

FSD1105

**Finnish Local Government Barometer
2000: Inhabitants**

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Local Government Barometer 2000: Inhabitants [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 1.1 (9.12.2009) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1105 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Foundation for Municipal Development: Finnish Local Government Barometer 2000: Inhabitants [dataset]. Version 1.1 (2009-12-09). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1105>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	57
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	57
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	63
A	Questionnaire in Finnish	69

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Local Government Barometer 2000: Inhabitants

Titles and data version in Finnish: Kunnallisalan ilmapuntari 2000: kuntalaiset

This codebook has been generated from the version 1.1 (9.12.2009) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Foundation for Municipal Development

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Foundation for Municipal Development

Date of deposit

24.1.2001

Keywords

councillors; local finance; local government; local government officers; local government services; local taxation; municipalities; political power; privatization; public political influence;

social economy

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: government, political systems and organisations; political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Local Government Barometers

The Foundation for Municipal Development conducts annual surveys covering opinions on local (municipal) democracy, local government, local economy and services. Respondents are generally local inhabitants, municipal managers and the chairpersons of municipal boards. The data enable comparison between the opinions of the inhabitants on the one hand, and the managers and chairpersons on the other. The surveys also study municipal managers' views on the policies of the Government of Finland and the functionality of local co-operation. The survey series was launched in 1992.

Abstract

The survey probed citizens' opinions on their personal political influence, and how it could be increased. The respondents expressed their views on how the top-level municipal officers should be elected, how long their periods in office should be, and what kind of role political parties and politicians play in local government. The respondents' own willingness to participate in municipal duties was also assessed.

The respondents were asked several questions about the correlation between the level of the municipal tax and the supply of local government services. Views on the municipal administration, and the adequacy or inadequacy of services were also canvassed.

The respondents were asked to name characteristics they value in their own local councillors, and to describe the general image of the Finnish municipalities and local administration. They also assessed the significance and effectiveness of municipal management. Attitudes towards the consolidations of municipalities and municipal divisions were studied.

Background variables included the respondent's sex, age, education, whether R has the highest income level in the household (head of household), household income, household composition, the occupational group and economic activity of the head of the household, R's occupational group and economic activity, political affiliation, and the type of neighbourhood.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finns aged 15 or over, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 10.5.2000 – 7.6.2000

Data collector(s): Gallup Finland

Data producer(s): Foundation for Municipal Development

Mode of data collection: Face-to-face interview

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2000

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 108 variables and 982 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Multistage

The sample was collected in three phases. In the first phase, the target persons were divided by province. Then, within each province, the target persons were divided according to community type: communities were grouped in different types so that cities were divided to big and small according to population size, and rural communities in three classes according to industrial structure. In the third phase, a group of starting points were designated for each of the selected municipalities in relation to their population. Starting point method was used, and each randomly selected point resulted in six interviews. From each household the person who matched the gender and age requirements stated in the selection card was interviewed.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

The variable identifying postal code has been removed from the data.

Related publications

Kallio, Johanna (2010). Hyvinvointipalvelujärjestelmän muutos ja suomalaisten mielipiteet 1996-2006. Helsinki: Kansaneläkelaitos. Sosiaali- ja terveysturvan tutkimuksia; 108.

Kansalaismielipide ja kunnat: ilmapuntari 2000 (2000). Helsinki: Kunnallisalan kehittämissäätiö. Polemia-sarja; 38.

Laiho, Anna (2013) Kuntalaisten ja kunnanvaltuutettujen mielipiteet kunnallisista palveluista 2000-luvulla. Turku: Turun yliopisto. Sosiaalipolitiikan pro gradu -tutkielma.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

1. Study description

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1105?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	982
minimum	1105.00
maximum	1105.00
mean	1105.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	982
minimum	1.00
maximum	1.00
mean	1.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_PRO] FSD processing level

Question

FSD processing level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A (see codebook)	1	982	100.0	100.0
B (see codebook)	2	0	0.0	0.0
C (dataset has not been checked at FSD)	3	0	0.0	0.0
		982	100.0	100.0

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	982
minimum	1.00
maximum	982.00
mean	491.50
standard deviation	283.62

[Q1_1] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Everyone can influence matters in his/her municipality of residence if he/she wants to

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Everyone can influence matters in his/her municipality of residence if he/she wants to

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	202	20.6	20.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	435	44.3	44.3
Disagree	3	269	27.4	27.4
Strongly disagree	4	72	7.3	7.3
Can't say	5	4	0.4	0.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_2] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal self-government is a fundamental value for Finns, and it should not be undermined in any circumstances

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal self-government is a fundamental value for Finns, and it should not be undermined in any circumstances

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	541	55.1	55.1
Agree	2	353	35.9	35.9
Disagree	3	56	5.7	5.7
Strongly disagree	4	11	1.1	1.1
Can't say	5	21	2.1	2.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_3] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected for a fixed term

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected for a fixed term

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	545	55.5	55.5
Agree	2	310	31.6	31.6
Disagree	3	78	7.9	7.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly disagree	4	31	3.2	3.2
Can't say	5	18	1.8	1.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_4] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected by direct vote

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected by direct vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	354	36.0	36.0
Agree	2	295	30.0	30.0
Disagree	3	200	20.4	20.4
Strongly disagree	4	109	11.1	11.1
Can't say	5	24	2.4	2.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_5] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: There are far too many municipalities in our country

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: There are far too many municipalities in our country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	200	20.4	20.4
Agree	2	257	26.2	26.2
Disagree	3	302	30.8	30.8
Strongly disagree	4	128	13.0	13.0
Can't say	5	95	9.7	9.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_6] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipalities should be reduced by forced consolidations in order to improve the financial situation of municipalities and municipal services

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipalities should be reduced by forced consolidations in order to improve the financial situation of municipalities and municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	152	15.5	15.5
Agree	2	257	26.2	26.2
Disagree	3	316	32.2	32.2
Strongly disagree	4	197	20.1	20.1
Can't say	5	60	6.1	6.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_7] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal consolidations are realised, they should be based on voluntariness

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal consolidations are realised, they should be based on voluntariness

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	517	52.6	52.6
Agree	2	350	35.6	35.6
Disagree	3	74	7.5	7.5
Strongly disagree	4	23	2.3	2.3
Can't say	5	18	1.8	1.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_8] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipalities with large population or area should be divided into several smaller municipalities

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipalities with large population or area should be divided into several smaller municipalities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	45	4.6	4.6
Agree	2	154	15.7	15.7
Disagree	3	348	35.4	35.4
Strongly disagree	4	377	38.4	38.4
Can't say	5	58	5.9	5.9
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_9] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Each municipality should be allowed to decide for themselves when to organise municipal elections

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Each municipality should be allowed to decide for themselves when to organise municipal elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	199	20.3	20.3
Agree	2	205	20.9	20.9
Disagree	3	239	24.3	24.3
Strongly disagree	4	314	32.0	32.0
Can't say	5	25	2.5	2.5
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_10] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If local referendums were held more, inhabitants would become more interested in municipal matters and willing to participate in them

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If local referendums were held more, inhabitants would become more interested in municipal matters and willing to participate in them

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	248	25.3	25.3
Agree	2	511	52.0	52.0
Disagree	3	155	15.8	15.8
Strongly disagree	4	37	3.8	3.8
Can't say	5	31	3.2	3.2
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_11] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Increasing inhabitants' possibilities to directly influence municipal decision-making (e.g. through local referendums) would easily produce weak and short-sighted decisions

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Increasing inhabitants' possibilities to directly influence municipal decision-making (e.g. through local referendums) would easily produce weak and short-sighted decisions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	125	12.7	12.7
Agree	2	350	35.6	35.6
Disagree	3	347	35.3	35.3
Strongly disagree	4	102	10.4	10.4
Can't say	5	58	5.9	5.9
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_12] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: As experts, municipal manager and officials should have more power in municipal decision-making

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: As experts, municipal manager and officials should have more power in municipal decision-making

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	91	9.3	9.3
Agree	2	273	27.8	27.8
Disagree	3	406	41.3	41.3
Strongly disagree	4	180	18.3	18.3
Can't say	5	32	3.3	3.3
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_13] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipal elected offices should be greatly increased - hence inhabitants would be offered more possibilities to participate in managing common issues

