

FSD2191

Finnish EU Attitudes Autumn 1994

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish EU Attitudes Autumn 1994 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (23.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD2191 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA) & Yhdyskuntatutkimus:
Finnish EU Attitudes Autumn 1994 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-23). Finnish
Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD2191>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Study description | 1 |
| 1.1 | Titles | 1 |
| 1.2 | Subject description | 1 |
| 1.3 | Structure and collection of the data | 3 |
| 1.4 | Use of data | 3 |
| 2 | Variables | 5 |
| 3 | Indexes | 79 |
| 3.1 | Variables in the order of occurrence | 79 |
| 3.2 | Variables in alphabetical order | 87 |
| A | Questionnaire in Finnish | 95 |

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish EU Attitudes Autumn 1994

Titles and data version in Finnish: EVAn EU-asennetutkimus syksy 1994

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (23.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA)
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Kiljunen, Pentti (Yhdyskuntatutkimus)

Date of deposit

16.5.2006

Keywords

constitutions; European integration; European Union; referendums

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: government, political systems and organisations; international politics and organisations; political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish EU Attitudes

The research series, launched by the Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA) in 1992, studies Finnish public opinion on EU integration. Views are probed on Finland's EU membership, the future and enlargement of the EU, European economic and fiscal policy, EMU, the European Parliament, Finnish security policy, EU subsidies and European integration as a whole. In addition, the surveys canvass citizen satisfaction with the availability of EU-related information, as well as with the way the Finnish Government and Parliament have handled EU issues.

Starting from 2001, the surveys also cover opinions on international issues in general. Background information includes age, gender, education, economic activity, occupational group and trade union membership. Respondents have been asked which party they would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at the time of the study. The surveys are commissioned by EVA, and usually carried out by Yhdyskuntatutkimus.

Abstract

The survey studied Finnish public opinion on the country's proposed membership in the European Union, and the forthcoming referendum on EU membership. Finnish perceptions of the EU were also charted.

The respondents were asked whether they thought Finland should join the EU or not, how firm their opinion was, and how dependent on the issue of agricultural subsidies. Opinions on agricultural/farming subsidies in general were queried. The respondents were asked how interested they were in issues connected with the EU and European integration process, and how well informed they were of these issues. One question asked whether they intended to vote in the referendum on EU membership. Opinions on the likelihood of Finland becoming an EU member state were charted. Regarding the European integration process, the respondents were asked how negatively or positively they viewed the possibility that Finland would participate in common foreign policy, common security and defence policy, single European currency, etc.

The survey studied perceptions of the impact EU membership would have on Finland (e.g. on standard of living, employment, business conditions, crime, social security, national sovereignty, science and research, education, disparity). The respondents were also asked to what extent they agreed with fifty attitudinal statements relating to the EU and Finland's EU membership (e.g. "If Finland did not join the EU now, it would be able to negotiate better terms of accession later" or "Compared to Finland, social security is just as good or better in many EU member states").

Perceptions of the EU were studied with the help of various characterisations (secretive, democratic, promotes welfare in Europe, unreliable negotiation partner, etc.). Finally, the respondents were asked to estimate the percentage of Finns who would vote against the membership in the referendum.

Background variables included the respondent's gender, age group, size of the municipality, province of residence, vocational education, economic activity, employment sector, trade union membership, and which political party R would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at that time.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: People aged 18 - 70 resident in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 15.8.1994 – 20.9.1994

Data collector(s): Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Data producer(s): Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies

Mode of data collection: Self-administered questionnaire: Paper

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 1994

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 151 variables and 1930 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Simple random

The sample includes both Swedish-speaking and Finnish-speaking persons aged 18-70, and is representative of the Finnish population in terms of key demographic factors.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

Responses to an open-ended question asking for comments have been removed from the data.

Related publications

Haikonen, Jyrki & Kiljunen, Pentti (2003). Mitä mieltä, suomalainen? EVA:n asennetutkimuksen kertomaa vuosilta 1984-2003. Helsinki: Taloustieto. EVA-raportti.

Suomalaisten EU-kannanotot: syksy 1994 (1994). Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta (EVA).

1. Study description

Tuokko, Katja (2012) From Left to West: The position of Vasemmistoliitto on European integration in 1990 - 1998. Poliittisen historian pro gradu -tutkielma. Helsingin yliopisto. hdl:10138/37140

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD2191?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

| statistic | value |
|-----------------------|---------|
| number of valid cases | 1930 |
| minimum | 2191.00 |
| maximum | 2191.00 |
| mean | 2191.00 |
| standard deviation | 0.00 |

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

| statistic | value |
|-----------------------|-------|
| number of valid cases | 1930 |
| minimum | 2.00 |
| maximum | 2.00 |
| mean | 2.00 |
| standard deviation | 0.00 |

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

| statistic | value |
|-----------------------|---------|
| number of valid cases | 1930 |
| minimum | 1.00 |
| maximum | 1930.00 |
| mean | 965.50 |
| standard deviation | 557.29 |

[Q1] Finland will hold a referendum on the country’s EU membership in October. Do you think Finland should join the EU as proposed in the accession agreement?

Question

Finland will hold a referendum on the country’s EU membership in October. Do you think Finland should join the EU as proposed in the accession agreement?

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 6 | 0.3 | – |
| Yes | 1 | 778 | 40.3 | 40.4 |
| No | 2 | 779 | 40.4 | 40.5 |
| Can’t say | 3 | 367 | 19.0 | 19.1 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q2] How firm is your view on the membership issue?

Question

How firm is your view on the membership issue?

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 7 | 0.4 | – |
| Very firm | 1 | 804 | 41.7 | 41.8 |
| Fairly firm | 2 | 633 | 32.8 | 32.9 |
| Not very firm | 3 | 306 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
| Not at all firm | 4 | 139 | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| Can't say | 5 | 41 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q3] To what extent does your opinion on the EU membership depend on the support programme for Finnish agriculture?

Question

To what extent does your opinion on the EU membership depend on the support programme for Finnish agriculture?

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 10 | 0.5 | – |
| Very much | 1 | 135 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| To some extent | 2 | 644 | 33.4 | 33.5 |
| Not at all | 3 | 1009 | 52.3 | 52.6 |
| Can't say | 4 | 132 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q4] Are you for or against the support programme for agriculture?

Question

Are you for or against the support programme for agriculture?

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 5 | 0.3 | – |
| Totally in favour of it | 1 | 179 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| In favour to some extent | 2 | 463 | 24.0 | 24.1 |
| Neither in favour nor against | 3 | 344 | 17.8 | 17.9 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Against to some extent | 4 | 489 | 25.3 | 25.4 |
| Totally against | 5 | 323 | 16.7 | 16.8 |
| Can't say | 6 | 127 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q5] How interested are you personally of Finland's EU membership and the European integration?

Question

How interested are you personally of Finland's EU membership and the European integration?

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|--|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 7 | 0.4 | – |
| Very interested, follow the issue actively | 1 | 461 | 23.9 | 24.0 |
| Fairly interested, follow the issue to some extent | 2 | 1069 | 55.4 | 55.6 |
| Not very interested, don't follow much | 3 | 334 | 17.3 | 17.4 |
| Not at all interested, don't follow at all | 4 | 33 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Can't say | 5 | 26 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q6] How well informed do you feel you are of these issues?

