

FSD1147

**Finnish Local Government Barometer
1992: Inhabitants**

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Local Government Barometer 1992: Inhabitants [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (14.8.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1147 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Foundation for Municipal Development: Finnish Local Government Barometer 1992: Inhabitants [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-08-14). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1147>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	2
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	73
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	73
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	82
A	Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties	91
B	Questionnaire in Finnish	93

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Local Government Barometer 1992: Inhabitants

Titles and data version in Finnish: Kunnallisalan ilmapuntari 1992: kuntalaiset

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (14.8.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Foundation for Municipal Development

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Foundation for Municipal Development

Date of deposit

9.3.2001

Keywords

financial resources; informal economy; local finance; local government; local government services; local taxation; municipal government; political power; privatization; public services

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: government, political systems and organisations; political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Local Government Barometers

The Foundation for Municipal Development conducts annual surveys covering opinions on local (municipal) democracy, local government, local economy and services. Respondents are generally local inhabitants, municipal managers and the chairpersons of municipal boards. The data enable comparison between the opinions of the inhabitants on the one hand, and the managers and chairpersons on the other. The surveys also study municipal managers' views on the policies of the Government of Finland and the functionality of local co-operation. The survey series was launched in 1992.

Abstract

The survey studies citizens' views on the financial situation of the Finnish municipalities, local democracy, municipal government, and the production of services. The respondents were asked to assess the different means of improving the financial situation of Finnish municipalities, both in general and from the standpoint of their home municipality. They also responded to statements concerning municipal decision-making, administration, consolidation of municipalities, number of civil servants, bureaucracy, efficiency, production of public services, public service privatisation, and the refugees. In addition, the respondents were asked how the municipalities could save money if they had to cut down expenses, and who in real life uses power in municipal decision-making.

Background variables included the respondent's sex, age, basic education, vocational training, income bracket, phase of life, political views, occupational group, number of family members, occupational group of the head of the family, type of locality, and the province of residence.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finns aged 15 or over, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 1992

Data collector(s): Gallup Finland

Data producer(s): Foundation for Municipal Development

Mode of data collection: Face-to-face interview

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 1992

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 156 variables and 1029 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Multistage

The sample was collected in three phases. In the first phase, the target persons were divided by province. Then, within each province, the target persons were divided according to community type: communities were grouped in different types so that cities were divided to big and small according to population size, and rural communities in three classes according to industrial structure. In the third phase, a group of starting points were designated for each of the selected municipalities in relation to their population. Starting point method was used, and each randomly selected point resulted in six interviews. From each household the person who matched the gender and age requirements stated in the selection card was interviewed.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

The variables identifying postal code and municipality have been removed from the data. The data does not contain question b6 (e.g. How much money should your household have at its disposal monthly in order to make ends meet in your present municipality?).

Categorisations of the question concerning household income are different in the original and archived data.

Related publications

Blomberg, Helena & Kroll, Christian (1999). Who wants to preserve the "Scandinavian welfare state"? Attitudes to welfare services among the citizens and local government elites in Finland 1992-96. In: *The end of welfare state? Responses to state retrenchment* (eds. Svallfors, S. & Taylor-Gooby, P.). London: Routledge. ESA studies in European Society.

Blomberg, Helena & Kroll, Christian (2003). *Kuntalaiset, paikalliset päättäjät ja hyvinvointipalvelut 1990-luvulla*. Teoksessa: *Laman varjo ja nousun huuma* (toim. Olli Kangas). Helsinki: Kansaneläkelaitos. Sosiaali- ja terveysturvan tutkimuksia; 72.

Kansalaismielipide ja kunnat: ilmapuntari 1992 (1992). Helsinki: Kunnallissalan kehittämissäätiö. Polemia-sarja; 1.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1147?lang=en&study_language=en

1. Study description

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1029
minimum	1147.00
maximum	1147.00
mean	1147.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1029
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1029
minimum	1.00
maximum	1029.00
mean	515.00
standard deviation	297.19

[V1_1] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising municipal tax rate

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising municipal tax rate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	151	14.7	14.7
Fairly effective	2	353	34.3	34.3
Not very effective	3	365	35.5	35.5
Not effective at all	4	122	11.9	11.9
Can't say	5	38	3.7	3.7
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_2] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Borrowing/increasing borrowing

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Borrowing/increasing borrowing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	49	4.8	4.8
Fairly effective	2	188	18.3	18.3
Not very effective	3	498	48.4	48.4
Not effective at all	4	255	24.8	24.8
Can't say	5	39	3.8	3.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_3] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Cutting down/reducing municipal services

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Cutting down/reducing municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	112	10.9	10.9
Fairly effective	2	402	39.1	39.1
Not very effective	3	350	34.0	34.0
Not effective at all	4	139	13.5	13.5
Can't say	5	26	2.5	2.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_4] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Privatising municipal services

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Privatising municipal services

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	141	13.7	13.7
Fairly effective	2	416	40.4	40.4
Not very effective	3	290	28.2	28.2
Not effective at all	4	102	9.9	9.9
Can't say	5	80	7.8	7.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_5] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising the general level of usage/service fees

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising the general level of usage/service fees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	91	8.8	8.8
Fairly effective	2	411	39.9	39.9
Not very effective	3	355	34.5	34.5
Not effective at all	4	130	12.6	12.6
Can't say	5	42	4.1	4.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_6] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Selling municipal property

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Selling municipal property

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	134	13.0	13.0
Fairly effective	2	351	34.1	34.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very effective	3	349	33.9	33.9
Not effective at all	4	137	13.3	13.3
Can't say	5	58	5.6	5.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_7] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing/postponing construction investments, etc.

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing/postponing construction investments, etc.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	246	23.9	23.9
Fairly effective	2	365	35.5	35.5
Not very effective	3	276	26.8	26.8
Not effective at all	4	90	8.7	8.7
Can't say	5	52	5.1	5.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_8] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Consolidation of municipalities/merging municipalities into larger entities

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Consolidation of municipalities/merging municipalities into larger entities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	199	19.3	19.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly effective	2	373	36.2	36.2
Not very effective	3	252	24.5	24.5
Not effective at all	4	116	11.3	11.3
Can't say	5	89	8.6	8.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_9] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the co-operation between municipalities without consolidations

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the co-operation between municipalities without consolidations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	203	19.7	19.7
Fairly effective	2	509	49.5	49.5
Not very effective	3	194	18.9	18.9
Not effective at all	4	39	3.8	3.8
Can't say	5	84	8.2	8.2
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_10] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of municipal employees by firing them

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of municipal employees by firing them

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	123	12.0	12.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly effective	2	274	26.6	26.6
Not very effective	3	415	40.3	40.3
Not effective at all	4	175	17.0	17.0
Can't say	5	42	4.1	4.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_11] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of administrative employees

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of administrative employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	286	27.8	27.8
Fairly effective	2	447	43.4	43.4
Not very effective	3	218	21.2	21.2
Not effective at all	4	43	4.2	4.2
Can't say	5	35	3.4	3.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_12] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of service provision employees

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of service provision employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	47	4.6	4.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly effective	2	179	17.4	17.4
Not very effective	3	518	50.3	50.3
Not effective at all	4	239	23.2	23.2
Can't say	5	46	4.5	4.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_13] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Laying off employees

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Laying off employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	59	5.7	5.7
Fairly effective	2	223	21.7	21.7
Not very effective	3	492	47.8	47.8
Not effective at all	4	216	21.0	21.0
Can't say	5	39	3.8	3.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_14] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing employees' salaries/increasing their working hours

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing employees' salaries/increasing their working hours

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	87	8.5	8.5
Fairly effective	2	289	28.1	28.1
Not very effective	3	384	37.3	37.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not effective at all	4	223	21.7	21.7
Can't say	5	46	4.5	4.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_15] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of elected officials/bodies

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of elected officials/bodies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	174	16.9	16.9
Fairly effective	2	369	35.9	35.9
Not very effective	3	309	30.0	30.0
Not effective at all	4	86	8.4	8.4
Can't say	5	91	8.8	8.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_16] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Applying the principle of accountability in municipal offices and departments

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Applying the principle of accountability in municipal offices and departments

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	313	30.4	30.4
Fairly effective	2	386	37.5	37.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very effective	3	189	18.4	18.4
Not effective at all	4	31	3.0	3.0
Can't say	5	110	10.7	10.7
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_17] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the state control over municipalities

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the state control over municipalities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	88	8.6	8.6
Fairly effective	2	380	36.9	36.9
Not very effective	3	333	32.4	32.4
Not effective at all	4	86	8.4	8.4
Can't say	5	142	13.8	13.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_18] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the state supervision over municipalities

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the state supervision over municipalities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	58	5.6	5.6
Fairly effective	2	224	21.8	21.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very effective	3	366	35.6	35.6
Not effective at all	4	246	23.9	23.9
Can't say	5	135	13.1	13.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V1_19] How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Improving the inhabitants' possibilities to influence

Question

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Improving the inhabitants' possibilities to influence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very effective	1	271	26.3	26.3
Fairly effective	2	432	42.0	42.0
Not very effective	3	220	21.4	21.4
Not effective at all	4	43	4.2	4.2
Can't say	5	63	6.1	6.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_1] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising municipal tax rate

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising municipal tax rate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	89	8.6	8.6
Somewhat acceptable	2	500	48.6	48.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not acceptable at all	3	416	40.4	40.4
Can't say	4	24	2.3	2.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_2] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Borrowing/increasing borrowing

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Borrowing/increasing borrowing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	42	4.1	4.1
Somewhat acceptable	2	492	47.8	47.8
Not acceptable at all	3	456	44.3	44.3
Can't say	4	39	3.8	3.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_3] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Cutting down/reducing municipal services

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Cutting down/reducing municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	128	12.4	12.4
Somewhat acceptable	2	518	50.3	50.3
Not acceptable at all	3	349	33.9	33.9
Can't say	4	34	3.3	3.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_4] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Privatising municipal services

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Privatising municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	262	25.5	25.5
Somewhat acceptable	2	496	48.2	48.2
Not acceptable at all	3	213	20.7	20.7
Can't say	4	58	5.6	5.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_5] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising the general level of usage/service fees

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising the general level of usage/service fees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	127	12.3	12.3
Somewhat acceptable	2	510	49.6	49.6
Not acceptable at all	3	361	35.1	35.1
Can't say	4	31	3.0	3.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_6] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Selling municipal property

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Selling municipal property

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	277	26.9	26.9
Somewhat acceptable	2	484	47.0	47.0
Not acceptable at all	3	212	20.6	20.6
Can't say	4	56	5.4	5.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_7] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing/postponing construction investments, etc.

