämään sukupuolten tasa-arvoa unionissa	1	2	3	4	5

5. Millainen vaikutus EU-jäsenyydellä on nähdäksenne tähän mennessä ollut seuraaviin asioihin maassamme?

	Myönteinen vaikutus	Ei ole näistä vaikutusta	Kielteinen vaikutus	En osaa sanoa
Elinolot maassamme kokonaisuutena	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Elintaso ja aineellinen hyvinvointi	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Kansantalouden tila/kehitys	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Työllisyystilanne	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Vienti ja ulkomaankauppa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Yritystoiminnan vireys yleensä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Kilpailu yritystoiminnassa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Korkotasotaso	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Verotuksen taso	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Palkkataso	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Hintataso yleensä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Elintarvikkeiden hintataso	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Elintarvikkeiden laatutaso	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Kulttuuriolot	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Koulutusolot	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Tiede ja tutkimustoiminta	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Sosiaaliturva	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Terveydenhuolto	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Työympäristö ja työolot	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Ympäristönsuojelu	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Hyvinvointierot eri väestöryhmien välillä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Hyvinvointierot maan eri alueiden välillä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Kansalaisten oikeusturva	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Sukupuolten tasa-arvo/naisten asema	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Kansallinen itsemääräämisoikeus	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Suomen turvallisuuspoliittinen asema	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Suomen suhteet Venäjään	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Pohjoismainen yhteistyö	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Suomen vaikutusvalta Euroopassa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Suomi-kuva ulkomailla, maamme imago	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Suomalaisen itsetunto/minäkuva/identiteetti	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Suomi pääomien sijoituskohteena	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Maan henkinen ilmapiiri	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Yleinen yhteiskuntamoraali	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Demokratian toimivuus	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Yksilön vaikutusmahdollisuudet	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Rikollisuuden määrä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Byrokratian määrä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Palkansaajaväestön asema	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Maatalousväestön asema	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Eläkeläisväestön asema	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Yrittäjien asema	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Nuorten asema	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4
Oma asemanne ja elämänne	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4

6. Kysymys EUn laajentumisesta Itä- ja Keski-Eurooppaan/Baltiaan on yksi unionin suurimmista haasteista. Jos oletetaan, että (joistakin) näistä maista tulee lähivuosina EUn jäseniä, millaisia seurauksia arvioitte sillä olevan?

	Toden- näköistä	Vaikea sanoa	Epätoden- näköistä
EUn merkitys maailmantaloudessa kasvaa	1 .....	2 .....	3
Suomen ja muiden nykyisten jäsenmaiden jäsenmaksut kasvavat huomattavasti	1 .....	2 .....	3
Estää kriisien/uusien Jugoslavian tapaisten sisällissotien syntymisen Euroopassa	1 .....	2 .....	3
Johtaa Venäjän eristymiseen muusta Euroopasta	1 .....	2 .....	3
Monimutkaistaa entisestään EUn päätöksentekojärjestelmää	1 .....	2 .....	3
Lisää talouskasvua ja vaurautta läpi Euroopan	1 .....	2 .....	3
Lujittaa EUn puolustusta	1 .....	2 .....	3
Maatalouden ylituotanto paisuu EUssa entisestään	1 .....	2 .....	3
Suomen maatalous menettää kilpailukykyä	1 .....	2 .....	3
Muuttaa EUn luonteen todelliseksi Euroopan unioniksi nykyisen 'Länsi-Eur. unionin' sijasta	1 .....	2 .....	3
Itäisistä jäsenmaista tulee riippa, joka estää/jarruttaa läntisten kehitystä	1 .....	2 .....	3
Rasittaa unionin taloutta vain lyhyen siirtymävaiheen ajan, tarjoaa suuria etuja myöhemmin	1 .....	2 .....	3
Tuo uutta liikkuvaa työvoimaa EUn työmarkkinoille, myös Suomeen	1 .....	2 .....	3
Laajentuminen vaikeuttaa/hidastaa integraation tiivistämistä	1 .....	2 .....	3
Rikastuttaa EUta kulttuurisesti	1 .....	2 .....	3

7. Suomi siirtyy ensi vuoden alussa (muiden EMU-maiden tavoin) yhteisen rahayksikön euron käyttöön. Seuraavassa esitetään joukko tätä muutosta ja sen seurausvaikutuksia koskevia oletuksia ja väitteitä. Miten ne vastaavat Teidän henkilökohtaisia käsityksiänne?

