

FSD2190

Finnish EU Attitudes Spring 1993

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish EU Attitudes Spring 1993 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (23.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD2190 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA) & Yhdyskuntatutkimus:
Finnish EU Attitudes Spring 1993 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-23). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD2190>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	83
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	83
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	91
A	Questionnaire in Finnish	99

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish EU Attitudes Spring 1993

Titles and data version in Finnish: EVAn EU-asennetutkimus kevät 1993

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (23.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA)
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Kiljunen, Pentti (Yhdyskuntatutkimus)

Date of deposit

16.5.2006

Keywords

European Community; European integration; information sources; institutions

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: government, political systems and organisations; international politics and organisations; political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish EU Attitudes

The research series, launched by the Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA) in 1992, studies Finnish public opinion on EU integration. Views are probed on Finland's EU membership, the future and enlargement of the EU, European economic and fiscal policy, EMU, the European Parliament, Finnish security policy, EU subsidies and European integration as a whole. In addition, the surveys canvass citizen satisfaction with the availability of EU-related information, as well as with the way the Finnish Government and Parliament have handled EU issues.

Starting from 2001, the surveys also cover opinions on international issues in general. Background information includes age, gender, education, economic activity, occupational group and trade union membership. Respondents have been asked which party they would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at the time of the study. The surveys are commissioned by EVA, and usually carried out by Yhdyskuntatutkimus.

Abstract

The survey studied Finnish public opinion on European integration, the country's proposed membership in the European Community, and the impact of the membership. Other main themes were the reliability of information sources on EC issues, and how well Finnish society functions.

First, the respondents were asked whether they were in favour of or against Finland's EC membership, and to what extent their views depended on the terms of the accession treaty (transition periods, exemptions). The respondents were also asked how interested they were in issues connected with the EC and European integration, and how well informed they were of these issues. Opinions on the likelihood of their voting in a referendum on EC membership and likelihood of Finland becoming an EC member state were charted. One topic pertained to the European integration process, and how negatively or positively the respondents viewed the possibility that Finland would participate in common foreign policy, common economic policy, single European currency, etc. The survey studied perceptions of the impact the EC membership would have on Finland (e.g. on standard of living, employment, business conditions, price and wage level, social security, national sovereignty, situation of farmers, pensioners, children and families with children). The respondents were also asked to what extent they agreed with a number of attitudinal statements relating to the EC and Finland's EC membership (e.g. "the EC membership obliges Finns to comply with many norms and detailed regulations which we do not need or want").

The survey also studied opinions on the reliability of various information sources (e.g. radio and television, trade unions, company executives, the Prime Minister, the Government, voluntary organisations opposing the membership) on the EC membership issue. The respondents were asked to rate on a scale from 4-10 how various bodies and institutions (the police, the judiciary, Parliament, universities, social security and health care systems, the Government, political parties, etc.) have performed in recent times. The respondents also graded Finland as a welfare state, civilised state, constitutional state, as a democracy, etc.

Background variables included the respondent's gender, age group, size of the municipality, province of residence, vocational education, economic activity, employment sector, trade union membership, and which political party R would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at that time.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: People aged 18 - 70 resident in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 21.5.1993 – 22.6.1993

Data collector(s): Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Data producer(s): Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies

Mode of data collection: Self-administered questionnaire: Paper

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 1993

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 160 variables and 1011 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Simple random

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

Responses to an open-ended questions asking for comments have been removed from the data.

Related publications

Suomalaisten EY-kannanotot: kevät 1993 (1993). Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta (EVA).

Tuokko, Katja (2012) From Left to West: The position of Vasemmistoliitto on European integration in 1990 - 1998. Poliittisen historian pro gradu -tutkielma. Helsingin yliopisto. hdl:10138/37140

1. Study description

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD2190?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1011
minimum	2190.00
maximum	2190.00
mean	2190.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1011
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id**Question***FSD case id***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1011
minimum	1.00
maximum	1011.00
mean	506.00
standard deviation	292.00

[Q1] What is your attitude towards Finland's EC membership, supposing it would be implemented in 1995-1996?**Question***What is your attitude towards Finland's EC membership, supposing it would be implemented in 1995-1996?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
I support it	1	405	40.1	40.1
I oppose it	2	407	40.3	40.3
Can't say	3	199	19.7	19.7
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q2] How firm is your view on the membership issue?**Question***How firm is your view on the membership issue?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	3	0.3	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very firm	1	357	35.3	35.4
Fairly firm	2	363	35.9	36.0
Not very firm	3	195	19.3	19.3
Not at all firm	4	57	5.6	5.7
Can't say	5	36	3.6	3.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q3] To what extent does your attitude towards EC membership depend on whether the EC grants Finland the dispensations/transition periods it has sought?

Question

To what extent does your attitude towards EC membership depend on whether the EC grants Finland the dispensations/transition periods it has sought?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	4	0.4	–
Totally dependent	1	213	21.1	21.2
Somewhat dependent	2	440	43.5	43.7
Not at all dependent	3	281	27.8	27.9
Can't say	4	73	7.2	7.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q4] Do you believe that Finland is able to negotiate these type of dispensations or transition periods?

Question

Do you believe that Finland is able to negotiate these type of dispensations or transition periods?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	1	0.1	–
Finland gets notable dispensations/long transition periods	1	56	5.5	5.5
Finland gets minor dispensations/short transition periods	2	652	64.5	64.6
Finland gets no dispensations, the EC dictates the terms	3	226	22.4	22.4
Can't say	4	76	7.5	7.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q5] How interested are you personally in Finland's EC membership and the European integration?

Question

How interested are you personally in Finland's EC membership and the European integration?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	7	0.7	–
Very interested, follow the issue actively	1	249	24.6	24.8
Fairly interested, follow the issue to some extent	2	532	52.6	53.0
Not very interested, don't follow the issue much	3	186	18.4	18.5
Not at all interested, don't follow the issue at all	4	30	3.0	3.0
Can't say	5	7	0.7	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q6] How well informed do you feel you are of these issues?

Question

How well informed do you feel you are of these issues?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	9	0.9	–
Very well informed	1	58	5.7	5.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly well informed	2	450	44.5	44.9
Not very well informed	3	391	38.7	39.0
Not at all informed	4	79	7.8	7.9
Can't say	5	24	2.4	2.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q7] If the EC membership referendum was held, how certain are you that you would vote?

Question

If the EC membership referendum was held, how certain are you that you would vote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	4	0.4	–
I would definitely vote	1	611	60.4	60.7
I'm fairly certain I would vote	2	228	22.6	22.6
Maybe I would vote, maybe not	3	118	11.7	11.7
It's probable that I wouldn't vote	4	28	2.8	2.8
I would definitely not vote	5	13	1.3	1.3
Can't say	6	9	0.9	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q8] In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland will join the EC over the next few years?

Question

In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland will join the EC over the next few years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	5	0.5	–
Finland will definitely join	1	75	7.4	7.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very likely	2	355	35.1	35.3
Fairly likely	3	345	34.1	34.3
Not very likely	4	101	10.0	10.0
Not at all likely	5	49	4.8	4.9
Finland will definitely not join	6	39	3.9	3.9
Can't say	7	42	4.2	4.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q9_1] What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common foreign policy

Question

What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common foreign policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	15	1.5	–
Very positive	1	67	6.6	6.7
Fairly positive	2	342	33.8	34.3
Fairly negative	3	296	29.3	29.7
Very negative	4	172	17.0	17.3
Can't say	5	119	11.8	11.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q9_2] What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common security and defence policy

Question

What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common security and defence policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	13	1.3	–
Very positive	1	140	13.8	14.0
Fairly positive	2	293	29.0	29.4
Fairly negative	3	238	23.5	23.8
Very negative	4	232	22.9	23.2
Can't say	5	95	9.4	9.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q9_3] What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common economic policy

Question

What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common economic policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	1.8	–
Very positive	1	104	10.3	10.5
Fairly positive	2	327	32.3	32.9
Fairly negative	3	260	25.7	26.2
Very negative	4	182	18.0	18.3
Can't say	5	120	11.9	12.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q9_4] What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu)

Question

What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	15	1.5	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	147	14.5	14.8
Fairly positive	2	299	29.6	30.0
Fairly negative	3	212	21.0	21.3
Very negative	4	230	22.7	23.1
Can't say	5	108	10.7	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q9_5] What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: European citizenship (supplementing national citizenship)

Question

What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: European citizenship (supplementing national citizenship)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	9	0.9	–
Very positive	1	167	16.5	16.7
Fairly positive	2	288	28.5	28.7
Fairly negative	3	190	18.8	19.0
Very negative	4	244	24.1	24.4
Can't say	5	113	11.2	11.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_1] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: General living conditions in Finland

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: General living conditions in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	64	6.3	–
Positive effect	1	187	18.5	19.7
No significant effect	2	382	37.8	40.3
Negative effect	3	275	27.2	29.0
Can't say	4	103	10.2	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_2] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Standard of living and material welfare

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Standard of living and material welfare

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	4.2	–
Positive effect	1	143	14.1	14.8
No significant effect	2	458	45.3	47.3
Negative effect	3	272	26.9	28.1
Can't say	4	96	9.5	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_3] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Steady development of the national economy

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Steady development of the national economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	4.8	–
Positive effect	1	281	27.8	29.2
No significant effect	2	332	32.8	34.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Negative effect	3	202	20.0	21.0
Can't say	4	147	14.5	15.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_4] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Employment

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.8	–
Positive effect	1	167	16.5	17.2
No significant effect	2	335	33.1	34.4
Negative effect	3	382	37.8	39.3
Can't say	4	89	8.8	9.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_5] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Export and foreign trade

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Export and foreign trade

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	3.6	–
Positive effect	1	556	55.0	57.0
No significant effect	2	233	23.0	23.9
Negative effect	3	98	9.7	10.1
Can't say	4	88	8.7	9.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_6] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Business conditions in general

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Business conditions in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	3.6	–
Positive effect	1	448	44.3	45.9
No significant effect	2	299	29.6	30.7
Negative effect	3	120	11.9	12.3
Can't say	4	108	10.7	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_7] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Competition in business life

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Competition in business life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	3.7	–
Positive effect	1	486	48.1	49.9
No significant effect	2	213	21.1	21.9
Negative effect	3	170	16.8	17.5
Can't say	4	105	10.4	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_8] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Interest level

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Interest level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	3.4	–
Positive effect	1	340	33.6	34.8
No significant effect	2	334	33.0	34.2
Negative effect	3	107	10.6	11.0
Can't say	4	196	19.4	20.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_9] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of taxation

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of taxation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	3.1	–
Positive effect	1	202	20.0	20.6
No significant effect	2	401	39.7	40.9
Negative effect	3	208	20.6	21.2
Can't say	4	169	16.7	17.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_10] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Wage level

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Wage level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.9	–
Positive effect	1	99	9.8	10.1
No significant effect	2	360	35.6	36.7
Negative effect	3	403	39.9	41.0
Can't say	4	120	11.9	12.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_11] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Price level in general**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Price level in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	3.0	–
Positive effect	1	431	42.6	43.9
No significant effect	2	365	36.1	37.2
Negative effect	3	104	10.3	10.6
Can't say	4	81	8.0	8.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_12] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Price of food**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Price of food

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Positive effect	1	516	51.0	52.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
No significant effect	2	311	30.8	31.6
Negative effect	3	98	9.7	10.0
Can't say	4	58	5.7	5.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_13] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Quality of food

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Quality of food

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.9	–
Positive effect	1	112	11.1	11.4
No significant effect	2	306	30.3	31.2
Negative effect	3	501	49.6	51.0
Can't say	4	63	6.2	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_14] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Arts and culture

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Arts and culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	3.5	–
Positive effect	1	278	27.5	28.5
No significant effect	2	405	40.1	41.5
Negative effect	3	159	15.7	16.3
Can't say	4	134	13.3	13.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_15] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Education

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	3.0	–
Positive effect	1	270	26.7	27.5
No significant effect	2	431	42.6	43.9
Negative effect	3	167	16.5	17.0
Can't say	4	113	11.2	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_16] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Science and research