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing/postponing construction investments, etc.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	368	35.8	35.8
Somewhat acceptable	2	443	43.1	43.1
Not acceptable at all	3	179	17.4	17.4
Can't say	4	39	3.8	3.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_8] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Consolidation of municipalities/merging municipalities into larger entities

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Consolidation of municipalities/merging municipalities into larger entities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	310	30.1	30.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat acceptable	2	400	38.9	38.9
Not acceptable at all	3	238	23.1	23.1
Can't say	4	81	7.9	7.9
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_9] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the co-operation between municipalities without consolidations

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the co-operation between municipalities without consolidations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	508	49.4	49.4
Somewhat acceptable	2	358	34.8	34.8
Not acceptable at all	3	76	7.4	7.4
Can't say	4	87	8.5	8.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_10] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of municipal employees by firing them

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of municipal employees by firing them

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	113	11.0	11.0
Somewhat acceptable	2	435	42.3	42.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not acceptable at all	3	436	42.4	42.4
Can't say	4	45	4.4	4.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_11] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of administrative employees

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of administrative employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	374	36.3	36.3
Somewhat acceptable	2	501	48.7	48.7
Not acceptable at all	3	125	12.1	12.1
Can't say	4	29	2.8	2.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_12] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of service provision employees

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of service provision employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	55	5.3	5.3
Somewhat acceptable	2	396	38.5	38.5
Not acceptable at all	3	532	51.7	51.7
Can't say	4	46	4.5	4.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_13] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Laying off employees

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Laying off employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	97	9.4	9.4
Somewhat acceptable	2	452	43.9	43.9
Not acceptable at all	3	445	43.2	43.2
Can't say	4	35	3.4	3.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_14] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing employees' salaries/increasing their working hours

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing employees' salaries/increasing their working hours

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	114	11.1	11.1
Somewhat acceptable	2	386	37.5	37.5
Not acceptable at all	3	494	48.0	48.0
Can't say	4	35	3.4	3.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_15] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of elected officials/bodies

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of elected officials/bodies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	313	30.4	30.4
Somewhat acceptable	2	488	47.4	47.4
Not acceptable at all	3	163	15.8	15.8
Can't say	4	65	6.3	6.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_16] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Applying the principle of accountability in municipal offices and departments

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Applying the principle of accountability in municipal offices and departments

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	509	49.5	49.5
Somewhat acceptable	2	368	35.8	35.8
Not acceptable at all	3	59	5.7	5.7
Can't say	4	93	9.0	9.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_17] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the state control over municipalities

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the state control over municipalities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	281	27.3	27.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat acceptable	2	482	46.8	46.8
Not acceptable at all	3	132	12.8	12.8
Can't say	4	134	13.0	13.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_18] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the state supervision over municipalities

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the state supervision over municipalities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	120	11.7	11.7
Somewhat acceptable	2	394	38.3	38.3
Not acceptable at all	3	385	37.4	37.4
Can't say	4	130	12.6	12.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V2_19] How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Improving the inhabitants' possibilities to influence

Question

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Improving the inhabitants' possibilities to influence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely acceptable	1	684	66.5	66.5
Somewhat acceptable	2	264	25.7	25.7
Not acceptable at all	3	29	2.8	2.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	4	52	5.1	5.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_1] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Everyone can influence matters in his/her municipality of residence if he/she wants to

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Everyone can influence matters in his/her municipality of residence if he/she wants to

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	194	18.9	18.9
Agree	2	390	37.9	37.9
Disagree	3	293	28.5	28.5
Strongly disagree	4	138	13.4	13.4
Can't say	5	14	1.4	1.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_2] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The growth of municipal administration has exceeded the citizens' liquidity

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The growth of municipal administration has exceeded the citizens' liquidity

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	417	40.5	40.5
Agree	2	462	44.9	44.9
Disagree	3	74	7.2	7.2
Strongly disagree	4	18	1.7	1.7
Can't say	5	58	5.6	5.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_3] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected for a fixed term

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected for a fixed term

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	647	62.9	62.9
Agree	2	267	25.9	25.9
Disagree	3	65	6.3	6.3
Strongly disagree	4	27	2.6	2.6
Can't say	5	23	2.2	2.2
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_4] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected by direct vote

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected by direct vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	396	38.5	38.5
Agree	2	305	29.6	29.6
Disagree	3	201	19.5	19.5
Strongly disagree	4	84	8.2	8.2
Can't say	5	43	4.2	4.2
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_5] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: There are far too many municipalities in our country

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: There are far too many municipalities in our country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	252	24.5	24.5
Agree	2	309	30.0	30.0
Disagree	3	245	23.8	23.8
Strongly disagree	4	94	9.1	9.1
Can't say	5	129	12.5	12.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_6] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipalities should be reduced by forced consolidations in order to improve the financial situation of municipalities and municipal services

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipalities should be reduced by forced consolidations in order to improve the financial situation of municipalities and municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	175	17.0	17.0
Agree	2	311	30.2	30.2
Disagree	3	285	27.7	27.7
Strongly disagree	4	157	15.3	15.3
Can't say	5	101	9.8	9.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_7] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal consolidations are realised, they should be based on voluntariness

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal consolidations are realised, they should be based on voluntariness

Frequencies

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	485	47.1	47.1
Agree	2	362	35.2	35.2
Disagree	3	101	9.8	9.8
Strongly disagree	4	30	2.9	2.9
Can't say	5	51	5.0	5.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_8] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipalities with large population or area should be divided into several smaller municipalities

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipalities with large population or area should be divided into several smaller municipalities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	31	3.0	3.0
Agree	2	129	12.5	12.5
Disagree	3	342	33.2	33.2
Strongly disagree	4	429	41.7	41.7
Can't say	5	98	9.5	9.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_9] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Most departments offering municipal services would need more staff to be able to serve citizens better

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Most departments offering municipal services would need more staff to be able to serve citizens better

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	110	10.7	10.7
Agree	2	233	22.6	22.6
Disagree	3	377	36.6	36.6
Strongly disagree	4	247	24.0	24.0
Can't say	5	62	6.0	6.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_10] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: As many municipal departments as possible should be turned into business companies

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: As many municipal departments as possible should be turned into business companies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	172	16.7	16.7
Agree	2	394	38.3	38.3
Disagree	3	237	23.0	23.0
Strongly disagree	4	120	11.7	11.7
Can't say	5	106	10.3	10.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_11] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The pace and efficiency of work in municipal departments are at least as high as in other fields

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The pace and efficiency of work in municipal departments are at least as high as in other fields

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	113	11.0	11.0
Agree	2	308	29.9	29.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	3	309	30.0	30.0
Strongly disagree	4	224	21.8	21.8
Can't say	5	75	7.3	7.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_12] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal offices and departments worked effectively without unnecessary bureaucracy, the number of municipal employees could be reduced to half

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal offices and departments worked effectively without unnecessary bureaucracy, the number of municipal employees could be reduced to half

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	334	32.5	32.5
Agree	2	449	43.6	43.6
Disagree	3	156	15.2	15.2
Strongly disagree	4	46	4.5	4.5
Can't say	5	44	4.3	4.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_13] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal taxation in Finland is not excessive in relation to the selection and quality of municipal services

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal taxation in Finland is not excessive in relation to the selection and quality of municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	111	10.8	10.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	450	43.7	43.7
Disagree	3	305	29.6	29.6
Strongly disagree	4	93	9.0	9.0
Can't say	5	70	6.8	6.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_14] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If it has to be done, it is better to raise the municipal tax rate than to cut down municipal services

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If it has to be done, it is better to raise the municipal tax rate than to cut down municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	158	15.4	15.4
Agree	2	365	35.5	35.5
Disagree	3	312	30.3	30.3
Strongly disagree	4	137	13.3	13.3
Can't say	5	57	5.5	5.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_15] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In the future, municipalities should increase rather than cut down municipal services

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In the future, municipalities should increase rather than cut down municipal services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	103	10.0	10.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	321	31.2	31.2
Disagree	3	371	36.1	36.1
Strongly disagree	4	153	14.9	14.9
Can't say	5	81	7.9	7.9
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_16] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal services should be allocated only to inhabitants who cannot afford to pay for private services

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal services should be allocated only to inhabitants who cannot afford to pay for private services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	224	21.8	21.8
Agree	2	344	33.4	33.4
Disagree	3	275	26.7	26.7
Strongly disagree	4	134	13.0	13.0
Can't say	5	52	5.1	5.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_17] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It makes no difference whether municipal services are provided by the municipality itself or private companies, as long as the inhabitants have access to the services they need

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It makes no difference whether municipal services are provided by the municipality itself or private companies, as long as the inhabitants have access to the services they need

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	197	19.1	19.1
Agree	2	395	38.4	38.4
Disagree	3	249	24.2	24.2
Strongly disagree	4	116	11.3	11.3
Can't say	5	72	7.0	7.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_18] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal self-government is a fundamental value for Finns, and it should not be undermined in any circumstances

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal self-government is a fundamental value for Finns, and it should not be undermined in any circumstances

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	282	27.4	27.4
Agree	2	428	41.6	41.6
Disagree	3	187	18.2	18.2
Strongly disagree	4	44	4.3	4.3
Can't say	5	88	8.6	8.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_19] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Local government could be abolished in municipalities where there is already enough service provision

