

FSD2054

**Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and
National Defence 2001: Spring**

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence 2001: Spring [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2022.

This codebook has been generated from the version 1.1 (30.9.2005) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD2054 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Advisory Board for Defence Information (ABDI): Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence 2001: Spring [dataset]. Version 1.1 (2005-09-30).
Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD2054>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

- 1 Study description** **1**
 - 1.1 Titles 1
 - 1.2 Subject description 1
 - 1.3 Structure and collection of the data 2
 - 1.4 Use of data 3

- 2 Variables** **5**

- 3 Indexes** **37**
 - 3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence 37
 - 3.2 Variables in alphabetical order 41

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence 2001: Spring

Titles and data version in Finnish: Turvallisuuspolitiikka- ja maanpuolustustutkimus 2001: kevät

This codebook has been generated from the version 1.1 (30.9.2005) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Advisory Board for Defence Information (ABDI)

Copyright statement for the data

In accordance with the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Advisory Board for Defence Information

Date of deposit

2.3.2005

Keywords

defence; defence and state security policy; European integration; European Union; foreign policy; foreign relations; international alliances; military expenditure; military service; national security

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: Social sciences

CESSDA Classification: Conflict, security and peace; Political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence

The Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence series includes all surveys conducted by the Advisory Board for Defence Information (ABDI). Their focus is on security policy, foreign policy and national defence. The FSD collections cover data from 1992 onwards. The surveys contain a set of core questions repeated over the years.

Abstract

The survey charted Finnish public opinion on foreign policy, security and military alliances. Respondents were asked how Finland's membership in the European Union affects, for example, the environment, economy and employment. Attitudes to Finland's management of international relations were studied. Respondent's views on state security were examined with questions pertaining to NATO's enlargement, nuclear power and the situation in other countries. In conclusion, opinions on the defence system and defence expenditure of Finland were charted. Background variables included respondent's gender, age, level of education, occupational group, location of residence (area, municipality, county and province), household composition, political party preference, and household's annual gross income.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: People aged between 15 and 79 living in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: weeks 23 - 24 in June 2001

Data collector(s): Gallup Finland

Data producer(s): Advisory Board for Defence Information

Mode of data collection: Telephone interview: Computer-assisted (CATI)

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2001

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 70 variables and 1000 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Stratified

The sample size of the Omnibus survey was 1,000 persons.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

Variable denoting municipality has been removed from the data.

Related publications

Suuri enemmistö suomalaisista kannattaa yleistä asevelvollisuutta (2001). Helsinki: Maanpuolustustiedotuksen suunnittelukunta MTS. Tiedotteita ja katsauksia; 1/2001.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD2054?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1000
minimum	2054.00
maximum	2054.00
mean	2054.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1000
minimum	1.10
maximum	1.10
mean	1.10
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1000
minimum	1.00
maximum	1000.00
mean	500.50
standard deviation	288.82

[Q1] Considering the present state of the world, do you think that over the next five years Finns will live in a more secure or insecure world?

Question

Considering the present state of the world, do you think that over the next five years Finns will live in a more secure or insecure world?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
In a more secure world	1	268	26.8	26.8
In a more insecure world	2	450	45.0	45.0
There will be no change in security	3	246	24.6	24.6
Can't say	4	36	3.6	3.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: EU enlargement

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: EU enlargement

Frequencies

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	30	3.0	3.0
Somewhat more secure	2	257	25.7	25.7
No more nor less secure	3	346	34.6	34.6
Somewhat less secure	4	242	24.2	24.2
Much less secure	5	54	5.4	5.4
Can't say	6	71	7.1	7.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Deepening European integration

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Deepening European integration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	19	1.9	1.9
Somewhat more secure	2	221	22.1	22.1
No more nor less secure	3	306	30.6	30.6
Somewhat less secure	4	184	18.4	18.4
Much less secure	5	30	3.0	3.0
Can't say	6	240	24.0	24.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_3] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Internal developments in Russia

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Internal developments in Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	31	3.1	3.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat more secure	2	201	20.1	20.1
No more nor less secure	3	200	20.0	20.0
Somewhat less secure	4	329	32.9	32.9
Much less secure	5	72	7.2	7.2
Can't say	6	167	16.7	16.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_4] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Increase in Finland's international economic co-operation

