

FSD1156

**Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and
National Defence 1992: Spring**

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence 1992: Spring [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2022.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (1.10.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1156 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Advisory Board for Defence Information (ABDI): Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence 1992: Spring [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-10-01).
Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1156>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

- 1 Study description** **1**
 - 1.1 Titles 1
 - 1.2 Subject description 1
 - 1.3 Structure and collection of the data 2
 - 1.4 Use of data 3

- 2 Variables** **5**

- 3 Indexes** **45**
 - 3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence 45
 - 3.2 Variables in alphabetical order 49

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence 1992: Spring

Titles and data version in Finnish: Turvallisuuspolitiikka- ja maanpuolustustutkimus 1992: kevät

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (1.10.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Advisory Board for Defence Information (ABDI)

Copyright statement for the data

In accordance with the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Advisory Board for Defence Information

Date of deposit

2.7.2001

Keywords

defence; defence and state security policy; environmental degradation; foreign policy; foreigners; immigrants; internal politics; national security; nuclear energy

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: Social sciences

CESSDA Classification: Conflict, security and peace; Political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence

The Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence series includes all surveys conducted by the Advisory Board for Defence Information (ABDI). Their focus is on security policy, foreign policy and national defence. The FSD collections cover data from 1992 onwards. The surveys contain a set of core questions repeated over the years.

Abstract

In the survey, the respondents' opinions on phenomena influencing the security of Finland and Finnish citizens were studied. These included nuclear energy, environmental problems, and various domestic and international factors. In addition, the respondents were asked to describe their attitudes towards immigrants, both in general and towards certain nationalities.

Background variables included the respondent's sex, age, education, household size, income, and place of residence.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finns aged 15 or over, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: April 1992

Data collector(s): Gallup Finland

Data producer(s): Advisory Board for Defence Information

Mode of data collection: Face-to-face interview

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 1992

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 98 variables and 972 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Multistage

The data were collected using multistage stratified sampling.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

Food purchasing variable, as well as the variables identifying municipality of residence and postal code have been removed from the data.

Complete question texts for variables Q3, Q4 and Q5 unavailable.

Related publications

Kekäle, Petri (1998). MTS-tutkimukset 1990-1997. Helsinki: Maanpuolustustiedotuksen suunnittelukunta.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1156?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	972
minimum	1156.00
maximum	1156.00
mean	1156.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	972
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	972
minimum	1.00
maximum	972.00
mean	486.50
standard deviation	280.74

[Q1] Do you think that, over the next five years, Finns will live in a more secure or insecure world?

Question

Do you think that, over the next five years, Finns will live in a more secure or insecure world?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
in a more secure world	1	175	18.0	18.0
in a more insecure world	2	528	54.3	54.3
there will be no change in security	3	213	21.9	21.9
can't say	4	56	5.8	5.8
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_1] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Activities of the United Nations

Question

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Activities of the United Nations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	970	99.8	99.8
yes	1	2	0.2	0.2
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_2] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: International military and political development

Question

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: International military and political development

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	912	93.8	93.8
yes	1	60	6.2	6.2
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_3] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: End of superpower competition

Question

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: End of superpower competition

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	957	98.5	98.5
yes	1	15	1.5	1.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_4] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Disintegration of the former Soviet Union (the USSR)

Question

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Disintegration of the former Soviet Union (the USSR)

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	926	95.3	95.3
yes	1	46	4.7	4.7
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_5] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: European integration

Question

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: European integration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	780	80.2	80.2
yes	1	192	19.8	19.8
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_6] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Improved employment situation

Question

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Improved employment situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	960	98.8	98.8
yes	1	12	1.2	1.2
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_7] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Improved economic situation

Question

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Improved economic situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	936	96.3	96.3
yes	1	36	3.7	3.7
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_8] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Tax cuts**Question**

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Tax cuts

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	969	99.7	99.7
yes	1	3	0.3	0.3
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_9] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Acquisition of fighter planes**Question**

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Acquisition of fighter planes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	939	96.6	96.6
yes	1	33	3.4	3.4
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_10] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Other factors**Question**

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Other factors

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	838	86.2	86.2
yes	1	134	13.8	13.8
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1_11] Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Can't say

Question

Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	468	48.1	48.1
yes	1	504	51.9	51.9
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_1] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: European integration

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: European integration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	880	90.5	90.5
yes	1	92	9.5	9.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_2] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Internal development in the former Soviet Union territory

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Internal development in the former Soviet Union territory

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	589	60.6	60.6
yes	1	383	39.4	39.4
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_3] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Nuclear power plants in Russia**Question**