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Science and research

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.8	–
Positive effect	1	462	45.7	47.5
No significant effect	2	295	29.2	30.3
Negative effect	3	68	6.7	7.0
Can't say	4	148	14.6	15.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_17] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Social security

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.9	–
Positive effect	1	33	3.3	3.4
No significant effect	2	249	24.6	25.4
Negative effect	3	615	60.8	62.6
Can't say	4	85	8.4	8.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_18] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Public health services

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Public health services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Positive effect	1	42	4.2	4.3
No significant effect	2	357	35.3	36.3
Negative effect	3	499	49.4	50.8
Can't say	4	85	8.4	8.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_19] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Environmental protection

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Environmental protection

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.9	–
Positive effect	1	262	25.9	26.7
No significant effect	2	379	37.5	38.6
Negative effect	3	228	22.6	23.2
Can't say	4	113	11.2	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_20] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Differences in the standard of living between population groups**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between population groups

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.7	–
Positive effect	1	42	4.2	4.3
No significant effect	2	338	33.4	34.3
Negative effect	3	491	48.6	49.9
Can't say	4	113	11.2	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_21] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Differences in the standard of living between regions**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Positive effect	1	42	4.2	4.3
No significant effect	2	359	35.5	36.5
Negative effect	3	452	44.7	46.0
Can't say	4	130	12.9	13.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_22] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Legal protection of citizens

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Legal protection of citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Positive effect	1	94	9.3	9.5
No significant effect	2	440	43.5	44.6
Negative effect	3	300	29.7	30.4
Can't say	4	152	15.0	15.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_23] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Equality between men and women

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Equality between men and women

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.6	–
Positive effect	1	71	7.0	7.2
No significant effect	2	530	52.4	53.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Negative effect	3	262	25.9	26.6
Can't say	4	122	12.1	12.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_24] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: National sovereignty

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: National sovereignty

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Positive effect	1	32	3.2	3.3
No significant effect	2	204	20.2	20.8
Negative effect	3	674	66.7	68.6
Can't say	4	73	7.2	7.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_25] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finnish security policy

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finnish security policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.9	–
Positive effect	1	211	20.9	21.5
No significant effect	2	270	26.7	27.5
Negative effect	3	388	38.4	39.5
Can't say	4	113	11.2	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_26] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland's relationship to Russia

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland's relationship to Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.6	–
Positive effect	1	75	7.4	7.6
No significant effect	2	473	46.8	48.0
Negative effect	3	273	27.0	27.7
Can't say	4	164	16.2	16.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_27] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Nordic cooperation

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Nordic cooperation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	3.0	–
Positive effect	1	274	27.1	27.9
No significant effect	2	502	49.7	51.2
Negative effect	3	111	11.0	11.3
Can't say	4	94	9.3	9.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_28] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland's image abroad

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland's image abroad

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.7	–
Positive effect	1	413	40.9	42.0
No significant effect	2	373	36.9	37.9
Negative effect	3	97	9.6	9.9
Can't say	4	101	10.0	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_29] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.6	–
Positive effect	1	370	36.6	37.6
No significant effect	2	326	32.2	33.1
Negative effect	3	143	14.1	14.5
Can't say	4	146	14.4	14.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_30] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Positive effect	1	206	20.4	21.0
No significant effect	2	335	33.1	34.1
Negative effect	3	310	30.7	31.5
Can't say	4	132	13.1	13.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_31] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Public morals in general**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Public morals in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	3.0	–
Positive effect	1	91	9.0	9.3
No significant effect	2	383	37.9	39.0
Negative effect	3	349	34.5	35.6
Can't say	4	158	15.6	16.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_32] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Functioning of democracy**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Functioning of democracy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	3.1	–
Positive effect	1	79	7.8	8.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
No significant effect	2	378	37.4	38.6
Negative effect	3	351	34.7	35.8
Can't say	4	172	17.0	17.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_33] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Citizens' possibilities to influence things

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Citizens' possibilities to influence things

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Positive effect	1	55	5.4	5.6
No significant effect	2	323	31.9	32.9
Negative effect	3	503	49.8	51.2
Can't say	4	102	10.1	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_34] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of crime

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of crime

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Positive effect	1	63	6.2	6.4
No significant effect	2	128	12.7	13.0
Negative effect	3	705	69.7	71.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	4	87	8.6	8.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_35] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of bureaucracy

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of bureaucracy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	3.4	–
Positive effect	1	81	8.0	8.3
No significant effect	2	213	21.1	21.8
Negative effect	3	534	52.8	54.7
Can't say	4	149	14.7	15.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_36] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Religious/spiritual life

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Religious/spiritual life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Positive effect	1	57	5.6	5.8
No significant effect	2	585	57.9	59.3
Negative effect	3	135	13.4	13.7
Can't say	4	209	20.7	21.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_37] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Circumstances of salaried employees**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of salaried employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Positive effect	1	138	13.6	14.0
No significant effect	2	531	52.5	54.0
Negative effect	3	162	16.0	16.5
Can't say	4	152	15.0	15.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_38] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Circumstances of workers**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of workers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Positive effect	1	83	8.2	8.4
No significant effect	2	414	40.9	42.1
Negative effect	3	373	36.9	37.9
Can't say	4	113	11.2	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_39] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Circumstances of farmers**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of farmers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Positive effect	1	29	2.9	2.9
No significant effect	2	164	16.2	16.6
Negative effect	3	700	69.2	71.0
Can't say	4	93	9.2	9.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_40] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Circumstances of pensioners**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of pensioners

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Positive effect	1	25	2.5	2.5
No significant effect	2	372	36.8	37.7
Negative effect	3	468	46.3	47.5
Can't say	4	121	12.0	12.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

**[Q10_41] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on:
Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	3.1	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Positive effect	1	361	35.7	36.8
No significant effect	2	311	30.8	31.7
Negative effect	3	182	18.0	18.6
Can't say	4	126	12.5	12.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_42] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of children and families with children

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of children and families with children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Positive effect	1	47	4.6	4.8
No significant effect	2	395	39.1	40.2
Negative effect	3	401	39.7	40.8
Can't say	4	140	13.8	14.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_43] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of women

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of women

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	2.3	–
Positive effect	1	61	6.0	6.2
No significant effect	2	485	48.0	49.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Negative effect	3	295	29.2	29.9
Can't say	4	147	14.5	14.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q10_44] What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Your own circumstances and life

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Your own circumstances and life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.7	–
Positive effect	1	157	15.5	16.0
No significant effect	2	458	45.3	46.5
Negative effect	3	240	23.7	24.4
Can't say	4	129	12.8	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_1] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Even though joining the EC may mean tough times for Finland, it is better to join than stay outside the Community

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Even though joining the EC may mean tough times for Finland, it is better to join than stay outside the Community

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	22	2.2	–
Strongly agree	1	221	21.9	22.3
Agree to some extent	2	260	25.7	26.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	235	23.2	23.8
Disagree to some extent	4	157	15.5	15.9
Strongly disagree	5	116	11.5	11.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_2] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Instead of the EC, Finland should aim at closer cooperation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Instead of the EC, Finland should aim at closer cooperation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Strongly agree	1	38	3.8	3.9
Agree to some extent	2	97	9.6	9.8
Difficult to say	3	207	20.5	21.0
Disagree to some extent	4	278	27.5	28.2
Strongly disagree	5	366	36.2	37.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_3] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Concern about agriculture should not be a decisive factor when deciding on EC membership. Finnish agriculture will be restructured even without EC membership

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Concern about agriculture should not be a decisive factor when deciding on EC membership. Finnish agriculture will be restructured even without EC membership

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	2.3	–
Strongly agree	1	244	24.1	24.7
Agree to some extent	2	310	30.7	31.4
Difficult to say	3	140	13.8	14.2
Disagree to some extent	4	181	17.9	18.3
Strongly disagree	5	113	11.2	11.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_4] What is your attitude towards the following statements: It is not reasonable to bind Finland to the EC because it is such a small part of the world economy

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: It is not reasonable to bind Finland to the EC because it is such a small part of the world economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.7	–
Strongly agree	1	113	11.2	11.5
Agree to some extent	2	141	13.9	14.3
Difficult to say	3	254	25.1	25.8
Disagree to some extent	4	265	26.2	26.9
Strongly disagree	5	211	20.9	21.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_5] What is your attitude towards the following statements: The importance of neutrality has changed so much that it is no longer sensible to strictly adhere to the principle

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: The importance of neutrality has changed so much that it is no longer sensible to strictly adhere to the principle

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	2.3	–
Strongly agree	1	142	14.0	14.4
Agree to some extent	2	280	27.7	28.3
Difficult to say	3	175	17.3	17.7
Disagree to some extent	4	209	20.7	21.2
Strongly disagree	5	182	18.0	18.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_6] What is your attitude towards the following statements: The final decision on whether Finland should join the EC should be made after a consultative referendum according to the majority's opinion

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: The final decision on whether Finland should join the EC should be made after a consultative referendum according to the majority's opinion

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Strongly agree	1	541	53.5	54.9
Agree to some extent	2	209	20.7	21.2
Difficult to say	3	103	10.2	10.4
Disagree to some extent	4	88	8.7	8.9
Strongly disagree	5	45	4.5	4.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_7] What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would break the peace of Finnish society and destroy the traditional Finnish way of life

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would break the peace of Finnish society and destroy the traditional Finnish way of life

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	2.3	–
Strongly agree	1	184	18.2	18.6
Agree to some extent	2	181	17.9	18.3
Difficult to say	3	208	20.6	21.1
Disagree to some extent	4	238	23.5	24.1
Strongly disagree	5	177	17.5	17.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_8] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Spokesmen for EC membership are intentionally spreading false information in order to make citizens favourable to the membership

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Spokesmen for EC membership are intentionally spreading false information in order to make citizens favourable to the membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.4	–
Strongly agree	1	193	19.1	19.6
Agree to some extent	2	199	19.7	20.2
Difficult to say	3	267	26.4	27.1
Disagree to some extent	4	199	19.7	20.2
Strongly disagree	5	129	12.8	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_9] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Opposition to EC membership is based more on emotions than knowledge

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Opposition to EC membership is based more on emotions than knowledge

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	2.3	–
Strongly agree	1	165	16.3	16.7
Agree to some extent	2	315	31.2	31.9
Difficult to say	3	206	20.4	20.9
Disagree to some extent	4	177	17.5	17.9
Strongly disagree	5	125	12.4	12.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_10] What is your attitude towards the following statements: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish Parliament or in the EC as long as they are just and well-considered

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish Parliament or in the EC as long as they are just and well-considered

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Strongly agree	1	244	24.1	24.7
Agree to some extent	2	266	26.3	27.0
Difficult to say	3	95	9.4	9.6
Disagree to some extent	4	209	20.7	21.2
Strongly disagree	5	172	17.0	17.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_11] What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	2.3	–
Strongly agree	1	290	28.7	29.4
Agree to some extent	2	288	28.5	29.1
Difficult to say	3	235	23.2	23.8
Disagree to some extent	4	135	13.4	13.7
Strongly disagree	5	40	4.0	4.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_12] What is your attitude towards the following statements: As a result of free movement of workers, many well-educated and capable Finns would move abroad

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: As a result of free movement of workers, many well-educated and capable Finns would move abroad

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.4	–
Strongly agree	1	264	26.1	26.7
Agree to some extent	2	359	35.5	36.4
Difficult to say	3	200	19.8	20.3
Disagree to some extent	4	134	13.3	13.6
Strongly disagree	5	30	3.0	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_13] What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Strongly agree	1	294	29.1	29.8
Agree to some extent	2	133	13.2	13.5
Difficult to say	3	139	13.7	14.1
Disagree to some extent	4	216	21.4	21.9
Strongly disagree	5	204	20.2	20.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_14] What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would increase recycling and reuse of raw materials in Finland