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Increase in Finland's international economic co-operation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	61	6.1	6.1
Somewhat more secure	2	420	42.0	42.0
No more nor less secure	3	300	30.0	30.0
Somewhat less secure	4	89	8.9	8.9
Much less secure	5	10	1.0	1.0
Can't say	6	120	12.0	12.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_5] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Globalisation of world politics and world economy

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Globalisation of world politics and world economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	26	2.6	2.6
Somewhat more secure	2	260	26.0	26.0
No more nor less secure	3	288	28.8	28.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat less secure	4	190	19.0	19.0
Much less secure	5	23	2.3	2.3
Can't say	6	213	21.3	21.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_6] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Relations between the super powers

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Relations between the super powers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	28	2.8	2.8
Somewhat more secure	2	210	21.0	21.0
No more nor less secure	3	320	32.0	32.0
Somewhat less secure	4	230	23.0	23.0
Much less secure	5	32	3.2	3.2
Can't say	6	180	18.0	18.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_7] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Recent developments in the Middle East

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Recent developments in the Middle East

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	19	1.9	1.9
Somewhat more secure	2	92	9.2	9.2
No more nor less secure	3	377	37.7	37.7
Somewhat less secure	4	296	29.6	29.6
Much less secure	5	59	5.9	5.9
Can't say	6	157	15.7	15.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_8] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of Russia

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	16	1.6	1.6
Somewhat more secure	2	32	3.2	3.2
No more nor less secure	3	157	15.7	15.7
Somewhat less secure	4	432	43.2	43.2
Much less secure	5	275	27.5	27.5
Can't say	6	88	8.8	8.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_9] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of the US and its European allies

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of the US and its European allies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	16	1.6	1.6
Somewhat more secure	2	75	7.5	7.5
No more nor less secure	3	275	27.5	27.5
Somewhat less secure	4	378	37.8	37.8
Much less secure	5	150	15.0	15.0
Can't say	6	106	10.6	10.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_10] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of other countries

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of other countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	5	0.5	0.5
Somewhat more secure	2	38	3.8	3.8
No more nor less secure	3	206	20.6	20.6
Somewhat less secure	4	435	43.5	43.5
Much less secure	5	196	19.6	19.6
Can't say	6	120	12.0	12.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_11] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: State of the environment at present and current use of natural resources

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: State of the environment at present and current use of natural resources

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	11	1.1	1.1
Somewhat more secure	2	87	8.7	8.7
No more nor less secure	3	255	25.5	25.5
Somewhat less secure	4	395	39.5	39.5
Much less secure	5	128	12.8	12.8
Can't say	6	124	12.4	12.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_12] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Use of nuclear energy in Finland

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Use of nuclear energy in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	27	2.7	2.7
Somewhat more secure	2	127	12.7	12.7
No more nor less secure	3	365	36.5	36.5
Somewhat less secure	4	302	30.2	30.2
Much less secure	5	71	7.1	7.1
Can't say	6	108	10.8	10.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_13] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Use of nuclear energy in Russia**Question**

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Use of nuclear energy in Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	9	0.9	0.9
Somewhat more secure	2	34	3.4	3.4
No more nor less secure	3	96	9.6	9.6
Somewhat less secure	4	423	42.3	42.3
Much less secure	5	343	34.3	34.3
Can't say	6	95	9.5	9.5
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_14] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Russian forces in the regions just across the Finnish border**Question**

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Russian forces in the regions just across the Finnish border

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	3	0.3	0.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat more secure	2	40	4.0	4.0
No more nor less secure	3	368	36.8	36.8
Somewhat less secure	4	380	38.0	38.0
Much less secure	5	105	10.5	10.5
Can't say	6	104	10.4	10.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_15] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Relations between Russia and the Baltic countries

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Relations between Russia and the Baltic countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	9	0.9	0.9
Somewhat more secure	2	105	10.5	10.5
No more nor less secure	3	385	38.5	38.5
Somewhat less secure	4	299	29.9	29.9
Much less secure	5	42	4.2	4.2
Can't say	6	160	16.0	16.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_16] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Finland's membership in the EU

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Finland's membership in the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	73	7.3	7.3
Somewhat more secure	2	388	38.8	38.8
No more nor less secure	3	318	31.8	31.8
Somewhat less secure	4	108	10.8	10.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Much less secure	5	18	1.8	1.8
Can't say	6	95	9.5	9.5
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_17] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Employment situation in Finland