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Local government could be abolished in municipalities where there is already enough service provision

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	64	6.2	6.2
Agree	2	209	20.3	20.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	3	406	39.5	39.5
Strongly disagree	4	235	22.8	22.8
Can't say	5	115	11.2	11.2
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_20] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Finnish membership in the European Community would be a threat to the future of my municipality of residence

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Finnish membership in the European Community would be a threat to the future of my municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	104	10.1	10.1
Agree	2	176	17.1	17.1
Disagree	3	325	31.6	31.6
Strongly disagree	4	305	29.6	29.6
Can't say	5	119	11.6	11.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_21] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It would be desirable if Finland joined the European Community from the viewpoint of my municipality of residence and the living conditions of its inhabitants

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It would be desirable if Finland joined the European Community from the viewpoint of my municipality of residence and the living conditions of its inhabitants

Frequencies

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	188	18.3	18.3
Agree	2	324	31.5	31.5
Disagree	3	219	21.3	21.3
Strongly disagree	4	153	14.9	14.9
Can't say	5	145	14.1	14.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_22] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It would be a positive thing if more foreigners moved to my municipality of residence to live and work there

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It would be a positive thing if more foreigners moved to my municipality of residence to live and work there

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	80	7.8	7.8
Agree	2	225	21.9	21.9
Disagree	3	316	30.7	30.7
Strongly disagree	4	349	33.9	33.9
Can't say	5	59	5.7	5.7
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_23] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: All Finnish municipalities should decidedly refuse to take any more refugees

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: All Finnish municipalities should decidedly refuse to take any more refugees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	190	18.5	18.5
Agree	2	229	22.3	22.3
Disagree	3	317	30.8	30.8
Strongly disagree	4	221	21.5	21.5
Can't say	5	72	7.0	7.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_24] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Delegating municipal services to private companies or other private bodies would increase the effectiveness of the services and save the costs

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Delegating municipal services to private companies or other private bodies would increase the effectiveness of the services and save the costs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	207	20.1	20.1
Agree	2	463	45.0	45.0
Disagree	3	201	19.5	19.5
Strongly disagree	4	65	6.3	6.3
Can't say	5	93	9.0	9.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_25] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal services were privatised, inequality and insecurity among inhabitants would increase

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal services were privatised, inequality and insecurity among inhabitants would increase

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	160	15.5	15.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	369	35.9	35.9
Disagree	3	301	29.3	29.3
Strongly disagree	4	80	7.8	7.8
Can't say	5	119	11.6	11.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_26] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If Finnish municipalities are not streamlined and their economy hard-handedly reorganised soon, the majority of them will end up in a state of bankruptcy before long

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If Finnish municipalities are not streamlined and their economy hard-handedly reorganised soon, the majority of them will end up in a state of bankruptcy before long

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	245	23.8	23.8
Agree	2	464	45.1	45.1
Disagree	3	186	18.1	18.1
Strongly disagree	4	38	3.7	3.7
Can't say	5	96	9.3	9.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V3_27] To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Local democracy would not suffer even if half of municipal elected bodies (e.g. boards) were abolished

Question

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Local democracy would not suffer even if half of municipal elected bodies (e.g. boards) were abolished

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	270	26.2	26.2
Agree	2	392	38.1	38.1
Disagree	3	228	22.2	22.2
Strongly disagree	4	54	5.2	5.2
Can't say	5	85	8.3	8.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

**[V4_1] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings:
Maintenance of parks and recreation areas**

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Maintenance of parks and recreation areas

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	91	8.8	8.8
Somewhat	2	668	64.9	64.9
Not at all	3	255	24.8	24.8
Can't say	4	15	1.5	1.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

**[V4_2] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings:
Public transport services**

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Public transport services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	36	3.5	3.5
Somewhat	2	389	37.8	37.8
Not at all	3	570	55.4	55.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	4	34	3.3	3.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V4_3] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Support of business life

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Support of business life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	177	17.2	17.2
Somewhat	2	511	49.7	49.7
Not at all	3	278	27.0	27.0
Can't say	4	63	6.1	6.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V4_4] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Support of housing production

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Support of housing production

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	59	5.7	5.7
Somewhat	2	445	43.2	43.2
Not at all	3	479	46.6	46.6
Can't say	4	46	4.5	4.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V4_5] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Sports, exercise and outdoor recreation possibilities and services

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Sports, exercise and outdoor recreation possibilities and services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	230	22.4	22.4
Somewhat	2	561	54.5	54.5
Not at all	3	225	21.9	21.9
Can't say	4	13	1.3	1.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V4_6] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Salaries of municipal officials and employees

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Salaries of municipal officials and employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	189	18.4	18.4
Somewhat	2	577	56.1	56.1
Not at all	3	225	21.9	21.9
Can't say	4	38	3.7	3.7
		1029	100.0	100.0

**[V4_7] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings:
School services**

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: School services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	37	3.6	3.6
Somewhat	2	292	28.4	28.4
Not at all	3	687	66.8	66.8
Can't say	4	13	1.3	1.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

**[V4_8] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings:
Child day care**

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Child day care

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	23	2.2	2.2
Somewhat	2	213	20.7	20.7
Not at all	3	777	75.5	75.5
Can't say	4	16	1.6	1.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

**[V4_9] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings:
Elderly care**

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Elderly care

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	15	1.5	1.5
Somewhat	2	129	12.5	12.5
Not at all	3	876	85.1	85.1
Can't say	4	9	0.9	0.9
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V4_10] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Minimum income guarantee (formerly social welfare)

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Minimum income guarantee (formerly social welfare)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	96	9.3	9.3
Somewhat	2	477	46.4	46.4
Not at all	3	424	41.2	41.2
Can't say	4	32	3.1	3.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V4_11] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Street maintenance and lighting

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Street maintenance and lighting

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	144	14.0	14.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat	2	649	63.1	63.1
Not at all	3	227	22.1	22.1
Can't say	4	9	0.9	0.9
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V4_12] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Municipal health centre services

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Municipal health centre services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	34	3.3	3.3
Somewhat	2	363	35.3	35.3
Not at all	3	614	59.7	59.7
Can't say	4	18	1.7	1.7
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V4_13] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Library services

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Library services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	91	8.8	8.8
Somewhat	2	546	53.1	53.1
Not at all	3	365	35.5	35.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	4	27	2.6	2.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V4_14] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Environmental protection

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Environmental protection

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	44	4.3	4.3
Somewhat	2	385	37.4	37.4
Not at all	3	571	55.5	55.5
Can't say	4	29	2.8	2.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V4_15] If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Culture services, e.g. theatres

Question

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Culture services, e.g. theatres

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A lot	1	249	24.2	24.2
Somewhat	2	529	51.4	51.4
Not at all	3	228	22.2	22.2
Can't say	4	23	2.2	2.2
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_1] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Municipal manager/mayor

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Municipal manager/mayor

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	388	37.7	37.7
Fairly much	2	455	44.2	44.2
Fairly little	3	109	10.6	10.6
Very little	4	6	0.6	0.6
Can't say	5	71	6.9	6.9
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_2] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Municipal officials

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Municipal officials

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	159	15.5	15.5
Fairly much	2	549	53.4	53.4
Fairly little	3	218	21.2	21.2
Very little	4	33	3.2	3.2
Can't say	5	70	6.8	6.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_3] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local/city council

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local/city council

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	367	35.7	35.7
Fairly much	2	474	46.1	46.1
Fairly little	3	107	10.4	10.4
Very little	4	14	1.4	1.4
Can't say	5	67	6.5	6.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_4] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local/city government**Question**

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local/city government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	435	42.3	42.3
Fairly much	2	468	45.5	45.5
Fairly little	3	56	5.4	5.4
Very little	4	3	0.3	0.3
Can't say	5	67	6.5	6.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_5] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Boards and directorates**Question**

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Boards and directorates

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	94	9.1	9.1
Fairly much	2	491	47.7	47.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly little	3	310	30.1	30.1
Very little	4	57	5.5	5.5
Can't say	5	77	7.5	7.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_6] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Banks

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Banks

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	338	32.8	32.8
Fairly much	2	437	42.5	42.5
Fairly little	3	156	15.2	15.2
Very little	4	23	2.2	2.2
Can't say	5	75	7.3	7.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_7] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Industrial and other enterprises

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Industrial and other enterprises

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	128	12.4	12.4
Fairly much	2	430	41.8	41.8
Fairly little	3	313	30.4	30.4
Very little	4	60	5.8	5.8
Can't say	5	98	9.5	9.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_8] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Regional/local newspapers

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Regional/local newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	36	3.5	3.5
Fairly much	2	287	27.9	27.9
Fairly little	3	473	46.0	46.0
Very little	4	157	15.3	15.3
Can't say	5	76	7.4	7.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_9] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Political parties' local organisations

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Political parties' local organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	54	5.2	5.2
Fairly much	2	338	32.8	32.8
Fairly little	3	405	39.4	39.4
Very little	4	121	11.8	11.8
Can't say	5	111	10.8	10.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_10] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Entrepreneur organisations

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Entrepreneur organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	16	1.6	1.6
Fairly much	2	258	25.1	25.1
Fairly little	3	526	51.1	51.1
Very little	4	112	10.9	10.9
Can't say	5	117	11.4	11.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_11] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Trade unions**Question**

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Trade unions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	63	6.1	6.1
Fairly much	2	275	26.7	26.7
Fairly little	3	485	47.1	47.1
Very little	4	120	11.7	11.7
Can't say	5	86	8.4	8.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_12] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Agricultural producer organisations**Question**

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Agricultural producer organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	55	5.3	5.3
Fairly much	2	275	26.7	26.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly little	3	440	42.8	42.8
Very little	4	160	15.5	15.5
Can't say	5	99	9.6	9.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_13] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Church/congregations