Question

How well informed do you feel you are of these issues?

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 12 | 0.6 | – |
| Very well informed | 1 | 87 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Fairly well informed | 2 | 834 | 43.2 | 43.5 |
| Not very well informed | 3 | 766 | 39.7 | 39.9 |
| Not at all informed | 4 | 169 | 8.8 | 8.8 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Can't say | 5 | 62 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q7] How certain are you that you will vote in the EU membership referendum?

Question

How certain are you that you will vote in the EU membership referendum?

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 9 | 0.5 | – |
| I will definitely vote | 1 | 1321 | 68.4 | 68.8 |
| I'm fairly certain I will vote | 2 | 357 | 18.5 | 18.6 |
| Maybe I'll vote, maybe not | 3 | 171 | 8.9 | 8.9 |
| It's probable that I will not vote | 4 | 27 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| I will definitely not vote | 5 | 20 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Can't say | 6 | 25 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q8] In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland will join the EU over the next few years?

Question

In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland will join the EU over the next few years?

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 10 | 0.5 | – |
| Finland will definitely join | 1 | 263 | 13.6 | 13.7 |
| Very likely | 2 | 737 | 38.2 | 38.4 |
| Fairly likely | 3 | 555 | 28.8 | 28.9 |
| Not very likely | 4 | 141 | 7.3 | 7.3 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|----------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Not at all likely | 5 | 67 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Finland will definitely not join | 6 | 63 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Can't say | 7 | 94 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q9_1] The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common foreign policy

Question

The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common foreign policy

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 44 | 2.3 | – |
| Very positive | 1 | 154 | 8.0 | 8.2 |
| Fairly positive | 2 | 654 | 33.9 | 34.7 |
| Fairly negative | 3 | 545 | 28.2 | 28.9 |
| Very negative | 4 | 322 | 16.7 | 17.1 |
| Can't say | 5 | 211 | 10.9 | 11.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q9_2] The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common security and defence policy

Question

The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common security and defence policy

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 41 | 2.1 | – |
| Very positive | 1 | 309 | 16.0 | 16.4 |
| Fairly positive | 2 | 671 | 34.8 | 35.5 |
| Fairly negative | 3 | 391 | 20.3 | 20.7 |
| Very negative | 4 | 376 | 19.5 | 19.9 |
| Can't say | 5 | 142 | 7.4 | 7.5 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q9_3] The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common economic policy

Question

The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common economic policy

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 46 | 2.4 | – |
| Very positive | 1 | 197 | 10.2 | 10.5 |
| Fairly positive | 2 | 675 | 35.0 | 35.8 |
| Fairly negative | 3 | 501 | 26.0 | 26.6 |
| Very negative | 4 | 325 | 16.8 | 17.3 |
| Can't say | 5 | 186 | 9.6 | 9.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q9_4] The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu)

Question

The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu)

Frequencies

2. Variables

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 45 | 2.3 | – |
| Very positive | 1 | 214 | 11.1 | 11.4 |
| Fairly positive | 2 | 462 | 23.9 | 24.5 |
| Fairly negative | 3 | 464 | 24.0 | 24.6 |
| Very negative | 4 | 542 | 28.1 | 28.8 |
| Can't say | 5 | 203 | 10.5 | 10.8 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q9_5] The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: European citizenship (supplementing national citizenship)

Question

The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: European citizenship (supplementing national citizenship)

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 37 | 1.9 | – |
| Very positive | 1 | 289 | 15.0 | 15.3 |
| Fairly positive | 2 | 560 | 29.0 | 29.6 |
| Fairly negative | 3 | 381 | 19.7 | 20.1 |
| Very negative | 4 | 463 | 24.0 | 24.5 |
| Can't say | 5 | 200 | 10.4 | 10.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_1] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: General living conditions in Finland

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: General living conditions in Finland

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 106 | 5.5 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 414 | 21.5 | 22.7 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 774 | 40.1 | 42.4 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 459 | 23.8 | 25.2 |
| Can't say | 4 | 177 | 9.2 | 9.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**[Q10_2] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on:
Standard of living and material welfare**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Standard of living and material welfare

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 78 | 4.0 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 300 | 15.5 | 16.2 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 924 | 47.9 | 49.9 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 456 | 23.6 | 24.6 |
| Can't say | 4 | 172 | 8.9 | 9.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**[Q10_3] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on:
Steady development of national economy**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Steady development of national economy

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 81 | 4.2 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 516 | 26.7 | 27.9 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 688 | 35.6 | 37.2 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Negative effect | 3 | 361 | 18.7 | 19.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 284 | 14.7 | 15.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_4] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Employment

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Employment

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 66 | 3.4 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 397 | 20.6 | 21.3 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 735 | 38.1 | 39.4 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 576 | 29.8 | 30.9 |
| Can't say | 4 | 156 | 8.1 | 8.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_5] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Export and foreign trade

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Export and foreign trade

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 58 | 3.0 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 1189 | 61.6 | 63.5 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 434 | 22.5 | 23.2 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 96 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Can't say | 4 | 153 | 7.9 | 8.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_6] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Business conditions in general

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Business conditions in general

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 64 | 3.3 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 936 | 48.5 | 50.2 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 574 | 29.7 | 30.8 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 145 | 7.5 | 7.8 |
| Can't say | 4 | 211 | 10.9 | 11.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_7] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Competition in business life

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Competition in business life

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 62 | 3.2 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 918 | 47.6 | 49.1 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 446 | 23.1 | 23.9 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 289 | 15.0 | 15.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 215 | 11.1 | 11.5 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_8] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Interest level

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Interest level

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 64 | 3.3 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 424 | 22.0 | 22.7 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 678 | 35.1 | 36.3 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 253 | 13.1 | 13.6 |
| Can't say | 4 | 511 | 26.5 | 27.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_9] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of taxation

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of taxation

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 62 | 3.2 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 359 | 18.6 | 19.2 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 728 | 37.7 | 39.0 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 446 | 23.1 | 23.9 |
| Can't say | 4 | 335 | 17.4 | 17.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_10] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Wage level

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Wage level

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 60 | 3.1 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 146 | 7.6 | 7.8 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 814 | 42.2 | 43.5 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 664 | 34.4 | 35.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 246 | 12.7 | 13.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**[Q10_11] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on:
Price level in general**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Price level in general

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 67 | 3.5 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 671 | 34.8 | 36.0 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 842 | 43.6 | 45.2 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 207 | 10.7 | 11.1 |
| Can't say | 4 | 143 | 7.4 | 7.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**[Q10_12] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on:
Price of food**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Price of food

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 52 | 2.7 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 823 | 42.6 | 43.8 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| No significant effect | 2 | 765 | 39.6 | 40.7 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 178 | 9.2 | 9.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 112 | 5.8 | 6.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_13] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Quality of food

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Quality of food

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 51 | 2.6 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 162 | 8.4 | 8.6 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 652 | 33.8 | 34.7 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 945 | 49.0 | 50.3 |
| Can't say | 4 | 120 | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_14] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Arts and culture