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Employment situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	20	2.0	2.0
Somewhat more secure	2	185	18.5	18.5
No more nor less secure	3	361	36.1	36.1
Somewhat less secure	4	267	26.7	26.7
Much less secure	5	40	4.0	4.0
Can't say	6	127	12.7	12.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_18] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Increase in the number of foreigners in Finland

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Increase in the number of foreigners in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	11	1.1	1.1
Somewhat more secure	2	61	6.1	6.1
No more nor less secure	3	387	38.7	38.7
Somewhat less secure	4	409	40.9	40.9
Much less secure	5	58	5.8	5.8
Can't say	6	74	7.4	7.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_19] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Balkan crisis, e.g. the situation in Kosovo

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Balkan crisis, e.g. the situation in Kosovo

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	4	0.4	0.4
Somewhat more secure	2	27	2.7	2.7
No more nor less secure	3	506	50.6	50.6
Somewhat less secure	4	317	31.7	31.7
Much less secure	5	26	2.6	2.6
Can't say	6	120	12.0	12.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_20] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Current economic situation in Finland

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Current economic situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	16	1.6	1.6
Somewhat more secure	2	217	21.7	21.7
No more nor less secure	3	380	38.0	38.0
Somewhat less secure	4	242	24.2	24.2
Much less secure	5	23	2.3	2.3
Can't say	6	122	12.2	12.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_21] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Safety and security situation in Finland

Question

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Safety and security situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	41	4.1	4.1
Somewhat more secure	2	234	23.4	23.4
No more nor less secure	3	323	32.3	32.3
Somewhat less secure	4	239	23.9	23.9
Much less secure	5	33	3.3	3.3
Can't say	6	130	13.0	13.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_22] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: NATO enlargement to eastern Central Europe**Question**

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: NATO enlargement to eastern Central Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	24	2.4	2.4
Somewhat more secure	2	233	23.3	23.3
No more nor less secure	3	314	31.4	31.4
Somewhat less secure	4	217	21.7	21.7
Much less secure	5	26	2.6	2.6
Can't say	6	186	18.6	18.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2_23] Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: NATO enlargement to the Baltics**Question**

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: NATO enlargement to the Baltics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Makes Finns and Finland much more secure	1	24	2.4	2.4
Somewhat more secure	2	235	23.5	23.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
No more nor less secure	3	293	29.3	29.3
Somewhat less secure	4	220	22.0	22.0
Much less secure	5	37	3.7	3.7
Can't say	6	191	19.1	19.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q3] What kind of impact will the EU membership have on the development of Finland on the whole?

Question

What kind of impact will the EU membership have on the development of Finland on the whole?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	47	4.7	4.7
Somewhat positive impact	2	443	44.3	44.3
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	245	24.5	24.5
Somewhat negative impact	4	163	16.3	16.3
Very negative impact	5	39	3.9	3.9
Can't say	6	63	6.3	6.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_1] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Environment

Question

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Environment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	48	4.8	4.8
Somewhat positive impact	2	458	45.8	45.8
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	241	24.1	24.1
Somewhat negative impact	4	166	16.6	16.6
Very negative impact	5	21	2.1	2.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	6	66	6.6	6.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_2] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Economic life

Question

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Economic life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	65	6.5	6.5
Somewhat positive impact	2	490	49.0	49.0
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	182	18.2	18.2
Somewhat negative impact	4	145	14.5	14.5
Very negative impact	5	24	2.4	2.4
Can't say	6	94	9.4	9.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_3] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Employment

Question

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	36	3.6	3.6
Somewhat positive impact	2	388	38.8	38.8
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	307	30.7	30.7
Somewhat negative impact	4	160	16.0	16.0
Very negative impact	5	18	1.8	1.8
Can't say	6	91	9.1	9.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_4] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Agriculture

Question

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Agriculture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	13	1.3	1.3
Somewhat positive impact	2	126	12.6	12.6
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	97	9.7	9.7
Somewhat negative impact	4	423	42.3	42.3
Very negative impact	5	262	26.2	26.2
Can't say	6	79	7.9	7.9
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_5] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Social security

Question

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	9	0.9	0.9
Somewhat positive impact	2	151	15.1	15.1
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	390	39.0	39.0
Somewhat negative impact	4	275	27.5	27.5
Very negative impact	5	46	4.6	4.6
Can't say	6	129	12.9	12.9
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_6] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Gender equality

Question

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Gender equality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	26	2.6	2.6
Somewhat positive impact	2	256	25.6	25.6
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	534	53.4	53.4
Somewhat negative impact	4	83	8.3	8.3
Very negative impact	5	13	1.3	1.3
Can't say	6	88	8.8	8.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_7] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Military security**Question**