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipal elected offices should be greatly increased - hence inhabitants would be offered more possibilities to participate in managing common issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	187	19.0	19.0
Agree	2	420	42.8	42.8
Disagree	3	234	23.8	23.8
Strongly disagree	4	101	10.3	10.3
Can't say	5	40	4.1	4.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_14] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal politicians think mostly about their own interests and do not care about the needs of inhabitants

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal politicians think mostly about their own interests and do not care about the needs of inhabitants

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	248	25.3	25.3
Agree	2	397	40.4	40.4
Disagree	3	270	27.5	27.5
Strongly disagree	4	45	4.6	4.6
Can't say	5	22	2.2	2.2
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_15] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Political parties guarantee that municipal administration works democratically

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Political parties guarantee that municipal administration works democratically

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	156	15.9	15.9
Agree	2	373	38.0	38.0
Disagree	3	280	28.5	28.5
Strongly disagree	4	110	11.2	11.2
Can't say	5	63	6.4	6.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_16] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal decision-makers are unduly blamed for the decisions they have to make in tough situations and under different expectations

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal decision-makers are unduly blamed for the decisions they have to make in tough situations and under different expectations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	105	10.7	10.7
Agree	2	481	49.0	49.0
Disagree	3	293	29.8	29.8
Strongly disagree	4	57	5.8	5.8
Can't say	5	46	4.7	4.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_17] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal administration would work better without political parties and municipal politicians, with only officials and experts

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal administration would work better without political parties and municipal politicians, with only officials and experts

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	101	10.3	10.3
Agree	2	304	31.0	31.0
Disagree	3	307	31.3	31.3
Strongly disagree	4	204	20.8	20.8
Can't say	5	66	6.7	6.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_18] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Because the state has reduced its funding for municipal services, decision-makers in my municipality have ended up in a very difficult position in trying to secure service level

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Because the state has reduced its funding for municipal services, decision-makers in my municipality have ended up in a very difficult position in trying to secure service level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	190	19.3	19.3
Agree	2	484	49.3	49.3
Disagree	3	206	21.0	21.0
Strongly disagree	4	41	4.2	4.2
Can't say	5	61	6.2	6.2
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_19] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In my municipality of residence, it is the decision-makers' own fault if municipal services do not meet the needs of inhabitants adequately

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In my municipality of residence, it is the decision-makers' own fault if municipal services do not meet the needs of inhabitants adequately

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	130	13.2	13.2
Agree	2	317	32.3	32.3
Disagree	3	417	42.5	42.5
Strongly disagree	4	78	7.9	7.9
Can't say	5	40	4.1	4.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_20] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal taxation in Finland is not excessive in relation to the selection and quality of municipal services

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal taxation in Finland is not excessive in relation to the selection and quality of municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	135	13.7	13.7
Agree	2	433	44.1	44.1
Disagree	3	285	29.0	29.0
Strongly disagree	4	93	9.5	9.5
Can't say	5	36	3.7	3.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_21] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If it has to be done, it is better to raise the municipal tax rate than to cut down municipal services

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If it has to be done, it is better to raise the municipal tax rate than to cut down municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	226	23.0	23.0
Agree	2	439	44.7	44.7
Disagree	3	231	23.5	23.5
Strongly disagree	4	55	5.6	5.6
Can't say	5	31	3.2	3.2
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_22] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In the future, municipalities should increase rather than cut down municipal services

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In the future, municipalities should increase rather than cut down municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	295	30.0	30.0
Agree	2	425	43.3	43.3
Disagree	3	198	20.2	20.2
Strongly disagree	4	38	3.9	3.9
Can't say	5	26	2.6	2.6
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q1_23] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Citizens should have the right to free or almost free municipal basic services regardless of the costs of service provision

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Citizens should have the right to free or almost free municipal basic services regardless of the costs of service provision

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	319	32.5	32.5
Agree	2	431	43.9	43.9
Disagree	3	192	19.6	19.6
Strongly disagree	4	25	2.5	2.5
Can't say	5	15	1.5	1.5
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1] Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Sports, exercise and outdoor recreation possibilities and services

Question

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Sports, exercise and outdoor recreation possibilities and services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely adequately	1	520	53.0	53.0
Fairly adequately	2	370	37.7	37.7
Fairly inadequately	3	71	7.2	7.2
Completely inadequately	4	10	1.0	1.0
Can't say	5	11	1.1	1.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2] Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Schools (e.g. primary school and secondary school)

Question

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Schools (e.g. primary school and secondary school)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely adequately	1	480	48.9	48.9
Fairly adequately	2	376	38.3	38.3
Fairly inadequately	3	95	9.7	9.7
Completely inadequately	4	10	1.0	1.0
Can't say	5	21	2.1	2.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q2_3] Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Child day care

Question

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Child day care

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely adequately	1	290	29.5	29.5
Fairly adequately	2	450	45.8	45.8
Fairly inadequately	3	128	13.0	13.0
Completely inadequately	4	28	2.9	2.9
Can't say	5	86	8.8	8.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q2_4] Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Elderly care

Question

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Elderly care

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely adequately	1	95	9.7	9.7
Fairly adequately	2	364	37.1	37.1
Fairly inadequately	3	328	33.4	33.4
Completely inadequately	4	101	10.3	10.3
Can't say	5	94	9.6	9.6
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q2_5] Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Minimum income guarantee (formerly social welfare)

Question

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Minimum income guarantee (formerly social welfare)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely adequately	1	138	14.1	14.1
Fairly adequately	2	399	40.6	40.6
Fairly inadequately	3	201	20.5	20.5
Completely inadequately	4	52	5.3	5.3
Can't say	5	192	19.6	19.6
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q2_6] Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Street maintenance and lighting

Question

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Street maintenance and lighting

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely adequately	1	257	26.2	26.2
Fairly adequately	2	437	44.5	44.5
Fairly inadequately	3	214	21.8	21.8
Completely inadequately	4	70	7.1	7.1
Can't say	5	4	0.4	0.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q2_7] Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Municipal health centre services

Question

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Municipal health centre services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely adequately	1	265	27.0	27.0
Fairly adequately	2	415	42.3	42.3
Fairly inadequately	3	234	23.8	23.8
Completely inadequately	4	57	5.8	5.8
Can't say	5	11	1.1	1.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q2_8] Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Library services

Question

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Library services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely adequately	1	562	57.2	57.2
Fairly adequately	2	347	35.3	35.3
Fairly inadequately	3	42	4.3	4.3
Completely inadequately	4	13	1.3	1.3
Can't say	5	18	1.8	1.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q2_9] Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Culture services, e.g. theatres

Question

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Culture services, e.g. theatres

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely adequately	1	432	44.0	44.0
Fairly adequately	2	358	36.5	36.5
Fairly inadequately	3	127	12.9	12.9
Completely inadequately	4	33	3.4	3.4
Can't say	5	32	3.3	3.3
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q2_10] Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Services in your municipality of residence as a whole

Question

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Services in your municipality of residence as a whole

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely adequately	1	179	18.2	18.2
Fairly adequately	2	707	72.0	72.0
Fairly inadequately	3	83	8.5	8.5
Completely inadequately	4	6	0.6	0.6
Can't say	5	7	0.7	0.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q3_1] If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Council candidate in municipal elections

Question

If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Council candidate in municipal elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	32	3.3	3.3
Fairly pleased	2	72	7.3	7.3
Not very pleased	3	293	29.8	29.8
Not pleased at all	4	570	58.0	58.0
Can't say	5	15	1.5	1.5
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q3_2] If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of local government

Question

If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of local government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	26	2.6	2.6
Fairly pleased	2	85	8.7	8.7
Not very pleased	3	283	28.8	28.8
Not pleased at all	4	576	58.7	58.7
Can't say	5	12	1.2	1.2
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q3_3] If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of municipal committee

Question

If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of municipal committee

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	34	3.5	3.5
Fairly pleased	2	154	15.7	15.7
Not very pleased	3	294	29.9	29.9
Not pleased at all	4	489	49.8	49.8
Can't say	5	11	1.1	1.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q3_4] If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of school board or other municipal department board