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Arts and culture

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 562 | 29.1 | 30.0 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 819 | 42.4 | 43.7 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 227 | 11.8 | 12.1 |
| Can't say | 4 | 267 | 13.8 | 14.2 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_15] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Education

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Education

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 54 | 2.8 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 604 | 31.3 | 32.2 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 816 | 42.3 | 43.5 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 275 | 14.2 | 14.7 |
| Can't say | 4 | 181 | 9.4 | 9.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_16] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Science and research

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Science and research

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 62 | 3.2 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 944 | 48.9 | 50.5 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 555 | 28.8 | 29.7 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 122 | 6.3 | 6.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 247 | 12.8 | 13.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_17] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Social security

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Social security

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 54 | 2.8 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 43 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 568 | 29.4 | 30.3 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 1090 | 56.5 | 58.1 |
| Can't say | 4 | 175 | 9.1 | 9.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_18] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Public health services

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Public health services

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 49 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 835 | 43.3 | 44.5 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 824 | 42.7 | 43.9 |
| Can't say | 4 | 167 | 8.7 | 8.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_19] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Work environment and working conditions

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Work environment and working conditions

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 56 | 2.9 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 135 | 7.0 | 7.2 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 1029 | 53.3 | 54.9 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 486 | 25.2 | 25.9 |
| Can't say | 4 | 224 | 11.6 | 12.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_20] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Environmental protection**Question**

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Environmental protection

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 51 | 2.6 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 543 | 28.1 | 28.9 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 690 | 35.8 | 36.7 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 428 | 22.2 | 22.8 |
| Can't say | 4 | 218 | 11.3 | 11.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_21] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between population groups**Question**

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between population groups

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|----|-----|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 57 | 3.0 | – |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Positive effect | 1 | 92 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 668 | 34.6 | 35.7 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 885 | 45.9 | 47.3 |
| Can't say | 4 | 228 | 11.8 | 12.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_22] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between regions

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between regions

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 60 | 3.1 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 126 | 6.5 | 6.7 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 695 | 36.0 | 37.2 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 792 | 41.0 | 42.4 |
| Can't say | 4 | 257 | 13.3 | 13.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_23] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Citizens' right to justice

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Citizens' right to justice

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 52 | 2.7 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 219 | 11.3 | 11.7 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 882 | 45.7 | 47.0 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Negative effect | 3 | 510 | 26.4 | 27.2 |
| Can't say | 4 | 267 | 13.8 | 14.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_24] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Equality between men and women

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Equality between men and women

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 51 | 2.6 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 155 | 8.0 | 8.2 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 1008 | 52.2 | 53.6 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 507 | 26.3 | 27.0 |
| Can't say | 4 | 209 | 10.8 | 11.1 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_25] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: National sovereignty

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: National sovereignty

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|------|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 48 | 2.5 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 63 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 466 | 24.1 | 24.8 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 1191 | 61.7 | 63.3 |
| Can't say | 4 | 162 | 8.4 | 8.6 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_26] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finnish security policy

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finnish security policy

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 573 | 29.7 | 30.6 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 513 | 26.6 | 27.4 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 561 | 29.1 | 29.9 |
| Can't say | 4 | 228 | 11.8 | 12.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_27] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's relationship to Russia

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's relationship to Russia

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 53 | 2.7 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 173 | 9.0 | 9.2 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 831 | 43.1 | 44.3 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 574 | 29.7 | 30.6 |
| Can't say | 4 | 299 | 15.5 | 15.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_28] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Nordic co-operation

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Nordic co-operation

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 555 | 28.8 | 29.6 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 883 | 45.8 | 47.1 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 262 | 13.6 | 14.0 |
| Can't say | 4 | 175 | 9.1 | 9.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_29] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's image abroad

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's image abroad

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 57 | 3.0 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 878 | 45.5 | 46.9 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 683 | 35.4 | 36.5 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 142 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| Can't say | 4 | 170 | 8.8 | 9.1 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_30] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 58 | 3.0 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 736 | 38.1 | 39.3 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 646 | 33.5 | 34.5 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 213 | 11.0 | 11.4 |
| Can't say | 4 | 277 | 14.4 | 14.8 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**[Q10_31] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on:
General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 50 | 2.6 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 387 | 20.1 | 20.6 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 669 | 34.7 | 35.6 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 549 | 28.4 | 29.2 |
| Can't say | 4 | 275 | 14.2 | 14.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**[Q10_32] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on:
Public morals in general**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Public morals in general

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|----|-----|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 56 | 2.9 | – |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Positive effect | 1 | 139 | 7.2 | 7.4 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 803 | 41.6 | 42.8 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 624 | 32.3 | 33.3 |
| Can't say | 4 | 308 | 16.0 | 16.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_33] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Functioning of democracy

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Functioning of democracy

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 57 | 3.0 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 158 | 8.2 | 8.4 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 751 | 38.9 | 40.1 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 663 | 34.4 | 35.4 |
| Can't say | 4 | 301 | 15.6 | 16.1 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_34] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Citizen's possibilities to influence things

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Citizen's possibilities to influence things

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 57 | 3.0 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 117 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 650 | 33.7 | 34.7 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Negative effect | 3 | 908 | 47.0 | 48.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 198 | 10.3 | 10.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_35] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of crime

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of crime

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 120 | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 365 | 18.9 | 19.5 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 1213 | 62.8 | 64.7 |
| Can't say | 4 | 177 | 9.2 | 9.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_36] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of bureaucracy

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of bureaucracy

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 134 | 6.9 | 7.1 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 351 | 18.2 | 18.7 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 1140 | 59.1 | 60.8 |
| Can't say | 4 | 250 | 13.0 | 13.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_37] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Religious/spiritual life

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Religious/spiritual life

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 52 | 2.7 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 92 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 1114 | 57.7 | 59.3 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 246 | 12.7 | 13.1 |
| Can't say | 4 | 426 | 22.1 | 22.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_38] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of salaried employees

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of salaried employees

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 57 | 3.0 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 316 | 16.4 | 16.9 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 1011 | 52.4 | 54.0 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 241 | 12.5 | 12.9 |
| Can't say | 4 | 305 | 15.8 | 16.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_39] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of workers

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of workers

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 58 | 3.0 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 182 | 9.4 | 9.7 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 831 | 43.1 | 44.4 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 633 | 32.8 | 33.8 |
| Can't say | 4 | 226 | 11.7 | 12.1 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_40] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of farmers

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of farmers

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 166 | 8.6 | 8.9 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 368 | 19.1 | 19.6 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 1115 | 57.8 | 59.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 226 | 11.7 | 12.1 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_41] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of pensioners

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of pensioners

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 56 | 2.9 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 47 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 801 | 41.5 | 42.7 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 757 | 39.2 | 40.4 |
| Can't say | 4 | 269 | 13.9 | 14.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**[Q10_42] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on:
Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 57 | 3.0 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 752 | 39.0 | 40.1 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 607 | 31.5 | 32.4 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 283 | 14.7 | 15.1 |
| Can't say | 4 | 231 | 12.0 | 12.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**[Q10_43] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on:
Circumstances of children and families with children**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of children and families with children