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Nuclear power plants in Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	917	94.3	94.3
yes	1	55	5.7	5.7
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_4] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Nuclear power in Finland**Question**

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Nuclear power in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	965	99.3	99.3
yes	1	7	0.7	0.7
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_5] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Use of nuclear power in general**Question**

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Use of nuclear power in general

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	900	92.6	92.6
yes	1	72	7.4	7.4
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_6] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Arrival of foreigners to Finland

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Arrival of foreigners to Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	936	96.3	96.3
yes	1	36	3.7	3.7
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_7] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Arrival of refugees to Finland

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Arrival of refugees to Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	919	94.5	94.5
yes	1	53	5.5	5.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_8] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Threat of terrorism

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Threat of terrorism

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	967	99.5	99.5
yes	1	5	0.5	0.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_9] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Situation in the Middle East**Question**

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Situation in the Middle East

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	962	99.0	99.0
yes	1	10	1.0	1.0
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_10] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Political situation in the world**Question**

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Political situation in the world

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	879	90.4	90.4
yes	1	93	9.6	9.6
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_11] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Unemployment in Finland**Question**

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Unemployment in Finland

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	911	93.7	93.7
yes	1	61	6.3	6.3
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_12] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Economic situation in Finland

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Economic situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	904	93.0	93.0
yes	1	68	7.0	7.0
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_13] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Weakening of social security

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Weakening of social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	959	98.7	98.7
yes	1	13	1.3	1.3
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_14] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Actions of the Finnish government

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Actions of the Finnish government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	947	97.4	97.4
yes	1	25	2.6	2.6
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_15] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Threat of terrorism

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Threat of terrorism

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	969	99.7	99.7
yes	1	3	0.3	0.3
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_16] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Increased crime

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Increased crime

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	922	94.9	94.9
yes	1	50	5.1	5.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_17] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Changes in the atmosphere/climatic change

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Changes in the atmosphere/climatic change

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	966	99.4	99.4
yes	1	6	0.6	0.6
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_18] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Greenhouse effect

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Greenhouse effect

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	971	99.9	99.9
yes	1	1	0.1	0.1
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_19] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Pollution of the nature and environment

Question

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Pollution of the nature and environment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	890	91.6	91.6
yes	1	82	8.4	8.4
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_20] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Other factors**Question**

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Other factors

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	904	93.0	93.0
yes	1	68	7.0	7.0
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2_21] Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Can't say**Question**

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	770	79.2	79.2
yes	1	202	20.8	20.8
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_1] Impact on security: European integration**Question**

Impact on security: European integration

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	126	13.0	13.0
improves security to some extent	2	355	36.5	36.5
neither improves nor reduces security	3	223	22.9	22.9
reduces security to some extent	4	171	17.6	17.6
reduces security considerably	5	39	4.0	4.0
can't say	6	58	6.0	6.0
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_2] Impact on security: Internal development in the territory of the former Soviet Union

Question

Impact on security: Internal development in the territory of the former Soviet Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	23	2.4	2.4
improves security to some extent	2	75	7.7	7.7
neither improves nor reduces security	3	121	12.4	12.4
reduces security to some extent	4	442	45.5	45.5
reduces security considerably	5	254	26.1	26.1
can't say	6	57	5.9	5.9
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_3] Impact on security: Military detente in Europe

Question

Impact on security: Military detente in Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	106	10.9	10.9
improves security to some extent	2	409	42.1	42.1
neither improves nor reduces security	3	244	25.1	25.1
reduces security to some extent	4	100	10.3	10.3
reduces security considerably	5	14	1.4	1.4
can't say	6	99	10.2	10.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_4] Impact on security: Increase in Finland's international economic co-operation

Question

Impact on security: Increase in Finland's international economic co-operation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	85	8.7	8.7
improves security to some extent	2	417	42.9	42.9
neither improves nor reduces security	3	319	32.8	32.8
reduces security to some extent	4	64	6.6	6.6
reduces security considerably	5	6	0.6	0.6
can't say	6	81	8.3	8.3
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_5] Impact on security: Recent development in the Middle East