Question

If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of school board or other municipal department board

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	49	5.0	5.0
Fairly pleased	2	204	20.8	20.8
Not very pleased	3	276	28.1	28.1
Not pleased at all	4	442	45.0	45.0
Can't say	5	11	1.1	1.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q3_5] If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Involvement in the party association of the political party that pleases you the most

Question

If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Involvement in the party association of the political party that pleases you the most

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	33	3.4	3.4
Fairly pleased	2	155	15.8	15.8
Not very pleased	3	305	31.1	31.1
Not pleased at all	4	471	48.0	48.0
Can't say	5	18	1.8	1.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q3_6] If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Involvement in municipal elected offices in general

Question

If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Involvement in municipal elected offices in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	29	3.0	3.0
Fairly pleased	2	151	15.4	15.4
Not very pleased	3	349	35.5	35.5
Not pleased at all	4	444	45.2	45.2
Can't say	5	9	0.9	0.9
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_1] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is highly educated

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is highly educated

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	219	22.3	22.3
Fairly pleased	2	493	50.2	50.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very pleased	3	213	21.7	21.7
Not pleased at all	4	39	4.0	4.0
Can't say	5	18	1.8	1.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_2] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has plenty of experience of local council work and municipal politics

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has plenty of experience of local council work and municipal politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	341	34.7	34.7
Fairly pleased	2	488	49.7	49.7
Not very pleased	3	128	13.0	13.0
Not pleased at all	4	16	1.6	1.6
Can't say	5	9	0.9	0.9
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_3] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Represents people of your age group

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Represents people of your age group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	290	29.5	29.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly pleased	2	473	48.2	48.2
Not very pleased	3	181	18.4	18.4
Not pleased at all	4	24	2.4	2.4
Can't say	5	14	1.4	1.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_4] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is the same sex as you

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is the same sex as you

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	111	11.3	11.3
Fairly pleased	2	351	35.7	35.7
Not very pleased	3	332	33.8	33.8
Not pleased at all	4	122	12.4	12.4
Can't say	5	66	6.7	6.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_5] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is active in organisational policy

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is active in organisational policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	214	21.8	21.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly pleased	2	526	53.6	53.6
Not very pleased	3	197	20.1	20.1
Not pleased at all	4	26	2.6	2.6
Can't say	5	19	1.9	1.9
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_6] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is a well-known person in your municipality of residence

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is a well-known person in your municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	189	19.2	19.2
Fairly pleased	2	443	45.1	45.1
Not very pleased	3	281	28.6	28.6
Not pleased at all	4	44	4.5	4.5
Can't say	5	25	2.5	2.5
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_7] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is a good public performer and has a good delivery

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is a good public performer and has a good delivery

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	589	60.0	60.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly pleased	2	349	35.5	35.5
Not very pleased	3	34	3.5	3.5
Not pleased at all	4	6	0.6	0.6
Can't say	5	4	0.4	0.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_8] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is actively in contact with his/her own voters between various elections

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is actively in contact with his/her own voters between various elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	503	51.2	51.2
Fairly pleased	2	419	42.7	42.7
Not very pleased	3	50	5.1	5.1
Not pleased at all	4	4	0.4	0.4
Can't say	5	6	0.6	0.6
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_9] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Belongs to the right political party

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Belongs to the right political party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	229	23.3	23.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly pleased	2	402	40.9	40.9
Not very pleased	3	209	21.3	21.3
Not pleased at all	4	91	9.3	9.3
Can't say	5	51	5.2	5.2
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_10] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is from the same district or village as you

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is from the same district or village as you

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	226	23.0	23.0
Fairly pleased	2	461	46.9	46.9
Not very pleased	3	212	21.6	21.6
Not pleased at all	4	57	5.8	5.8
Can't say	5	26	2.6	2.6
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_11] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has co-operation and negotiation skills

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has co-operation and negotiation skills

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	705	71.8	71.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly pleased	2	265	27.0	27.0
Not very pleased	3	10	1.0	1.0
Not pleased at all	4	2	0.2	0.2
Can't say	5	0	0.0	0.0
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_12] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is determined to promote the interests of people and population groups like you

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is determined to promote the interests of people and population groups like you

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	545	55.5	55.5
Fairly pleased	2	358	36.5	36.5
Not very pleased	3	62	6.3	6.3
Not pleased at all	4	13	1.3	1.3
Can't say	5	4	0.4	0.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_13] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has the courage to act against the interests of his/her voters if necessary

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has the courage to act against the interests of his/her voters if necessary

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	220	22.4	22.4
Fairly pleased	2	417	42.5	42.5
Not very pleased	3	245	24.9	24.9
Not pleased at all	4	72	7.3	7.3
Can't say	5	28	2.9	2.9
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_14] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Opposes cutting down municipal services

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Opposes cutting down municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	455	46.3	46.3
Fairly pleased	2	346	35.2	35.2
Not very pleased	3	115	11.7	11.7
Not pleased at all	4	52	5.3	5.3
Can't say	5	14	1.4	1.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_15] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts boldly to improve the municipality's financial situation although it may entail service level reduction

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts boldly to improve the municipality's financial situation although it may entail service level reduction

Frequencies

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	162	16.5	16.5
Fairly pleased	2	347	35.3	35.3
Not very pleased	3	372	37.9	37.9
Not pleased at all	4	69	7.0	7.0
Can't say	5	32	3.3	3.3
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_16] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has the courage to support raising the municipal tax rate if it's necessary regarding the financial situation

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has the courage to support raising the municipal tax rate if it's necessary regarding the financial situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	190	19.3	19.3
Fairly pleased	2	489	49.8	49.8
Not very pleased	3	236	24.0	24.0
Not pleased at all	4	43	4.4	4.4
Can't say	5	24	2.4	2.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_17] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Supports privatising municipal services

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Supports privatising municipal services

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	73	7.4	7.4
Fairly pleased	2	237	24.1	24.1
Not very pleased	3	409	41.6	41.6
Not pleased at all	4	207	21.1	21.1
Can't say	5	56	5.7	5.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_18] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts so that society becomes more competitive and market-oriented

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts so that society becomes more competitive and market-oriented

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	88	9.0	9.0
Fairly pleased	2	279	28.4	28.4
Not very pleased	3	346	35.2	35.2
Not pleased at all	4	229	23.3	23.3
Can't say	5	40	4.1	4.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_19] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts so that the living conditions in your municipality of residence become socially more equal

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts so that the living conditions in your municipality of residence become socially more equal

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	435	44.3	44.3
Fairly pleased	2	482	49.1	49.1
Not very pleased	3	44	4.5	4.5
Not pleased at all	4	8	0.8	0.8
Can't say	5	13	1.3	1.3
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_20] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts strictly to reduce malpractices and crimes in municipal administration

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts strictly to reduce malpractices and crimes in municipal administration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	749	76.3	76.3
Fairly pleased	2	204	20.8	20.8
Not very pleased	3	18	1.8	1.8
Not pleased at all	4	5	0.5	0.5
Can't say	5	6	0.6	0.6
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_21] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Opposes strictly all projects which may threaten the existing natural environment in your municipality

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Opposes strictly all projects which may threaten the existing natural environment in your municipality

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	575	58.6	58.6
Fairly pleased	2	342	34.8	34.8
Not very pleased	3	49	5.0	5.0
Not pleased at all	4	8	0.8	0.8
Can't say	5	8	0.8	0.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_22] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Promotes the interests of minorities in the municipality

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Promotes the interests of minorities in the municipality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	357	36.4	36.4
Fairly pleased	2	456	46.4	46.4
Not very pleased	3	125	12.7	12.7
Not pleased at all	4	20	2.0	2.0
Can't say	5	24	2.4	2.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_23] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts actively in a broader scale to improve the living circumstances in your region of residence

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts actively in a broader scale to improve the living circumstances in your region of residence

Frequencies

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	457	46.5	46.5
Fairly pleased	2	455	46.3	46.3
Not very pleased	3	51	5.2	5.2
Not pleased at all	4	2	0.2	0.2
Can't say	5	17	1.7	1.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q4_24] How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts to improve municipal services although it may entail raising the tax rate