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|----|-----|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 64 | 3.3 | – |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Positive effect | 1 | 85 | 4.4 | 4.6 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 831 | 43.1 | 44.5 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 679 | 35.2 | 36.4 |
| Can't say | 4 | 271 | 14.0 | 14.5 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_44] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of women

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of women

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 57 | 3.0 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 140 | 7.3 | 7.5 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 956 | 49.5 | 51.0 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 516 | 26.7 | 27.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 261 | 13.5 | 13.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q10_45] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of the youth

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of the youth

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 59 | 3.1 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 478 | 24.8 | 25.5 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 860 | 44.6 | 46.0 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 276 | 14.3 | 14.8 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Can't say | 4 | 257 | 13.3 | 13.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**[Q10_46] What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on:
Your own circumstances and life**

Question

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Your own circumstances and life

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 56 | 2.9 | – |
| Positive effect | 1 | 278 | 14.4 | 14.8 |
| No significant effect | 2 | 904 | 46.8 | 48.2 |
| Negative effect | 3 | 442 | 22.9 | 23.6 |
| Can't say | 4 | 250 | 13.0 | 13.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_1] Even though joining the EU may mean tough times for Finland, it is better to join than stay outside the Union

Question

Even though joining the EU may mean tough times for Finland, it is better to join than stay outside the Union

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 39 | 2.0 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 440 | 22.8 | 23.3 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 453 | 23.5 | 24.0 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 400 | 20.7 | 21.2 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 334 | 17.3 | 17.7 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 264 | 13.7 | 14.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_2] In Finland, the EU membership issue has turned into a disagreeable political game, and the issue itself is in danger of being forgotten

Question

In Finland, the EU membership issue has turned into a disagreeable political game, and the issue itself is in danger of being forgotten

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 43 | 2.2 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 714 | 37.0 | 37.8 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 783 | 40.6 | 41.5 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 239 | 12.4 | 12.7 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 118 | 6.1 | 6.3 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 33 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_3] The importance of neutrality has changed so much that it is no longer sensible to strictly adhere to the principle

Question

The importance of neutrality has changed so much that it is no longer sensible to strictly adhere to the principle

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 39 | 2.0 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 327 | 16.9 | 17.3 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 529 | 27.4 | 28.0 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 397 | 20.6 | 21.0 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 363 | 18.8 | 19.2 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 275 | 14.2 | 14.5 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_4] If Finland does not join the EU now it will be able to negotiate better terms of accession later

Question

If Finland does not join the EU now it will be able to negotiate better terms of accession later

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 43 | 2.2 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 180 | 9.3 | 9.5 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 210 | 10.9 | 11.1 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 747 | 38.7 | 39.6 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 400 | 20.7 | 21.2 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 350 | 18.1 | 18.5 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_5] It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU as long as they are just and well-considered

Question

It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU as long as they are just and well-considered

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 43 | 2.2 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 419 | 21.7 | 22.2 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 502 | 26.0 | 26.6 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 199 | 10.3 | 10.5 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 379 | 19.6 | 20.1 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 388 | 20.1 | 20.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_6] EU membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need

Question

EU membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 41 | 2.1 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 603 | 31.2 | 31.9 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 526 | 27.3 | 27.8 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 430 | 22.3 | 22.8 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 239 | 12.4 | 12.7 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 91 | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_7] It would be fatal for Finland not to join the EU now because it would mean having membership negotiations at the same time as Eastern European countries

Question

It would be fatal for Finland not to join the EU now because it would mean having membership negotiations at the same time as Eastern European countries

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 37 | 1.9 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 377 | 19.5 | 19.9 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 374 | 19.4 | 19.8 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 619 | 32.1 | 32.7 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 246 | 12.7 | 13.0 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 277 | 14.4 | 14.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_8] EU membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland

Question

EU membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 40 | 2.1 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 474 | 24.6 | 25.1 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 317 | 16.4 | 16.8 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 282 | 14.6 | 14.9 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 374 | 19.4 | 19.8 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 443 | 23.0 | 23.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_9] Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EU member states cooperate

Question

Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EU member states cooperate

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 45 | 2.3 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 397 | 20.6 | 21.1 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 495 | 25.6 | 26.3 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 364 | 18.9 | 19.3 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 372 | 19.3 | 19.7 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 257 | 13.3 | 13.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_10] Civil servants and politicians who promote EU membership think only about the interests of commerce and business community, forgetting the interests of ordinary citizens

Question

Civil servants and politicians who promote EU membership think only about the interests of commerce and business community, forgetting the interests of ordinary citizens

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 46 | 2.4 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 668 | 34.6 | 35.5 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 558 | 28.9 | 29.6 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 309 | 16.0 | 16.4 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 270 | 14.0 | 14.3 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 79 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_11] Even though it is officially an advisory referendum, all Members of Parliament should abide by the outcome

Question

Even though it is officially an advisory referendum, all Members of Parliament should abide by the outcome

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 48 | 2.5 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 1032 | 53.5 | 54.8 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 458 | 23.7 | 24.3 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 249 | 12.9 | 13.2 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 100 | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 43 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_12] EU member states are independent, sovereign countries which have voluntarily decided to co-operate in certain matters

Question

EU member states are independent, sovereign countries which have voluntarily decided to co-operate in certain matters

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 45 | 2.3 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 543 | 28.1 | 28.8 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 504 | 26.1 | 26.7 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 380 | 19.7 | 20.2 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 293 | 15.2 | 15.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 165 | 8.5 | 8.8 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_13] The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration

Question

The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|----|-----|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 38 | 2.0 | – |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Strongly agree | 1 | 522 | 27.0 | 27.6 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 317 | 16.4 | 16.8 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 440 | 22.8 | 23.3 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 387 | 20.1 | 20.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 226 | 11.7 | 11.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_14] EU membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership

Question

EU membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 41 | 2.1 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 767 | 39.7 | 40.6 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 372 | 19.3 | 19.7 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 537 | 27.8 | 28.4 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 142 | 7.4 | 7.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 71 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_15] There is enough reliable information available to everybody about issues related to the EU membership

Question

There is enough reliable information available to everybody about issues related to the EU membership

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|----|-----|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 41 | 2.1 | – |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Strongly agree | 1 | 244 | 12.6 | 12.9 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 348 | 18.0 | 18.4 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 321 | 16.6 | 17.0 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 499 | 25.9 | 26.4 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 477 | 24.7 | 25.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_16] If the referendums in Sweden and Norway reject EU membership, Finland should also stay outside the Union even if Finns themselves vote in favour of the membership

Question

If the referendums in Sweden and Norway reject EU membership, Finland should also stay outside the Union even if Finns themselves vote in favour of the membership

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 37 | 1.9 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 577 | 29.9 | 30.5 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 254 | 13.2 | 13.4 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 319 | 16.5 | 16.9 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 332 | 17.2 | 17.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 411 | 21.3 | 21.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_17] Many EU member states have as good or better social security than Finland

Question

Many EU member states have as good or better social security than Finland

Frequencies

2. Variables

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 45 | 2.3 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 105 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 219 | 11.3 | 11.6 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 453 | 23.5 | 24.0 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 619 | 32.1 | 32.8 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 489 | 25.3 | 25.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_18] A small political elite has long ago decided that Finland will join the EU, and the referendum is a merely facade