Question

Impact on security: Recent development in the Middle East

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	10	1.0	1.0
improves security to some extent	2	45	4.6	4.6
neither improves nor reduces security	3	340	35.0	35.0
reduces security to some extent	4	393	40.4	40.4
reduces security considerably	5	109	11.2	11.2
can't say	6	75	7.7	7.7
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_6] Impact on security: Nuclear weapons**Question***Impact on security: Nuclear weapons***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	14	1.4	1.4
improves security to some extent	2	43	4.4	4.4
neither improves nor reduces security	3	125	12.9	12.9
reduces security to some extent	4	329	33.8	33.8
reduces security considerably	5	421	43.3	43.3
can't say	6	40	4.1	4.1
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_7] Impact on security: Reunification of Germany**Question***Impact on security: Reunification of Germany***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	30	3.1	3.1
improves security to some extent	2	168	17.3	17.3
neither improves nor reduces security	3	471	48.5	48.5
reduces security to some extent	4	184	18.9	18.9
reduces security considerably	5	36	3.7	3.7
can't say	6	83	8.5	8.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_8] Impact on security: State of the environment at present and current use of natural resources**Question***Impact on security: State of the environment at present and current use of natural resources***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	4	0.4	0.4
improves security to some extent	2	49	5.0	5.0
neither improves nor reduces security	3	188	19.3	19.3
reduces security to some extent	4	446	45.9	45.9
reduces security considerably	5	237	24.4	24.4
can't say	6	48	4.9	4.9
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_9] Impact on security: Development in the eastern Central Europe

Question

Impact on security: Development in the eastern Central Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	4	0.4	0.4
improves security to some extent	2	99	10.2	10.2
neither improves nor reduces security	3	284	29.2	29.2
reduces security to some extent	4	377	38.8	38.8
reduces security considerably	5	102	10.5	10.5
can't say	6	106	10.9	10.9
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_10] Impact on security: Use of nuclear energy in Finland

Question

Impact on security: Use of nuclear energy in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	12	1.2	1.2
improves security to some extent	2	66	6.8	6.8
neither improves nor reduces security	3	246	25.3	25.3
reduces security to some extent	4	416	42.8	42.8
reduces security considerably	5	188	19.3	19.3
can't say	6	44	4.5	4.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_11] Impact on security: Use of nuclear energy outside Finland**Question***Impact on security: Use of nuclear energy outside Finland***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	5	0.5	0.5
improves security to some extent	2	14	1.4	1.4
neither improves nor reduces security	3	80	8.2	8.2
reduces security to some extent	4	399	41.0	41.0
reduces security considerably	5	437	45.0	45.0
can't say	6	37	3.8	3.8
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_12] Impact on security: Independence of the Baltic States, i.e., Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania**Question***Impact on security: Independence of the Baltic States, i.e., Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	25	2.6	2.6
improves security to some extent	2	268	27.6	27.6
neither improves nor reduces security	3	458	47.1	47.1
reduces security to some extent	4	135	13.9	13.9
reduces security considerably	5	18	1.9	1.9
can't say	6	68	7.0	7.0
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_13] Impact on security: Finland's application to join the European Community**Question***Impact on security: Finland's application to join the European Community***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	103	10.6	10.6
improves security to some extent	2	365	37.6	37.6
neither improves nor reduces security	3	227	23.4	23.4
reduces security to some extent	4	139	14.3	14.3
reduces security considerably	5	45	4.6	4.6
can't say	6	93	9.6	9.6
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_14] Impact on security: Increase in the number of foreigners in Finland

Question

Impact on security: Increase in the number of foreigners in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	5	0.5	0.5
improves security to some extent	2	26	2.7	2.7
neither improves nor reduces security	3	393	40.4	40.4
reduces security to some extent	4	390	40.1	40.1
reduces security considerably	5	128	13.2	13.2
can't say	6	30	3.1	3.1
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_15] Impact on security: Relations between the super powers

Question

Impact on security: Relations between the super powers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	81	8.3	8.3
improves security to some extent	2	365	37.6	37.6
neither improves nor reduces security	3	212	21.8	21.8
reduces security to some extent	4	159	16.4	16.4
reduces security considerably	5	57	5.9	5.9
can't say	6	98	10.1	10.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_16] Impact on security: Development in the Balkans, e.g. in the territory of ex-Yugoslavia

Question

Impact on security: Development in the Balkans, e.g. in the territory of ex-Yugoslavia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	3	0.3	0.3
improves security to some extent	2	22	2.3	2.3
neither improves nor reduces security	3	404	41.6	41.6
reduces security to some extent	4	368	37.9	37.9
reduces security considerably	5	92	9.5	9.5
can't say	6	83	8.5	8.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q3_17] Impact on security: Current economic situation in Finland

Question

Impact on security: Current economic situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
improves security considerably	1	10	1.0	1.0
improves security to some extent	2	30	3.1	3.1
neither improves nor reduces security	3	264	27.2	27.2
reduces security to some extent	4	470	48.4	48.4
reduces security considerably	5	167	17.2	17.2
can't say	6	31	3.2	3.2
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q4_1] As our well-being has been acquired with sacrifices of the past generations, hard work and, whenever necessary, by defending this country with arms, Finland belongs only to us Finns