Question

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts to improve municipal services although it may entail raising the tax rate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very pleased	1	252	25.7	25.7
Fairly pleased	2	492	50.1	50.1
Not very pleased	3	187	19.0	19.0
Not pleased at all	4	27	2.7	2.7
Can't say	5	24	2.4	2.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_1] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is an essential provider and guarantor for citizens' welfare

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is an essential provider and guarantor for citizens' welfare

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	255	26.0	26.0
Agree	2	645	65.7	65.7
Disagree	3	58	5.9	5.9
Strongly disagree	4	3	0.3	0.3
Can't say	5	21	2.1	2.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_2] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Needs to be cut down and streamlined thoroughly

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Needs to be cut down and streamlined thoroughly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	77	7.8	7.8
Agree	2	447	45.5	45.5
Disagree	3	366	37.3	37.3
Strongly disagree	4	36	3.7	3.7
Can't say	5	56	5.7	5.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_3] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is an effective and working system

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is an effective and working system

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	138	14.1	14.1
Agree	2	648	66.0	66.0
Disagree	3	161	16.4	16.4
Strongly disagree	4	10	1.0	1.0
Can't say	5	25	2.5	2.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_4] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Patronises and passivises inhabitants too much

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Patronises and passivises inhabitants too much

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	41	4.2	4.2
Agree	2	328	33.4	33.4
Disagree	3	480	48.9	48.9
Strongly disagree	4	106	10.8	10.8
Can't say	5	27	2.7	2.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_5] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has suffered too much from reductions and cuts during the last years

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has suffered too much from reductions and cuts during the last years

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	314	32.0	32.0
Agree	2	489	49.8	49.8
Disagree	3	129	13.1	13.1
Strongly disagree	4	16	1.6	1.6
Can't say	5	34	3.5	3.5
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_6] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Implements social justice and equality

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Implements social justice and equality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	96	9.8	9.8
Agree	2	634	64.6	64.6
Disagree	3	193	19.7	19.7
Strongly disagree	4	33	3.4	3.4
Can't say	5	26	2.6	2.6
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_7] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Provides diversified quality services

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Provides diversified quality services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	167	17.0	17.0
Agree	2	662	67.4	67.4
Disagree	3	122	12.4	12.4
Strongly disagree	4	12	1.2	1.2
Can't say	5	19	1.9	1.9
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_8] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is the most effective influencing channel for citizens

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is the most effective influencing channel for citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	111	11.3	11.3
Agree	2	525	53.5	53.5
Disagree	3	280	28.5	28.5
Strongly disagree	4	24	2.4	2.4
Can't say	5	42	4.3	4.3
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_9] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has to operate under excessive state control

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has to operate under excessive state control

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	194	19.8	19.8
Agree	2	520	53.0	53.0
Disagree	3	179	18.2	18.2
Strongly disagree	4	12	1.2	1.2
Can't say	5	77	7.8	7.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_10] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Should be privatised as regards several services

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Should be privatised as regards several services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	40	4.1	4.1
Agree	2	325	33.1	33.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	3	408	41.5	41.5
Strongly disagree	4	177	18.0	18.0
Can't say	5	32	3.3	3.3
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_11] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Should be based more on voluntariness, associations and enterprises

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Should be based more on voluntariness, associations and enterprises

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	60	6.1	6.1
Agree	2	351	35.7	35.7
Disagree	3	363	37.0	37.0
Strongly disagree	4	166	16.9	16.9
Can't say	5	42	4.3	4.3
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_12] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is a quasi-democratic decision-making body

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is a quasi-democratic decision-making body

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	78	7.9	7.9
Agree	2	525	53.5	53.5
Disagree	3	239	24.3	24.3
Strongly disagree	4	44	4.5	4.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	96	9.8	9.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_13] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has elevated taxation to an unbearable level

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has elevated taxation to an unbearable level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	147	15.0	15.0
Agree	2	388	39.5	39.5
Disagree	3	369	37.6	37.6
Strongly disagree	4	52	5.3	5.3
Can't say	5	26	2.6	2.6
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_14] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has tumbled into the hands of officials too much and left elected officials without real power

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has tumbled into the hands of officials too much and left elected officials without real power

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	177	18.0	18.0
Agree	2	483	49.2	49.2
Disagree	3	240	24.4	24.4
Strongly disagree	4	28	2.9	2.9
Can't say	5	54	5.5	5.5
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_15] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has developed towards a better direction during the last years

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has developed towards a better direction during the last years

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	72	7.3	7.3
Agree	2	422	43.0	43.0
Disagree	3	367	37.4	37.4
Strongly disagree	4	55	5.6	5.6
Can't say	5	66	6.7	6.7
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_16] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has lost touch with citizens and their needs

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has lost touch with citizens and their needs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	123	12.5	12.5
Agree	2	482	49.1	49.1
Disagree	3	309	31.5	31.5
Strongly disagree	4	34	3.5	3.5
Can't say	5	34	3.5	3.5
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_17] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Realises the hopes of companies and business life without a will of its own

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Realises the hopes of companies and business life without a will of its own

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	71	7.2	7.2
Agree	2	480	48.9	48.9
Disagree	3	297	30.2	30.2
Strongly disagree	4	45	4.6	4.6
Can't say	5	89	9.1	9.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[Q5_18] What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is a system that is behind the times and should be abolished

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is a system that is behind the times and should be abolished

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	44	4.5	4.5
Agree	2	157	16.0	16.0
Disagree	3	359	36.6	36.6
Strongly disagree	4	381	38.8	38.8
Can't say	5	41	4.2	4.2
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	471	48.0	48.0
Female	2	511	52.0	52.0
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's age

Question

Respondent's age

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	982
minimum	15.00
maximum	75.00
mean	42.02
standard deviation	16.89

[BV3] Are you the head of the household (the person with the highest income)?

Question

Are you the head of the household (the person with the highest income)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	603	61.4	61.4
No	2	379	38.6	38.6
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Respondent's economic activity and occupational status

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer, agricultural entrepreneur	1	18	1.8	1.8
Other employer, self-employed, own account worker	2	39	4.0	4.0
Higher managerial employee	3	74	7.5	7.5
Lower managerial or professional employee	4	148	15.1	15.1
Worker	5	331	33.7	33.7
Pensioner/retired	6	198	20.2	20.2
Pupil/student	7	150	15.3	15.3
Other	8	24	2.4	2.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV5] (IF RETIRED) Respondent's former economic activity and occupational status

Question

(IF RETIRED) Respondent's former economic activity and occupational status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer, agricultural entrepreneur	1	17	1.7	8.6
Other employer, self-employed, own account worker	2	13	1.3	6.6
Higher managerial employee	3	28	2.9	14.1
Lower managerial or professional employee	4	34	3.5	17.2
Worker	5	98	10.0	49.5
Pensioner/retired	6	5	0.5	2.5
Pupil/student	7	0	0.0	0.0
Other	8	3	0.3	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	784	79.8	–
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Municipality

Question

Municipality

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	982

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
minimum	10.00
maximum	989.00
mean	431.08
standard deviation	304.26

[BV7] Type of neighbourhood

Question

Type of neighbourhood

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Capital area	1	155	15.8	15.8
Tampere, Turku	2	83	8.5	8.5
Other city/town	3	514	52.3	52.3
Population centre in a rural area	4	166	16.9	16.9
Sparsely populated rural area	5	64	6.5	6.5
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV8] Respondent's education

Question

Respondent's education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Primary education	1	163	16.6	16.6
Lower secondary education	2	133	13.5	13.5
Upper secondary education (vocational)	3	310	31.6	31.6
Upper secondary education (general)	4	91	9.3	9.3
College-level vocational education	5	158	16.1	16.1
B.A., university of applied sciences, polytechnic	6	69	7.0	7.0
University, M.A or higher	7	57	5.8	5.8
Can't say	8	1	0.1	0.1
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV9] Within which of the following income brackets does your gross household income fall (total income of all household members before taxes)?