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would increase recycling and reuse of raw materials in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Strongly agree	1	157	15.5	15.9
Agree to some extent	2	346	34.2	35.1
Difficult to say	3	343	33.9	34.8
Disagree to some extent	4	90	8.9	9.1
Strongly disagree	5	50	4.9	5.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_15] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EC member states cooperate

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EC member states cooperate

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.4	–
Strongly agree	1	197	19.5	20.0
Agree to some extent	2	252	24.9	25.5
Difficult to say	3	230	22.7	23.3
Disagree to some extent	4	184	18.2	18.6
Strongly disagree	5	124	12.3	12.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_16] What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership will enable freedom of movement for students and researchers

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership will enable freedom of movement for students and researchers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	2.3	–
Strongly agree	1	324	32.0	32.8
Agree to some extent	2	389	38.5	39.4
Difficult to say	3	178	17.6	18.0
Disagree to some extent	4	71	7.0	7.2
Strongly disagree	5	26	2.6	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_17] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Civil servants and politicians who negotiate EC membership think only about the interests of commerce and the business community and forget the interests of ordinary citizens

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Civil servants and politicians who negotiate EC membership think only about the interests of commerce and the business community and forget the interests of ordinary citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	2.3	–
Strongly agree	1	315	31.2	31.9
Agree to some extent	2	313	31.0	31.7
Difficult to say	3	164	16.2	16.6
Disagree to some extent	4	144	14.2	14.6
Strongly disagree	5	52	5.1	5.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_18] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Resistance to EC membership is useless. Sooner or later Finland will join

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Resistance to EC membership is useless. Sooner or later Finland will join

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.4	–
Strongly agree	1	132	13.1	13.4
Agree to some extent	2	267	26.4	27.1
Difficult to say	3	244	24.1	24.7
Disagree to some extent	4	179	17.7	18.1
Strongly disagree	5	165	16.3	16.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_19] What is your attitude towards the following statements: By joining the EC, Finland increases its scope for action both in the East and in the West

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: By joining the EC, Finland increases its scope for action both in the East and in the West

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Strongly agree	1	172	17.0	17.5
Agree to some extent	2	261	25.8	26.6
Difficult to say	3	323	31.9	32.9
Disagree to some extent	4	139	13.7	14.1
Strongly disagree	5	88	8.7	9.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_20] What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EC wants Finland to join because it wants to get its hands on our forests and shores

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EC wants Finland to join because it wants to get its hands on our forests and shores

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.7	–
Strongly agree	1	146	14.4	14.8
Agree to some extent	2	165	16.3	16.8
Difficult to say	3	225	22.3	22.9
Disagree to some extent	4	282	27.9	28.7
Strongly disagree	5	166	16.4	16.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_21] What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.4	–
Strongly agree	1	254	25.1	25.7
Agree to some extent	2	176	17.4	17.8
Difficult to say	3	265	26.2	26.8
Disagree to some extent	4	197	19.5	20.0
Strongly disagree	5	95	9.4	9.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_22] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Finland should make an independent decision on whether to join the EC. The decisions of other Nordic countries applying for the membership should have no bearing on it

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Finland should make an independent decision on whether to join the EC. The decisions of other Nordic countries applying for the membership should have no bearing on it

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	22	2.2	–
Strongly agree	1	431	42.6	43.6
Agree to some extent	2	275	27.2	27.8
Difficult to say	3	100	9.9	10.1
Disagree to some extent	4	144	14.2	14.6
Strongly disagree	5	39	3.9	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_23] What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	22	2.2	–
Strongly agree	1	357	35.3	36.1
Agree to some extent	2	156	15.4	15.8
Difficult to say	3	344	34.0	34.8
Disagree to some extent	4	98	9.7	9.9
Strongly disagree	5	34	3.4	3.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_24] What is your attitude towards the following statements: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about issues related to EC membership

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about issues related to EC membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	22	2.2	–
Strongly agree	1	93	9.2	9.4
Agree to some extent	2	131	13.0	13.2
Difficult to say	3	144	14.2	14.6
Disagree to some extent	4	308	30.5	31.1
Strongly disagree	5	313	31.0	31.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_25] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Many EC member states have as good or better social security than Finland

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Many EC member states have as good or better social security than Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	2.0	–
Strongly agree	1	64	6.3	6.5
Agree to some extent	2	183	18.1	18.5
Difficult to say	3	196	19.4	19.8
Disagree to some extent	4	354	35.0	35.7
Strongly disagree	5	194	19.2	19.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_26] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Joining the EC as well as staying outside it will cause difficulties for Finland. Therefore, it would be best if the EC did not exist at all

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Joining the EC as well as staying outside it will cause difficulties for Finland. Therefore, it would be best if the EC did not exist at all

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	22	2.2	–
Strongly agree	1	247	24.4	25.0
Agree to some extent	2	199	19.7	20.1
Difficult to say	3	252	24.9	25.5
Disagree to some extent	4	154	15.2	15.6
Strongly disagree	5	137	13.6	13.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_27] What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.4	–
Strongly agree	1	150	14.8	15.2
Agree to some extent	2	254	25.1	25.7
Difficult to say	3	314	31.1	31.8
Disagree to some extent	4	156	15.4	15.8
Strongly disagree	5	113	11.2	11.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_28] What is your attitude towards the following statements: The result of the new Danish EC referendum held recently affected my opinion on Finland's EC membership

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: The result of the new Danish EC referendum held recently affected my opinion on Finland's EC membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	3.2	–
Strongly agree	1	30	3.0	3.1
Agree to some extent	2	102	10.1	10.4
Difficult to say	3	200	19.8	20.4
Disagree to some extent	4	196	19.4	20.0
Strongly disagree	5	451	44.6	46.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_29] What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside home

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside home

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Strongly agree	1	79	7.8	8.0
Agree to some extent	2	157	15.5	15.9
Difficult to say	3	268	26.5	27.2
Disagree to some extent	4	263	26.0	26.7
Strongly disagree	5	219	21.7	22.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_30] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Staying outside the EC and thereby maintaining our sovereignty is more important than securing an advantageous position for our export

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Staying outside the EC and thereby maintaining our sovereignty is more important than securing an advantageous position for our export

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.9	–
Strongly agree	1	138	13.6	14.1
Agree to some extent	2	151	14.9	15.4
Difficult to say	3	260	25.7	26.5
Disagree to some extent	4	255	25.2	26.0
Strongly disagree	5	178	17.6	18.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_31] What is your attitude towards the following statements: The Finnish media is not objective in EC membership matters and restricts publication of anti-EC material

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: The Finnish media is not objective in EC membership matters and restricts publication of anti-EC material

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	21	2.1	–
Strongly agree	1	185	18.3	18.7
Agree to some extent	2	225	22.3	22.7
Difficult to say	3	343	33.9	34.6
Disagree to some extent	4	160	15.8	16.2
Strongly disagree	5	77	7.6	7.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_32] What is your attitude towards the following statements: The development of the EC will decelerate and become more difficult in the future

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: The development of the EC will decelerate and become more difficult in the future

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.9	–
Strongly agree	1	177	17.5	18.0
Agree to some extent	2	312	30.9	31.8
Difficult to say	3	382	37.8	38.9
Disagree to some extent	4	92	9.1	9.4
Strongly disagree	5	19	1.9	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_33] What is your attitude towards the following statements: The decision to join the EC should be made by the President and the Parliament. They have been elected to be the decision-making bodies

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: The decision to join the EC should be made by the President and the Parliament. They have been elected to be the decision-making bodies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.7	–
Strongly agree	1	77	7.6	7.8
Agree to some extent	2	124	12.3	12.6
Difficult to say	3	83	8.2	8.4
Disagree to some extent	4	254	25.1	25.8
Strongly disagree	5	446	44.1	45.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_34] What is your attitude towards the following statements: Finland will retain its national identity even as an EC member

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: Finland will retain its national identity even as an EC member

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	22	2.2	–
Strongly agree	1	223	22.1	22.5
Agree to some extent	2	279	27.6	28.2
Difficult to say	3	182	18.0	18.4
Disagree to some extent	4	153	15.1	15.5
Strongly disagree	5	152	15.0	15.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_35] What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EC membership issue cannot be solved by reasoning, it is a value choice in the first place

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EC membership issue cannot be solved by reasoning, it is a value choice in the first place

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Strongly agree	1	81	8.0	8.2
Agree to some extent	2	176	17.4	17.9
Difficult to say	3	282	27.9	28.7
Disagree to some extent	4	269	26.6	27.4
Strongly disagree	5	175	17.3	17.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_36] What is your attitude towards the following statements: When deciding on EC membership, especially the opinions of young people should be taken into account, since the decision will particularly affect their future lives

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: When deciding on EC membership, especially the opinions of young people should be taken into account, since the decision will particularly affect their future lives

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.4	–
Strongly agree	1	263	26.0	26.6
Agree to some extent	2	372	36.8	37.7
Difficult to say	3	160	15.8	16.2
Disagree to some extent	4	152	15.0	15.4
Strongly disagree	5	40	4.0	4.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q11_37] What is your attitude towards the following statements: The decision about EC membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades

Question

What is your attitude towards the following statements: The decision about EC membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	21	2.1	–
Strongly agree	1	542	53.6	54.7
Agree to some extent	2	258	25.5	26.1
Difficult to say	3	107	10.6	10.8
Disagree to some extent	4	56	5.5	5.7
Strongly disagree	5	27	2.7	2.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_1] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Radio and television

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Radio and television

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.5	–
Very reliable	1	194	19.2	19.7
Somewhat reliable	2	535	52.9	54.3
Somewhat unreliable	3	155	15.3	15.7
Very unreliable	4	65	6.4	6.6
Can't say	5	37	3.7	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_2] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Newspapers

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	3.0	–
Very reliable	1	134	13.3	13.7
Somewhat reliable	2	519	51.3	52.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat unreliable	3	210	20.8	21.4
Very unreliable	4	74	7.3	7.5
Can't say	5	44	4.4	4.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_3] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Magazines/periodicals

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Magazines/periodicals

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	3.4	–
Very reliable	1	37	3.7	3.8
Somewhat reliable	2	285	28.2	29.2
Somewhat unreliable	3	370	36.6	37.9
Very unreliable	4	187	18.5	19.1
Can't say	5	98	9.7	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_4] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Commercial and industrial organisations

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Commercial and industrial organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	4.0	–
Very reliable	1	56	5.5	5.8
Somewhat reliable	2	331	32.7	34.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat unreliable	3	277	27.4	28.5
Very unreliable	4	158	15.6	16.3
Can't say	5	149	14.7	15.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_5] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Corporate managers

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Corporate managers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	3.4	–
Very reliable	1	37	3.7	3.8
Somewhat reliable	2	215	21.3	22.0
Somewhat unreliable	3	316	31.3	32.3
Very unreliable	4	260	25.7	26.6
Can't say	5	149	14.7	15.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_6] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Trade unions

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Trade unions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	3.4	–
Very reliable	1	42	4.2	4.3
Somewhat reliable	2	363	35.9	37.2
Somewhat unreliable	3	299	29.6	30.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very unreliable	4	140	13.8	14.3
Can't say	5	133	13.2	13.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_7] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Agricultural producers

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Agricultural producers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.9	–
Very reliable	1	42	4.2	4.3
Somewhat reliable	2	243	24.0	25.0
Somewhat unreliable	3	295	29.2	30.3
Very unreliable	4	285	28.2	29.3
Can't say	5	107	10.6	11.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_8] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: President

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: President

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	4.2	–
Very reliable	1	140	13.8	14.4
Somewhat reliable	2	383	37.9	39.5
Somewhat unreliable	3	142	14.0	14.7
Very unreliable	4	134	13.3	13.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	170	16.8	17.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_9] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Government

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.8	–
Very reliable	1	68	6.7	6.9
Somewhat reliable	2	300	29.7	30.5
Somewhat unreliable	3	270	26.7	27.5
Very unreliable	4	242	23.9	24.6
Can't say	5	103	10.2	10.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_10] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Prime minister

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Prime minister

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	3.1	–
Very reliable	1	62	6.1	6.3
Somewhat reliable	2	283	28.0	28.9
Somewhat unreliable	3	246	24.3	25.1
Very unreliable	4	277	27.4	28.3
Can't say	5	112	11.1	11.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_11] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Ministers of the Centre Party in the Government