Question

As our well-being has been acquired with sacrifices of the past generations, hard work and, whenever necessary, by defending this country with arms, Finland belongs only to us Finns

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	349	35.9	35.9
somewhat agree	2	286	29.4	29.4
hard to say	3	98	10.1	10.1
somewhat disagree	4	182	18.7	18.7
strongly disagree	5	57	5.9	5.9
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q4_2] Finnish language and culture are strong and unique enough to survive and evolve also in the unified Europe of the future

Question

Finnish language and culture are strong and unique enough to survive and evolve also in the unified Europe of the future

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	292	30.0	30.0
somewhat agree	2	337	34.7	34.7
hard to say	3	158	16.3	16.3
somewhat disagree	4	151	15.5	15.5
strongly disagree	5	34	3.5	3.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_1] Turks

Question

Turks

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	59	6.1	6.1
quite willingly	2	187	19.2	19.2
not very willingly	3	420	43.2	43.2
not at all willingly	4	272	28.0	28.0
can't say	5	34	3.5	3.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_2] Norwegians

Question

Norwegians

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	208	21.4	21.4
quite willingly	2	475	48.9	48.9
not very willingly	3	184	18.9	18.9
not at all willingly	4	87	9.0	9.0
can't say	5	18	1.9	1.9
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_3] Serbs

Question

Serbs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	47	4.8	4.8
quite willingly	2	137	14.1	14.1
not very willingly	3	406	41.8	41.8
not at all willingly	4	348	35.8	35.8
can't say	5	34	3.5	3.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_4] The British**Question***The British***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	182	18.7	18.7
quite willingly	2	437	45.0	45.0
not very willingly	3	225	23.1	23.1
not at all willingly	4	100	10.3	10.3
can't say	5	28	2.9	2.9
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_5] Russians**Question***Russians***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	59	6.1	6.1
quite willingly	2	157	16.2	16.2
not very willingly	3	395	40.6	40.6
not at all willingly	4	337	34.7	34.7
can't say	5	24	2.5	2.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_6] Estonians**Question***Estonians***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	141	14.5	14.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
quite willingly	2	386	39.7	39.7
not very willingly	3	282	29.0	29.0
not at all willingly	4	143	14.7	14.7
can't say	5	20	2.1	2.1
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_7] Germans

Question

Germans

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	117	12.0	12.0
quite willingly	2	304	31.3	31.3
not very willingly	3	313	32.2	32.2
not at all willingly	4	215	22.1	22.1
can't say	5	23	2.4	2.4
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_8] Danes

Question

Danes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	164	16.9	16.9
quite willingly	2	421	43.3	43.3
not very willingly	3	254	26.1	26.1
not at all willingly	4	104	10.7	10.7
can't say	5	29	3.0	3.0
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_9] Poles**Question***Poles***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	63	6.5	6.5
quite willingly	2	250	25.7	25.7
not very willingly	3	428	44.0	44.0
not at all willingly	4	203	20.9	20.9
can't say	5	28	2.9	2.9
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_10] Swedes**Question***Swedes***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	160	16.5	16.5
quite willingly	2	415	42.7	42.7
not very willingly	3	231	23.8	23.8
not at all willingly	4	149	15.3	15.3
can't say	5	17	1.7	1.7
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_11] Somalis**Question***Somalis***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	57	5.9	5.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
quite willingly	2	144	14.8	14.8
not very willingly	3	320	32.9	32.9
not at all willingly	4	423	43.5	43.5
can't say	5	28	2.9	2.9
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_12] Other black Africans expect the Somalis

Question

Other black Africans expect the Somalis

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	57	5.9	5.9
quite willingly	2	160	16.5	16.5
not very willingly	3	335	34.5	34.5
not at all willingly	4	389	40.0	40.0
can't say	5	31	3.2	3.2
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_13] White Americans (US)

Question

White Americans (US)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	129	13.3	13.3
quite willingly	2	384	39.5	39.5
not very willingly	3	286	29.4	29.4
not at all willingly	4	142	14.6	14.6
can't say	5	31	3.2	3.2
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_14] Croats**Question***Croats***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	49	5.0	5.0
quite willingly	2	164	16.9	16.9
not very willingly	3	406	41.8	41.8
not at all willingly	4	317	32.6	32.6
can't say	5	36	3.7	3.7
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_15] South Americans**Question***South Americans***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	69	7.1	7.1
quite willingly	2	243	25.0	25.0
not very willingly	3	383	39.4	39.4
not at all willingly	4	243	25.0	25.0
can't say	5	34	3.5	3.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_16] Japanese**Question***Japanese***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	131	13.5	13.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
quite willingly	2	354	36.4	36.4
not very willingly	3	285	29.3	29.3
not at all willingly	4	176	18.1	18.1
can't say	5	26	2.7	2.7
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_17] Chinese