Question

Within which of the following income brackets does your gross household income fall (total income of all household members before taxes)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Under 60,000 FIM/year or under 5,000 FIM/month	1	116	11.8	11.8
60,001-90,000 FIM/year or 5,001-7,500 FIM/month	2	120	12.2	12.2
90,001-120,000 FIM/year or 7,501-10,000 FIM/month	3	125	12.7	12.7
120,001-150,000 FIM/year or 10,001-12,500 FIM/month	4	103	10.5	10.5
150,001-180,000 FIM/year or 12,501-15,000 FIM/month	5	88	9.0	9.0
180,001-210,000 FIM/year or 15,001-17,500 FIM/month	6	86	8.8	8.8
210,001-240,000 FIM/year or 17,501-20,000 FIM/month	7	86	8.8	8.8
240,001-270,000 FIM/year or 20,001-22,500 FIM/month	8	73	7.4	7.4
270,001-300,000 FIM/year or 22,501-25,000 FIM/month	9	42	4.3	4.3
Over 300,000 FIM/year or over 25,000 FIM/month	10	72	7.3	7.3
No answer	11	71	7.2	7.2
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV10_1] Number of persons aged over 16 in the household, including yourself

Question

Number of persons aged over 16 in the household, including yourself

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	982

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
minimum	1.00
maximum	16.00
mean	1.93
standard deviation	0.90

[BV10_2] Number of persons aged 7-16 in the household, including yourself

Question

Number of persons aged 7-16 in the household, including yourself

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	982
minimum	0.00
maximum	8.00
mean	0.40
standard deviation	0.81

[BV10_3] Number of children aged under 7 in the household

Question

Number of children aged under 7 in the household

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	982
minimum	0.00
maximum	4.00
mean	0.26
standard deviation	0.60

[BV10_4] Total number of persons in the household

Question

Total number of persons in the household

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	982
minimum	1.00
maximum	17.00
mean	2.60
standard deviation	1.52

[BV11] Respondent's household composition**Question**

Respondent's household composition

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Single, living with parents	1	94	9.6	9.7
Single, aged under 35	2	79	8.0	8.1
Single, aged 35 or over	3	149	15.2	15.3
Married/cohabiting, no children	4	115	11.7	11.8
Married/cohabiting, child(ren)	5	318	32.4	32.7
Single parent/adult, living with children	6	58	5.9	6.0
Couple, children do not live at home	7	160	16.3	16.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	9	0.9	–
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV12] Economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)**Question**

Economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer, agricultural entrepreneur	1	14	1.4	3.7
Other employer, self-employed, own account worker	2	38	3.9	10.0
Higher managerial employee	3	62	6.3	16.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Lower managerial or professional employee	4	47	4.8	12.4
Worker	5	164	16.7	43.3
Pensioner/retired	6	42	4.3	11.1
Pupil/student	7	7	0.7	1.8
Other	8	5	0.5	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	603	61.4	–
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV13] (IF RETIRED) Former economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)

Question

(IF RETIRED) Former economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer, agricultural entrepreneur	1	2	0.2	4.8
Other employer, self-employed, own account worker	2	6	0.6	14.3
Higher managerial employee	3	10	1.0	23.8
Lower managerial or professional employee	4	6	0.6	14.3
Worker	5	18	1.8	42.9
Pensioner/retired	6	0	0.0	0.0
Pupil/student	7	0	0.0	0.0
Other	8	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	940	95.7	–
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV14] Respondent's employment status

Question

Respondent's employment status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Full-time work	1	433	44.1	44.1
Part-time work	2	76	7.7	7.7
Unemployed	3	82	8.4	8.4
Laid-off	4	9	0.9	0.9
Not in the labour market	5	382	38.9	38.9
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV15_1] If parliamentary elections were held now, which party or group would you vote for?

Question

If parliamentary elections were held now, which party or group would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	1	201	20.5	20.5
Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	2	170	17.3	17.3
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	133	13.5	13.5
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	37	3.8	3.8
Green League (VIHR)	5	95	9.7	9.7
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	6	13	1.3	1.3
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	7	18	1.8	1.8
True Finns (PS)	8	5	0.5	0.5
Reform Group (REM)	9	6	0.6	0.6
Some other party or group	10	18	1.8	1.8
Wouldn't vote	11	59	6.0	6.0
Would cast a blank vote	12	10	1.0	1.0
Can't say	13	174	17.7	17.7
Don't want to say	14	43	4.4	4.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV15_2] If parliamentary elections were held now, which party or group would be your SECOND CHOICE?

Question

If parliamentary elections were held now, which party or group would be your SECOND CHOICE?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	1	62	6.3	6.3
Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	2	80	8.1	8.1
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	94	9.6	9.6
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	38	3.9	3.9
Green League (VIHR)	5	79	8.0	8.0
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	6	11	1.1	1.1
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	7	33	3.4	3.4
True Finns (PS)	8	14	1.4	1.4
Reform Group (REM)	9	9	0.9	0.9
Some other party or group	10	17	1.7	1.7
Wouldn't vote	11	140	14.3	14.3
Would cast a blank vote	12	14	1.4	1.4
Can't say	13	344	35.0	35.0
Don't want to say	14	47	4.8	4.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[BV15_3] Which party or group did you vote for in the parliamentary elections held in March last year?

Question

Which party or group did you vote for in the parliamentary elections held in March last year?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	1	195	19.9	19.9
Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	2	163	16.6	16.6
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	131	13.3	13.3
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	44	4.5	4.5
Green League (VIHR)	5	62	6.3	6.3
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	6	17	1.7	1.7
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	7	20	2.0	2.0
True Finns (PS)	8	4	0.4	0.4
Reform Group (REM)	9	1	0.1	0.1
Progressive Finnish Party (NUSU)	10	9	0.9	0.9
Some other party or group	11	6	0.6	0.6
Didn't vote	12	180	18.3	18.3
Cast a blank vote	13	6	0.6	0.6
Didn't have the right to vote	14	42	4.3	4.3
Can't say	15	39	4.0	4.0
Don't want to say	16	63	6.4	6.4
		982	100.0	100.0

[VANLAANI] Respondent's province (according to the pre-1997 classification)

Question

Respondent's province (according to the pre-1997 classification)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	227	23.1	23.1
Turku and Pori	2	122	12.4	12.4
Häme	3	151	15.4	15.4
Kymi	4	62	6.3	6.3
Mikkeli	5	70	7.1	7.1
Kuopio	6	53	5.4	5.4
Central Finland	7	56	5.7	5.7
Vaasa	8	87	8.9	8.9
North Karelia	9	38	3.9	3.9
Oulu	10	86	8.8	8.8
Lapland	11	30	3.1	3.1
Åland	12	0	0.0	0.0
		982	100.0	100.0

[NUTS2] Respondent's major region (NUTS2)

Question

Respondent's major region (NUTS2)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	227	23.1	23.1
Southern Finland	2	353	35.9	35.9
Eastern Finland	3	143	14.6	14.6
Mid-Finland	4	143	14.6	14.6
Northern Finland	5	116	11.8	11.8
		982	100.0	100.0

[MAAKUNTA] Respondent's region of residence (NUTS3)

Question

Respondent's region of residence (NUTS3)

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	227	23.1	23.1
Finland proper (Varsinais-Suomi)	2	76	7.7	7.7
	3	0	0.0	0.0
Satakunta	4	42	4.3	4.3
Häme	5	28	2.9	2.9
Pirkanmaa	6	96	9.8	9.8
Päijänne Tavastia (Päijät-Häme)	7	49	5.0	5.0
Kymenlaakso	8	36	3.7	3.7
South Karelia (Etelä-Karjala)	9	26	2.6	2.6
Southern Savonia (Etelä-Savo)	10	52	5.3	5.3
Northern Savonia (Pohjois-Savo)	11	53	5.4	5.4
North Karelia (Pohjois-Karjala)	12	38	3.9	3.9
Central Finland (Keski-Suomi)	13	56	5.7	5.7
Southern Ostrobothnia (Etelä-Pohjanmaa)	14	64	6.5	6.5
Ostrobothnia (Vaasan rannikkoseutu)	15	11	1.1	1.1
Central Ostrobothnia (Keski-Pohjanmaa)	16	12	1.2	1.2
Northern Ostrobothnia (Pohjois-Pohjanmaa)	17	86	8.8	8.8
Kainuu	18	0	0.0	0.0
Lapland	19	30	3.1	3.1
	20	0	0.0	0.0
Åland	21	0	0.0	0.0
		982	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD processing level [FSD_PRO]	6
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Everyone can influence matters in his/her municipality of residence if he/she wants to [Q1_1]	6
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal self-government is a fundamental value for Finns, and it should not be undermined in any circumstances [Q1_2] .	7
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected for a fixed term [Q1_3]	7
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected by direct vote [Q1_4]	8
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: There are far too many municipalities in our country [Q1_5]	8
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipalities should be reduced by forced consolidations in order to improve the financial situation of municipalities and municipal services [Q1_6]	9
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal consolidations are realised, they should be based on voluntariness [Q1_7]	9
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipalities with large population or area should be divided into several smaller municipalities [Q1_8]	10
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Each municipality should be allowed to decide for themselves when to organise municipal elections [Q1_9]	10
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If local referendums were held more, inhabitants would become more interested in municipal matters and willing to participate in them [Q1_10]	11