Question

A small political elite has long ago decided that Finland will join the EU, and the referendum is a merely facade

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 41 | 2.1 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 488 | 25.3 | 25.8 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 422 | 21.9 | 22.3 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 444 | 23.0 | 23.5 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 330 | 17.1 | 17.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 205 | 10.6 | 10.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_19] EU membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement

Question

EU membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 41 | 2.1 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 396 | 20.5 | 21.0 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 454 | 23.5 | 24.0 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 503 | 26.1 | 26.6 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 286 | 14.8 | 15.1 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 250 | 13.0 | 13.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_20] The Finnish media is not objective in EU membership matters and restricts publication of anti-EU material

Question

The Finnish media is not objective in EU membership matters and restricts publication of anti-EU material

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 44 | 2.3 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 383 | 19.8 | 20.3 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 461 | 23.9 | 24.4 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 556 | 28.8 | 29.5 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 324 | 16.8 | 17.2 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 162 | 8.4 | 8.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_21] Finland will retain its national identity even as an EU member

Question

Finland will retain its national identity even as an EU member

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 43 | 2.2 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 494 | 25.6 | 26.2 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 462 | 23.9 | 24.5 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Difficult to say | 3 | 360 | 18.7 | 19.1 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 328 | 17.0 | 17.4 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 243 | 12.6 | 12.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_22] The decision about EU membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades

Question

The decision about EU membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 46 | 2.4 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 1003 | 52.0 | 53.2 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 447 | 23.2 | 23.7 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 251 | 13.0 | 13.3 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 85 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 98 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_23] EU membership would weaken trade unions and lessen their influence in our country

Question

EU membership would weaken trade unions and lessen their influence in our country

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 40 | 2.1 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 432 | 22.4 | 22.9 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 494 | 25.6 | 26.1 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Difficult to say | 3 | 648 | 33.6 | 34.3 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 236 | 12.2 | 12.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 80 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_24] In the decision about Finland's EU membership, too much emphasis has been placed on agriculture

Question

In the decision about Finland's EU membership, too much emphasis has been placed on agriculture

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 38 | 2.0 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 823 | 42.6 | 43.5 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 540 | 28.0 | 28.5 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 214 | 11.1 | 11.3 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 194 | 10.1 | 10.3 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 121 | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_25] Assistance from the EU Structural Funds would mean considerable financial aid for the poorest regions in Finland

Question

Assistance from the EU Structural Funds would mean considerable financial aid for the poorest regions in Finland

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|-----|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 40 | 2.1 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 112 | 5.8 | 5.9 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 316 | 16.4 | 16.7 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 767 | 39.7 | 40.6 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 423 | 21.9 | 22.4 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 272 | 14.1 | 14.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_26] The EU will develop into a full-fledged federation where nation states no longer have any significance

Question

The EU will develop into a full-fledged federation where nation states no longer have any significance

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 47 | 2.4 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 340 | 17.6 | 18.1 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 392 | 20.3 | 20.8 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 557 | 28.9 | 29.6 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 426 | 22.1 | 22.6 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 168 | 8.7 | 8.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_27] The main issue of Finland's EU membership is not the economy or the extent of our sovereignty, but whether we want to be part of the west or the east

Question

The main issue of Finland's EU membership is not the economy or the extent of our sovereignty, but whether we want to be part of the west or the east

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 57 | 3.0 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 184 | 9.5 | 9.8 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 437 | 22.6 | 23.3 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 442 | 22.9 | 23.6 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 522 | 27.0 | 27.9 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 288 | 14.9 | 15.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_28] If Finland were an EU member, our trade unions would be able to influence important working life issues on an international level

Question

If Finland were an EU member, our trade unions would be able to influence important working life issues on an international level

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 48 | 2.5 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 72 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 272 | 14.1 | 14.5 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 710 | 36.8 | 37.7 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 532 | 27.6 | 28.3 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 296 | 15.3 | 15.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_29] As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EU member than to stay outside the Union

Question

As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EU member than to stay outside the Union

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|----|-----|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 63 | 3.3 | – |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Strongly agree | 1 | 431 | 22.3 | 23.1 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 491 | 25.4 | 26.3 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 449 | 23.3 | 24.0 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 288 | 14.9 | 15.4 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 208 | 10.8 | 11.1 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_30] Finland's EU membership is a threat to the future of my home region

Question

Finland's EU membership is a threat to the future of my home region

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 227 | 11.8 | 12.1 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 252 | 13.1 | 13.4 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 483 | 25.0 | 25.8 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 482 | 25.0 | 25.7 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 431 | 22.3 | 23.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_31] As far as security is concerned, EU membership would not offer Finland any kind of life insurance - instead, it would increase the tension on our eastern border

Question

As far as security is concerned, EU membership would not offer Finland any kind of life insurance - instead, it would increase the tension on our eastern border

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 250 | 13.0 | 13.3 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 367 | 19.0 | 19.6 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 532 | 27.6 | 28.4 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 469 | 24.3 | 25.0 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 257 | 13.3 | 13.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_32] EU membership would invigorate Finnish economy and speed the recovery from recession

Question

EU membership would invigorate Finnish economy and speed the recovery from recession

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 49 | 2.5 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 260 | 13.5 | 13.8 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 454 | 23.5 | 24.1 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 512 | 26.5 | 27.2 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 418 | 21.7 | 22.2 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 237 | 12.3 | 12.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_33] In all circumstances, Finland must be capable of ensuring its own security, others cannot help us

Question

In all circumstances, Finland must be capable of ensuring its own security, others cannot help us

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 565 | 29.3 | 30.1 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 599 | 31.0 | 31.9 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 278 | 14.4 | 14.8 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 333 | 17.3 | 17.8 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 100 | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_34] Even though the EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development

Question

Even though the EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 52 | 2.7 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 192 | 9.9 | 10.2 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 456 | 23.6 | 24.3 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 500 | 25.9 | 26.6 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 459 | 23.8 | 24.4 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 271 | 14.0 | 14.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_35] In the long run, all European countries, including the former socialist countries, will join the EU

Question

In the long run, all European countries, including the former socialist countries, will join the EU

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|----|-----|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 49 | 2.5 | – |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Strongly agree | 1 | 150 | 7.8 | 8.0 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 544 | 28.2 | 28.9 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 775 | 40.2 | 41.2 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 276 | 14.3 | 14.7 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 136 | 7.0 | 7.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_36] Finland's rural areas must remain populated, regardless of cost

Question

Finland's rural areas must remain populated, regardless of cost

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 56 | 2.9 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 513 | 26.6 | 27.4 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 548 | 28.4 | 29.2 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 272 | 14.1 | 14.5 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 375 | 19.4 | 20.0 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 166 | 8.6 | 8.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_37] If Finland were to remain outside the Union, the country would be defined as a fringe area isolating itself from Western Europe

Question

If Finland were to remain outside the Union, the country would be defined as a fringe area isolating itself from Western Europe

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 49 | 2.5 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 416 | 21.6 | 22.1 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 476 | 24.7 | 25.3 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 372 | 19.3 | 19.8 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 372 | 19.3 | 19.8 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 245 | 12.7 | 13.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_38] As a member of the EU, Finland would eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU)