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Church/congregations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	37	3.6	3.6
Fairly much	2	216	21.0	21.0
Fairly little	3	487	47.3	47.3
Very little	4	218	21.2	21.2
Can't say	5	71	6.9	6.9
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_14] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Sports clubs

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Sports clubs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	21	2.0	2.0
Fairly much	2	149	14.5	14.5
Fairly little	3	412	40.0	40.0
Very little	4	374	36.3	36.3
Can't say	5	73	7.1	7.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_15] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Residents' associations/village committees

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Residents' associations/village committees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	16	1.6	1.6
Fairly much	2	105	10.2	10.2
Fairly little	3	478	46.5	46.5
Very little	4	355	34.5	34.5
Can't say	5	75	7.3	7.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_16] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	12	1.2	1.2
Fairly much	2	82	8.0	8.0
Fairly little	3	432	42.0	42.0
Very little	4	430	41.8	41.8
Can't say	5	73	7.1	7.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_17] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local state authorities

Question

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local state authorities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	76	7.4	7.4
Fairly much	2	404	39.3	39.3
Fairly little	3	362	35.2	35.2
Very little	4	81	7.9	7.9
Can't say	5	106	10.3	10.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5_18] How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Inhabitants of the municipality**Question**

How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Inhabitants of the municipality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very much	1	13	1.3	1.3
Fairly much	2	86	8.4	8.4
Fairly little	3	457	44.4	44.4
Very little	4	419	40.7	40.7
Can't say	5	54	5.2	5.2
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_1] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Municipal manager/mayor**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Municipal manager/mayor

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	611	59.4	59.4
Mentioned	1	418	40.6	40.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_2] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Municipal officials

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Municipal officials

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	728	70.7	70.7
Mentioned	1	301	29.3	29.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_3] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local/city council

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local/city council

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	761	74.0	74.0
Mentioned	1	268	26.0	26.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_4] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local/city government

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local/city government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	723	70.3	70.3
Mentioned	1	306	29.7	29.7
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_5] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Boards and directorates

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Boards and directorates

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	922	89.6	89.6
Mentioned	1	107	10.4	10.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_6] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Banks

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Banks

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	539	52.4	52.4
Mentioned	1	490	47.6	47.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_7] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Industrial and other enterprises

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Industrial and other enterprises

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	915	88.9	88.9
Mentioned	1	114	11.1	11.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_8] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Regional/local newspapers

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Regional/local newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	991	96.3	96.3
Mentioned	1	38	3.7	3.7
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_9] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Political parties' local organisations

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Political parties' local organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	908	88.2	88.2
Mentioned	1	121	11.8	11.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_10] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Entrepreneur organisations

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Entrepreneur organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1013	98.4	98.4
Mentioned	1	16	1.6	1.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_11] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Trade unions**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Trade unions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	955	92.8	92.8
Mentioned	1	74	7.2	7.2
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_12] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Agricultural producer organisations**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Agricultural producer organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	966	93.9	93.9
Mentioned	1	63	6.1	6.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_13] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Church/congregations**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Church/congregations

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	977	94.9	94.9
Mentioned	1	52	5.1	5.1
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_14] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Sports clubs

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Sports clubs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	990	96.2	96.2
Mentioned	1	39	3.8	3.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_15] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Residents' associations/village committees

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Residents' associations/village committees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1025	99.6	99.6
Mentioned	1	4	0.4	0.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_16] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1011	98.3	98.3
Mentioned	1	18	1.7	1.7
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_17] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local state authorities

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local state authorities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	947	92.0	92.0
Mentioned	1	82	8.0	8.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5B_18] Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Inhabitants of the municipality

Question

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Inhabitants of the municipality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1026	99.7	99.7
Mentioned	1	3	0.3	0.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_1] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Municipal manager/mayor

Question

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Municipal manager/mayor

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1010	98.2	98.2
Mentioned	1	19	1.8	1.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_2] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Municipal officials

Question

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Municipal officials

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	982	95.4	95.4
Mentioned	1	47	4.6	4.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_3] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local/city council

Question

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local/city council

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	940	91.4	91.4
Mentioned	1	89	8.6	8.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_4] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local/city government

Question

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local/city government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1004	97.6	97.6
Mentioned	1	25	2.4	2.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_5] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Boards and directorates**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Boards and directorates

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	934	90.8	90.8
Mentioned	1	95	9.2	9.2
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_6] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Banks**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Banks

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1019	99.0	99.0
Mentioned	1	10	1.0	1.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_7] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Industrial and other enterprises**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Industrial and other enterprises

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	950	92.3	92.3
Mentioned	1	79	7.7	7.7
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_8] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Regional/local newspapers

Question

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Regional/local newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	980	95.2	95.2
Mentioned	1	49	4.8	4.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_9] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Political parties' local organisations

Question

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Political parties' local organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	999	97.1	97.1
Mentioned	1	30	2.9	2.9
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_10] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Entrepreneur organisations

Question

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Entrepreneur organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	865	84.1	84.1
Mentioned	1	164	15.9	15.9
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_11] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Trade unions**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Trade unions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	902	87.7	87.7
Mentioned	1	127	12.3	12.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_12] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Agricultural producer organisations**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Agricultural producer organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	952	92.5	92.5
Mentioned	1	77	7.5	7.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_13] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Church/congregations**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Church/congregations

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	944	91.7	91.7
Mentioned	1	85	8.3	8.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_14] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Sports clubs

Question

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Sports clubs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	933	90.7	90.7
Mentioned	1	96	9.3	9.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_15] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Residents' associations/village committees

Question

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Residents' associations/village committees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	593	57.6	57.6
Mentioned	1	436	42.4	42.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_16] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists

Question

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	755	73.4	73.4
Mentioned	1	274	26.6	26.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_17] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local state authorities**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local state authorities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1002	97.4	97.4
Mentioned	1	27	2.6	2.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[V5C_18] Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Inhabitants of the municipality**Question**

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Inhabitants of the municipality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	313	30.4	30.4
Mentioned	1	716	69.6	69.6
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's gender**Question**

Respondent's gender

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Female	1	533	51.8	51.8
Male	2	496	48.2	48.2
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's age

Question

Respondent's age

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1029
minimum	15.00
maximum	94.00
mean	40.46
standard deviation	17.01

[BV3] Respondent's basic education

Question

Respondent's basic education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Primary education or less, 2-3 yrs of lower secondary	1	383	37.2	37.2
Lower secondary education	2	350	34.0	34.0
Upper secondary education (matriculation examination)	3	287	27.9	27.9
Other	4	9	0.9	0.9
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
No vocational education	1	296	28.8	28.8
Vocational course or equivalent	2	207	20.1	20.1
Vocational school or equivalent	3	258	25.1	25.1
College level vocational education	4	170	16.5	16.5
University education	5	84	8.2	8.2
Other	6	14	1.4	1.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Within which of the following income brackets does your gross household income fall (total income of all household members before taxes)?**Question**

Within which of the following income brackets does your gross household income fall (total income of all household members before taxes)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Under 60,000 FIM/year or under 5,000 FIM/month	1	139	13.5	13.5
60,001-90,000 FIM/year or 5,001-7,500 FIM/month	2	128	12.4	12.4
90,001-120,000 FIM/year or 7,501-10,000 FIM/month	3	170	16.5	16.5
120,001-150,000 FIM/year or 10,001-12,500 FIM/month	4	134	13.0	13.0
150,001-180,000 FIM/year or 12,501-15,000 FIM/month	5	99	9.6	9.6
180,001-210,000 FIM/year or 15,001-17,500 FIM/month	6	88	8.6	8.6
210,001-240,000 FIM/year or 17,501-20,000 FIM/month	7	53	5.2	5.2
240,001-270,000 FIM/year or 20,001-22,500 FIM/month	8	31	3.0	3.0
270,001-300,000 FIM/year or 22,501-25,000 FIM/month	9	23	2.2	2.2
Over 300,000 FIM/year or over 25,000 FIM/month	10	35	3.4	3.4
No answer	11	129	12.5	12.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV6_1] Number of persons aged over 16 in the household

Question

Number of persons aged over 16 in the household

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1029
minimum	1.00
maximum	8.00
mean	2.02
standard deviation	0.88

[BV6_2] Number of persons aged 7-16 in the household

Question

Number of persons aged 7-16 in the household

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1029
minimum	0.00
maximum	6.00
mean	0.41
standard deviation	0.79

[BV6_3] Number of persons aged under 7 in the household

Question

Number of persons aged under 7 in the household

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1029
minimum	0.00

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
maximum	4.00
mean	0.27
standard deviation	0.65

[BV6_4] Total number of persons in the household**Question***Total number of persons in the household***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1029
minimum	1.00
maximum	14.00
mean	2.71
standard deviation	1.55

[BV7] Respondent's household composition**Question***Respondent's household composition***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Single, living with parents	1	144	14.0	14.0
Single, aged under 35	2	106	10.3	10.3
Single, aged 35 or over	3	144	14.0	14.0
Married/cohabiting, no children	4	117	11.4	11.4
Married/cohabiting, child(ren)	5	329	32.0	32.0
Single parent/adult, living with children	6	47	4.6	4.6
Couple, children do not live at home	7	142	13.8	13.8
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV8_1] Economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)

Question

Economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer, agricultural entrepreneur	1	59	5.7	5.7
Other employer, self-employed, own account worker	2	75	7.3	7.3
Higher managerial employee	3	142	13.8	13.8
Lower managerial or professional employee	4	146	14.2	14.2
Worker	5	290	28.2	28.2
Pensioner/retired	6	214	20.8	20.8
Student	7	62	6.0	6.0
Other	8	41	4.0	4.0
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV8_2] (IF RETIRED) Former economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)