3. Indexes

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Increasing inhabitants' possibilities to directly influence municipal decision-making (e.g. through local referendums) would easily produce weak and short-sighted decisions [Q1_11]	11
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: As experts, municipal manager and officials should have more power in municipal decision-making [Q1_12]	12
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipal elected offices should be greatly increased - hence inhabitants would be offered more possibilities to participate in managing common issues [Q1_13]	12
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal politicians think mostly about their own interests and do not care about the needs of inhabitants [Q1_14]	13
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Political parties guarantee that municipal administration works democratically [Q1_15]	13
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal decision-makers are unduly blamed for the decisions they have to make in tough situations and under different expectations [Q1_16]	14
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal administration would work better without political parties and municipal politicians, with only officials and experts [Q1_17]	14
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Because the state has reduced its funding for municipal services, decision-makers in my municipality have ended up in a very difficult position in trying to secure service level [Q1_18]	15
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In my municipality of residence, it is the decision-makers' own fault if municipal services do not meet the needs of inhabitants adequately [Q1_19]	15
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal taxation in Finland is not excessive in relation to the selection and quality of municipal services [Q1_20]	16
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If it has to be done, it is better to raise the municipal tax rate than to cut down municipal services [Q1_21]	16
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In the future, municipalities should increase rather than cut down municipal services [Q1_22]	17
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Citizens should have the right to free or almost free municipal basic services regardless of the costs of service provision [Q1_23]	17
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Sports, exercise and outdoor recreation possibilities and services [Q2_1]	18
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Schools (e.g. primary school and secondary school) [Q2_2]	18
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Child day care [Q2_3]	19
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Elderly care [Q2_4]	19

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Minimum income guarantee (formerly social welfare) [Q2_5]	20
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Street maintenance and lighting [Q2_6]	20
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Municipal health centre services [Q2_7]	21
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Library services [Q2_8]	21
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Culture services, e.g. theatres [Q2_9]	22
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Services in your municipality of residence as a whole [Q2_10]	22
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Council candidate in municipal elections [Q3_1]	23
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of local government [Q3_2]	23
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of municipal committee [Q3_3]	23
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of school board or other municipal department board [Q3_4]	24
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Involvement in the party association of the political party that pleases you the most [Q3_5]	24
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Involvement in municipal elected offices in general [Q3_6]	25
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is highly educated [Q4_1]	25
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has plenty of experience of local council work and municipal politics [Q4_2]	26
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Represents people of your age group [Q4_3]	26
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is the same sex as you [Q4_4]	27
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is active in organisational policy [Q4_5]	27
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is a well-known person in your municipality of residence [Q4_6]	28

3. Indexes

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is a good public performer and has a good delivery [Q4_7]	28
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is actively in contact with his/her own voters between various elections [Q4_8]	29
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Belongs to the right political party [Q4_9]	29
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is from the same district or village as you [Q4_10]	30
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has co-operation and negotiation skills [Q4_11]	30
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is determined to promote the interests of people and population groups like you [Q4_12]	31
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has the courage to act against the interests of his/her voters if necessary [Q4_13]	31
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Opposes cutting down municipal services [Q4_14]	32
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts boldly to improve the municipality's financial situation although it may entail service level reduction [Q4_15]	32
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has the courage to support raising the municipal tax rate if it's necessary regarding the financial situation [Q4_16]	33
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Supports privatising municipal services [Q4_17]	33
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts so that society becomes more competitive and market-oriented [Q4_18]	34
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts so that the living conditions in your municipality of residence become socially more equal [Q4_19]	34
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts strictly to reduce malpractices and crimes in municipal administration [Q4_20]	35
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Opposes strictly all projects which may threaten the existing natural environment in your municipality [Q4_21]	35
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Promotes the interests of minorities in the municipality [Q4_22]	36

How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts actively in a broader scale to improve the living circumstances in your region of residence [Q4_23]	36
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts to improve municipal services although it may entail raising the tax rate [Q4_24]	37
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is an essential provider and guarantor for citizens' welfare [Q5_1]	37
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Needs to be cut down and streamlined thoroughly [Q5_2]	38
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is an effective and working system [Q5_3]	38
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Patronises and passivises inhabitants too much [Q5_4]	39
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has suffered too much from reductions and cuts during the last years [Q5_5]	39
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Implements social justice and equality [Q5_6]	40
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Provides diversified quality services [Q5_7]	40
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is the most effective influencing channel for citizens [Q5_8]	40
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has to operate under excessive state control [Q5_9]	41
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Should be privatised as regards several services [Q5_10]	41
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Should be based more on voluntariness, associations and enterprises [Q5_11]	42
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is a quasi-democratic decision-making body [Q5_12]	42
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has elevated taxation to an unbearable level [Q5_13]	43
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has tumbled into the hands of officials too much and left elected officials without real power [Q5_14] ...	43
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has developed towards a better direction during the last years [Q5_15]	44
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has lost touch with citizens and their needs [Q5_16]	44
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Realises the hopes of companies and business life without a will of its own [Q5_17]	45

3. Indexes

What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is a system that is behind the times and should be abolished [Q5_18]	45
Respondent's gender [BV1]	45
Respondent's age [BV2]	46
Are you the head of the household (the person with the highest income)? [BV3]	46
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status [BV4]	46
(IF RETIRED) Respondent's former economic activity and occupational status [BV5]	47
Municipality [BV6]	47
Type of neighbourhood [BV7]	48
Respondent's education [BV8]	48
Within which of the following income brackets does your gross household income fall (total income of all household members before taxes)? [BV9]	49
Number of persons aged over 16 in the household, including yourself [BV10_1]	49
Number of persons aged 7-16 in the household, including yourself [BV10_2]	50
Number of children aged under 7 in the household [BV10_3]	50
Total number of persons in the household [BV10_4]	50
Respondent's household composition [BV11]	51
Economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income) [BV12]	51
(IF RETIRED) Former economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income) [BV13]	52
Respondent's employment status [BV14]	52
If parliamentary elections were held now, which party or group would you vote for? [BV15_1]	53
If parliamentary elections were held now, which party or group would be your SECOND CHOICE? [BV15_2]	53
Which party or group did you vote for in the parliamentary elections held in March last year? [BV15_3]	54
Respondent's province (according to the pre-1997 classification) [VANLAANI]	55
Respondent's major region (NUTS2) [NUTS2]	55
Respondent's region of residence (NUTS3) [MAAKUNTA]	55

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Are you the head of the household (the person with the highest income)?	46
Economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)	51
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD processing level	6
FSD study number	5
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts actively in a broader scale to improve the living circumstances in your region of residence	36
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts boldly to improve the municipality's financial situation although it may entail service level reduction	32
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts so that society becomes more competitive and market-oriented	34
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts so that the living conditions in your municipality of residence become socially more equal	34
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts strictly to reduce malpractices and crimes in municipal administration	35
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Acts to improve municipal services although it may entail raising the tax rate	37
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Belongs to the right political party	29
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has co-operation and negotiation skills	30
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has plenty of experience of local council work and municipal politics	26
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has the courage to act against the interests of his/her voters if necessary	31
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Has the courage to support raising the municipal tax rate if it's necessary regarding the financial situation	33
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next	