Question

Chinese

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	84	8.6	8.6
quite willingly	2	277	28.5	28.5
not very willingly	3	343	35.3	35.3
not at all willingly	4	240	24.7	24.7
can't say	5	28	2.9	2.9
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_18] Ingrians

Question

Ingrians

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	235	24.2	24.2
quite willingly	2	428	44.0	44.0
not very willingly	3	184	18.9	18.9
not at all willingly	4	106	10.9	10.9
can't say	5	19	2.0	2.0
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_19] Kurds**Question***Kurds***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	48	4.9	4.9
quite willingly	2	134	13.8	13.8
not very willingly	3	361	37.1	37.1
not at all willingly	4	406	41.8	41.8
can't say	5	23	2.4	2.4
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_20] Vietnamese**Question***Vietnamese***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	66	6.8	6.8
quite willingly	2	211	21.7	21.7
not very willingly	3	350	36.0	36.0
not at all willingly	4	318	32.7	32.7
can't say	5	27	2.8	2.8
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_21] The French**Question***The French***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	148	15.2	15.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
quite willingly	2	390	40.1	40.1
not very willingly	3	264	27.2	27.2
not at all willingly	4	143	14.7	14.7
can't say	5	27	2.8	2.8
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_22] Italians

Question

Italians

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	108	11.1	11.1
quite willingly	2	344	35.4	35.4
not very willingly	3	330	34.0	34.0
not at all willingly	4	166	17.1	17.1
can't say	5	24	2.5	2.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_23] Palestinians

Question

Palestinians

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	56	5.8	5.8
quite willingly	2	145	14.9	14.9
not very willingly	3	384	39.5	39.5
not at all willingly	4	360	37.0	37.0
can't say	5	27	2.8	2.8
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_24] Other arabs except Palestinians**Question***Other arabs except Palestinians***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	46	4.7	4.7
quite willingly	2	119	12.2	12.2
not very willingly	3	374	38.5	38.5
not at all willingly	4	403	41.5	41.5
can't say	5	30	3.1	3.1
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_25] Greeks**Question***Greeks***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	96	9.9	9.9
quite willingly	2	290	29.8	29.8
not very willingly	3	340	35.0	35.0
not at all willingly	4	215	22.1	22.1
can't say	5	31	3.2	3.2
		972	100.0	100.0

[Q5_26] Jews**Question***Jews***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
very willingly	1	115	11.8	11.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
quite willingly	2	277	28.5	28.5
not very willingly	3	317	32.6	32.6
not at all willingly	4	232	23.9	23.9
can't say	5	31	3.2	3.2
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
female	1	502	51.6	51.6
male	2	470	48.4	48.4
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's age

Question

Respondent's age

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	969
minimum	15.00
maximum	85.00
mean	39.93
standard deviation	16.55

[BV3] Respondent's basic education

Question

Respondent's basic education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
primary education or less	1	374	38.5	38.5
lower secondary education	2	305	31.4	31.4
upper secondary education (matriculation examination)	3	281	28.9	28.9
something else	4	12	1.2	1.2
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Respondent's vocational education**Question**

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no vocational education	1	300	30.9	30.9
vocational course or other short vocational training	2	202	20.8	20.8
vocational/technical school or other voc. qualification	3	210	21.6	21.6
college/first state of tertiary level voc. qualification	4	143	14.7	14.7
university or polytechnic	5	111	11.4	11.4
something else	6	6	0.6	0.6
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Annual pre-tax income of the household in Finnish marks**Question**

Annual pre-tax income of the household in Finnish marks

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
less than 60.000	1	170	17.5	17.5
60.001- 90.000	2	131	13.5	13.5
90.001-120.000	3	120	12.3	12.3
120.001-150.000	4	107	11.0	11.0
150.001-180.000	5	104	10.7	10.7
180.001-210.000	6	86	8.8	8.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
210.001-240.000	7	43	4.4	4.4
240.001-270.000	8	40	4.1	4.1
270.001-300.000	9	19	2.0	2.0
more than 300.000	10	41	4.2	4.2
missing data	11	111	11.4	11.4
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV6_1] Number of household members aged over 16

Question

Number of household members aged over 16

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	972
minimum	1.00
maximum	7.00
mean	1.97
standard deviation	0.86

[BV6_2] Number of household members aged 7-16

Question

Number of household members aged 7-16

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	972
minimum	0.00
maximum	7.00
mean	0.40
standard deviation	0.82