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Ministers of the Centre Party in the Government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	3.2	–
Very reliable	1	21	2.1	2.1
Somewhat reliable	2	204	20.2	20.8
Somewhat unreliable	3	312	30.9	31.9
Very unreliable	4	301	29.8	30.7
Can't say	5	141	13.9	14.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_12] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Ministers of the National Coalition Party in the Government

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Ministers of the National Coalition Party in the Government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	3.4	–
Very reliable	1	34	3.4	3.5
Somewhat reliable	2	241	23.8	24.7
Somewhat unreliable	3	267	26.4	27.3
Very unreliable	4	301	29.8	30.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	134	13.3	13.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_13] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Leaders of the main opposition party (the Social Democratic Party)

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Leaders of the main opposition party (the Social Democratic Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	3.5	–
Very reliable	1	34	3.4	3.5
Somewhat reliable	2	250	24.7	25.6
Somewhat unreliable	3	299	29.6	30.6
Very unreliable	4	215	21.3	22.0
Can't say	5	178	17.6	18.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_14] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Civil servants appointed to examine the membership issue

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Civil servants appointed to examine the membership issue

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	3.4	–
Very reliable	1	136	13.5	13.9
Somewhat reliable	2	405	40.1	41.5
Somewhat unreliable	3	205	20.3	21.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very unreliable	4	121	12.0	12.4
Can't say	5	110	10.9	11.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_15] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: EC leaders and officials

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: EC leaders and officials

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	3.4	–
Very reliable	1	109	10.8	11.2
Somewhat reliable	2	348	34.4	35.6
Somewhat unreliable	3	197	19.5	20.2
Very unreliable	4	202	20.0	20.7
Can't say	5	121	12.0	12.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_16] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Anti-EC non-governmental organisations (e.g. VEY)

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Anti-EC non-governmental organisations (e.g. VEY)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	3.5	–
Very reliable	1	65	6.4	6.7
Somewhat reliable	2	232	22.9	23.8
Somewhat unreliable	3	291	28.8	29.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very unreliable	4	219	21.7	22.4
Can't say	5	169	16.7	17.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_17] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Decision-makers in my municipality of residence

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Decision-makers in my municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	3.6	–
Very reliable	1	19	1.9	1.9
Somewhat reliable	2	236	23.3	24.2
Somewhat unreliable	3	276	27.3	28.3
Very unreliable	4	143	14.1	14.7
Can't say	5	301	29.8	30.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q12_18] Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Friends, relatives, colleagues

Question

Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Friends, relatives, colleagues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	3.5	–
Very reliable	1	57	5.6	5.8
Somewhat reliable	2	263	26.0	26.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat unreliable	3	253	25.0	25.9
Very unreliable	4	147	14.5	15.1
Can't say	5	256	25.3	26.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_1] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Parliament

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Parliament

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	3.0	–
4	4	172	17.0	17.5
5	5	185	18.3	18.9
6	6	262	25.9	26.7
7	7	249	24.6	25.4
8	8	99	9.8	10.1
9	9	10	1.0	1.0
10	10	4	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_2] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Judicial system

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Judicial system

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	3.3	–
4	4	64	6.3	6.5
5	5	91	9.0	9.3
6	6	158	15.6	16.2
7	7	289	28.6	29.6
8	8	261	25.8	26.7
9	9	103	10.2	10.5
10	10	12	1.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_3] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Church

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Church

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	3.4	–
4	4	92	9.1	9.4
5	5	115	11.4	11.8
6	6	174	17.2	17.8
7	7	274	27.1	28.0
8	8	224	22.2	22.9
9	9	82	8.1	8.4
10	10	16	1.6	1.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_4] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Armed forces

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Armed forces

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	3.5	–
4	4	33	3.3	3.4
5	5	42	4.2	4.3
6	6	83	8.2	8.5
7	7	178	17.6	18.2
8	8	332	32.8	34.0
9	9	230	22.7	23.6
10	10	78	7.7	8.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_5] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Universities

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Universities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	4.2	–
4	4	15	1.5	1.5
5	5	26	2.6	2.7
6	6	77	7.6	7.9
7	7	216	21.4	22.3
8	8	381	37.7	39.3
9	9	216	21.4	22.3
10	10	38	3.8	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_6] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Schools/education system

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Schools/education system

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	4.3	–
4	4	26	2.6	2.7
5	5	46	4.5	4.8
6	6	118	11.7	12.2
7	7	253	25.0	26.1
8	8	343	33.9	35.4
9	9	157	15.5	16.2
10	10	25	2.5	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_7] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Social security system

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Social security system

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	3.5	–
4	4	50	4.9	5.1
5	5	90	8.9	9.2
6	6	143	14.1	14.7
7	7	214	21.2	21.9
8	8	272	26.9	27.9
9	9	166	16.4	17.0
10	10	41	4.1	4.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_8] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Health care system

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Health care system

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	3.6	–
4	4	30	3.0	3.1
5	5	63	6.2	6.5
6	6	97	9.6	9.9
7	7	200	19.8	20.5
8	8	283	28.0	29.0
9	9	237	23.4	24.3
10	10	65	6.4	6.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_9] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Police

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Police

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	3.2	–
4	4	29	2.9	3.0
5	5	36	3.6	3.7
6	6	83	8.2	8.5
7	7	176	17.4	18.0
8	8	324	32.0	33.1
9	9	256	25.3	26.1
10	10	75	7.4	7.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_10] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Civil servants

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Civil servants

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	4.4	–
4	4	93	9.2	9.6
5	5	153	15.1	15.8
6	6	228	22.6	23.6
7	7	286	28.3	29.6
8	8	156	15.4	16.1
9	9	40	4.0	4.1
10	10	11	1.1	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_11] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: President

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: President

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	3.5	–
4	4	173	17.1	17.7
5	5	124	12.3	12.7
6	6	166	16.4	17.0
7	7	223	22.1	22.8
8	8	162	16.0	16.6
9	9	102	10.1	10.5
10	10	26	2.6	2.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_12] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Government

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	3.2	–
4	4	320	31.7	32.7
5	5	207	20.5	21.1
6	6	176	17.4	18.0
7	7	152	15.0	15.5
8	8	87	8.6	8.9
9	9	28	2.8	2.9
10	10	9	0.9	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_13] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Prime minister

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Prime minister

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	3.3	–
4	4	340	33.6	34.8
5	5	163	16.1	16.7
6	6	164	16.2	16.8
7	7	146	14.4	14.9
8	8	107	10.6	10.9
9	9	41	4.1	4.2
10	10	17	1.7	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_14] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Bank of Finland

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Bank of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.8	–
4	4	249	24.6	25.6
5	5	200	19.8	20.6
6	6	221	21.9	22.7
7	7	162	16.0	16.6
8	8	107	10.6	11.0
9	9	22	2.2	2.3
10	10	12	1.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_15] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Opposition parties

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Opposition parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	4.5	–
4	4	144	14.2	14.9
5	5	190	18.8	19.7
6	6	284	28.1	29.4
7	7	232	22.9	24.0
8	8	94	9.3	9.7
9	9	13	1.3	1.3
10	10	9	0.9	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_16] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Political parties in general

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Political parties in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.9	–
4	4	174	17.2	17.9
5	5	233	23.0	24.0
6	6	320	31.7	32.9
7	7	204	20.2	21.0
8	8	36	3.6	3.7
9	9	3	0.3	0.3
10	10	2	0.2	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_17] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Large companies

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Large companies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	4.2	–
4	4	104	10.3	10.7
5	5	163	16.1	16.8
6	6	238	23.5	24.6
7	7	255	25.2	26.3
8	8	160	15.8	16.5
9	9	43	4.3	4.4
10	10	6	0.6	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_18] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Companies and enterprises in general

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Companies and enterprises in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	4.5	–
4	4	66	6.5	6.8
5	5	112	11.1	11.6
6	6	249	24.6	25.8
7	7	323	31.9	33.4
8	8	188	18.6	19.5
9	9	25	2.5	2.6
10	10	3	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_19] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Financial decision-makers

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Financial decision-makers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	4.4	–
4	4	186	18.4	19.2
5	5	201	19.9	20.8
6	6	258	25.5	26.7
7	7	210	20.8	21.7
8	8	93	9.2	9.6
9	9	16	1.6	1.7
10	10	3	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_20] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Municipal decision-makers

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Municipal decision-makers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.9	–
4	4	114	11.3	11.7
5	5	166	16.4	17.1
6	6	267	26.4	27.5
7	7	283	28.0	29.1
8	8	129	12.8	13.3
9	9	10	1.0	1.0
10	10	3	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_21] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Trade unions

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Trade unions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	3.7	–
4	4	128	12.7	13.1
5	5	164	16.2	16.8
6	6	191	18.9	19.6
7	7	262	25.9	26.9
8	8	171	16.9	17.6
9	9	43	4.3	4.4
10	10	15	1.5	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_22] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Employers' organisations

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Employers' organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	4.6	–
4	4	102	10.1	10.6
5	5	178	17.6	18.5
6	6	261	25.8	27.1
7	7	265	26.2	27.5
8	8	120	11.9	12.4
9	9	28	2.8	2.9
10	10	10	1.0	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_23] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Banks

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Banks

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	3.3	–
4	4	478	47.3	48.9
5	5	220	21.8	22.5
6	6	159	15.7	16.3
7	7	79	7.8	8.1
8	8	27	2.7	2.8
9	9	9	0.9	0.9
10	10	6	0.6	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_24] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Politicians in general

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Politicians in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	3.7	–
4	4	307	30.4	31.5
5	5	256	25.3	26.3
6	6	241	23.8	24.7
7	7	139	13.7	14.3
8	8	25	2.5	2.6
9	9	2	0.2	0.2
10	10	4	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_25] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Newspapers

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	3.5	–
4	4	34	3.4	3.5
5	5	69	6.8	7.1
6	6	158	15.6	16.2
7	7	307	30.4	31.5
8	8	291	28.8	29.8
9	9	97	9.6	9.9
10	10	20	2.0	2.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_26] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company)

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	3.5	–
4	4	39	3.9	4.0
5	5	59	5.8	6.0
6	6	125	12.4	12.8
7	7	294	29.1	30.1
8	8	302	29.9	30.9
9	9	132	13.1	13.5
10	10	25	2.5	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_27] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: The media in general

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: The media in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	3.2	–
4	4	27	2.7	2.8
5	5	55	5.4	5.6
6	6	130	12.9	13.3
7	7	311	30.8	31.8
8	8	317	31.4	32.4
9	9	117	11.6	12.0
10	10	22	2.2	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q13_28] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Environmental protection authorities

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Environmental protection authorities

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	3.5	–
4	4	50	4.9	5.1
5	5	84	8.3	8.6
6	6	169	16.7	17.3
7	7	326	32.2	33.4
8	8	274	27.1	28.1
9	9	53	5.2	5.4
10	10	20	2.0	2.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q14_1] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a welfare state

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a welfare state

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.6	–
4	4	45	4.5	4.6
5	5	81	8.0	8.2
6	6	151	14.9	15.3
7	7	257	25.4	26.1
8	8	285	28.2	29.0
9	9	137	13.6	13.9
10	10	28	2.8	2.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q14_2] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a civilised state

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a civilised state

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.7	–
4	4	15	1.5	1.5
5	5	37	3.7	3.8
6	6	99	9.8	10.1
7	7	207	20.5	21.0
8	8	374	37.0	38.0
9	9	210	20.8	21.3
10	10	42	4.2	4.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q14_3] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a constitutional state

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a constitutional state

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	3.0	–
4	4	55	5.4	5.6
5	5	76	7.5	7.7
6	6	115	11.4	11.7
7	7	223	22.1	22.7
8	8	308	30.5	31.4
9	9	172	17.0	17.5
10	10	32	3.2	3.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q14_4] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a democracy