3. Indexes

Autumn's municipal elections: Is a good public performer and has a good delivery	28
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is a well-known person in your municipality of residence ..	28
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is active in organisational policy	27
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is actively in contact with his/her own voters between various elections	29
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is determined to promote the interests of people and population groups like you	31
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is from the same district or village as you	30
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is highly educated	25
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Is the same sex as you	27
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Opposes cutting down municipal services	32
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Opposes strictly all projects which may threaten the existing natural environment in your municipality	35
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Promotes the interests of minorities in the municipality	36
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Represents people of your age group	26
How pleased would you be to see the following characteristic in your own representative in next Autumn's municipal elections: Supports privatising municipal services	33
If parliamentary elections were held now, which party or group would be your SECOND CHOICE?	53
If parliamentary elections were held now, which party or group would you vote for?	53
(IF RETIRED) Former economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)	52
(IF RETIRED) Respondent's former economic activity and occupational status	47
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Council candidate in municipal elections	23
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Involvement in municipal elected offices in general	25
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Involvement in the party association of the political party that pleases you the most	24

If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of local government	23
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of municipal committee	23
If you were offered the following social task or position, how pleased would you be to accept it: Member of school board or other municipal department board	24
Municipality	47
Number of children aged under 7 in the household	50
Number of persons aged 7-16 in the household, including yourself	50
Number of persons aged over 16 in the household, including yourself	49
Respondent's age	46
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status	46
Respondent's education	48
Respondent's employment status	52
Respondent's gender	45
Respondent's household composition	51
Respondent's major region (NUTS2)	55
Respondent's province (according to the pre-1997 classification)	55
Respondent's region of residence (NUTS3)	55
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Child day care	19
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Culture services, e.g. theatres	22
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Elderly care	19
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Library services	21
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Minimum income guarantee (formerly social welfare)	20
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Municipal health centre services	21
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Schools (e.g. primary school and secondary school) ..	18
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Services in your municipality of residence as a whole ..	22
Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Sports, exercise and outdoor recreation possibilities and services	18

3. Indexes

Thinking about your municipality of residence, how adequately or inadequately is the following service supplied to meet the demand: Street maintenance and lighting	20
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: As experts, municipal manager and officials should have more power in municipal decision-making	12
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Because the state has reduced its funding for municipal services, decision-makers in my municipality have ended up in a very difficult position in trying to secure service level	15
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Citizens should have the right to free or almost free municipal basic services regardless of the costs of service provision	17
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Each municipality should be allowed to decide for themselves when to organise municipal elections	10
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Everyone can influence matters in his/her municipality of residence if he/she wants to	6
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If it has to be done, it is better to raise the municipal tax rate than to cut down municipal services	16
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If local referendums were held more, inhabitants would become more interested in municipal matters and willing to participate in them	11
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal consolidations are realised, they should be based on voluntariness	9
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In my municipality of residence, it is the decision-makers' own fault if municipal services do not meet the needs of inhabitants adequately	15
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In the future, municipalities should increase rather than cut down municipal services	17
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Increasing inhabitants' possibilities to directly influence municipal decision-making (e.g. through local referendums) would easily produce weak and short-sighted decisions	11
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal administration would work better without political parties and municipal politicians, with only officials and experts	14
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal decision-makers are unduly blamed for the decisions they have to make in tough situations and under different expectations	14
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected by direct vote	8
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected for a fixed term	7
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal politicians think mostly about their own interests and do not care about the needs of inhabitants	13
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal self-government is a	

fundamental value for Finns, and it should not be undermined in any circumstances	7
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal taxation in Finland is not excessive in relation to the selection and quality of municipal services	16
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipalities with large population or area should be divided into several smaller municipalities	10
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Political parties guarantee that municipal administration works democratically	13
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipal elected offices should be greatly increased - hence inhabitants would be offered more possibilities to participate in managing common issues	12
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipalities should be reduced by forced consolidations in order to improve the financial situation of municipalities and municipal services	9
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: There are far too many municipalities in our country	8
Total number of persons in the household	50
Type of neighbourhood	48
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has developed towards a better direction during the last years	44
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has elevated taxation to an unbearable level	43
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has lost touch with citizens and their needs	44
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has suffered too much from reductions and cuts during the last years	39
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has to operate under excessive state control	41
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Has tumbled into the hands of officials too much and left elected officials without real power	43
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Implements social justice and equality	40
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is a quasi-democratic decision-making body	42
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is a system that is behind the times and should be abolished	45
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is an effective and working system	38
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is an essential provider and guarantor for citizens' welfare	37
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Is the most	

3. Indexes

effective influencing channel for citizens	40
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Needs to be cut down and streamlined thoroughly	38
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Patronises and passivises inhabitants too much	39
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Provides diversified quality services	40
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Realises the hopes of companies and business life without a will of its own	45
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Should be based more on voluntariness, associations and enterprises	42
What is your attitude towards the following statement? A Finnish municipality: Should be privatised as regards several services	41
Which party or group did you vote for in the parliamentary elections held in March last year?	54
Within which of the following income brackets does your gross household income fall (total income of all household members before taxes)?	49

Appendix A

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1105 Kunnallisan ilmapuntari 2000 : kuntalaiset

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1105 Finnish Local Government Barometer 2000 : Inhabitants

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

ILMAPUNTARI 2000

1 Seuraavassa on lueteltu joukko kuntia koskevia väittämiä. Pyydämme teitä vastaamaan jokaiseen väittämään sen mukaan oletteko samaa vai eri mieltä väittämän kanssa (KORTTI)

- 1 Täysin samaa mieltä
 2 Jokseenkin samaa mieltä
 3 Jokseenkin eri mieltä
 4 Täysin eri mieltä
 5 Ei osaa sanoa

Jokainen joka haluaa pystyä kyllä vaikuttamaan kotikuntansa asioihin	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallinen itsehallinto ja demokratia ovat suomalaisille perusarvoja, joita ei saa heikentää missään oloissa	1	2	3	4	5
Kaikki kunnan- ja kaupunginjohtajat tulisi valita virkaansa määräajaksi	1	2	3	4	5
Kaupungin ja kuntien johtajat tulisi valita virkaansa suoralla kansanvaalilla	1	2	3	4	5
Kuntien lukumäärä on maassamme aivan liian suuri	1	2	3	4	5
Maamme kuntien lukumäärää tulisi pakkoliitoksilla vähentää, jotta kuntien taloutta voitaisiin parantaa ja palveluja tehostaa	1	2	3	4	5
Mikäli kuntaliitoksia toteutetaan niiden tulee perustua vapaaehtoisuuteen	1	2	3	4	5
Väestömäärältään tai alueeltaan suuria kuntia pitäisi jakaa pienemmiksi kunniksi	1	2	3	4	5
Jokaisen kunnan pitäisi itse saada päättää siitä, milloin kunnallisvaalit järjestetään	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallisten kansanäänestysten nykyistä laajempi käyttö lisäisi kuntalaisten kiinnostusta kunnallisia asioita kohtaan ja halua osallistua niihin	1	2	3	4	5
Kuntalaisten suorien vaikutusmahdollisuuksien lisääminen esim. kansanäänestyksin tuottaisi helposti huonoja ja lyhytjänteisiä päätöksiä	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnanjohtajan ja virkamiesten pitäisi asiantuntijoina saada enemmän päätösvaltaa kunnissa	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallisten luottamustehtävien määrää pitäisi lisätä tuntuvasti ja näin tarjota kuntalaisille enemmän mahdollisuuksia osallistua yhteisten asioiden hoitamiseen	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallispoliitikot ajattelevat paljolti omia etujaan ja vähät välittävät kuntalaisten tarpeista	1	2	3	4	5
Puolueet ovat tae siitä, että kunnallishallinto toimii kansanvaltaisesti	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallisia päättäjiä syyllistetään suotta päätöksistä, joita he tiukoissa tilanteissa ja ristiriitaisten odotusten vallitessa joutuvat tekemään	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallishallinto toimisi nykyistä paremmin kokonaan ilman puolueita ja kunnallispoliitikkoja virkamiesten ja asiantuntijoiden voimin	1	2	3	4	5
Koska valtio on vähentänyt osuuttaan kuntien palveluiden rahoittamisessa, ovat oman kuntani päättäjät joutuneet kohtuuttoman hankalaan tilanteeseen pyrkiessään turvaamaan kunnallisten palveluiden taso	1	2	3	4	5
On kotikuntani päättäjien omaa syytä, jos kunnalliset palvelut ovat riittämättömiä tarpeeseen nähden	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnan tarjoamiin palveluihin ja niiden tasoon verrattuna kunnallisverotus ei ole maassamme mitenkään kohtuuttoman korkeata	1	2	3	4	5