[BV6_3] Number of household members aged 0-6**Question***Number of household members aged 0-6***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	972
minimum	0.00
maximum	5.00
mean	0.29
standard deviation	0.69

[BV6_4] Total number of household members**Question***Total number of household members***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	972
minimum	1.00
maximum	14.00
mean	2.66
standard deviation	1.61

[BV7] Household composition**Question***Household composition***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
single, lives with parents	1	114	11.7	11.7
single, lives alone, aged under 35	2	103	10.6	10.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
single, lives alone, aged over 35	3	146	15.0	15.0
married/cohabiting couple, no children	4	140	14.4	14.4
married/cohabiting couple living with children	5	328	33.7	33.7
single parent living with children	6	36	3.7	3.7
married/cohabiting couple, children have left home	7	105	10.8	10.8
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV8_1] Occupational group of the head of household (person with the highest income)

Question

Occupational group of the head of household (person with the highest income)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
farmer, agricultural entrepreneur	1	38	3.9	3.9
entrepreneur, self-employed	2	55	5.7	5.7
employee in a managerial position or a professional	3	152	15.6	15.6
administrative, clerical, lower level employee	4	140	14.4	14.4
worker	5	316	32.5	32.5
retired	6	176	18.1	18.1
student	7	73	7.5	7.5
other	8	22	2.3	2.3
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV8_2] (IF RETIRED): Former occupational group of the head of household

Question

(IF RETIRED): Former occupational group of the head of household

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
farmer, agricultural entrepreneur	1	23	2.4	13.1
entrepreneur, self-employed	2	12	1.2	6.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
employee in a managerial position or a professional administrative, clerical, lower level employee	3	14	1.4	8.0
worker	4	26	2.7	14.8
retired	5	87	9.0	49.4
student	6	0	0.0	0.0
other	7	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	8	14	1.4	8.0
	.	796	81.9	–
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV9] Municipality type**Question***Municipality type***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
metropolitan area	1	172	17.7	17.7
city of Turku or Tampere	2	64	6.6	6.6
other city/town	3	382	39.3	39.3
population centre in a rural area, village	4	256	26.3	26.3
sparsely populated rural area	5	98	10.1	10.1
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV12] Respondent's province of residence**Question***Respondent's province of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	234	24.1	24.1
Turku and Pori	2	143	14.7	14.7
Häme	3	148	15.2	15.2
Kymi	4	77	7.9	7.9
Mikkeli	5	24	2.5	2.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Kuopio	6	57	5.9	5.9
Keski-Suomi	7	32	3.3	3.3
Vaasa	8	96	9.9	9.9
Pohjois-Karjala	9	42	4.3	4.3
Oulu	10	85	8.7	8.7
Lappi	11	34	3.5	3.5
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV13] What party did you vote for in the last parliamentary elections

Question

What party did you vote for in the last parliamentary elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	171	17.6	21.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	137	14.1	16.8
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	124	12.8	15.2
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	52	5.3	6.4
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	5	16	1.6	2.0
VIHR (The Greens)	6	51	5.2	6.3
SKL (Christian League of Finland)	7	14	1.4	1.7
SMP (Finnish Rural Party)	8	24	2.5	2.9
LKP (Liberal Party)	9	1	0.1	0.1
EKO (Ecological Party)	10	3	0.3	0.4
Party for Pensioners and Green Mutual Responsibility	11	1	0.1	0.1
IPU (Humanity Party)	12	0	0.0	0.0
NAISL (Women's Movement)	13	3	0.3	0.4
KTP (For Peace and Socialism-Communist Worker's Party)	14	3	0.3	0.4
POP (Constitutional Party of Finland)	15	1	0.1	0.1
SEP (Finnish Pensioners' Party)	16	2	0.2	0.2
RSES (Independent Pensioners of Finland)	17	1	0.1	0.1
some other party or group	18	4	0.4	0.5
I did not vote	19	172	17.7	21.1
can't say	20	19	2.0	2.3
do not want to say	21	16	1.6	2.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	157	16.2	—
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV14] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would vote for

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would vote for

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	117	12.0	12.9
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	145	14.9	16.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	121	12.4	13.3
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	49	5.0	5.4
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	5	13	1.3	1.4
VIHR (The Greens)	6	85	8.7	9.4
SKL (Christian League of Finland)	7	16	1.6	1.8
SMP (Finnish Rural Party)	8	19	2.0	2.1
LKP (Liberal Party)	9	8	0.8	0.9
some other party or group	10	14	1.4	1.5
I would not vote	11	110	11.3	12.1
can't say	12	114	11.7	12.6
don't want to say	13	97	10.0	10.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	64	6.6	—
		972	100.0	100.0

[BV15] If the municipality elections were held now, which party would vote for as your second preference