Question

As a member of the EU, Finland would eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU)

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 48 | 2.5 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 378 | 19.6 | 20.1 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 652 | 33.8 | 34.6 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 655 | 33.9 | 34.8 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 142 | 7.4 | 7.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 55 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_39] Even if Finland remained outside the EU, it would still have to conform to most EU decisions and regulations

Question

Even if Finland remained outside the EU, it would still have to conform to most EU decisions and regulations

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 47 | 2.4 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 283 | 14.7 | 15.0 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 840 | 43.5 | 44.6 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 508 | 26.3 | 27.0 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 180 | 9.3 | 9.6 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 72 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_40] EU membership would give Finland the best chance to promote the development of Russia and the Baltic countries

Question

EU membership would give Finland the best chance to promote the development of Russia and the Baltic countries

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 51 | 2.6 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 202 | 10.5 | 10.8 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 416 | 21.6 | 22.1 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 725 | 37.6 | 38.6 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 372 | 19.3 | 19.8 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 164 | 8.5 | 8.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_41] As a small country, in practice Finland would have no chance to influence EU decisions

Question

As a small country, in practice Finland would have no chance to influence EU decisions

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 51 | 2.6 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 493 | 25.5 | 26.2 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 515 | 26.7 | 27.4 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 290 | 15.0 | 15.4 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 451 | 23.4 | 24.0 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 130 | 6.7 | 6.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_42] Even though the advantages and disadvantages of EU membership have been widely discussed, most Finns would not notice any difference in their daily lives

Question

Even though the advantages and disadvantages of EU membership have been widely discussed, most Finns would not notice any difference in their daily lives

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 48 | 2.5 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 219 | 11.3 | 11.6 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 730 | 37.8 | 38.8 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 356 | 18.4 | 18.9 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 404 | 20.9 | 21.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 173 | 9.0 | 9.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_43] EU membership would help Finland to control the activities of international criminals in our country

Question

EU membership would help Finland to control the activities of international criminals in our country

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 48 | 2.5 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 89 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 221 | 11.5 | 11.7 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 500 | 25.9 | 26.6 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 591 | 30.6 | 31.4 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 481 | 24.9 | 25.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_44] In a military crisis EU membership would oblige Finnish young men to participate in military actions of the European army

Question

In a military crisis EU membership would oblige Finnish young men to participate in military actions of the European army

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 51 | 2.6 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 356 | 18.4 | 18.9 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 495 | 25.6 | 26.3 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 586 | 30.4 | 31.2 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 292 | 15.1 | 15.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 150 | 7.8 | 8.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_45] As an EU member, Finland would be able to independently decide the level of its social security

Question

As an EU member, Finland would be able to independently decide the level of its social security

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|-----|-----|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 53 | 2.7 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 186 | 9.6 | 9.9 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 385 | 19.9 | 20.5 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 465 | 24.1 | 24.8 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 519 | 26.9 | 27.7 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 322 | 16.7 | 17.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_46] If Finland joins the EU, a significant number of public sector jobs will be lost

Question

If Finland joins the EU, a significant number of public sector jobs will be lost

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 53 | 2.7 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 235 | 12.2 | 12.5 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 394 | 20.4 | 21.0 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 757 | 39.2 | 40.3 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 386 | 20.0 | 20.6 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 105 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_47] There is no point in underestimating Finland's chances to influence EU decision-making, since Finland can influence both by its vote and by making proposals and actively participating in discussions

Question

There is no point in underestimating Finland's chances to influence EU decision-making, since Finland can influence both by its vote and by making proposals and actively participating in discussions

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 50 | 2.6 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 321 | 16.6 | 17.1 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 508 | 26.3 | 27.0 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 419 | 21.7 | 22.3 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 399 | 20.7 | 21.2 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 233 | 12.1 | 12.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_48] EU constitutes an environmental threat to Finland because in an EU idealizing free trade and economic growth environmental directives are mostly left unimplemented

Question

EU constitutes an environmental threat to Finland because in an EU idealizing free trade and economic growth environmental directives are mostly left unimplemented

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 50 | 2.6 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 236 | 12.2 | 12.6 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 404 | 20.9 | 21.5 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 540 | 28.0 | 28.7 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 505 | 26.2 | 26.9 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 195 | 10.1 | 10.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_49] If Finland joins the EU, the President of Finland should represent the country in EU summit meetings because he/she conducts Finland's foreign policy

Question

If Finland joins the EU, the President of Finland should represent the country in EU summit meetings because he/she conducts Finland's foreign policy

Frequencies

2. Variables

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 50 | 2.6 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 538 | 27.9 | 28.6 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 319 | 16.5 | 17.0 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 339 | 17.6 | 18.0 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 351 | 18.2 | 18.7 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 333 | 17.3 | 17.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q11_50] It should be the Prime Minister and not the President who represents Finland in EU summit meetings because this would enhance parliamentarism

Question

It should be the Prime Minister and not the President who represents Finland in EU summit meetings because this would enhance parliamentarism

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 54 | 2.8 | – |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 364 | 18.9 | 19.4 |
| Agree to some extent | 2 | 377 | 19.5 | 20.1 |
| Difficult to say | 3 | 434 | 22.5 | 23.1 |
| Disagree to some extent | 4 | 382 | 19.8 | 20.4 |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 319 | 16.5 | 17.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_1] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Open about its goals and activities

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Open about its goals and activities

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 247 | 12.8 | 13.2 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 753 | 39.0 | 40.2 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 672 | 34.8 | 35.8 |
| Can't say | 4 | 203 | 10.5 | 10.8 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_2] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes peace in Europe

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes peace in Europe

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 52 | 2.7 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 767 | 39.7 | 40.8 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 630 | 32.6 | 33.5 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 290 | 15.0 | 15.4 |
| Can't say | 4 | 191 | 9.9 | 10.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_3] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Takes environmental protection seriously

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Takes environmental protection seriously

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 59 | 3.1 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 534 | 27.7 | 28.5 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 676 | 35.0 | 36.1 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 433 | 22.4 | 23.1 |
| Can't say | 4 | 228 | 11.8 | 12.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_4] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Democratic

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Democratic

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 68 | 3.5 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 315 | 16.3 | 16.9 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 655 | 33.9 | 35.2 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 608 | 31.5 | 32.7 |
| Can't say | 4 | 284 | 14.7 | 15.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_5] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Withholds things

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Withholds things

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 501 | 26.0 | 26.7 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 540 | 28.0 | 28.8 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 497 | 25.8 | 26.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 337 | 17.5 | 18.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_6] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Diminishes disparity in welfare and in the standard of living between European countries

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Diminishes disparity in welfare and in the standard of living between European countries

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 459 | 23.8 | 24.5 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 671 | 34.8 | 35.8 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 526 | 27.3 | 28.1 |
| Can't say | 4 | 219 | 11.3 | 11.7 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_7] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Acts on the terms of powerful countries

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Acts on the terms of powerful countries

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 56 | 2.9 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 976 | 50.6 | 52.1 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 479 | 24.8 | 25.6 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 260 | 13.5 | 13.9 |
| Can't say | 4 | 159 | 8.2 | 8.5 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_8] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Disregards citizens' opinions