	Vastaa omaa käsitystä...				
	Pitkälti/ täysin	Jossain määrin	Vaikea sanoa	Ei juu- rikaan	Ei lain- kaan
Muutos on valmisteltu niin hyvin, että se tapahtuu sujuvasti/suuremmitta ongelmitta	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomalaiset kokevat muutoksen yleisesti ottaen myönteisenä asiana	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Henkilökohtaisesti koen euroon siirtymisen myönteiseksi ja perustelluksi	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Muutos herättää kansalaisissa paljon kritiikkiä ja tyytymättömyyttä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Väärinkäsityksiä ja (tahattomia) virheitä tulee paljon esim. kaupoissa asioitaessa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Huijatuksi tulemista, vilppiä ja sen yritystä esiintyy (aluksi) paljon	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Sekaannusta ja stressiä syntyy etenkin vanhemman väestön keskuudessa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomal. oppivat nopeasti palkkojensa, verojensa ja menojensa euroissa laskemisen	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Uudet eurorahat ovat kauniita ja tuovat tervetullutta vaihtelua/virkistystä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Hintojen suora kansainvälinen vertailtavuus saa aikaan hintojen laskua Suomessa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomalainen identiteetti heikkenee, kun tunneside markkaan katkeaa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Euro sitoo Suomen entistä tiukemmin siihen kulttuuripiiriin, johon maamme kuuluukin	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Markasta luopuminen merkitsee itsenäisyytemme lopullista menettämistä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Matkustaminen ja ostosten teko ulkomailla/euroalueen maissa yksinkertaistuu	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
-----					
EU-maiden kansalaisten yhteenkuuluvuus vahvistuu yhteisen valuutan myötä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Eurosta tulee maailmanvaluuttana vahva kilpailija dollarille	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUsta tulee maailmassa USAn veroinen vaikuttaja	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn kehitys kohti liittovaltiota etenee yhteisen valuutan myötä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Taloudelliset yhteydet (sijoitukset, yrityskaupat ym.) lisääntyvät EU-maiden kesken	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EU-maiden talouspolitiikka yhtenäistyy/yhdentyy muutoksen seurauksena	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EU-maat jakaantuvat haitallisesti 'kahden kerroksen väeksi'	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Euron käyttöönotto vauhdittaa Ruotsin ja Britannian EMU-jäsenyyttä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen vaikutusmahdollisuudet EUssa/painoarvo Euroopassa kasvaa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5

8. Yhdysvalloissa tapahtuneet terrori-iskut ovat ravistelleet maailmaa. Niiden merkityksestä, syistä ja seurauksista on esitetty erilaisia näkemyksiä. Miten seuraavat asiat vastaavat Teidän henkilökohtaisia tuntemuksianne ja käsityksiänne kyseisistä tapahtumista?

Vastaa omaa tuntemusta/käsitystä...

	Erittäin hyvin	Melko hyvin	Vaikea sanoa	Melko huonosti	Ei lainkaan
Terrori-iskut järkyttivät/koskettivat minua poikkeuksellisella tavalla	1	2	3	4	5
Oma turvallisuudentunteeni koki kolauksen	1	2	3	4	5
Aion välttää lentämistä ja yleensäkin matkustamista (maailmalla)	1	2	3	4	5
Iskuilla on suuria ja kauaskantoisia maailmanpoliittisia seurauksia	1	2	3	4	5
Iskuilla tuskin on lopulta suurtakaan vaikutusta tulevaisuuteen	1	2	3	4	5
EU toimi oikein antaessaan tukensa USAn toimille terrorismin hävittämiseksi	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen päättäjien ei olisi pitänyt antaa tukeaan USAn toimille	1	2	3	4	5
Maailma jakaantuu entistä pahemmin rikkaisiin ja köyhiin	1	2	3	4	5
YK:n vaikutusvalta kasvaa merkittävästi	1	2	3	4	5
Tapahtumat lähensivät ratkaisevalla tavalla länsimaita ja Venäjää	1	2	3	4	5
Vastakohtaisuus hyvinvoivien maitten ja kolmannen maailman välillä kärjistyy	1	2	3	4	5
Iskujen taustalla ovat pikemminkin taloudelliset kuin uskonnolliset syyt	1	2	3	4	5
Islam on yhtä rauhanomainen uskonto kuin kristinuskokin	1	2	3	4	5
Mitkään voimatoimet eivät ole liian kovia terrorismin kitkemiseksi	1	2	3	4	5
Voimatoimet synnyttävät loputtoman koston kierteen ja uusia terrori-iskuja	1	2	3	4	5
Terrori-iskut laajenevat vielä muihinkin länsimaihin/EU-maihin	1	2	3	4	5
Terrorismia ei voida poistaa, ellei puututa niihin syihin jotka sitä synnyttävät	1	2	3	4	5
Vaikkakin tuomittavia, terrori-iskut ovat tavallaan ymmärrettäviä	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen ja koko EU:n pitäisi tiukentaa maahanmuuttopolitiikkaansa	1	2	3	4	5

9. Keskustelu Suomen NATO-jäsenyydestä on voimistunut viime aikoina. Osaltaan tähän on vaikuttanut Baltian maiden mahdollinen liittyminen puolustusliittoon. Miten Te suhtaudutte Suomen NATO-jäsenyyteen seuraavissa tilanteissa/seuraavien ehtojen täytyessä?