Question

If the municipality elections were held now, which party would vote for as your second preference

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	75	7.7	8.3
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	93	9.6	10.2
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	63	6.5	6.9
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	38	3.9	4.2
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	5	20	2.1	2.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
VIHR (The Greens)	6	95	9.8	10.5
SKL (Christian League of Finland)	7	9	0.9	1.0
SMP (Finnish Rural Party)	8	20	2.1	2.2
LKP (Liberal Party)	9	21	2.2	2.3
some other party or group	10	16	1.6	1.8
I would not vote	11	147	15.1	16.2
can't say	12	188	19.3	20.7
don't want to say	13	123	12.7	13.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	64	6.6	—
		972	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
Do you think that, over the next five years, Finns will live in a more secure or insecure world? [Q1]	6
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Activities of the United Nations [Q2_1_1]	6
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: International military and political development [Q2_1_2]	7
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: End of superpower competition [Q2_1_3]	7
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Disintegration of the former Soviet Union (the USSR) [Q2_1_4]	7
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: European integration [Q2_1_5]	8
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Improved employment situation [Q2_1_6]	8
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Improved economic situation [Q2_1_7]	8
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Tax cuts [Q2_1_8] ..	9
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Acquisition of fighter planes [Q2_1_9]	9
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Other factors [Q2_1_10]	9
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Can't say [Q2_1_11]	10

3. Indexes

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: European integration [Q2_2_1]	10
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Internal development in the former Soviet Union territory [Q2_2_2]	10
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Nuclear power plants in Russia [Q2_2_3]	11
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Nuclear power in Finland [Q2_2_4]	11
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Use of nuclear power in general [Q2_2_5]	11
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Arrival of foreigners to Finland [Q2_2_6]	12
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Arrival of refugees to Finland [Q2_2_7]	12
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Threat of terrorism [Q2_2_8]	12
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Situation in the Middle East [Q2_2_9]	13
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Political situation in the world [Q2_2_10]	13
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Unemployment in Finland [Q2_2_11]	13
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Economic situation in Finland [Q2_2_12]	14
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Weakening of social security [Q2_2_13]	14
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Actions of the Finnish government [Q2_2_14]	15
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Threat of terrorism [Q2_2_15]	15
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Increased crime [Q2_2_16]	15
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Changes in the atmosphere/climatic change [Q2_2_17]	16
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Greenhouse effect [Q2_2_18]	16
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Pollution of the nature and environment [Q2_2_19]	16
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Other factors [Q2_2_20]	17

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Can't say [Q2_2_21]	17
Impact on security: European integration [Q3_1]	17
Impact on security: Internal development in the territory of the former Soviet Union [Q3_2]	18
Impact on security: Military detente in Europe [Q3_3]	18
Impact on security: Increase in Finland's international economic co-operation [Q3_4]	19
Impact on security: Recent development in the Middle East [Q3_5]	19
Impact on security: Nuclear weapons [Q3_6]	20
Impact on security: Reunification of Germany [Q3_7]	20
Impact on security: State of the environment at present and current use of natural resources [Q3_8]	20
Impact on security: Development in the eastern Central Europe [Q3_9]	21
Impact on security: Use of nuclear energy in Finland [Q3_10]	21
Impact on security: Use of nuclear energy outside Finland [Q3_11]	22
Impact on security: Independence of the Baltic States, i.e., Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania [Q3_12]	22
Impact on security: Finland's application to join the European Community [Q3_13]	22
Impact on security: Increase in the number of foreigners in Finland [Q3_14]	23
Impact on security: Relations between the super powers [Q3_15]	23
Impact on security: Development in the Balkans, e.g. in the territory of ex-Yugoslavia [Q3_16]	24
Impact on security: Current economic situation in Finland [Q3_17]	24
As our well-being has been acquired with sacrifices of the past generations, hard work and, whenever necessary, by defending this country with arms, Finland belongs only to us Finns [Q4_1]	25
Finnish language and culture are strong and unique enough to survive and evolve also in the unified Europe of the future [Q4_2]	25
Turks [Q5_1]	25
Norwegians [Q5_2]	26
Serbs [Q5_3]	26
The British [Q5_4]	27
Russians [Q5_5]	27
Estonians [Q5_6]	27
Germans [Q5_7]	28
Danes [Q5_8]	28
Poles [Q5_9]	29
Swedes [Q5_10]	29