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Disregards citizens' opinions

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 57 | 3.0 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 553 | 28.7 | 29.5 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 581 | 30.1 | 31.0 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 513 | 26.6 | 27.4 |
| Can't say | 4 | 226 | 11.7 | 12.1 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_9] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Necessary to prevent Germany's intention to gain supremacy

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Necessary to prevent Germany's intention to gain supremacy

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 59 | 3.1 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 337 | 17.5 | 18.0 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 489 | 25.3 | 26.1 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 617 | 32.0 | 33.0 |
| Can't say | 4 | 428 | 22.2 | 22.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_10] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Overemphasises economic values, neglects social values

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Overemphasises economic values, neglects social values

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 64 | 3.3 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 699 | 36.2 | 37.5 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 609 | 31.6 | 32.6 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 301 | 15.6 | 16.1 |
| Can't say | 4 | 257 | 13.3 | 13.8 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_11] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Responsible

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Responsible

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 53 | 2.7 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 297 | 15.4 | 15.8 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 688 | 35.6 | 36.7 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 542 | 28.1 | 28.9 |
| Can't say | 4 | 350 | 18.1 | 18.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_12] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Bureaucratic

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Bureaucratic

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 56 | 2.9 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 1038 | 53.8 | 55.4 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 372 | 19.3 | 19.9 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 202 | 10.5 | 10.8 |
| Can't say | 4 | 262 | 13.6 | 14.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_13] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Efficiently managed**Question**

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Efficiently managed

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 54 | 2.8 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 342 | 17.7 | 18.2 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 620 | 32.1 | 33.0 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 572 | 29.6 | 30.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 342 | 17.7 | 18.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_14] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes the welfare of Europe as a whole**Question**

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes the welfare of Europe as a whole

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|------------------------|-------|----|-----|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 59 | 3.1 | – |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 496 | 25.7 | 26.5 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 642 | 33.3 | 34.3 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 510 | 26.4 | 27.3 |
| Can't say | 4 | 223 | 11.6 | 11.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_15] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Develops rapidly

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Develops rapidly

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 52 | 2.7 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 448 | 23.2 | 23.9 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 678 | 35.1 | 36.1 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 426 | 22.1 | 22.7 |
| Can't say | 4 | 326 | 16.9 | 17.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_16] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Standardizes the culture and social conditions of different countries too much

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Standardizes the culture and social conditions of different countries too much

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|--------------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 549 | 28.4 | 29.3 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 560 | 29.0 | 29.9 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 515 | 26.7 | 27.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 251 | 13.0 | 13.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_17] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Constitutes a threat to the existence of small states

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Constitutes a threat to the existence of small states

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 55 | 2.8 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 640 | 33.2 | 34.1 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 373 | 19.3 | 19.9 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 634 | 32.8 | 33.8 |
| Can't say | 4 | 228 | 11.8 | 12.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_18] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Modern-day Soviet Union, utopia doomed to failure

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Modern-day Soviet Union, utopia doomed to failure

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 63 | 3.3 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 424 | 22.0 | 22.7 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 427 | 22.1 | 22.9 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 551 | 28.5 | 29.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 465 | 24.1 | 24.9 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_19] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes interaction between citizens of different countries

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes interaction between citizens of different countries

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 65 | 3.4 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 800 | 41.5 | 42.9 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 634 | 32.8 | 34.0 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 222 | 11.5 | 11.9 |
| Can't say | 4 | 209 | 10.8 | 11.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_20] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Unreliable contracting party which one cannot even get rid of

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Unreliable contracting party which one cannot even get rid of

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 61 | 3.2 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 468 | 24.2 | 25.0 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 421 | 21.8 | 22.5 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 644 | 33.4 | 34.5 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Can't say | 4 | 336 | 17.4 | 18.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_21] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: System which enhances co-operation and distribution of work, beneficial for all

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: System which enhances co-operation and distribution of work, beneficial for all

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 67 | 3.5 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 271 | 14.0 | 14.5 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 618 | 32.0 | 33.2 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 616 | 31.9 | 33.1 |
| Can't say | 4 | 358 | 18.5 | 19.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_22] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Functions well only when the economy is strong, is disunited during economically difficult times

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Functions well only when the economy is strong, is disunited during economically difficult times

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|--------------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 70 | 3.6 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 602 | 31.2 | 32.4 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 524 | 27.2 | 28.2 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 335 | 17.4 | 18.0 |
| Can't say | 4 | 399 | 20.7 | 21.5 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_23] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Strengthens Europe's position in global competition

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Strengthens Europe's position in global competition

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 60 | 3.1 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 991 | 51.3 | 53.0 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 487 | 25.2 | 26.0 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 179 | 9.3 | 9.6 |
| Can't say | 4 | 213 | 11.0 | 11.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_24] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Declining system/becoming out-dated

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Declining system/becoming out-dated

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 64 | 3.3 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 228 | 11.8 | 12.2 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 445 | 23.1 | 23.8 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 738 | 38.2 | 39.5 |
| Can't say | 4 | 455 | 23.6 | 24.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_25] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Part of an inescapable development towards global integration

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Part of an inescapable development towards global integration

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 63 | 3.3 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 589 | 30.5 | 31.5 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 512 | 26.5 | 27.4 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 340 | 17.6 | 18.2 |
| Can't say | 4 | 426 | 22.1 | 22.8 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_26] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes the interests of business and financial community, not those of ordinary people

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes the interests of business and financial community, not those of ordinary people

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 54 | 2.8 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 824 | 42.7 | 43.9 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 585 | 30.3 | 31.2 |

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 286 | 14.8 | 15.2 |
| Can't say | 4 | 181 | 9.4 | 9.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_27] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Firm supporter of the Western civilisation and values

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Firm supporter of the Western civilisation and values

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 53 | 2.7 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 603 | 31.2 | 32.1 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 624 | 32.3 | 33.2 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 346 | 17.9 | 18.4 |
| Can't say | 4 | 304 | 15.8 | 16.2 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q12_28] How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Threatens national cultures

Question

How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Threatens national cultures

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|-----|------|------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 47 | 2.4 | – |
| Corresponds well with my views | 1 | 452 | 23.4 | 24.0 |
| Corresponds on one hand, on the other not | 2 | 425 | 22.0 | 22.6 |
| Does not correspond with my views | 3 | 679 | 35.2 | 36.1 |

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Can't say | 4 | 327 | 16.9 | 17.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[Q13_1] Your prediction of the outcome of the referendum: The percentage of votes in favour of the EU membership will be...

Question

Your prediction of the outcome of the referendum: The percentage of votes in favour of the EU membership will be...

Descriptive statistics

| statistic | value |
|-----------------------|-------|
| number of valid cases | 1868 |
| minimum | 15.00 |
| maximum | 99.00 |
| mean | 53.79 |
| standard deviation | 9.03 |

[Q13_2] Your prediction of the outcome of the referendum: The percentage of votes against the EU membership will be...

Question

Your prediction of the outcome of the referendum: The percentage of votes against the EU membership will be...