Question

(IF RETIRED) Former economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer, agricultural entrepreneur	1	38	3.7	17.8
Other employer, self-employed, own account worker	2	11	1.1	5.1
Higher managerial employee	3	25	2.4	11.7
Lower managerial or professional employee	4	35	3.4	16.4
Worker	5	77	7.5	36.0
Pensioner/retired	6	0	0.0	0.0
Student	7	0	0.0	0.0
Other	8	28	2.7	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	815	79.2	—
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV9] Type of neighbourhood where the respondent lives**Question***Type of neighbourhood where the respondent lives***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Capital area	1	158	15.4	15.4
Turku, Tampere	2	52	5.1	5.1
Other city/town	3	427	41.5	41.5
Population centre in a rural area	4	233	22.6	22.6
Sparsely populated rural area	5	159	15.5	15.5
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV10] Respondent's province of residence**Question***Respondent's province of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	243	23.6	23.6
Turku and Pori	2	129	12.5	12.5
Häme	3	150	14.6	14.6
Kymi	4	45	4.4	4.4
Mikkeli	5	32	3.1	3.1
Kuopio	6	82	8.0	8.0
Central Finland	7	68	6.6	6.6
Vaasa	8	108	10.5	10.5
North Karelia	9	53	5.2	5.2
Oulu	10	75	7.3	7.3
Lapland	11	44	4.3	4.3
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV11] Respondent's economic activity and occupational status**Question***Respondent's economic activity and occupational status*

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Higher managerial occupations (salaried)	1	28	2.7	2.7
Lower managerial and professional occupations	2	67	6.5	6.5
Administrative, clerical, secretarial occupations	3	129	12.5	12.5
Worker	4	242	23.5	23.5
Large or small employer, own account worker	5	59	5.7	5.7
Farmer	6	44	4.3	4.3
Student, pupil	7	148	14.4	14.4
Pensioner/retired	8	206	20.0	20.0
Housewife	9	32	3.1	3.1
Unemployed	10	53	5.2	5.2
Other	11	19	1.8	1.8
No answer	12	2	0.2	0.2
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV12] Respondent's employer type

Question

Respondent's employer type

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Private company	1	340	33.0	33.0
Municipality/municipal federation	2	120	11.7	11.7
Government/state/state-owned company	3	69	6.7	6.7
Other	4	51	5.0	5.0
Not in employment	5	414	40.2	40.2
No answer	6	35	3.4	3.4
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV13] Which political party or group did you vote for in the last parliamentary elections?

Question

Which political party or group did you vote for in the last parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	1	180	17.5	18.8
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	2	173	16.8	18.0
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	137	13.3	14.3
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	39	3.8	4.1
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	5	16	1.6	1.7
Green League (VIHR)	6	59	5.7	6.1
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	7	28	2.7	2.9
Finnish Rural Party (SMP)	8	21	2.0	2.2
Liberal Party (LKP)	9	6	0.6	0.6
Ecological Party (EKO)	10	5	0.5	0.5
Party for Pensioners and Green Mutual Responsibility (EVY)	11	3	0.3	0.3
Humanity Party (IPU)	12	2	0.2	0.2
Women's Movement (NAISL)	13	1	0.1	0.1
For Peace and Socialism - Communist Workers' Party (KTP)	14	5	0.5	0.5
Constitutional Party of Finland (POP)	15	1	0.1	0.1
Finnish Pensioner's Party (SEP)	16	2	0.2	0.2
Independent Pensioners of Finland (RSES)	17	3	0.3	0.3
Some other group	18	2	0.2	0.2
Didn't vote	19	145	14.1	15.1
Can't say	20	26	2.5	2.7
Don't want to say	21	15	1.5	1.6
	22	91	8.8	9.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	69	6.7	—
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV14] If the municipal elections were held now, which political party or group would you vote for?

Question

If the municipal elections were held now, which political party or group would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	1	144	14.0	15.0
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	2	181	17.6	18.9
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	136	13.2	14.2
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	31	3.0	3.2
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	5	12	1.2	1.3
Green League (VIHR)	6	87	8.5	9.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	7	31	3.0	3.2
Finnish Rural Party (SMP)	8	13	1.3	1.4
Liberal Party (LKP)	9	4	0.4	0.4
Some other party	10	17	1.7	1.8
Wouldn't vote	11	85	8.3	8.9
Can't say	12	121	11.8	12.6
Don't want to say	13	98	9.5	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	69	6.7	—
		1029	100.0	100.0

[BV15] If the municipal elections were held now, which political party or group would be your second choice?

Question

If the municipal elections were held now, which political party or group would be your second choice?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	1	75	7.3	7.8
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	2	80	7.8	8.3
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	74	7.2	7.7
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	32	3.1	3.3
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	5	22	2.1	2.3
Green League (VIHR)	6	111	10.8	11.6
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	7	28	2.7	2.9
Finnish Rural Party (SMP)	8	19	1.8	2.0
Liberal Party (LKP)	9	13	1.3	1.4
Some other party	10	21	2.0	2.2
Wouldn't vote	11	140	13.6	14.6
Can't say	12	218	21.2	22.7
Don't want to say	13	127	12.3	13.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	69	6.7	—
		1029	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising municipal tax rate [V1_1]	6
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Borrowing/increasing borrowing [V1_2]	6
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Cutting down/reducing municipal services [V1_3]	7
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Privatising municipal services [V1_4]	7
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising the general level of usage/service fees [V1_5]	8
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Selling municipal property [V1_6]	8
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing/postponing construction investments, etc. [V1_7]	9
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Consolidation of municipalities/merging municipalities into larger entities [V1_8]	9
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the co-operation between municipalities without consolidations [V1_9]	10
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of municipal employees by firing them [V1_10]	10

3. Indexes

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of administrative employees [V1_11]	11
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of service provision employees [V1_12] .	11
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Laying off employees [V1_13]	12
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing employees' salaries/increasing their working hours [V1_14]	12
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of elected officials/bodies [V1_15]	13
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Applying the principle of accountability in municipal offices and departments [V1_16]	13
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the state control over municipalities [V1_17]	14
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the state supervision over municipalities [V1_18] . . .	14
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Improving the inhabitants' possibilities to influence [V1_19] . . .	15
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising municipal tax rate [V2_1]	15
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Borrowing/increasing borrowing [V2_2]	16
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Cutting down/reducing municipal services [V2_3]	16
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Privatising municipal services [V2_4]	17
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising the general level of usage/service fees [V2_5]	17
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Selling municipal property [V2_6]	17
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing/postponing construction investments, etc. [V2_7]	18
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Consolidation of municipalities/merging municipalities into larger entities [V2_8]	18
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the co-operation between municipalities without consolidations [V2_9]	19
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial sit-	

uation of municipalities: Reducing the number of municipal employees by firing them [V2_10]	19
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of administrative employees [V2_11]	20
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of service provision employees [V2_12] .	20
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Laying off employees [V2_13]	21
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing employees' salaries/increasing their working hours [V2_14]	21
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of elected officials/bodies [V2_15]	21
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Applying the principle of accountability in municipal offices and departments [V2_16]	22
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the state control over municipalities [V2_17]	22
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the state supervision over municipalities [V2_18] . . .	23
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Improving the inhabitants' possibilities to influence [V2_19] . . .	23
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Everyone can influence matters in his/her municipality of residence if he/she wants to [V3_1]	24
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The growth of municipal administration has exceeded the citizens' liquidity [V3_2]	24
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected for a fixed term [V3_3]	25
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected by direct vote [V3_4]	25
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: There are far too many municipalities in our country [V3_5]	25
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipalities should be reduced by forced consolidations in order to improve the financial situation of municipalities and municipal services [V3_6]	26
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal consolidations are realised, they should be based on voluntariness [V3_7]	26
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipalities with large population or area should be divided into several smaller municipalities [V3_8]	27
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Most departments offering municipal services would need more staff to be able to serve citizens better [V3_9]	27

3. Indexes

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: As many municipal departments as possible should be turned into business companies [V3_10]	28
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The pace and efficiency of work in municipal departments are at least as high as in other fields [V3_11]	28
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal offices and departments worked effectively without unnecessary bureaucracy, the number of municipal employees could be reduced to half [V3_12]	29
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal taxation in Finland is not excessive in relation to the selection and quality of municipal services [V3_13]	29
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If it has to be done, it is better to raise the municipal tax rate than to cut down municipal services [V3_14]	30
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In the future, municipalities should increase rather than cut down municipal services [V3_15]	30
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal services should be allocated only to inhabitants who cannot afford to pay for private services [V3_16]	31
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It makes no difference whether municipal services are provided by the municipality itself or private companies, as long as the inhabitants have access to the services they need [V3_17]	31
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal self-government is a fundamental value for Finns, and it should not be undermined in any circumstances [V3_18]	32
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Local government could be abolished in municipalities where there is already enough service provision [V3_19]	32
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Finnish membership in the European Community would be a threat to the future of my municipality of residence [V3_20]	33
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It would be desirable if Finland joined the European Community from the viewpoint of my municipality of residence and the living conditions of its inhabitants [V3_21]	33
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It would be a positive thing if more foreigners moved to my municipality of residence to live and work there [V3_22]	34
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: All Finnish municipalities should decidedly refuse to take any more refugees [V3_23]	34
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Delegating municipal services to private companies or other private bodies would increase the effectiveness of the services and save the costs [V3_24]	35
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal services were privatised, inequality and insecurity among inhabitants would increase [V3_25]	35
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If Finnish municipalities are not streamlined and their economy hard-handedly reorganised soon, the majority of them will end up in a state of bankruptcy before long [V3_26]	36
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Local democracy would not suffer even if half of municipal elected bodies (e.g. boards) were abolished [V3_27]	36

If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Maintenance of parks and recreation areas [V4_1]	37
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Public transport services [V4_2]	37
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Support of business life [V4_3]	38
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Support of housing production [V4_4]	38
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Sports, exercise and outdoor recreation possibilities and services [V4_5]	39
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Salaries of municipal officials and employees [V4_6]	39
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: School services [V4_7]	40
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Child day care [V4_8]	40
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Elderly care [V4_9]	40
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Minimum income guarantee (formerly social welfare) [V4_10]	41
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Street maintenance and lighting [V4_11]	41
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Municipal health centre services [V4_12]	42
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Library services [V4_13]	42
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Environmental protection [V4_14]	43
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Culture services, e.g. theatres [V4_15]	43
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Municipal manager/mayor [V5_1]	44
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Municipal officials [V5_2]	44
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local/city council [V5_3]	44
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local/city	