Suomen tulisi liittyä NATOon...

	Kyllä	Vaikea sanoa	Ei
Nykytilanteessa (riippumatta muiden maiden jäsenyydestä)	1	2	3
Mikäli Baltian maat liittyvät	1	2	3
Mikäli Ruotsi liittyy	1	2	3
Mikäli Baltian maat ja Ruotsi liittyvät	1	2	3

10. EUn tulevassa kehityksessä voidaan nähdä kaksi rinnakkaista päälinjaa, unionin laajentaminen (uusiin jäsenmaihin) ja unionin tiivistäminen (taloudellisen ja poliittisen yhteistyön lisääminen, lainsäädännön yhtenäistäminen jne.). Tulisiko EUn Teidän mielestänne lähivuosina...?

- 1 Sekä laajentua että tiivistyä
- 2 Laajentua, ei niinkään tiivistyä
- 3 Tiivistyä, ei niinkään laajentua
- 4 Ei kumpaakaan/ei laajentua eikä tiivistyä
- 5 En osaa sanoa

11. Miten Te suhtaudutte nykyisin maamme EU-jäsenyyteen?

- 1 Erittäin myönteisesti
- 2 Melko myönteisesti
- 3 Neutraalisti/ei myönt. eikä kielt.
- 4 Melko kielteisesti
- 5 Erittäin kielteisesti
- 6 En osaa sanoa

## TAUSTATIEDOT AINEISTON TILASTOLLISTA RYHMITTELYÄ VARTEN

### Sukupuoli

- 1 Mies
- 2 Nainen

### Ikäryhmä

- 1 18 - 25 vuotta
- 2 26 - 35 vuotta
- 3 36 - 45 vuotta
- 4 46 - 55 vuotta
- 5 56 - 65 vuotta
- 6 Yli 65 vuotta

### Asuinkunnan koko

- 1 Alle 4 000 asukasta
- 2 4 000 - 8 000 asukasta
- 3 8 000 - 30 000 asukasta
- 4 30 000 - 80 000 asukasta
- 5 Yli 80 000 asukasta

### Maakunta, jonka alueella asutte

- 1 Uusimaa
- 2 Itä-Uusimaa
- 3 Varsinais-Suomi
- 4 Satakunta
- 5 Häme
- 6 Pirkanmaa
- 7 Päijät-Häme
- 8 Kymenlaakso
- 9 Etelä-Karjala
- 10 Etelä-Savo
- 11 Pohjois-Savo
- 12 Pohjois-Karjala
- 13 Keski-Suomi
- 14 Etelä-Pohjanmaa
- 15 Pohjanmaa (Vaasan rannikkoseutu)
- 16 Keski-Pohjanmaa
- 17 Pohjois-Pohjanmaa
- 18 Kainuu
- 19 Lappi

### Millainen peruskoulutus Teillä on?

- 1 Kansakoulu
- 2 Keski- tai peruskoulu
- 3 Ylioppilastutkinto

### Millainen ammatillinen koulutus Teillä on?

- 1 Ei ammatillista koulutusta
- 2 Ammattikurssi, muu lyhyt ammattikoulutus
- 3 Ammattikoulu, kouluasteen ammatill. tutkinto
- 4 Opistotutkinto, ammattikorkeakoulututkinto
- 5 Yliopisto- tai tiedekorkeakoulututkinto

### Ammattiryhmä, johon katsotte lähinnä kuuluvanne

- 1 Johtavassa asemassa toisen palveluksessa
- 2 Ylempi toimihenkilö
- 3 Alempi toimihenkilö
- 4 Työntekijä
- 5 Yrittäjä tai yksityinen ammatinharjoittaja
- 6 Maatalousyrittäjä
- 7 Opiskelija
- 8 Eläkeläinen
- 9 Kotiäiti/koti-isä
- 10 Työtön
- 11 Muu

### Kuulutteko johonkin ammatilliseen keskusjärjestöön?

- 1 En kuulu
- 2 Kyllä, ammatillinen keskusjärjestöni on
  - A) SAK
  - B) STTK
  - C) Akava
  - D) MTK

### Jos eduskuntavaalit pidettäisiin nyt, minkä puolueen ehdokasta äänestäisitte?

- 1 SDP
- 2 KESK
- 3 KOK
- 4 Vasemmistoliitto
- 5 RKP
- 6 Vihreät
- 7 Kristillisdemokraatit (ent. SKL)
- 8 Perussuomalaiset
- 9 Jokin muu
- 10 En äänestäisi lainkaan
- 11 En osaa sanoa
- 12 En halua sanoa

KOMMENTTEJA? Kaikki mielipiteet EU-kysymyksestä tai tästä tutkimuksesta ovat tervetulleita ja arvokkaita.

---

---

---

---

---

**KIITOKSET VAIVANNÄÖSTÄ!**

Palauttaa tämä lomake oheisessa kirjekuoressa.