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a democracy

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	3.3	–
4	4	50	4.9	5.1
5	5	88	8.7	9.0
6	6	142	14.0	14.5
7	7	274	27.1	28.0
8	8	262	25.9	26.8
9	9	133	13.2	13.6
10	10	29	2.9	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q14_5] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The intellectual and spiritual atmosphere of Finland

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The intellectual and spiritual atmosphere of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.9	–
4	4	91	9.0	9.3
5	5	169	16.7	17.2
6	6	272	26.9	27.7
7	7	268	26.5	27.3
8	8	152	15.0	15.5
9	9	26	2.6	2.6
10	10	4	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q14_6] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The economic development prospects for the future of Finland

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The economic development prospects for the future of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	3.1	–
4	4	108	10.7	11.0
5	5	156	15.4	15.9
6	6	291	28.8	29.7
7	7	250	24.7	25.5
8	8	140	13.8	14.3
9	9	31	3.1	3.2
10	10	4	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q14_7] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The social development prospects for the future of Finland

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The social development prospects for the future of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	3.1	–
4	4	116	11.5	11.8
5	5	188	18.6	19.2
6	6	280	27.7	28.6
7	7	253	25.0	25.8
8	8	124	12.3	12.7
9	9	17	1.7	1.7
10	10	2	0.2	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[Q14_8] On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The intellectual and spiritual development prospects for the future of Finland

Question

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The intellectual and spiritual development prospects for the future of Finland

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	3.1	–
4	4	102	10.1	10.4
5	5	134	13.3	13.7
6	6	230	22.7	23.5
7	7	266	26.3	27.1
8	8	194	19.2	19.8
9	9	49	4.8	5.0
10	10	5	0.5	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	12	1.2	–
Male	1	518	51.2	51.9
Female	2	481	47.6	48.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's age group

Question

Respondent's age group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	12	1.2	–
18-25 years	1	153	15.1	15.3
26-35 years	2	212	21.0	21.2
36-45 years	3	194	19.2	19.4
46-55 years	4	189	18.7	18.9
56-65 years	5	161	15.9	16.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Over 65 years	6	90	8.9	9.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[BV3] Size of the respondent's municipality of residence**Question***Size of the respondent's municipality of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.6	–
Less than 4,000 inhabitants	1	58	5.7	5.9
4,000-8,000 inhabitants	2	146	14.4	14.8
8,000-30,000 inhabitants	3	328	32.4	33.3
30,000-80,000 inhabitants	4	178	17.6	18.1
Over 80,000 inhabitants	5	275	27.2	27.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Respondent's province of residence**Question***Respondent's province of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	11	1.1	–
Uusimaa	1	243	24.0	24.3
Turku and Pori	2	140	13.8	14.0
Häme	3	151	14.9	15.1
Kymi	4	76	7.5	7.6
Mikkeli	5	39	3.9	3.9
Pohjois-Karjala	6	32	3.2	3.2
Kuopio	7	67	6.6	6.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Keski-Suomi	8	64	6.3	6.4
Vaasa	9	66	6.5	6.6
Oulu	10	74	7.3	7.4
Lappi	11	48	4.7	4.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.9	–
No professional training	1	158	15.6	15.9
Vocational course, other short-term vocational training	2	225	22.3	22.7
Vocational school, commercial school or equivalent	3	245	24.2	24.7
Vocational college	4	218	21.6	22.0
University education	5	146	14.4	14.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	11	1.1	–
Higher managerial occupation (salaried)	1	35	3.5	3.5
Lower managerial/professional occupation	2	86	8.5	8.6
Administrative, clerical, secretarial occupation	3	135	13.4	13.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Worker	4	218	21.6	21.8
Entrepreneur, self-employed	5	80	7.9	8.0
Farmer	6	49	4.8	4.9
Student	7	94	9.3	9.4
Pensioner	8	186	18.4	18.6
Housewife	9	20	2.0	2.0
Unemployed	10	84	8.3	8.4
Something else	11	13	1.3	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[BV7] Respondent's industry of employment**Question***Respondent's industry of employment***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	3.4	–
Agriculture and forestry	1	79	7.8	8.1
Industry and construction	2	166	16.4	17.0
Private service sector	3	159	15.7	16.3
Public service sector	4	262	25.9	26.8
Not in employment	5	311	30.8	31.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[BV8] Respondent's trade union membership**Question***Respondent's trade union membership***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.8	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
No	1	396	39.2	40.7
Yes, member in	2	28	2.8	2.9
SAK (Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions)	3	271	26.8	27.9
STTK (Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	4	127	12.6	13.1
AKAVA (Condeferation of Unions for Academic Professionals)	5	106	10.5	10.9
MTK (Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners)	6	45	4.5	4.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

[BV9] If the Parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Question

If the Parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	2.0	–
Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	1	131	13.0	13.2
National Coalition Party (KOK)	2	118	11.7	11.9
Liberal Party (LKP)	3	15	1.5	1.5
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	4	22	2.2	2.2
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	5	193	19.1	19.5
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	6	12	1.2	1.2
Finnish Rural Party (SMP)	7	11	1.1	1.1
Left Alliance (VAS)	8	44	4.4	4.4
Green League (VIHR)	9	86	8.5	8.7
Some other party/group	10	23	2.3	2.3
Wouldn't vote	11	66	6.5	6.7
Can't say	12	146	14.4	14.7
Don't want to say	13	124	12.3	12.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1011	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
What is your attitude towards Finland's EC membership, supposing it would be implemented in 1995-1996? [Q1]	6
How firm is your view on the membership issue? [Q2]	6
To what extent does your attitude towards EC membership depend on whether the EC grants Finland the dispensations/transition periods it has sought? [Q3]	7
Do you believe that Finland is able to negotiate these type of dispensations or transition periods? [Q4]	7
How interested are you personally in Finland's EC membership and the European integration? [Q5]	8
How well informed do you feel you are of these issues? [Q6]	8
If the EC membership referendum was held, how certain are you that you would vote? [Q7] .	9
In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland will join the EC over the next few years? [Q8] .	9
What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common foreign policy [Q9_1]	10
What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common security and defence policy [Q9_2]	10
What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common economic policy [Q9_3]	11
What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu) [Q9_4]	11
What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: European citizenship (supplementing national citizenship) [Q9_5]	12

3. Indexes

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: General living conditions in Finland [Q10_1]	12
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Standard of living and material welfare [Q10_2]	13
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Steady development of the national economy [Q10_3]	13
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Employment [Q10_4]	14
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Export and foreign trade [Q10_5]	14
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Business conditions in general [Q10_6]	15
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Competition in business life [Q10_7]	15
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Interest level [Q10_8]	16
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of taxation [Q10_9] ..	16
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Wage level [Q10_10]	16
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Price level in general [Q10_11]	17
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Price of food [Q10_12]	17
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Quality of food [Q10_13] ..	18
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Arts and culture [Q10_14] ..	18
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Education [Q10_15]	19
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Science and research [Q10_16]	19
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Social security [Q10_17] ..	20
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Public health services [Q10_18]	20
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Environmental protection [Q10_19]	20
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between population groups [Q10_20]	21
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between regions [Q10_21]	21
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Legal protection of citizens [Q10_22]	22
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Equality between men and women [Q10_23]	22
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: National sovereignty [Q10_24]	23

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finnish security policy [Q10_25]	23
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland's relationship to Russia [Q10_26]	24
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Nordic cooperation [Q10_27]	24
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland's image abroad [Q10_28]	25
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments [Q10_29]	25
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland [Q10_30]	25
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Public morals in general [Q10_31]	26
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Functioning of democracy [Q10_32]	26
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Citizens' possibilities to influence things [Q10_33]	27
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of crime [Q10_34] ..	27
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of bureaucracy [Q10_35]	28
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Religious/spiritual life [Q10_36]	28
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of salaried employees [Q10_37]	29
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of workers [Q10_38]	29
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of farmers [Q10_39]	29
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of pensioners [Q10_40]	30
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs [Q10_41]	30
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of children and families with children [Q10_42]	31
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of women [Q10_43]	31
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Your own circumstances and life [Q10_44]	32
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Even though joining the EC may mean	

3. Indexes

tough times for Finland, it is better to join than stay outside the Community [Q11_1]	32
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Instead of the EC, Finland should aim at closer cooperation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union [Q11_2]	33
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Concern about agriculture should not be a decisive factor when deciding on EC membership. Finnish agriculture will be restructured even without EC membership [Q11_3]	33
What is your attitude towards the following statements: It is not reasonable to bind Finland to the EC because it is such a small part of the world economy [Q11_4]	34
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The importance of neutrality has changed so much that it is no longer sensible to strictly adhere to the principle [Q11_5]	34
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The final decision on whether Finland should join the EC should be made after a consultative referendum according to the majority's opinion [Q11_6]	35
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would break the peace of Finnish society and destroy the traditional Finnish way of life [Q11_7]	35
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Spokesmen for EC membership are intentionally spreading false information in order to make citizens favourable to the membership [Q11_8]	36
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Opposition to EC membership is based more on emotions than knowledge [Q11_9]	36
What is your attitude towards the following statements: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish Parliament or in the EC as long as they are just and well-considered [Q11_10]	37
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need [Q11_11]	37
What is your attitude towards the following statements: As a result of free movement of workers, many well-educated and capable Finns would move abroad [Q11_12]	38
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland [Q11_13]	38
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would increase recycling and reuse of raw materials in Finland [Q11_14]	39
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EC member states cooperate [Q11_15]	39
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership will enable freedom of movement for students and researchers [Q11_16]	40
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Civil servants and politicians who negotiate EC membership think only about the interests of commerce and the business community and forget the interests of ordinary citizens [Q11_17]	40
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Resistance to EC membership is useless. Sooner or later Finland will join [Q11_18]	41
What is your attitude towards the following statements: By joining the EC, Finland increases its	

scope for action both in the East and in the West [Q11_19]	41
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EC wants Finland to join because it wants to get its hands on our forests and shores [Q11_20]	42
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration [Q11_21]	42
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Finland should make an independent decision on whether to join the EC. The decisions of other Nordic countries applying for the membership should have no bearing on it [Q11_22]	43
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership [Q11_23]	43
What is your attitude towards the following statements: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about issues related to EC membership [Q11_24]	44
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Many EC member states have as good or better social security than Finland [Q11_25]	44
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Joining the EC as well as staying outside it will cause difficulties for Finland. Therefore, it would be best if the EC did not exist at all [Q11_26]	45
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement [Q11_27]	45
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The result of the new Danish EC referendum held recently affected my opinion on Finland's EC membership [Q11_28]	46
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside home [Q11_29]	46
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Staying outside the EC and thereby maintaining our sovereignty is more important than securing an advantageous position for our export [Q11_30]	47
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The Finnish media is not objective in EC membership matters and restricts publication of anti-EC material [Q11_31]	47
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The development of the EC will decelerate and become more difficult in the future [Q11_32]	48
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The decision to join the EC should be made by the President and the Parliament. They have been elected to be the decision-making bodies [Q11_33]	48
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Finland will retain its national identity even as an EC member [Q11_34]	49
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EC membership issue cannot be solved by reasoning, it is a value choice in the first place [Q11_35]	49
What is your attitude towards the following statements: When deciding on EC membership, especially the opinions of young people should be taken into account, since the decision will particularly affect their future lives [Q11_36]	50
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The decision about EC membership is	

3. Indexes

Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades [Q11_37]	50
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Radio and television [Q12_1]	51
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Newspapers [Q12_2]	51
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Magazines/periodicals [Q12_3]	52
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Commercial and industrial organisations [Q12_4]	52
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Corporate managers [Q12_5]	53
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Trade unions [Q12_6]	53
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Agricultural producers [Q12_7]	54
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: President [Q12_8] .	54
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Government [Q12_9]	55
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Prime minister [Q12_10]	55
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Ministers of the Centre Party in the Government [Q12_11]	56
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Ministers of the National Coalition Party in the Government [Q12_12]	56
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Leaders of the main opposition party (the Social Democratic Party) [Q12_13]	57
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Civil servants appointed to examine the membership issue [Q12_14]	57
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: EC leaders and officials [Q12_15]	58
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Anti-EC non-governmental organisations (e.g. VEY) [Q12_16]	58
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Decision-makers in my municipality of residence [Q12_17]	59
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Friends, relatives, colleagues [Q12_18]	59
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Parliament [Q13_1]	60
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Judicial system [Q13_2]	60