3. Indexes

government [V5_4]	45
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Boards and directorates [V5_5]	45
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Banks [V5_6]	46
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Industrial and other enterprises [V5_7]	46
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Regional/local newspapers [V5_8]	47
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Political parties' local organisations [V5_9]	47
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Entrepreneur organisations [V5_10]	47
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Trade unions [V5_11]	48
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Agricultural producer organisations [V5_12]	48
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Church/congregations [V5_13]	49
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Sports clubs [V5_14]	49
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Residents' associations/village committees [V5_15]	50
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists [V5_16]	50
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local state authorities [V5_17]	50
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Inhabitants of the municipality [V5_18]	51
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Municipal manager/mayor [V5B_1]	51
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Municipal officials [V5B_2]	52
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local/city council [V5B_3]	52
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local/city government [V5B_4]	52
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Boards and directorates [V5B_5]	53
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Banks [V5B_6]	53

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Industrial and other enterprises [V5B_7]	53
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Regional/local newspapers [V5B_8]	54
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Political parties' local organisations [V5B_9]	54
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Entrepreneur organisations [V5B_10]	54
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Trade unions [V5B_11]	55
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Agricultural producer organisations [V5B_12]	55
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Church/congregations [V5B_13]	55
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Sports clubs [V5B_14]	56
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Residents' associations/village committees [V5B_15]	56
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists [V5B_16]	56
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local state authorities [V5B_17]	57
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Inhabitants of the municipality [V5B_18]	57
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Municipal manager/mayor [V5C_1]	57
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Municipal officials [V5C_2]	58
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local/city council [V5C_3]	58
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local/city government [V5C_4]	58
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Boards and directorates [V5C_5]	59
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Banks [V5C_6]	59
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Industrial and other enterprises [V5C_7]	59
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Regional/local newspapers [V5C_8]	60
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Political parties' local	

3. Indexes

organisations [V5C_9]	60
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Entrepreneur organisations [V5C_10]	60
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Trade unions [V5C_11]	61
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Agricultural producer organisations [V5C_12]	61
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Church/congregations [V5C_13]	61
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Sports clubs [V5C_14]	62
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Residents' associations/village committees [V5C_15]	62
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists [V5C_16]	62
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local state authorities [V5C_17]	63
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Inhabitants of the municipality [V5C_18]	63
Respondent's gender [BV1]	63
Respondent's age [BV2]	64
Respondent's basic education [BV3]	64
Respondent's vocational education [BV4]	64
Within which of the following income brackets does your gross household income fall (total income of all household members before taxes)? [BV5]	65
Number of persons aged over 16 in the household [BV6_1]	66
Number of persons aged 7-16 in the household [BV6_2]	66
Number of persons aged under 7 in the household [BV6_3]	66
Total number of persons in the household [BV6_4]	67
Respondent's household composition [BV7]	67
Economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income) [BV8_1]	68
(IF RETIRED) Former economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income) [BV8_2]	68
Type of neighbourhood where the respondent lives [BV9]	69
Respondent's province of residence [BV10]	69
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status [BV11]	69
Respondent's employer type [BV12]	70

Which political party or group did you vote for in the last parliamentary elections? [BV13] 70

If the municipal elections were held now, which political party or group would you vote for?
[BV14] 71

If the municipal elections were held now, which political party or group would be your second
choice? [BV15] 72

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)	68
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Applying the principle of accountability in municipal offices and departments	22
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Borrowing/increasing borrowing	16
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Consolidation of municipalities/merging municipalities into larger entities	18
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Cutting down/reducing municipal services	16
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Improving the inhabitants' possibilities to influence	23
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the co-operation between municipalities without consolidations	19
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the state supervision over municipalities	23
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Laying off employees	21
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Privatising municipal services	17
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising municipal tax rate	15
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising the general level of usage/service fees	17
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing employees' salaries/increasing their working hours ..	21
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of administrative employees	20
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of elected officials/bodies	21
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of municipal employees by firing them ...	19

How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of service provision employees	20
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the state control over municipalities	22
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing/postponing construction investments, etc.	18
How acceptable would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Selling municipal property	17
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Applying the principle of accountability in municipal offices and departments	13
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Borrowing/increasing borrowing	6
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Consolidation of municipalities/merging municipalities into larger entities	9
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Cutting down/reducing municipal services	7
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Improving the inhabitants' possibilities to influence	15
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the co-operation between municipalities without consolidations	10
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Increasing the state supervision over municipalities	14
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Laying off employees	12
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Privatising municipal services	7
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising municipal tax rate	6
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Raising the general level of usage/service fees	8
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing employees' salaries/increasing their working hours ..	12
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of administrative employees	11
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of elected officials/bodies	13
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of municipal employees by firing them ...	10

3. Indexes

How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the number of service provision employees	11
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing the state control over municipalities	14
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Reducing/postponing construction investments, etc.	9
How effective would you consider the following means in terms of improving the financial situation of municipalities: Selling municipal property	8
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Agricultural producer organisations	48
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Banks .	46
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Boards and directorates	45
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Church/congregations	49
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Entrepreneur organisations	47
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Industrial and other enterprises	46
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Inhabitants of the municipality	51
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local state authorities	50
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local/city council	44
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Local/city government	45
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Municipal manager/mayor	44
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Municipal officials	44
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Political parties' local organisations	47
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Regional/local newspapers	47
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Residents' associations/village committees	50
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Sports clubs	49
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Trade	

unions	48
How much real power does the following body have in municipal decision-making: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists	50
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Child day care	40
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Culture services, e.g. theatres	43
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Elderly care	40
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Environmental protection	43
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Library services	42
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Maintenance of parks and recreation areas	37
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Minimum income guarantee (formerly social welfare)	41
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Municipal health centre services	42
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Public transport services	37
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Salaries of municipal officials and employees .	39
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: School services	40
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Sports, exercise and outdoor recreation possibilities and services	39
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Street maintenance and lighting	41
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Support of business life	38
If municipalities are forced to reduce their expenses, how much would you think the following area could be cut down to generate savings: Support of housing production	38
(IF RETIRED) Former economic activity and occupational status of the household head (the person with the highest income)	68
If the municipal elections were held now, which political party or group would be your second choice?	72
If the municipal elections were held now, which political party or group would you vote for? ..	71

3. Indexes

Number of persons aged 7-16 in the household	66
Number of persons aged over 16 in the household	66
Number of persons aged under 7 in the household	66
Respondent's age	64
Respondent's basic education	64
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status	69
Respondent's employer type	70
Respondent's gender	63
Respondent's household composition	67
Respondent's province of residence	69
Respondent's vocational education	64
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: All Finnish municipalities should decidedly refuse to take any more refugees	34
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: As many municipal departments as possible should be turned into business companies	28
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Delegating municipal services to private companies or other private bodies would increase the effectiveness of the services and save the costs	35
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Everyone can influence matters in his/her municipality of residence if he/she wants to	24
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Finnish membership in the European Community would be a threat to the future of my municipality of residence	33
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If Finnish municipalities are not streamlined and their economy hard-handedly reorganised soon, the majority of them will end up in a state of bankruptcy before long	36
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If it has to be done, it is better to raise the municipal tax rate than to cut down municipal services	30
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal consolidations are re- alised, they should be based on voluntariness	26
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal offices and departments worked effectively without unnecessary bureaucracy, the number of municipal employees could be reduced to half	29
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: If municipal services were privatised, inequality and insecurity among inhabitants would increase	35
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: In the future, municipalities should increase rather than cut down municipal services	30
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It makes no difference whether municipal services are provided by the municipality itself or private companies, as long as the inhabitants have access to the services they need	31

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It would be a positive thing if more foreigners moved to my municipality of residence to live and work there	34
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: It would be desirable if Finland joined the European Community from the viewpoint of my municipality of residence and the living conditions of its inhabitants	33
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Local democracy would not suffer even if half of municipal elected bodies (e.g. boards) were abolished	36
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Local government could be abolished in municipalities where there is already enough service provision	32
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Most departments offering municipal services would need more staff to be able to serve citizens better	27
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected by direct vote	25
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal managers and mayors should be elected for a fixed term	25
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal self-government is a fundamental value for Finns, and it should not be undermined in any circumstances	32
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal services should be allocated only to inhabitants who cannot afford to pay for private services	31
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipal taxation in Finland is not excessive in relation to the selection and quality of municipal services	29
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Municipalities with large population or area should be divided into several smaller municipalities	27
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The growth of municipal administration has exceeded the citizens' liquidity	24
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The number of municipalities should be reduced by forced consolidations in order to improve the financial situation of municipalities and municipal services	26
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The pace and efficiency of work in municipal departments are at least as high as in other fields	28
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: There are far too many municipalities in our country	25
Total number of persons in the household	67
Type of neighbourhood where the respondent lives	69
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Agricultural producer organisations	61
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Banks	59
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Boards and directorates	59
Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Church/congregations	61

3. Indexes

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Entrepreneur organisations 60

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Industrial and other enterprises59

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Inhabitants of the municipality63

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local state authorities 63

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local/city council58

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Local/city government 58

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Municipal manager/mayor 57

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Municipal officials ... 58

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Political parties' local organisations60

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Regional/local newspapers 60

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Residents' associations/village committees 62

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Sports clubs 62

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Trade unions 61

Which of the following bodies have too little power in your opinion: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists 62

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Agricultural producer organisations55

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Banks53

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Boards and directorates 53

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Church/congregations 55

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Entrepreneur organisations 54

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Industrial and other enterprises 53

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Inhabitants of the municipality 57