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Military security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	42	4.2	4.2
Somewhat positive impact	2	388	38.8	38.8
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	369	36.9	36.9
Somewhat negative impact	4	75	7.5	7.5
Very negative impact	5	19	1.9	1.9
Can't say	6	107	10.7	10.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_8] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: National independence**Question**

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: National independence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	20	2.0	2.0
Somewhat positive impact	2	100	10.0	10.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	264	26.4	26.4
Somewhat negative impact	4	390	39.0	39.0
Very negative impact	5	153	15.3	15.3
Can't say	6	73	7.3	7.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_9] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's status in the world

Question

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's status in the world

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	48	4.8	4.8
Somewhat positive impact	2	377	37.7	37.7
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	291	29.1	29.1
Somewhat negative impact	4	139	13.9	13.9
Very negative impact	5	22	2.2	2.2
Can't say	6	123	12.3	12.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_10] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's possibilities to influence foreign and security politics

Question

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's possibilities to influence foreign and security politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	39	3.9	3.9
Somewhat positive impact	2	410	41.0	41.0
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	232	23.2	23.2
Somewhat negative impact	4	174	17.4	17.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very negative impact	5	22	2.2	2.2
Can't say	6	123	12.3	12.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_11] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Law and order, internal safety and security

Question

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Law and order, internal safety and security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	21	2.1	2.1
Somewhat positive impact	2	211	21.1	21.1
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	420	42.0	42.0
Somewhat negative impact	4	217	21.7	21.7
Very negative impact	5	30	3.0	3.0
Can't say	6	101	10.1	10.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4_12] What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: National culture

Question

What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: National culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive impact	1	32	3.2	3.2
Somewhat positive impact	2	256	25.6	25.6
Neither positive nor negative impact	3	379	37.9	37.9
Somewhat negative impact	4	196	19.6	19.6
Very negative impact	5	37	3.7	3.7
Can't say	6	100	10.0	10.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q5] In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its foreign policy over the past few years?

Question

In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its foreign policy over the past few years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	87	8.7	8.7
Fairly well	2	800	80.0	80.0
Fairly badly	3	61	6.1	6.1
Very badly	4	12	1.2	1.2
Can't say	5	40	4.0	4.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q6] In your opinion, how well has the EU managed its foreign and security policy over the past few years?

Question

In your opinion, how well has the EU managed its foreign and security policy over the past few years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	16	1.6	1.6
Fairly well	2	590	59.0	59.0
Fairly badly	3	199	19.9	19.9
Very badly	4	19	1.9	1.9
Can't say	5	176	17.6	17.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q7_1] Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with other Nordic countries

Question

Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with other Nordic countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	198	19.8	19.8
Fairly well	2	667	66.7	66.7
Fairly badly	3	94	9.4	9.4
Very badly	4	5	0.5	0.5
Can't say	5	36	3.6	3.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q7_2] Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with Russia?

Question

Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with Russia?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	87	8.7	8.7
Fairly well	2	684	68.4	68.4
Fairly badly	3	162	16.2	16.2
Very badly	4	11	1.1	1.1
Can't say	5	56	5.6	5.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q7_3] Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with the Baltic states?

Question

Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with the Baltic states?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	152	15.2	15.2
Fairly well	2	667	66.7	66.7
Fairly badly	3	95	9.5	9.5
Very badly	4	8	0.8	0.8
Can't say	5	78	7.8	7.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q7_4] Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with the United States?

Question

Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with the United States?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	99	9.9	9.9
Fairly well	2	676	67.6	67.6
Fairly badly	3	102	10.2	10.2
Very badly	4	9	0.9	0.9
Can't say	5	114	11.4	11.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q7_5] Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with developing countries?

Question

Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with developing countries?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	46	4.6	4.6
Fairly well	2	384	38.4	38.4
Fairly badly	3	417	41.7	41.7
Very badly	4	42	4.2	4.2
Can't say	5	111	11.1	11.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q8] In your opinion, should Finland continue its policy of military non-alignment or enter a military alliance?

Question

In your opinion, should Finland continue its policy of military non-alignment or enter a military alliance?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Remain non-aligned	1	688	68.8	68.8
Enter a military alliance	2	210	21.0	21.0
Can't say	3	102	10.2	10.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q9] If Finland decided to enter a military alliance or pursue a closer military co-operation with other countries, what would you see as the best option?