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Church [Q13_3]	61
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Armed forces [Q13_4]	61
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Universities [Q13_5]	62
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Schools/education system [Q13_6]	62
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Social security system [Q13_7]	63
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Health care system [Q13_8]	63
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Police [Q13_9]	64
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Civil servants [Q13_10]	64
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: President [Q13_11]	65
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Government [Q13_12]	65
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Prime minister [Q13_13]	66
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Bank of Finland [Q13_14]	66
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Opposition parties [Q13_15]	67
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Political parties in general [Q13_16]	67
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Large companies [Q13_17]	68
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Companies and enterprises in general [Q13_18]	68
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Financial decision-makers [Q13_19]	69
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Municipal decision-makers [Q13_20]	69
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Trade unions [Q13_21]	70
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Employers' organisations [Q13_22]	70

3. Indexes

On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Banks [Q13_23]	71
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Politicians in general [Q13_24]	71
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Newspapers [Q13_25]	72
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company) [Q13_26] ...	72
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: The media in general [Q13_27]	73
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Environmental protection authorities [Q13_28]	73
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a welfare state [Q14_1]	74
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a civilised state [Q14_2]	74
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a constitutional state [Q14_3]	75
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a democracy [Q14_4]	75
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The intellectual and spiritual atmosphere of Finland [Q14_5]	76
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The economic development prospects for the future of Finland [Q14_6]	76
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The social development prospects for the future of Finland [Q14_7]	77
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The intellectual and spiritual development prospects for the future of Finland [Q14_8]	77
Respondent's gender [BV1]	78
Respondent's age group [BV2]	78
Size of the respondent's municipality of residence [BV3]	79
Respondent's province of residence [BV4]	79
Respondent's vocational education [BV5]	80
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group [BV6]	80
Respondent's industry of employment [BV7]	81
Respondent's trade union membership [BV8]	81
If the Parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [BV9]	82

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Do you believe that Finland is able to negotiate these type of dispensations or transition periods?	7
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
How firm is your view on the membership issue?	6
How interested are you personally in Finland's EC membership and the European integration?	8
How well informed do you feel you are of these issues?	8
If the EC membership referendum was held, how certain are you that you would vote?	9
If the Parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?	82
In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland will join the EC over the next few years?	9
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Armed forces	61
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Bank of Finland	66
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Banks	71
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Church	61
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Civil servants	64
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Companies and enterprises in general	68
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Employers' organisations	70
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Environmental protection authorities	73
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Financial decision-makers	69
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Government	65
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Health care system	63
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Judicial system	60
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies	

3. Indexes

have succeeded in their tasks recently: Large companies	68
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Municipal decision-makers	69
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Newspapers	72
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Opposition parties	67
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Parliament	60
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Police	64
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Political parties in general	67
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Politicians in general	71
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: President	65
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Prime minister	66
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Schools/education system	62
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Social security system	63
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: The media in general	73
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Trade unions	70
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: Universities	62
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how well would you say the following bodies have succeeded in their tasks recently: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company)	72
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a civilised state	74
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a constitutional state	75
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a democracy	75
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: As a welfare state	74
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The economic	

development prospects for the future of Finland	76
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The intellectual and spiritual atmosphere of Finland	76
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The intellectual and spiritual development prospects for the future of Finland	77
On a scale from 4 to 10 (where 10 is the best), how would you grade Finland: The social development prospects for the future of Finland	77
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Agricultural producers	54
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Anti-EC non-governmental organisations (e.g. VEY)	58
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Civil servants appointed to examine the membership issue	57
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Commercial and industrial organisations	52
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Corporate managers	53
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Decision-makers in my municipality of residence	59
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: EC leaders and officials	58
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Friends, relatives, colleagues	59
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Government	55
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Leaders of the main opposition party (the Social Democratic Party)	57
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Magazines/periodicals	52
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Ministers of the Centre Party in the Government	56
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Ministers of the National Coalition Party in the Government	56
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Newspapers	51
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: President	54
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Prime minister	55
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Radio and television	51
Reliability of information sources regarding Finland's EC membership: Trade unions	53
Respondent's age group	78
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group	80

3. Indexes

Respondent's gender	78
Respondent's industry of employment	81
Respondent's province of residence	79
Respondent's trade union membership	81
Respondent's vocational education	80
Size of the respondent's municipality of residence	79
To what extent does your attitude towards EC membership depend on whether the EC grants Finland the dispensations/transition periods it has sought?	7
What is your attitude towards Finland's EC membership, supposing it would be implemented in 1995-1996?	6
What is your attitude towards the following statements: As a result of free movement of workers, many well-educated and capable Finns would move abroad	38
What is your attitude towards the following statements: By joining the EC, Finland increases its scope for action both in the East and in the West	41
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Civil servants and politicians who negotiate EC membership think only about the interests of commerce and the business community and forget the interests of ordinary citizens	40
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Concern about agriculture should not be a decisive factor when deciding on EC membership. Finnish agriculture will be restructured even without EC membership	33
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland	38
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership	43
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement	45
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership will enable freedom of movement for students and researchers	40
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need	37
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would break the peace of Finnish society and destroy the traditional Finnish way of life	35
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside home	46
What is your attitude towards the following statements: EC membership would increase recycling and reuse of raw materials in Finland	39
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EC member states cooperate	39
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Even though joining the EC may mean	

tough times for Finland, it is better to join than stay outside the Community	32
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Finland should make an independent decision on whether to join the EC. The decisions of other Nordic countries applying for the membership should have no bearing on it	43
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Finland will retain its national identity even as an EC member	49
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Instead of the EC, Finland should aim at closer cooperation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union	33
What is your attitude towards the following statements: It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish Parliament or in the EC as long as they are just and well-considered ..	37
What is your attitude towards the following statements: It is not reasonable to bind Finland to the EC because it is such a small part of the world economy	34
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Joining the EC as well as staying outside it will cause difficulties for Finland. Therefore, it would be best if the EC did not exist at all	45
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Many EC member states have as good or better social security than Finland	44
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Opposition to EC membership is based more on emotions than knowledge	36
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Resistance to EC membership is useless. Sooner or later Finland will join	41
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Spokesmen for EC membership are intentionally spreading false information in order to make citizens favourable to the membership	36
What is your attitude towards the following statements: Staying outside the EC and thereby maintaining our sovereignty is more important than securing an advantageous position for our export	47
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The decision about EC membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades	50
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The decision to join the EC should be made by the President and the Parliament. They have been elected to be the decision-making bodies	48
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The development of the EC will decelerate and become more difficult in the future	48
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EC membership issue cannot be solved by reasoning, it is a value choice in the first place	49
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EC wants Finland to join because it wants to get its hands on our forests and shores	42
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration	42
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The final decision on whether Finland should join the EC should be made after a consultative referendum according to the majority's	

3. Indexes

opinion	35
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The Finnish media is not objective in EC membership matters and restricts publication of anti-EC material	47
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The importance of neutrality has changed so much that it is no longer sensible to strictly adhere to the principle	34
What is your attitude towards the following statements: The result of the new Danish EC referendum held recently affected my opinion on Finland's EC membership	46
What is your attitude towards the following statements: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about issues related to EC membership	44
What is your attitude towards the following statements: When deciding on EC membership, especially the opinions of young people should be taken into account, since the decision will particularly affect their future lives	50
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Arts and culture	18
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Business conditions in general	15
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of children and families with children	31
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of farmers .	29
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of pensioners	30
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of salaried employees	29
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs	30
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of women ..	31
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Circumstances of workers .	29
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Citizens' possibilities to influence things	27
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Competition in business life	15
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between population groups	21
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between regions	21
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Education	19
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Employment	14
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Environmental protection ..	20
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Equality between men and women	22
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Export and foreign trade ..	14

What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments	25
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland's image abroad ...	25
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finland's relationship to Russia	24
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Finnish security policy	23
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Functioning of democracy .	26
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: General living conditions in Finland	12
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland	25
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Interest level	16
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Legal protection of citizens	22
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of bureaucracy	28
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of crime	27
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Level of taxation	16
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: National sovereignty	23
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Nordic cooperation	24
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Price level in general	17
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Price of food	17
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Public health services	20
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Public morals in general ...	26
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Quality of food	18
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Religious/spiritual life	28
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Science and research	19
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Social security	20
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Standard of living and material welfare	13
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Steady development of the national economy	13
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Wage level	16
What kind of impact would Finland's EC membership have on: Your own circumstances and life	32
What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common economic policy	11
What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common foreign policy	10

3. Indexes

What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Common security and defence policy 10

What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: European citizenship (supplementing national citizenship) 12

What would be your opinion if the following EC integration policy applied to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu) 11

Appendix A

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD2190 EVAn EU-asennetutkimus kevät 1993

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD2190 Finnish EU Attitudes Spring 1993

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>



Pyydämme Teitä vastaamaan jokaiseen kysymykseen rengastamalla sen vaihtoehdon, joka vastaa Teidän henkilökohtaista mielipidettänne.

Aihealue I: Suomi ja EY

1. SUOMI KÄY PARHAILLAAN EY-JÄSENYYSNEUVOITTELUJA. MIKÄ ON TEIDÄN KANTANNE MAAMME EY-JÄSENYYTEEN? (OLETTAEN ETTÄ SE TOTEUTUISI NOIN V. 1995-1996)

- 1 KANNATAN SUOMEN EY- JÄSENYYTTÄ
- 2 VASTUSTAN SUOMEN EY- JÄSENYYTTÄ
- 3 EN OSAA SANOA

2. KUINKA VARMA MIELIPIDE/SELVÄ KANTA TEILLÄ ON ASIASTA?

- 1 TÄYSIN SELVÄ KANTA
- 2 MELKO SELVÄ KANTA
- 3 EI KOVIN SELVÄÄ KANTAA
- 4 OLEN HYVIN EPÄVARMA KANNASTANI
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

3. MISSÄ MÄÄRIN EY- KANTANNE RIIPPUU NS. REUNA-EHTOJEN TOTEUTUMISESTA ELI SIITÄ, SAAKO SUOMI EY- NEUVOTTELUISSA LÄPI HALUAMANSI ERIVAPAUDET/SIIRTYMÄAJAT? (KOSKIEN ESIM. MAATALOUTTA, ALUETUKEA, ELÄKEJÄRJESTELMIÄ JA PUOLUEETTOMUUTTA)

- 1 RIIPPUU TÄYSIN
- 2 RIIPPUU JONKIN VERRAN
- 3 EI RIIPU LAINKAAN
- 4 EN OSAA SANOA

4. USKOTTEKO ETTÄ SUOMI SAA NEUVOTELTUA ITSELLEEN TÄLLAISIA ERIVAPAUKSIA TAI SIIRTYMÄAIKOJA?

- 1 SUOMI SAA HUOMATTAVIA ERIVAPAUKSIA/ PITKIÄ SIIRTYMÄAIKOJA
- 2 SUOMI SAA VÄHÄISIÄ ERIVAPAUKSIA/ LYHYITÄ SIIRTYMÄAIKOJA
- 3 SUOMI EI SAA MINKÄÄNLAISIA ERIVAPAUKSIA, EY SANELEE JÄSENYYSEHDOT KOKONAAN
- 4 EN OSAA SANOA

5. KUINKA KIINNOSTUNUT TE HENKILÖKOHTAISESTI OLETTE MAAMME EY- JÄSENYYTEEN JA EUROOPAN YHDENTYMISKEHITYKSEEN LIITTYVISTÄ ASIOISTA?