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local state authorities 57

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local/city council ... 52

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Local/city government 52

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Municipal manager/mayor

51

Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Municipal officials ..	52
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Political parties' local organisations	54
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Regional/local newspapers	54
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Residents' associations/village committees	56
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Sports clubs	56
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Trade unions	55
Which of the following bodies have too much power in your opinion: Various single issues groups, e.g. conservationists	56
Which political party or group did you vote for in the last parliamentary elections?	70
Within which of the following income brackets does your gross household income fall (total income of all household members before taxes)?	65

Appendix A

Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

DEVA	Democratic Alternative
EKA	Pensioners for the People
EKO	Ecological Party
EPV	Ecological Party The Greens
EVY	Party for Pensioners and Green Mutual Responsibility
IPU	Humanity Party
KD	Christian Democrats
KESK Keskustapuolue	Center Party
KESK Suomen Keskusta	Centre Party of Finland
KESK/LKP	Center Party + Liberal Party
KIPU	Ecological Party
KOK	National Coalition Party
KTP	For Peace and Socialism-Communist Worker's Party
KVL	Union for Democracy
LIB	Liberals
LKP	Liberal Party
LLP	Natural Law Party
NAISL	Womens' Movement
NAISP	Womens' Party
NUORS	Progressive Finnish Party
NUSU	Progressive Finnish Party
PKP	Constitutional People's Party
POP	Constitutional Party of Finland
PS	True Finns
REM	Reform Group
RKP	Swedish People's Party in Finland
RSES	Independent Pensioners of Finland
SDP	Social Democratic Party of Finland
SEP	Finnish Pensioners' Party
SKDL	Democratic League of the People of Finland
SKL	Christian League of Finland
SKP	The Communist Party of Finland
SKYP	Unification Party of the Finnish People
SMP	Finnish Rural Party

A. Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

SPK	Finnish Constitutional People's Party
STP	Socialist Workers' Party
SYP	Private Entrepreneurs' Party in Finland
TPSL	Social Democratic Union of Workers and Small Farmers
VAS	Left Alliance
VASL	Left Alliance
VEV	Alternative Union
VIHR Vihreä Liitto	Green League
VIHR Vihreät	The Greens
VSL	Alliance for Free Finland
YVP	Joint Responsibility Party

Appendix B

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1147 Kunnallisan ilmapuntari 1992 : kuntalaiset

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1147 Finnish Local Government Barometer 1992 : Inhabitants

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

VASTAAJAA KOSKEVAT HENKILÖKOHTAISET JA TALOUSKOHTAISET TIEDOT

<p>Vastaaja on... nainen mies</p>	<p>Mikä on perheen päähenkilön ammatti? -----</p>
<p>Minkä ikäinen olette? Ikä _____ vuotta</p> <p>15-21vuotta 22-24vuotta 25-34vuotta 35-49vuotta 50-64vuotta 65-vuotta</p>	<p>Mihin näistä ryhmistä perheenne päähenkilö eli eniten ansaitseva kuuluu?</p> <p>Maataloustyönantajat, maatalous-yksityisyrittäjät Muut työnantajat, muut yksityisyrittäj. Johtavassa asemassa toisen palveluksessa, ylemmät toimihenkilöt Alemmat toimihenkilöt Työntekijät Eläkeläiset Opiskelijat Muut</p>
<p>Mikä on peruskoulutuksenne?</p> <p>Kansakoulu tai vähemmän, kansalaiskoulu Keskikoulu tai peruskoulu Ylioppilastutkinto Joku muu</p>	<p>Kuinka monta yli 16-vuotiasta henkilöä kuuluu perheeseen? Entä kuinka monta 7-16 -vuotiasta ja kuinka monta alle 7-vuotiasta lasta kuuluu perheeseen?</p> <p>_____ yli 16-vuotiasta henkilöä _____ 7 - 16 -vuotiasta lasta _____ 0 - 6 -vuotiasta lasta _____ yhteensä perheenjäseniä</p>
<p>Entä mikä on ammatillinen koulutuksenne?</p> <p>Ei ammatillista koulutusta Ammattikurssi, lyhyt ammattikoulutus, työn ohessa saatu koulutus Ammattikoulu, teknillinen koulu, kauppakoulu tai näihin verrattava Opistotasoinen koulutus Yliopisto- tai korkeakoulututkinto Joku muu</p>	<p>Mihin näistä tuloluokista perheenne kuuluu, kun kaikkien perheenjäsenten tulot lasketaan yhteen veroja vähentämättä?</p> <p>Alle 36.000 mk vuodessa 36.001-48.000 mk vuodessa 48.001-60.000 mk vuodessa 60.001-72.000 mk vuodessa 72.001-84.000 mk vuodessa 84.001-102.000 mk vuodessa 102.001-120.000 mk vuodessa 120.001-150.000 mk vuodessa 150.001-200.000 mk vuodessa Yli 200.000 mk vuodessa</p>
<p>Vastaaja on ...</p> <p>perheenäiti tai yksin asuva nainen perheenisä tai yksin asuva mies perheen tytär perheen poika Muu perheenjäsen</p>	<p>Kuka Teillä päättää perheen elintarvike-ostoista?</p> <p>Vastaaja Muu kuin vastaaja Vastaaja ja joku/jotkut muut yhdessä</p>
<p>Mihin elinvaiheeseen kuulutte, sanokaa vain numero kortilta?</p> <p>Naimaton, asuu vanhempien luona Yksin, alle 35-vuotias Yksin, yli 35-vuotias Naimisissa/avopari, ei lapsia Naimisissa/avopari, on lapsia Yksinhuoltaja/aikuinen, asuu lasten kanssa Pariskunta, lapset eivät asu kotona Muu</p>	<p>Paikkakuntatyyppi: _____</p> <p>Kaupunki Maaseututaajama Avoin maaseutu</p>
<p>Haastattelupäivä: _____/_____/____ 1992</p>	<p>Kunnan nimi: _____ Postinumero: _____</p>

(KAIKILTA)

B1. Maamme kuntien taloudellinen tilanne on nykyisin yleisesti ottaen varsin heikko - menot ovat paisumassa tuloja suuremmiksi. Seuraavaksi luettelen eräitä julkisuudessa esitettyjä keinoja kuntien taloudellisen tilan parantamiseksi.

Arvioikaa kutakin keinoa erikseen sen mukaan, kuinka tehokkaana pidätte sitä pyrittäessä parantamaan kuntien taloudellista tilaa. Suorittakaa arviointi vain toimenpiteen tehokkuuden näkökulmasta, ei sen mukaan kannatatteko vai vastustatteko sitä. (KORTTI 81)

	erittäin tehokas keino	melko tehokas keino	ei kovin tehokas keino	ei lainkaan tehokas	en osaa sanoa	
Kunnallisen veroäyryn korottaminen	45	1	2	3	4	5
Lainanotto/lainanoton lisääminen	46	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallisten palvelujen karsiminen/vähentäminen	47	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallisten palvelujen yksityistäminen	48	1	2	3	4	5
Käyttö-/palvelumaksujen/taksojen korottaminen yleensä	49	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnan omaisuuden myyminen	50	1	2	3	4	5
Rakennus- yms. investointien karsiminen/lykkääminen	51	1	2	3	4	5
Kuntaliitokset/kuntien yhdistäminen suuremmiksi yksiköiksi	52	1	2	3	4	5
Kuntien yhteistyön lisääminen ilman kuntaliitoksia	53	1	2	3	4	5
Kuntien palveluksessa olevan henkilöstön vähentäminen irtisanomalla	54	1	2	3	4	5
Hallinnollisen henkilöstön vähentäminen	55	1	2	3	4	5
Palveluja tuottavan henkilöstön vähentäminen	56	1	2	3	4	5
Henkilöstön pakkolomautukset	57	1	2	3	4	5
Henkilöstön palkkojen alentaminen/työajan lisääminen	58	1	2	3	4	5
Luottamushenkilöorganisaation/ -elinten karsiminen	59	1	2	3	4	5
Tulosvastuun soveltaminen kuntien virastoissa ja laitoksissa	60	1	2	3	4	5
Valtion kuntiin kohdistuvan ohjauksen vähentäminen	61	1	2	3	4	5
Valtion kuntiin kohdistuvan valvonnan lisääminen	62	1	2	3	4	5
Kuntalaisten vaikutusmahdollisuuksien parantaminen	63	1	2	3	4	5

B2. Monet mainituista keinoista ovat varmastikin kuntalaisille epämieluisia ja sellaisia, ettei niitä kukaan suoranaisesti halua käyttää.

Jos kuitenkin ajatellaan, että joitakin keinoja on vastaisuudessa pakko käyttää, kuinka hyväksyttävänä pidätte eri keinojen käyttöä pyrittäessä parantamaan kotikuntanne taloutta? Arvioikaa kutakin seuraavaksi lueteltavaa keinoa erikseen sen mukaan hyväksyttekö sen käytön kotikunnassanne täysin, jollakin tavoin vai ettekö lainkaan. (KORTTI B2)

	hyväksyn täysin	hyväksyn jollakin tavoin	hyväksy lainkaan	en osaa sanoa	
Kunnallisen veroäyryn korottaminen	64	1	2	3	4
Lainanotto/lainanoton lisääminen	65	1	2	3	4
Kunnallisten palvelujen karsiminen/vähentäminen	66	1	2	3	4
Kunnallisten palvelujen yksityistäminen	67	1	2	3	4
Käyttö-/palvelumaksujen/taksojen korottaminen yleensä	68	1	2	3	4
Kunnan omaisuuden myyminen	69	1	2	3	4
Rakennus- yms. investointien karsiminen/lykkääminen	70	1	2	3	4
Kuntaliitokset/kuntien yhdistäminen suuremmiksi yksiköiksi	71	1	2	3	4
Kuntien yhteistyön lisääminen ilman kuntaliitoksia	72	1	2	3	4
Kunnan palveluksessa olevan henkilöstön vähentäminen irtisanomalla	73	1	2	3	4
Hallinnollisen henkilöstön vähentäminen	74	1	2	3	4
Palveluja tuottavan henkilöstön vähentäminen	75	1	2	3	4
Henkilöstön pakkolomautukset	76	1	2	3	4
Henkilöstön palkkojen alentaminen/työajan lisääminen	77	1	2	3	4
Luottamushenkilöorganisaation/ -elinten karsiminen	78	1	2	3	4
Tulosvastuun soveltaminen kuntien virastoissa ja laitoksissa	79	1	2	3	4
Valtion kuntiin kohdistuvan ohjauksen vähentäminen	80	1	2	3	4
Valtion kuntiin kohdistuvan valvonnan lisääminen	81	1	2	3	4
Kuntalaisten vaikutusmahdollisuuksien parantaminen	82	1	2	3	4