Question

If Finland decided to enter a military alliance or pursue a closer military co-operation with other countries, what would you see as the best option?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Military alliance with Sweden	1	178	17.8	17.8
NATO membership, no foreign forces in Finland in peacetime	2	397	39.7	39.7
NATO membership, with foreign forces in Finland in peacetime	3	27	2.7	2.7
Development of the EU into a military alliance	4	220	22.0	22.0
Can't say	5	178	17.8	17.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q10] Finland co-operates with NATO in various ways. Do you think that through this co-operation Finland is committing itself to NATO membership, thus giving up its policy of non-alignment?

Question

Finland co-operates with NATO in various ways. Do you think that through this co-operation Finland is committing itself to NATO membership, thus giving up its policy of non-alignment?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes, I think Finland is committing itself	1	532	53.2	53.2
No, I do not think Finland is committing itself	2	308	30.8	30.8
Can't say	3	160	16.0	16.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q11] Your opinion on the defence forces funding

Question

Your opinion on the defence forces funding

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Funding should be increased considerably	1	84	8.4	8.4
Funding should be increased somewhat	2	364	36.4	36.4
Funding should be kept at present level	3	435	43.5	43.5
Funding should be decreased somewhat	4	65	6.5	6.5
Funding should be decreased considerably	5	24	2.4	2.4
Can't say	6	28	2.8	2.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q12] Your opinion on Finland's defence system: should Finland keep the present universal conscription, adopt a selective conscription, or give up the conscription army altogether and adopt to a professional army?

Question

Your opinion on Finland's defence system: should Finland keep the present universal conscription, adopt a selective conscription, or give up the conscription army altogether and adopt to a professional army?

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Keep the present universal conscription system	1	773	77.3	77.3
Change to selective conscription with smaller reserves	2	128	12.8	12.8
Change from universal conscription to professional army	3	66	6.6	6.6
Can't say	4	33	3.3	3.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Female	1	504	50.4	50.4
Male	2	496	49.6	49.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's age

Question

Respondent's age

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1000
minimum	15.00
maximum	79.00
mean	45.16
standard deviation	18.02

[BV3] Respondent's education

Question

Respondent's education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Primary education	1	199	19.9	19.9
Lower secondary education	2	167	16.7	16.7
Higher secondary education (vocational)	3	199	19.9	19.9
Higher secondary education (general)	4	80	8.0	8.0
Vocational college (post-secondary, but below university)	5	180	18.0	18.0
Polytechnic or lower university degree, B.A.	6	75	7.5	7.5
Higher university degree, M.A. or higher	7	96	9.6	9.6
Can't say	8	4	0.4	0.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Is the respondent the head of the household, i.e., the person with the highest income?**Question**

Is the respondent the head of the household, i.e., the person with the highest income?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	564	56.4	56.4
No	2	436	43.6	43.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Economic activity and occupational status of the person with the highest income in the household**Question**

Economic activity and occupational status of the person with the highest income in the household

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Worker	1	131	13.1	30.0
Intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, administ.)	2	40	4.0	9.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Lower managerial/professional employee	3	77	7.7	17.7
Employee in high managerial position	4	58	5.8	13.3
Employer, self-employed, own account worker	5	45	4.5	10.3
Farmer	6	19	1.9	4.4
Student	7	6	0.6	1.4
Housewife, househusband, homemaker	8	3	0.3	0.7
Retired/pensioner	9	53	5.3	12.2
Something else	10	4	0.4	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	564	56.4	—
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Respondent's economic activity and occupational status

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Worker	1	235	23.5	23.5
Intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, administ.)	2	121	12.1	12.1
Lower managerial/professional employee	3	120	12.0	12.0
Employee in high managerial position	4	37	3.7	3.7
Employer, self-employed, own account worker	5	69	6.9	6.9
Farmer	6	32	3.2	3.2
Student	7	132	13.2	13.2
Housewife, househusband, homemaker	8	22	2.2	2.2
Retired/pensioner	9	224	22.4	22.4
Something else	10	8	0.8	0.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV7] Type of location

Question

Type of location

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki (capital) area (Helsinki, Espoo, Kauniainen, Vantaa)	1	178	17.8	17.8
Turku	2	37	3.7	3.7
Tampere	3	27	2.7	2.7
Other town	4	346	34.6	34.6
Population centre in a rural area	5	226	22.6	22.6
Sparsely populated rural area	6	186	18.6	18.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV8] Respondent's living arrangement