- 1 ERITTÄIN KIINNOSTUNUT, SEURAAAN AKTIIVISESTI
- 2 MELKO KIINNOSTUNUT, SEURAAAN JONKIN VERRAN
- 3 VAIN VÄHÄN KIINNOSTUNUT, EN JUURI SEURAA
- 4 EN LAINKAAN KIINNOSTUNUT, EN SEURAA LAINKAAN
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

6. ENTÄ KUINKA HYVIN TAI HUONOSTI KATSOTTE TUNTEVANNEN KYSEISIÄ ASIOITA? OLETTEKO NIISTÄ MIELESTÄNNE PERILLÄ ...

- 1 ERITTÄIN HYVIN
- 2 MELKO HYVIN
- 3 MELKO HUONOSTI
- 4 ERITTÄIN HUONOSTI
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

7. MIKÄLI MAASSAMME JÄRJESTETÄÄN EY-KANSANÄÄNESTYS, KUINKA VARMANA PIDÄTTE SITÄ ETTÄ KÄYTTE ÄÄNESTÄMÄSSÄ?

- 1 ÄÄNESTÄN VARMASTI
- 2 MELKO VARMASTI
- 3 EHKÄ ÄÄNESTÄN, EHKÄ EN
- 4 TODENNÄKÖISESTI EN ÄÄNESTÄ
- 5 EN VARMASTI ÄÄNESTÄ
- 6 EN OSAA SANOA

8. KUINKA TODENNÄKÖISENÄ PIDÄTTE SITÄ, ETTÄ SUOMESTA TULEE LÄHIVUOSINA EYn JÄSEN?

- 1 TOTEUTUU VARMASTI
- 2 HYVIN TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 3 MELKO TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 4 EI KOVIN TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 5 HYVIN EPÄTODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 6 EI VARMASTI TOTEUDU
- 7 EN OSAA SANOA

9. EY ON NYKYISIN PAITSI LAAJENTUMASSA OTTAMALLA UUSIA JÄSENIÄ, MYÖS TIIVISTÄMÄSSÄ JÄSENMAIDENSA VÄLISTÄ INTEGRATIOTA (ns. MAASTRICHTIN SOPIMUKSEN MUKAISESTI).

EYn TIIVISTÄMINEN TÄHTÄÄ EUROOPAN UNIONIN (LIITON) MUODOSTAMISEEN, JONKA KESKEISET PERIAATTEET ON LUETeltu SEURAAVASSA. MITEN TE SUHTAUDUTTE SIIHEN, ETTÄ NÄMÄ PERIAATTEET TOTEUTETTAISIIN/KOSKISIVAT JOSKUS SUOMEA?

	ERITTÄIN MYÖNTEI-SESTI	MELKO MYÖNTEI-SESTI	MELKO KIELTEI-SESTI	ERITTÄIN KIELTEI-SESTI	EN OSAA SANOA
JÄSENMAIDEN YHTEINEN ULKOPOLITIIKKA	1	2	3	4	5
YHTEINEN TURVALLISUUS- JA PUOLUSTUSPOLITIIKKA	1	2	3	4	5
JÄSENMAIDEN YHTEINEN TALOUSPOLITIIKKA	1	2	3	4	5
JÄSENMAIDEN YHTEINEN VALUUTTA/RAHAYKSIKÖ (ECU)	1	2	3	4	5
YHTEINEN EY-KANSALAISSUUS (OMAN MAAN KANSALAISS. LISÄKSI)	1	2	3	4	5

10. MILLAINEN VAIKUTUS EY- JÄSENYTYDELLÄ KÄSITTÄÄKSENNE OLISI SEURAAVIIN ASIOIHIN MAASSAMME?

ASIA, JONKA KANNALTA JÄSENYTYDEN VAIKUTUSTA ARVIOIDAAN:	MYÖNTEI- NEN VAI- KUTUS	EI OLEN- NAISTA VAI- KUTUSTA	KIELTEI- NEN VAI- KUTUS	EN OSAA SANOA
ELINOLOT MAASSAMME KOKONAISUUTENA	1	2	3	4
ELINTASO JA AINEELLINEN VAURAUUS	1	2	3	4
KANSANTALouden VAKAA KEHITYS	1	2	3	4
TYÖLLISYYSTILANNE	1	2	3	4
VIENTI JA ULKOMAANKAUPPA	1	2	3	4
YRITYSTOIMINNAN VIREYS YLEENSÄ	1	2	3	4
KILPAILU YRITYSTOIMINNASSA	1	2	3	4
KORKOTASO	1	2	3	4
VEROTUKSEN TASO	1	2	3	4
PALKKATASO	1	2	3	4
HINTATASO YLEENSÄ	1	2	3	4
ELINTARVIKKEIDEN HINTATASO	1	2	3	4
ELINTARVIKKEIDEN LAATUTASO	1	2	3	4
KULTTUURILOLOT	1	2	3	4
KOULUTUSOLOLOT	1	2	3	4
TIEDE JA TUTKIMUSTOIMINTA	1	2	3	4
SOSIAALITURVA	1	2	3	4
TERVEYDENHUOLTO	1	2	3	4
YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELU	1	2	3	4
HYVINVOINTIEROT ERI VÄESTÖRYHMIEN VÄLILLÄ	1	2	3	4
HYVINVOINTIEROT MAAN ERI ALUEIDEN VÄLILLÄ	1	2	3	4
KANSALAISTEN OIKEUSTURVA	1	2	3	4
SUKUPUOLTEN TASA-ARVO	1	2	3	4
KANSALLINEN ITSEMÄÄRÄMISOIKEUS	1	2	3	4
SUOMEN TURVALLISUUSPOLIITTINEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
SUOMEN SUHTEET VENÄJÄÄN	1	2	3	4
POHJOISMAINEN YHTEISTYÖ	1	2	3	4
SUOMI -KUVA ULKOMAILLA, MAAMME IMAGO	1	2	3	4
SUOMI PÄÄOMIEN SIOITUSKOHTENA	1	2	3	4
MAAN HENKINEN ILMAPIIRI	1	2	3	4
YLEINEN YHTEISKUNTAMORAALI	1	2	3	4
DEMOKRATIAN TOIMIVUUS	1	2	3	4
YKSILÖN VAIKUTUSMAHDOLLISUUDET	1	2	3	4
RIKOLLISUUDEN MÄÄRÄ	1	2	3	4
BYROKRATIAN MÄÄRÄ	1	2	3	4
USKONNOLLINEN/ HENGELLINEN ELÄMÄ	1	2	3	4
TOIMIHENKILÖVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
TYÖNTEKIJÄVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
MAATALOUSVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
ELÄKELÄISVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
YRITTÄJIEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
LASTEN JA LAPSIPERHEIDEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
NAISTEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
OMA ASEMANNE JA ELÄMÄNNE	1	2	3	4

11. SEURAAVASSA ON JOUKKO EY-KYSYMYKSESTÄ JULKISUUDESSA ESITETTYJÄ VÄITTEITÄ. OLETTEKO SAMAA VAI ERI MIELTÄ?

V Ä I T T Ä M Ä T	OLEN TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	OLEN JOK-SEENKIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	VAIKEA SANOJA	OLEN JOK-SEENKIN ERI MIELTÄ	OLEN TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ
VAIKKA EY-JÄSENYYS VOI MERKITÄ MAALLEMME TIUKKOJA AIKOJA, VIELÄ VAIKEAMMAKSI ELÄMÄMME MUODOSTUISI EYn ULKOPUOLELLA	1	2	3	4	5
EYn SIJASTA SUOMEN PITÄISI HAKEUTUA TIIVIIMPÄÄN YHTEISTYÖHÖN VENÄJÄN JA MUIDEN ENTISEN NEUVOSTOLIITON OSIEN KANSSA	1	2	3	4	5
MAATALOUEDESTA MUREHTIMINEN EI OLE EY-KYSYMYKSESSÄ JÄRKEVÄÄ, KOSKA MAATALOUETEMME JOUTUU VÄISTÄMÄTTÄ REMONTTIIN ILMAN EY-JÄSENYTTÄKIN	1	2	3	4	5
EY ON NIIN PIENI OSA MAAILMANTALOUTTA, ETTEI SIIHEN SITOUTUMINEN OLE JÄRKEVÄÄ	1	2	3	4	5
PUOLUEETOMUUDEN MERKITYS ON VIIME AIKONA SIINÄ MÄÄRIN MUUT- TUNUT, ETTEI EHDOTON SIITÄ KIINNI PITÄMINEN OLE ENÄÄ JÄRKEVÄÄ	1	2	3	4	5
LOPULLINEN PÄÄTÖS MAAMME LIITTYMISESTÄ EYn JÄSENEKSI TULEE TEHDÄ KANSANÄÄNESTYKSEN JÄLKEEN KANSALAISTEN ENEMMISTÖN MIELIPITEEN MUKAAN	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS RIKKOISI SUOMALAISEN YHTEISKUNNAN RAUHAN JA TUHOAISI PERINTEISEN ELÄMÄNMUOTOMME	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYPDEN PUOLESTAPUHUJAT LEVITTÄVÄT TAHALLAAN VÄÄRIÄ TIETOJA ASIASTA SAADAKSEEN KANSALAISET SUOPEIKSI JÄSENYYPDELLE	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYPDEN VASTUSTUS PERUSTUU ENEMMÄNKIN TUNTEISIIN KUIN TIETÖON	1	2	3	4	5
ON OIKEASTAAN YHDENTEKEVÄÄ, SÄÄDETTÄÄNKÖ LAKEJAMME EDUSKUNNAS-SA VAIKO EYssä, KUNHAN LAIT VAIN OVAT OIKEUDENMUKAISIA JA JÄRKEVIÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS PAKOTTAA SUOMALAISET NOUDATTAMAAN MONIA SELLAISIA NORMEJA JA YKSITYISKOHTAISIA SÄÄDÖKSIÄ, JOITA EMME HALUA EMMEKÄ TARVITSE	1	2	3	4	5
TYÖMARKKINOIDEN VAPAUTUMISEN SEURAUKSENA MONET KOULUTETUT JA KYVYKKÄÄT SUOMALAISET MUUTTAISIVAT ULKOMAILLE	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENHAKEMUS ON LOUKKAUS NIITÄ KOHTAAN, JOTKA OVAT TAISTEL- LEET MAALLEMME ITSENÄISYYDEN	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYPDEN SEURAUKSENA RAAKA-AINEIDEN KIERRÄTYS JA UUSIO- KÄYTTÖ TEHOSTUISIVAT MAASSAMME	1	2	3	4	5
TEHOKAS YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELU EUROOPASSA ON MAHDOLLISTA VAIN EY- MAIDEN YHTEISVOIMIN	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS AVAA OVET OPISELKILJOILLE JA TUTKILJOILLE VAPAASTI TULLA JA MENNÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYSNEUVOTTELUJA KÄYVÄT VIRKAMIEHET JA POLIITIKOT AJAT- TELEVAT VAIN TALOUSELÄMÄN ETUJA JA UNOHTAVAT TAVALLISTEN KANSALAISTEN EDUT	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYPDEN VASTUSTUS ON TURHAA, KOSKA MAAMME ENNEMMIN TAI MYÖHEMMIN JOKA TAPAUKSESSA LIITTYY EYn JÄSENEKSI	1	2	3	4	5
LIITTYMÄLLÄ EYn JÄSENEKSI SUOMI LISÄÄ TOIMINTAMAHDOLLISUUKSIAAN SEKÄ IDÄSSÄ ETTÄ LÄNNESSÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EY HALUAA SUOMEN JÄSENEKSEEN PÄÄSTÄKSEEN KÄSIKSI METSIIMME JA RANTOIHIMME	1	2	3	4	5
ETA-SOPIMUS (EUROOPAN TALOUSALUE) ON SUOMELLE AIVAN RIITTÄVÄ, SEN TIIVIIMPÄÄ INTEGRAATIOTA MAAMME EI TARVITSE	1	2	3	4	5
EY-RATKAISUSSA SUOMEN PITÄISI TEHDÄ OMA PÄÄTÖKSENSÄ RIIPPUMATTA MUIDEN JÄSENYYPITTÄ HAKENEIDEN POHJOISMAIDEN RATKAISUISTA	1	2	3	4	5
EYn JÄSENAKSUT OVAT AIVAN LIIAN KORKEAT JÄSENYYPDESTÄ SAATAVIIN ETUIHIIN NÄHDEN	1	2	3	4	5
MAAMME EY-JÄSENYYPTEEN LIITTYVISTÄ ASIOISTA ON JOKAISEN SAATAVILLA RIITTÄVÄSTI LUOTETTAVAA TIETOA	1	2	3	4	5