B3. Seuraavaksi luettelen joukon kuntia koskevia väittämiä. Pyydämme Teitä vastaamaan jokaiseen väittämään sen mukaan oletteko samaa vai eri mieltä väittämän kanssa (KORTTI B3)

	täysin samaa mieltä	jokseenkin samaa mieltä	jokseenkin eri mieltä	täysin eri mieltä	ei osaa sanoa	
Jokainen joka haluaa pystyä vaikuttamaan kotikuntansa asioihin	83	1	2	3	4	5
Hallinto on kunnissa paisunut ylitse kansalaisten maksukyvyyn	84	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnan- ja kaupunginjohtajat tulisi valita virkaansa määräjäksi	85	1	2	3	4	5
Kaupungin ja kuntien johtajat tulisi valita virkaansa suoralla kansanvaalilla	86	1	2	3	4	5
Kuntien lukumäärä on maassamme aivan liian suuri	87	1	2	3	4	5
Maamme kuntien lukumäärä tulisi pakkoliitoksilla vähentää, jotta kuntien taloutta voitaisiin parantaa ja palveluja tehostaa	88	1	2	3	4	5
Mikäli kuntaliitoksia toteutetaan niiden tulee perustua vapaaehtoi suuteen	89	1	2	3	4	5
Väestömäärään tai alueeltaan suuria kuntia pitäisi jakaa pienemmiksi kunniksi	90	1	2	3	4	5
Useimmat kunnallisia palveluita tarjoavat laitokset tarvitsisivat lisää henkilöitä, jotta ne voisivat palvella kansalaisia paremmin	91	1	2	3	4	5
Mahdollisimman monista kunnallisia palveluita tarjoavista laitoksista olisi muodostettava liikelaitoksia yrityselämän mallin mukaan	92	1	2	3	4	5
Työtahti ja -teho on kunnallisia palveluita tarjoavissa laitoksissa ainakin yhtä kova kuin muilla aloilla	93	1	2	3	4	5
Mikäli kuntien virastoissa ja laitoksissa työskenneltäisiin tehokkaasti ilman turhaa byrokratiaa, kunnat pärjäsivät puolella nykyisestä henkilöstömäärästään	94	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnan tarjoamiin palveluihin ja niiden tasoon verrattuna kunnallisverotus ei ole maassamme mitenkään kohtuuttoman korkeata	95	1	2	3	4	5
Jos on pakko, on parempi korottaa kunnallisveroa kuin karsia kunnallisia palveluita	96	1	2	3	4	5
Kuntien tulisi vastaisuudessa mieluummin lisätä kuin karsia kunnallisia palveluita	97	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnalliset palvelut tulisi kohdistaa lähinnä vain niille kuntalaisille, joilla ei ole varaa yksityisiin palveluihin	98	1	2	3	4	5
On yhdentekevää tuotetaanko kunnalliset palvelut kunnan itsensä tai yksityisten yritysten toimesta kunhan vain kuntalaiset saavat tarvitsemansa palvelut	99	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallinen itsehallinto on suomalaisille perusarvo, jota ei saa heikentää missään oloissa	100	1	2	3	4	5
Mikäli palvelutuotantoa on paikkakunnalla muutoin riittävästi tarjolla kunnallishallinto voitaisiin lakkauttaa	101	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen jäsenyys Euroopan Yhteisössä olisi uhka kotikuntani tulevaisuudelle	102	1	2	3	4	5
Olisi kotikuntani ja sen asukkaiden elinolojen kannalta suotavaa jos Suomi liittyisi Euroopan Yhteisöön jäseneksi	103	1	2	3	4	5
Olisi myönteinen asia, jos kotikuntaani muuttaisi enemmän ulkomaalaisia asumaan ja työtä tekemään	104	1	2	3	4	5
Kaikkien maamme kuntien pitäisi päättäväisesti kieltäytyä ottamasta vastaan enempää pakolaisia	105	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallisten palveluiden siirtäminen yksityisten yritysten tai muiden yksityisten tahojen hoidettavaksi toisi palveluihin tehokkuutta ja säästäisi kustannuksia	106	1	2	3	4	5
Mikäli kunnalliset palvelut yksityistettäisiin kuntalaisten eriarvoisuus ja turvattomuus lisääntyisi	107	1	2	3	4	5
Jollei maamme kuntia aleta pian kovalla kädellä saneeraamaan ja niiden taloutta tervehdytetään, niin suuri osa kunnistamme ajautuu ennen pitkään konkurssiin	108	1	2	3	4	5
Kunnallinen demokratia ei kärsisi vaikka puolet kuntien luottamushenkilöistä esim. lautakunnat lakkautettaisiin	109	1	2	3	4	5

B4. Seuraavaksi luettelen joukon kuntien menokohteita. Jos kuntien on karsittava menojaan, niin mistä menoista voitaisiin mielestänne säästää? (KORTTI B4)

		jonkin paljon	ei verran	ei lainkaan	ei osaa sanoa
Viher- ja virkistysalueiden kunnossapito	110	1	2	3	4
Joukko liikennepalvelut	111	1	2	3	4
Yrityselämän tukeminen	112	1	2	3	4
Asuntotuotannon tukeminen	113	1	2	3	4
Liikunta-, urheilu- ja kuntoilumahdollisuudet ja -palvelut	114	1	2	3	4
Kunnan viranhaltijoiden ja työntekijöiden palkat	115	1	2	3	4
Koulutoimi	116	1	2	3	4
Lasten päivähoito	117	1	2	3	4
Vanhusten huolto	118	1	2	3	4
Toimeentulotuki (ent. sosiaalihuolto)	119	1	2	3	4
Katujen kunnostus ja katuvalaistus	120	1	2	3	4
Terveyskeskuspalvelut	121	1	2	3	4
Kirjastotoimi	122	1	2	3	4
Ympäristönsuojelu	123	1	2	3	4
Kulttuuripalvelut esim. teatterit	124	1	2	3	4

B5. Seuraavaksi luettelen joukon kunnan päätöksentekoon vaikuttavia tahoja.

a) Missä määrin kyseisillä tahoilla nähdäksenne on tosiasiallista vaikutusvaltaa kotikuntanne asioista päätettäessä? (KORTTI B5A)

b) Jakautuuko valta mielestänne oikein? Sanokaa kortilta (KORTTI B5B) kolme tahoja, joilla mielestänne on kotikunnassanne aivan liikaa valtaa?

c) Sanokaa vielä kolme sellaista tahoja, joilla mielestänne on kotikunnassanne aivan liian vähän valtaa?

	Erittäin paljon	Melko paljon	Melko vähän	Erittäin vähän	Ei osaa sanoa	Liikaa valtaa	Liian vähän
Kunnan-/kaupunginjohtaja	127	1	2	3	4	5	125
Viranhaltijat yleensä	128	1	2	3	4	5	2,
Kunnan-/kaupunginvaltuusto	129	1	2	3	4	5	3,
Kunnan-/kaupunginhallitus	130	1	2	3	4	5	4
Lautakunnat ja johtokunnat	131	1	2	3	4	5	5,
Pankit	132	1	2	3	4	5	6,
Teollisuus- ja muut yritykset	133	1	2	3	4	5	7,
Alueelliset/paikalliset lehdet	134	1	2	3	4	5	8,
Puolueiden paikallisjärjestöt	135	1	2	3	4	5	9,
Yrittäjäjärjestöt	136	1	2	3	4	5	10,
Ammattiyhdistykset	137	1	2	3	4	5	11,
Maataloustuottajajärjestöt	138	1	2	3	4	5	12,
Kirkko/seurakunnat	139	1	2	3	4	5	13,
Urheiluseurat	140	1	2	3	4	5	14
Asukasyhdistykset/kylätoimikunnat	141	1	2	3	4	5	15,
Erilaiset yhden asian liikkeet esim. luonnosuojelijat	142	1	2	3	4	5	16,
Paikalliset valtion viranomaiset	143	1	2	3	4	5	17,
Kuntalaiset yleensä	144	1	2	3	4	5	18,

B6. Kuinka paljon perheellänne tulisi olla käytettävissä rahaa kuukaudessa tullaksenne omasta mielestänne juuri ja juuri taloudellisesti toimeen nykyisessä asuinkunnassanne? Entä jos ajatellaan kohtuulliseksi arvioimaanne käytettävissä olevaa rahamäärää, niin mikä tämä olisi? Entä paljonko perheenne tarvitsisi tullakseen todella hyvin toimeen?

	markkaa	Ei osaa sanoa
a) juuri ja juuri	-----	1,
b) kohtuullisesti	-----	2,
c) Todella hyvin	-----	3,

87. Mihin köhän seuraavista ammattiryhmistä Te katsotte lähinnä kuuluvanne? (KORTTI B7)

Johtavassa asemassa toisen palveluksessa.....	149	1
Ylempi toimihenkilö.....		2
Alempi toimihenkilö.....		3
Työntekijä.....		4
Yrittäjä tai ammatinharjoittaja.....		5
Maatalousyrittäjä.....		6
Koululainen tai opiskelija.....		7
Eläkeläinen.....		8
Kotirouva.....		9
Työtön.....		10
Muu.....		11

88. Onko työnantajanne? (KORTTI B8)

Yksityinen yritys tai yhteisö.....	150	1
Kunta/kuntainliitto.....		2
Valtio/valtionyhtiö.....		3
Joku muu.....		4
En ole mukana työelämässä.....		5