Question

Respondent's living arrangement

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Lives with parents	1	149	14.9	14.9
Lives alone	2	197	19.7	19.7
Lives with spouse	3	288	28.8	28.8
Lives with spouse and children	4	326	32.6	32.6
Single parent living with children	5	22	2.2	2.2
Other	6	18	1.8	1.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV9] Household gross annual income (before taxes), including the income of all family members

Question

Household gross annual income (before taxes), including the income of all family members

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Under 50,000 Finnish marks/year	1	46	4.6	4.6
50,000 - 80,000 Finnish marks/year	2	78	7.8	7.8
80,001 - 120,000 Finnish marks/year	3	105	10.5	10.5
120,001 - 160,000 Finnish marks/year	4	106	10.6	10.6
160,001 - 240,000 Finnish marks/year	5	171	17.1	17.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
240,001 - 350,000 Finnish marks/year	6	188	18.8	18.8
350,001 - 500,000 Finnish marks/year	7	93	9.3	9.3
Over 500,000 Finnish marks/year	8	22	2.2	2.2
No response	9	191	19.1	19.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV10] Who in the household is responsible for bying food?

Question

Who in the household is responsible for bying food?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Respondent	1	420	42.0	42.0
Someone else	2	221	22.1	22.1
Respondent and someone else	3	359	35.9	35.9
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11] If the parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which political party or group would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which political party or group would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	1	128	12.8	13.8
Centre Party in Finland (KESK)	2	196	19.6	21.1
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	155	15.5	16.7
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	30	3.0	3.2
The Greens (VIHR)	5	74	7.4	8.0
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	6	12	1.2	1.3
Christian Democrats (KD)	7	18	1.8	1.9
True Finns (PS)	8	5	0.5	0.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Reform Group(REM)	9	1	0.1	0.1
Some other party or group	10	19	1.9	2.0
I would not vote	11	54	5.4	5.8
Can't say	12	197	19.7	21.2
Don't want to say	13	39	3.9	4.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	7.2	—
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV12] How certain are you that you would vote for the political party/group you mentioned?

Question

How certain are you that you would vote for the political party/group you mentioned?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very certain	1	288	28.8	45.1
Fairly certain	2	265	26.5	41.5
Not very certain	3	66	6.6	10.3
Not at all certain	4	16	1.6	2.5
Can't say	5	3	0.3	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	362	36.2	—
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV13] The candidate of which party or group did you vote for in the 1992 municipal elections?

Question

The candidate of which party or group did you vote for in the 1992 municipal elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	1	137	13.7	14.8
Centre Party in Finland (KESK)	2	190	19.0	20.5
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	135	13.5	14.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	37	3.7	4.0
The Greens (VIHR)	5	63	6.3	6.8
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	6	15	1.5	1.6
Christian Democrats (KD)	7	22	2.2	2.4
True Finns (PS)	8	3	0.3	0.3
Reform Group (REM)	9	1	0.1	0.1
Some other party or group	10	13	1.3	1.4
Did not vote	11	178	17.8	19.2
Didn't have the right to vote	12	18	1.8	1.9
Can't say	13	57	5.7	6.1
Don't want to say	14	59	5.9	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	72	7.2	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV14_1] Province of residence (according to the pre-1997 classification)

Question

Province of residence (according to the pre-1997 classification)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	241	24.1	24.1
Turku and Pori	2	148	14.8	14.8
Häme	3	142	14.2	14.2
Kymi	4	63	6.3	6.3
Mikkeli	5	44	4.4	4.4
Kuopio	6	50	5.0	5.0
Keski-Suomi	7	51	5.1	5.1
Vaasa	8	88	8.8	8.8
Pohjois-Karjala	9	35	3.5	3.5
Oulu	10	97	9.7	9.7
Lappi	11	39	3.9	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV14_2] Province of residence (according to the post-1997 classification)

Question

Province of residence (according to the post-1997 classification)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Southern Finland	1	372	37.2	37.3
Western Finland	2	365	36.5	36.6
Eastern Finland	3	125	12.5	12.5
Oulu	4	97	9.7	9.7
Lapland	5	39	3.9	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV15] Region of residence (NUTS3)**Question**