V Ä I T T Ä M Ä T	OLEN TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	OLEN JOK- SEENKIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	VAIKEA SANOJA	OLEN JOK- SEENKIN ERI MIELTÄ	OLEN TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ
MONISSA EY-MAISSA ON YHTÄ HYVÄ TAI PAREMPI SOSIAALITURVA KUIN SUOMESSA	1	2	3	4	5
NIIN EY-JÄSENYYS KUIN EYn ULKOPUOLELLE JÄÄMINENKIN AIHEUTTAA SUOMELLE ONGELMIA, JOTEN PARASTA OLISI ETTEI KOKO EYtä OLISI OLEMASSAKAAN	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS ANTAA SUOMELLE ENEMMÄN VAIKUTUSVALTAA EUROOPAN ASIOISSA KUIN ETA-SOPIMUS	1	2	3	4	5
TANSKAN JUURI PIDETYN UUDEN KANSANÄÄNESTYKSEN TULOS VAIKUTTI MIELIPITEESEENI SUOMEN EY-JÄSENYYDESTÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYYS PAKOTTAISI SUOMALAISET NAISET TAKAISIN KOTIIN ANSIOTYÖSTÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EYn ULKOPUOLELLE JÄÄMINEN JA SITÄ KAUTTA ITSEMÄÄRÄÄMISOIKEUDEN SÄILYTTÄMINEN ON MEILLE TÄRKEÄMPÄÄ KUIN VIENTIETUJEMME TURVAAMINEN	1	2	3	4	5
MAAMME TIEDOTUSVÄLINEET EIVÄT TOIMI EY-KYSYMYKSESSÄ TASAPUOLISESTI, VAAN RAJOITTAVAT EY-VASTAISEN AINEISTON ESILLETULOJA	1	2	3	4	5
EYn KEHITYS HIDASTUU JA VAIKEUTUU TULEVAISUUDESSA TUNTOVASTI	1	2	3	4	5
EY-RATKAISU KUULUU PRESIDENTILLE JA EDUSKUNNALLE, JOTKA MUUTOINKIN ON VALITTU ASIOISTAMME PÄÄTTÄMÄÄN	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMI SÄILYTTÄÄ KANSALLISEN OMALEIMAISUUTENSA EYn JÄSENEÄKIN	1	2	3	4	5
KYSYMYS SUOMEN EY-JÄSENYYDESTÄ EI OLE TIEDOLLA RATKAISTAVA ASIA, VAAN ENNEN MUUTA ARVOVALINTA	1	2	3	4	5
EY-RATKAISUSSA TULISI OTTAA HUOMIOON ERITYISESTI NUORTEN MIELIPITEET, SILLÄ PITKÄLLÄ TÄHTÄYKSELLÄ PÄÄTÖS VAIKUTTAA ENITEN JUURI HEIDÄN ELINLOIHIINSA	1	2	3	4	5
EY-RATKAISU ON SUOMEN TÄRKEIN JA KAUASKANTOISIN LINJAVALINTA VUOSIKYMMENIIN	1	2	3	4	5

12. EY- Keskustelussa on esitetty ristiriitaisia tietoja siitä, mitä jäsenyys maallemme ja sen eri kansalaisryhmillä merkitsee. Kuinka luotettavina tietolähteinä pidätte seuraavia tahoja EY- jäsenyyttämme koskevan tiedon jakajina?

TIETOLÄHDE	VAR SIN LUOTET- TAVA	MELKO LUOTET- TAVA	MELKO EPÄLUO- TETTAVA	VAR SIN EPÄLUO- TETTAVA	EN OSAA SANOJA
RADIO JA TELEVISIO	1	2	3	4	5
SANOMALEHDET	1	2	3	4	5
AIKAKAUSLEHDET	1	2	3	4	5
ELINKEINOELÄMÄN JÄRJESTÖT	1	2	3	4	5
YRITYSJOHTAJAT	1	2	3	4	5
AMMATTIJÄRJESTÖT	1	2	3	4	5
MAATALOUSTUOTTAJAJÄRJESTÖT	1	2	3	4	5
PRESIDENTTI	1	2	3	4	5
HALLITUS	1	2	3	4	5
PÄÄMINISTERI	1	2	3	4	5
HALLITUKSEN Keskustalaiset ministerit	1	2	3	4	5
HALLITUKSEN Kokoomuslaiset ministerit	1	2	3	4	5
PÄÄOPPOSITIOPUOLUE SDPn JOHTO	1	2	3	4	5
JÄSENYYSASIAA SELVITTÄVÄ VIRKAMIEHISTÖ	1	2	3	4	5
EYn VIRKAMIEHET JA JOHTOHENKILÖT	1	2	3	4	5
EY-JÄSENYTTÄ VASTUSTAVAT KANSALAI SJÄRJESTÖT (mm. VEY)	1	2	3	4	5
KOTIKUNTANI PÄÄTTÄJÄT	1	2	3	4	5
YSTÄVÄT, SUKULAISET, TYÖTOVERIT	1	2	3	4	5

Aihealue II: Yhteiskunnan toimivuus - "koulutodistus" Suomelle

13. SEURAAVASSA ON LUETELTU ERÄITÄ YHTEISKUNTATAHOJA. KUINKA HYVIN TAI HUONOSTI NE TEIDÄN MIELESTÄNNE OVAT VIIME AIKONA HOITANEET OMAN TEHTÄVÄNSÄ? ARVIOIKAA KUTAKIN ERIKSEEN KOULUARVOSANA-ASTEIKOLLA (4-10).

ARVOSANA TEHTÄVIEN HOIDOSTA:

EDUSKUNTA	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
OIKEUSLAITOS	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
KIRKKO	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
PUOLUSTUSVOIMAT	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
YLIOPISTOT JA KORKEAKOULUT	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
KOULUT/KOULUTUSJÄRJESTELMÄ	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SOSIAALITURVAJÄRJESTELMÄ	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TERVEYDENHUOLTOJÄRJESTELMÄ	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
POLIISI	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
VIRKAMIEHISTÖ	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
PRESIDENTTI	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
HALLITUS	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
PÄÄMINISTERI	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SUOMEN PANKKI	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
OPPOSITIOPUOLUEET	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
PUOLUEET YLEENSÄ	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SUURYRITYKSET	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
YRITYKSET YLEENSÄ	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TALOUSELÄMÄN PÄÄTTÄJÄT	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
KUNTIEN PÄÄTTÄJÄT	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
AMMATTIYHDISTYSLIIKE	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TYÖNANTAJAJÄRJESTÖT	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
PANKIT	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
POLIITIKOT YLEENSÄ	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SANOMALEHDISTÖ	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
YLEISRADIO	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TIEDOTUSVÄLINEET YLEENSÄ	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELUVIRANOMAISET	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

14. ENTÄ MILLAISEN ARVOSANAN ANTAISITTE SUOMELLE SEURAAVISTA ASIOISTA?

ARVOSANA:

SUOMI HYVINVOINTIVALTIONA	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SUOMI SIVISTYSVALTIONA	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SUOMI OIKEUSVALTIONA	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SUOMI DEMOKRATIANA	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SUOMEN HENKINEN ILMAPIIRI	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SUOMEN TALOUDELLISET KEHITYSNÄKYMÄT	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SUOMEN SOSIAALISET KEHITYSNÄKYMÄT	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SUOMEN HENKISET KEHITYSNÄKYMÄT	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

VASTAAJIEN TAUSTATIEDOT AINEISTON TILASTOLLISTA RYHMITTELYÄ VARTEN

SUKUPUOLI

- 1 MIES
- 2 NAINEN

IKÄRYHMÄ

- 1 18 - 25 VUOTTA
- 2 26 - 35 VUOTTA
- 3 36 - 45 VUOTTA
- 4 46 - 55 VUOTTA
- 5 56 - 65 VUOTTA
- 6 YLI 65 VUOTTA

ASUINKUNNAN KOKO

- 1 ALLE 4 000 ASUKASTA
- 2 4 000 - 8 000 ASUKASTA
- 3 8 000 - 30 000 ASUKASTA
- 4 30 000 - 80 000 ASUKASTA
- 5 YLI 80 000 ASUKASTA

LÄÄNI, JOSSA ASUTTE

- 1 UUDENMAAN LÄÄNI
- 2 TURUN JA PORIN LÄÄNI
- 3 HÄMEEN LÄÄNI
- 4 KYMEN LÄÄNI
- 5 MIKKELIN LÄÄNI
- 6 POHJOIS-KARJALAN LÄÄNI
- 7 KUOPION LÄÄNI
- 8 KESKI-SUOMEN LÄÄNI
- 9 VAASAN LÄÄNI
- 10 OULUN LÄÄNI
- 11 LAPIN LÄÄNI

MILLAINEN AMMATILLINEN KOULUTUS TEILLÄ ON?

- 1 EI AMMATILLISTA KOULUTUSTA
- 2 AMMATTIKURSSI, LYHYT AMMATTIKOULUTUS, TYÖN OHESSA SAATU KOULUTUS
- 3 AMMATTIKOULU, KAUPPAKOULU TAI VASTAAVA
- 4 OPISTOTASOINEN AMMATTIKOULUTUS
- 5 YLIOPISTO- TAI KORKEAKOULUTUTKINTO

AMMATTIRYHMÄ, JOHON KATSOTTE LÄHINNÄ KUULUVANNE

- 1 JOHTAVASSA ASEMASSA TOISEN PALVELUKSESSA
- 2 YLEMPI TOIMIHENKILÖ
- 3 ALEMPI TOIMIHENKILÖ
- 4 TYÖNTEKIJÄ
- 5 YRITTÄJÄ TAI YKSITYINEN AMMATINHARJOITTAJA
- 6 MAATALOUSYRITTÄJÄ
- 7 OPISKELIJA
- 8 ELÄKELÄINEN
- 9 KOTIÄITI /-ROUVA
- 10 TYÖTÖN
- 11 MUU

TOIMIALA, JOLLA TYÖSKENTELETTE

- 1 MAA- JA METSÄTALOUS
- 2 TEOLLISUUS JA RAKENNUSTOIMINTA
- 3 YKSITYINEN PALVELUTOIMINTA
- 4 JULKINEN PALVELUTOIMINTA
- 5 EN OLE MUKANA TYÖELÄMÄSSÄ

KUULUTTEKO JOHONKIN AMMATILLISEEN KESKUS- JÄRJESTÖÖN?

- 1 EN KUULU
- 2 KYLLÄ, AMMATILLINEN KESKUSJÄRJESTÖNI ON
 - A) SAK
 - B) STTK
 - C) AKAVA
 - D) MTK

JOS EDUSKUNTAVAALIT PIDETTÄISIIN NYT, MITÄ PUOLUETTA ÄÄNESTÄISITTE?

- 1 KESK
- 2 KOK
- 3 LKP
- 4 RKP
- 5 SDP
- 6 SKL
- 7 SMP
- 8 VASEMMISTOLIITTO
- 9 VIHREÄT
- 10 JOKIN MUU
- 11 EN ÄÄNESTÄISI LAINKAAN
- 12 EN OSAA SANOA
- 13 EN HALUA SANOA

LOMAKKEEN LOPPUUN OLEMME VARANNEET TILAA KOMMENTEILLE JA MIELIPITEILLE. VOITTE KIRJOITTA SIIHEN MITÄ TAHANSA NÄKEMYKSIÄNNE MAAMME ASIOISTA TAI TÄSTÄ TUTKIMUKSESTA. KAIKKI MIELIPITEET OVAT Tervetulleita JA ARVOKKAITA!

KIITOKSET VAIVANNÄÖSTÄ!

Palauttakaa tämä lomake oheisessa kirjekuoressa.