3. Indexes

Somalis [Q5_11]	29
Other black Africans expect the Somalis [Q5_12]	30
White Americans (US) [Q5_13]	30
Croats [Q5_14]	31
South Americans [Q5_15]	31
Japanese [Q5_16]	31
Chinese [Q5_17]	32
Ingrians [Q5_18]	32
Kurds [Q5_19]	33
Vietnamese [Q5_20]	33
The French [Q5_21]	33
Italians [Q5_22]	34
Palestinians [Q5_23]	34
Other arabs except Palestinians [Q5_24]	35
Greeks [Q5_25]	35
Jews [Q5_26]	35
Respondent's gender [BV1]	36
Respondent's age [BV2]	36
Respondent's basic education [BV3]	36
Respondent's vocational education [BV4]	37
Annual pre-tax income of the household in Finnish marks [BV5]	37
Number of household members aged over 16 [BV6_1]	38
Number of household members aged 7-16 [BV6_2]	38
Number of household members aged 0-6 [BV6_3]	39
Total number of household members [BV6_4]	39
Household composition [BV7]	39
Occupational group of the head of household (person with the highest income) [BV8_1]	40
(IF RETIRED): Former occupational group of the head of household [BV8_2]	40
Municipality type [BV9]	41
Respondent's province of residence [BV12]	41
What party did you vote for in the last parliamentary elections [BV13]	42
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would vote for [BV14]	43
If the municipality elections were held now, which party would vote for as your second preference [BV15]	43

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Annual pre-tax income of the household in Finnish marks	37
As our well-being has been acquired with sacrifices of the past generations, hard work and, whenever necessary, by defending this country with arms, Finland belongs only to us Finns	25
Chinese	32
Croats	31
Danes	28
Do you think that, over the next five years, Finns will live in a more secure or insecure world?	6
Estonians	27
Finnish language and culture are strong and unique enough to survive and evolve also in the unified Europe of the future	25
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
Germans	28
Greeks	35
Household composition	39
(IF RETIRED): Former occupational group of the head of household	40
If the municipality elections were held now, which party would vote for as your second preference	43
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would vote for	43
Impact on security: Current economic situation in Finland	24
Impact on security: Development in the Balkans, e.g. in the territory of ex-Yugoslavia	24
Impact on security: Development in the eastern Central Europe	21
Impact on security: European integration	17
Impact on security: Finland's application to join the European Community	22
Impact on security: Increase in Finland's international economic co-operation	19
Impact on security: Increase in the number of foreigners in Finland	23
Impact on security: Independence of the Baltic States, i.e., Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania ..	22
Impact on security: Internal development in the territory of the former Soviet Union	18
Impact on security: Military detente in Europe	18
Impact on security: Nuclear weapons	20
Impact on security: Recent development in the Middle East	19
Impact on security: Relations between the super powers	23

3. Indexes

Impact on security: Reunification of Germany	20
Impact on security: State of the environment at present and current use of natural resources	20
Impact on security: Use of nuclear energy in Finland	21
Impact on security: Use of nuclear energy outside Finland	22
Ingrians	32
Italians	34
Japanese	31
Jews	35
Kurds	33
Municipality type	41
Norwegians	26
Number of household members aged 0-6	39
Number of household members aged 7-16	38
Number of household members aged over 16	38
Occupational group of the head of household (person with the highest income)	40
Other arabs except Palestinians	35
Other black Africans expect the Somalis	30
Palestinians	34
Poles	29
Respondent's age	36
Respondent's basic education	36
Respondent's gender	36
Respondent's province of residence	41
Respondent's vocational education	37
Russians	27
Serbs	26
Somalis	29
South Americans	31
Swedes	29
The British	27
The French	33
Total number of household members	39
Turks	25
Vietnamese	33

What party did you vote for in the last parliamentary elections	42
White Americans (US)	30
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Acquisition of fighter planes	9
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Activities of the United Nations	6
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Can't say	10
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Disintegration of the former Soviet Union (the USSR)	7
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: End of superpower competition	7
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: European integration	8
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Improved economic situation	8
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Improved employment situation	8
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: International military and political development	7
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Other factors	9
Will improve the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Tax cuts	9
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Actions of the Finnish government	15
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Arrival of foreigners to Finland	12
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Arrival of refugees to Finland	12
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Can't say	17
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Changes in the atmosphere/climatic change	16
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Economic situation in Finland	14
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: European integration	10
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Greenhouse effect ..	16
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Increased crime	15
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Internal development in the former Soviet Union territory	10
Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Nuclear power in Finland	11

3. Indexes

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Nuclear power plants in Russia 11

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Other factors 17

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Political situation in the world 13

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Pollution of the nature and environment 16

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Situation in the Middle East 13

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Threat of terrorism . 12, 15

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Unemployment in Finland 13

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Use of nuclear power in general 11

Will reduce the security of Finland and Finns over the next five years: Weakening of social security 14