Region of residence (NUTS3)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	241	24.1	24.1
Finland proper (Varsinais-Suomi)	2	80	8.0	8.0
Satakunta	4	57	5.7	5.7
Kanta-Häme	5	43	4.3	4.3
Pirkanmaa	6	89	8.9	8.9
Päijänne Tavastia (Päijät-Häme)	7	25	2.5	2.5
Kymenlaakso	8	39	3.9	3.9
South Karelia (Etelä-Karjala)	9	24	2.4	2.4
Southern Savonia (Etelä-Savo)	10	40	4.0	4.0
Northern Savonia (Pohjois-Savo)	11	50	5.0	5.0
North Karelia (Pohjois-Karjala)	12	35	3.5	3.5
Central Finland (Keski-Suomi)	13	51	5.1	5.1
Southern Ostrobothnia (Etelä-Pohjanmaa)	14	45	4.5	4.5
Ostrobothnia (Vaasan rannikkoseutu)	15	24	2.4	2.4
Central Ostrobothnia (Keski-Pohjanmaa)	16	19	1.9	1.9
Northern Ostrobothnia (Pohjois-Pohjanmaa)	17	77	7.7	7.7
Kainuu	18	20	2.0	2.0
Lapland	19	39	3.9	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV16] Type of neighbourhood/degree of urbanisation**Question**

Type of neighbourhood/degree of urbanisation

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Capital (Helsinki) area	1	160	16.0	16.0
Urban municipality	2	398	39.8	39.9
Semi-urban municipality	3	166	16.6	16.6
Rural municipality	4	274	27.4	27.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV17] Major region (NUTS2)

Question

Major region (NUTS2)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa (incl. Helsinki)	1	241	24.1	24.1
Southern Finland	2	357	35.7	35.8
Eastern Finland	3	145	14.5	14.5
Mid-Finland	4	139	13.9	13.9
Northern Finland	5	116	11.6	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
Considering the present state of the world, do you think that over the next five years Finns will live in a more secure or insecure world? [Q1]	6
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: EU enlargement [Q2_1]	6
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Deepening European integration [Q2_2]	7
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Internal developments in Russia [Q2_3]	7
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Increase in Finland's international economic co-operation [Q2_4]	8
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Globalisation of world politics and world economy [Q2_5]	8
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Relations between the super powers [Q2_6] ...	9
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Recent developments in the Middle East [Q2_7]	9
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of Russia [Q2_8]	10
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of the US and its European allies [Q2_9]	10
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of other countries [Q2_10] .	11
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: State of the environment at present and current use of natural resources [Q2_11]	11
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Use of nuclear energy in Finland [Q2_12]	11
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Use of nuclear energy in Russia [Q2_13]	12
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Russian forces in the regions just across the Finnish border [Q2_14]	12

3. Indexes

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Relations between Russia and the Baltic countries [Q2_15]	13
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Finland's membership in the EU [Q2_16]	13
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Employment situation in Finland [Q2_17]	14
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Increase in the number of foreigners in Finland [Q2_18]	14
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Balkan crisis, e.g. the situation in Kosovo [Q2_19]	15
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Current economic situation in Finland [Q2_20]	15
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Safety and security situation in Finland [Q2_21]	15
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: NATO enlargement to eastern Central Europe [Q2_22]	16
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: NATO enlargement to the Baltics [Q2_23] ...	16
What kind of impact will the EU membership have on the development of Finland on the whole? [Q3]	17
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Environment [Q4_1]	17
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Economic life [Q4_2]	18
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Employment [Q4_3]	18
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Agriculture [Q4_4]	19
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Social security [Q4_5]	19
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Gender equality [Q4_6]	19
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Military security [Q4_7]	20
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: National independence [Q4_8]	20
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's status in the world [Q4_9]	21
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's possibilities to influence foreign and security politics [Q4_10]	21
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Law and order, internal safety and security [Q4_11]	22
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: National culture [Q4_12]	22
In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its foreign policy over the past few years? [Q5]	23
In your opinion, how well has the EU managed its foreign and security policy over the past few years? [Q6]	23
Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with other Nordic countries [Q7_1]	24
Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with Russia? [Q7_2] ..	24

Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with the Baltic states? [Q7_3]	24
Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with the United States? [Q7_4]	25
Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with developing countries? [Q7_5]	25
In your opinion, should Finland continue its policy of military non-alignment or enter a military alliance? [Q8]	26
If Finland decided to enter a military alliance or pursue a closer military co-operation with other countries, what would you see as the best option? [Q9]	26
Finland co-operates with NATO in various ways. Do you think that through this co-operation Finland is committing itself to NATO membership, thus giving up its policy of non-alignment? [Q10]	27
Your opinion on the defence forces funding [Q11]	27
Your opinion on Finland's defence system: should Finland keep the present universal conscription, adopt a selective conscription, or give up the conscription army altogether and adopt to a professional army? [Q12]	27
Respondent's gender [BV1]	28
Respondent's age [BV2]	28
Respondent's education [BV3]	28
Is the respondent the head of the household, i.e., the person with the highest income? [BV4]	29
Economic activity and occupational status of the person with the highest income in the household [BV5]	29
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status [BV6]	30
Type of location [BV7]	30
Respondent's living arrangement [BV8]	31
Household gross annual income (before taxes), including the income of all family members [BV9]	31
Who in the household is responsible for buying food? [BV10]	32
If the parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which political party or group would you vote for? [BV11]	32
How certain are you that you would vote for the political party/group you mentioned? [BV12]	33
The candidate of which party or group did you vote for in the 1992 municipal elections? [BV13]	33
Province of residence (according to the pre-1997 classification) [BV14_1]	34
Province of residence (according to the post-1997 classification) [BV14_2]	34
Region of residence (NUTS3) [BV15]	35

3. Indexes

Type of neighbourhood/degree of urbanisation [BV16] 35
Major region (NUTS2) [BV17] 36

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Considering the present state of the world, do you think that over the next five years Finns will live in a more secure or insecure world?	6
Economic activity and occupational status of the person with the highest income in the household	29
Finland co-operates with NATO in various ways. Do you think that through this co-operation Finland is committing itself to NATO membership, thus giving up its policy of non-alignment? 27	
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
Household gross annual income (before taxes), including the income of all family members	31
How certain are you that you would vote for the political party/group you mentioned?	33
If Finland decided to enter a military alliance or pursue a closer military co-operation with other countries, what would you see as the best option?	26
If the parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which political party or group would you vote for?	32
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Balkan crisis, e.g. the situation in Kosovo	15
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Current economic situation in Finland	15
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Deepening European integration	7
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Employment situation in Finland	14
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: EU enlargement	6
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Finland's membership in the EU	13
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Globalisation of world politics and world economy 8	
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Increase in Finland's international economic co-operation	8
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Increase in the number of foreigners in Finland	14
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Internal developments in Russia	7
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: NATO enlargement to eastern Central Europe .	16
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: NATO enlargement to the Baltics	16
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of other countries	11
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of Russia	10
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Nuclear weapons of the US and its European allies 10	
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Recent developments in the Middle East	9

3. Indexes

Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Relations between Russia and the Baltic countries	13
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Relations between the super powers	9
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Russian forces in the regions just across the Finnish border	12
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Safety and security situation in Finland	15
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: State of the environment at present and current use of natural resources	11
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Use of nuclear energy in Finland	11
Impact on the security of Finns and Finland: Use of nuclear energy in Russia	12
In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its foreign policy over the past few years?	23
In your opinion, how well has the EU managed its foreign and security policy over the past few years?	23
In your opinion, should Finland continue its policy of military non-alignment or enter a military alliance?	26
Is the respondent the head of the household, i.e., the person with the highest income?	29
Major region (NUTS2)	36
Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with developing countries?	25
Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with other Nordic countries	24
Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with Russia?	24
Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with the Baltic states?	24
Over the past few years, how well has Finland managed its relations with the United States?	25
Province of residence (according to the post-1997 classification)	34
Province of residence (according to the pre-1997 classification)	34
Region of residence (NUTS3)	35
Respondent's age	28
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status	30
Respondent's education	28
Respondent's gender	28
Respondent's living arrangement	31
The candidate of which party or group did you vote for in the 1992 municipal elections?	33
Type of location	30
Type of neighbourhood/degree of urbanisation	35
What kind of impact will Finland's EU membership have on: Agriculture	19

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: Economic life 18

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: Employment 18

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: Environment 17

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: Finland’s possibilities to influence foreign and security politics 21

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: Finland’s status in the world . 21

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: Gender equality 19

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: Law and order, internal safety and security22

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: Military security20

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: National culture 22

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: National independence 20

What kind of impact will Finland’s EU membership have on: Social security 19

What kind of impact will the EU membership have on the development of Finland on the whole?
17

Who in the household is responsible for bying food? 32

Your opinion on Finland’s defence system: should Finland keep the present universal conscription, adopt a selective conscription, or give up the conscription army altogether and adopt to a professional army?27

Your opinion on the defence forces funding 27