Jos on pakko, on parempi korottaa kunnallisveroa kuin karsia kunnallisia palveluita	1	2	3	4	5
Kuntien tulisi vastaisuudessa mieluummin lisätä kuin karsia kunnallisia palveluita	1	2	3	4	5
Kansalaisilla tulee olla oikeus ilmaisiin tai lähes ilmaisiin kunnallisiin peruspalveluihin riippumatta siitä, mitä palveluiden tuottaminen tulee maksamaan	1	2	3	4	5

2 Seuraavaksi luettelen joukon kunnallisia palveluita. Jos ajattelette kotikuntaanne, niin kuinka riittäviä tai riittämättömiä palvelut nähdäksenne ovat niiden tarpeeseen nähden? (KORTTI)

- 1 Täysin riittäviä
- 2 Jokseenkin riittäviä
- 3 Jokseenkin riittämättömiä
- 4 Täysin riittämättömiä
- 5 Ei osaa sanoa

Liikunta-, urheilu- ja kuntoilumahdollisuudet ja -palvelut	1	2	3	4	5
Koulut (esim. peruskoulu ja lukio)	1	2	3	4	5
Lasten päivähoido	1	2	3	4	5
Vanhusten huolto	1	2	3	4	5
Toimeentulotuki (ent. sosiaalihuolto)	1	2	3	4	5
Katujen kunnostus ja katuvalaistus	1	2	3	4	5
Terveyskeskuspalvelut	1	2	3	4	5
Kirjastopalvelut	1	2	3	4	5
Kulttuuripalvelut esim. teatterit	1	2	3	4	5
Kotikuntaanne palvelut kokonaisuudessaan	1	2	3	4	5

3 Jos Teitä pyydetäisiin mukaan seuraaviin yhteiskunnallisiin tehtäviin, niin kuinka mielusti lähtisitte mukaan? (KORTTI)

- 1 Erittäin mielusti
- 2 melko mielusti
- 3 Ei kovinkaan mielusti
- 4 Ei lainkaan mielusti
- 5 Ei osaa sanoa

Valtuustoehdokkaaksi kunnallisvaaleissa	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnanhallituksen jäseneksi	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallisen lautakunnan jäseneksi	1	2	3	4	5
Koulun, oppilaitoksen tai muun kunnallisen laitoksen johtokunnan jäseneksi	1	2	3	4	5
Teille mieluisimman puolueen perusyhdistyksen toimintaan	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallisiin luottamustehtäviin yleensä	1	2	3	4	5

4 Syksyllä järjestetään maassamme kunnallisvaalit. Seuraavaksi luettelen erilaisia kunnanvaltuutetun ominaisuuksia. millainen on teidän mielestänne hyvä kunnanvaltuutettu? sanokaa jokaisen ominaisuuden kohdalla erikseen, kuinka mielellään te näkisitte omassa valtuutetussanne näitä ominaisuuksia tai piirteitä? (KORTTI)

- 1 erittäin mielellään
- 2 melko mielellään
- 3 ei kovinkaan mielellään
- 4 ei lainkaan mielellään
- 5 ei osaa sanoa

Kunnanvaltuutettu on korkeasti koulutettu
Valtuutetulla on runsaasti kokemusta kunnanvaltuustotyöstä ja kunnallispolitiikasta
Valtuutettu edustaa teidän ikäisiänne ihmisiä
Valtuutettu on samaa sukupuolta kuin te
Valtuutettu toimii aktiivisesti järjestötoiminnassa
Valtuutettu on tunnettu henkilö kotikunnassanne
Valtuutetulla on hyvä esiintymiskyky ja ulosanti
Valtuutettu pitää aktiivisesti yhteyttä omiin äänestäjiinsä vaalien välillä.
Valtuutetulla on oikea puoluetusta
Valtuutettu on omasta kaupunginosasta tai omalta kylältä
Valtuutettu on yhteistyö- ja sovittelukykyinen
Valtuutettu ajaa määrätietoisesti minunkaltaisten ihmisten ja ihmisryhmien etuja
Valtuutettu uskaltaa toimia tarvittaessa äänestäjiensä etujen vastaisesti
Valtuutettu vastustaa kunnallisten palveluiden heikentämistä nykyiseltä tasolta

Valtuutettu toimii rohkeasti kunnan taloustilanteen parantamiseksi vaikka siitä aiheutuisi palvelutason heikentymistä

Valtuutettu uskaltaa kannattaa kunnallisen veroäyriin nostamista, jos hän katsoo sen kunnan taloustilanteen kannalta tarpeelliseksi

Valtuutettu kannattaa kunnallisten palveluiden yksityistämistä

Valtuutettu toimii siten, että yhteiskunta muuttuu kilpailuhakuisemmaksi ja markkinahenkisemmäksi

Valtuutettu toimii siten, että elinolot kotikunnassanne muuttuisivat sosiaalisesti tasa-arvoisemmaksi

Valtuutettu toimii tiukasti väärinkäytösten ja "rötösten" vähentämiseksi kunnallishallinnossa

Valtuutettu toimii tiukasti kaikkia sellaisia hankkeita vastaan, joista saattaa aiheutua uhkaa alkuperäiselle luonnolle ja ympäristölle kotikunnassa

Valtuutettu ajaa vähemmistöjen asiaa kunnassa

Valtuutettu toimii aktiivisesti laajemminkin oman maakunnan elinolojen kehittämiseksi

Valtuutettu toimii kunnallisten palveluiden parantamiseksi, tarvittaessa vaikka veroäyriä korottamalla

5 Seuraavassa on lueteltu eräitä maamme kunnallishallintoa ja kunnallisia palveluita koskevia mielipiteitä ja luonnehdintoja. Millä tavoin ne vastaavat teidän henkilökohtaisia näkemyksiänne? (KORTTI) suomalainen kunta... LUETTELE LUONNEHDINNAT

- 1 Täysin samaa mieltä
- 2 Jokseenkin samaa mieltä
- 3 Jokseenkin eri mieltä
- 4 Täysin eri mieltä
- 5 Ei osaa sanoa

On kansalaisten hyvinvoinnin keskeinen tuottaja ja takaaja	1	2	3	4	5
Kaipaa perusteellista karsintaa ja keventämistä	1	2	3	4	5
Tehokas ja toimiva järjestelmä	1	2	3	4	5
Holhoaa ja passivoi kuntalaisia liiaksi	1	2	3	4	5
On ollut viime vuosina liiallisen karsinnan ja leikkausten kohteena	1	2	3	4	5
Toteuttaa sosiaalista oikeudenmukaisuutta ja tasa-arvoa	1	2	3	4	5
Palvelutuotannoltaan monipuolinen ja laadukas	1	2	3	4	5
Kansalaisten vaikutusmahdollisuuksien toimivin väylä	1	2	3	4	5
Joutuu toimimaan liiaksi valtion määräysvallassa	1	2	3	4	5
Tulisi yksityistää useilta palveluiltaan	1	2	3	4	5
Tulisi toimia enemmän vapaaehtois-, yhdistys- ja yrityspohjalta	1	2	3	4	5
Näennäisdemokraattinen päätöksentekojärjestelmä	1	2	3	4	5
On nostanut verotuksen kestävämmän korkealle tasolle	1	2	3	4	5
Luisunut liiaksi virkamiesten hallintaan, luottamushenkilöt vailla todellista valtaa	1	2	3	4	5
Kehittynyt viime vuosina parempaan suuntaan	1	2	3	4	5
Etääntynyt kansalaisista ja heidän tarpeistaan	1	2	3	4	5
Yritysten ja elinkeinoelämän toiveiden tahdoton toteuttaja	1	2	3	4	5
Aikansa elänyt järjestelmä, joutaa lopetettavaksi	1	2	3	4	5