Descriptive statistics

| statistic | value |
|-----------------------|-------|
| number of valid cases | 1871 |
| minimum | 1.00 |
| maximum | 85.00 |
| mean | 46.12 |
| standard deviation | 9.04 |

[BV1] Respondent's gender**Question***Respondent's gender***Frequencies**

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 45 | 2.3 | – |
| Male | 1 | 987 | 51.1 | 52.4 |
| Female | 2 | 898 | 46.5 | 47.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[BV2] Respondent's age group**Question***Respondent's age group***Frequencies**

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 31 | 1.6 | – |
| 18 - 25 years | 1 | 298 | 15.4 | 15.7 |
| 26 - 35 years | 2 | 407 | 21.1 | 21.4 |
| 36 - 45 years | 3 | 415 | 21.5 | 21.9 |
| 46 - 55 years | 4 | 399 | 20.7 | 21.0 |
| 56 - 65 years | 5 | 267 | 13.8 | 14.1 |
| Over 65 years | 6 | 113 | 5.9 | 6.0 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[BV3] Number of inhabitants in the respondent's municipality of residence**Question***Number of inhabitants in the respondent's municipality of residence***Frequencies**

2. Variables

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-----------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 53 | 2.7 | – |
| Less than 4,000 inhabitants | 1 | 143 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| 4,000 - 8,000 inhabitants | 2 | 254 | 13.2 | 13.5 |
| 8,000 - 30,000 inhabitants | 3 | 604 | 31.3 | 32.2 |
| 30,000 - 80,000 inhabitants | 4 | 322 | 16.7 | 17.2 |
| Over 80,000 inhabitants | 5 | 554 | 28.7 | 29.5 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[BV4] Respondent's province of residence

Question

Respondent's province of residence

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 23 | 1.2 | – |
| Uusimaa | 1 | 506 | 26.2 | 26.5 |
| Turku and Pori | 2 | 260 | 13.5 | 13.6 |
| Häme | 3 | 289 | 15.0 | 15.2 |
| Kymi | 4 | 121 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Mikkeli | 5 | 82 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| Pohjois-Karjala | 6 | 68 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| Kuopio | 7 | 92 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Keski-Suomi | 8 | 116 | 6.0 | 6.1 |
| Vaasa | 9 | 143 | 7.4 | 7.5 |
| Oulu | 10 | 146 | 7.6 | 7.7 |
| Lappi | 11 | 84 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[BV5] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 34 | 1.8 | – |
| No professional training | 1 | 280 | 14.5 | 14.8 |
| Vocational course, other short-term vocational training | 2 | 406 | 21.0 | 21.4 |
| Vocational school, commercial school or equivalent | 3 | 485 | 25.1 | 25.6 |
| Vocational college | 4 | 450 | 23.3 | 23.7 |
| University education | 5 | 275 | 14.2 | 14.5 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[BV6] Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|--|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 32 | 1.7 | – |
| Higher managerial occupation (salaried) | 1 | 69 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Lower managerial/professional occupation | 2 | 195 | 10.1 | 10.3 |
| Administrative, clerical, secretarial occupation | 3 | 250 | 13.0 | 13.2 |
| Worker | 4 | 440 | 22.8 | 23.2 |
| Entrepreneur, self-employed | 5 | 161 | 8.3 | 8.5 |
| Farmer | 6 | 63 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Student | 7 | 190 | 9.8 | 10.0 |
| Pensioner | 8 | 275 | 14.2 | 14.5 |
| Housewife | 9 | 36 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Unemployed | 10 | 189 | 9.8 | 10.0 |
| Something else | 11 | 30 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[BV7] Respondent's industry of employment

Question

Respondent's industry of employment

Frequencies

2. Variables

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|---------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 70 | 3.6 | – |
| Agriculture and forestry | 1 | 113 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| Industry and construction | 2 | 355 | 18.4 | 19.1 |
| Private service sector | 3 | 318 | 16.5 | 17.1 |
| Public service sector | 4 | 488 | 25.3 | 26.2 |
| Not in employment | 5 | 586 | 30.4 | 31.5 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[BV8] Respondent's trade union membership

Question

Respondent's trade union membership

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|--|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 72 | 3.7 | – |
| No | 1 | 744 | 38.5 | 40.0 |
| Yes, member in | 2 | 56 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| SAK (Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions) | 3 | 501 | 26.0 | 27.0 |
| STTK (Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees) | 4 | 287 | 14.9 | 15.4 |
| AKAVA Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals | 5 | 218 | 11.3 | 11.7 |
| MTK (Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners) | 6 | 52 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[BV9] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Frequencies

| label | value | n | % | v. % |
|--|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Missing (User missing) | 0 | 44 | 2.3 | – |
| Centre Party of Finland (KESK) | 1 | 212 | 11.0 | 11.2 |
| National Coalition Party (KOK) | 2 | 227 | 11.8 | 12.0 |
| Liberal Party (LKP) | 3 | 16 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) | 4 | 40 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP) | 5 | 420 | 21.8 | 22.3 |
| Christian League of Finland (SKL) | 6 | 24 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Finnish Rural Party (SMP) | 7 | 11 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Left Alliance (VAS) | 8 | 88 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| Green League (VIHR) | 9 | 81 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| Some other party/group | 10 | 49 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Wouldn't vote | 11 | 89 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| Cannot say | 12 | 360 | 18.7 | 19.1 |
| Don't want to say | 13 | 269 | 13.9 | 14.3 |
| System missing (SYSMIS) | . | 0 | 0.0 | – |
| | | 1930 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

| | |
|---|----|
| FSD study number [FSD_NO] | 5 |
| FSD edition number [FSD_VR] | 5 |
| FSD case id [FSD_ID] | 6 |
| Finland will hold a referendum on the country's EU membership in October. Do you think Finland should join the EU as proposed in the accession agreement? [Q1] | 6 |
| How firm is your view on the membership issue? [Q2] | 6 |
| To what extent does your opinion on the EU membership depend on the support programme for Finnish agriculture? [Q3] | 7 |
| Are you for or against the support programme for agriculture? [Q4] | 7 |
| How interested are you personally of Finland's EU membership and the European integration? [Q5] | 8 |
| How well informed do you feel you are of these issues? [Q6] | 8 |
| How certain are you that you will vote in the EU membership referendum? [Q7] | 9 |
| In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland will join the EU over the next few years? [Q8] . | 9 |
| The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common foreign policy [Q9_1] | 10 |
| The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common security and defence policy [Q9_2] | 10 |
| The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common economic policy [Q9_3] | 11 |
| The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu) [Q9_4] | 11 |

3. Indexes

| | |
|---|----|
| The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: European citizenship (supplementing national citizenship) [Q9_5] | 12 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: General living conditions in Finland [Q10_1] | 12 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Standard of living and material welfare [Q10_2] | 13 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Steady development of national economy [Q10_3] | 13 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Employment [Q10_4] | 14 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Export and foreign trade [Q10_5] | 14 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Business conditions in general [Q10_6] | 15 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Competition in business life [Q10_7] | 15 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Interest level [Q10_8] | 16 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of taxation [Q10_9] . | 16 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Wage level [Q10_10] | 16 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Price level in general [Q10_11] | 17 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Price of food [Q10_12] ... | 17 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Quality of food [Q10_13] . | 18 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Arts and culture [Q10_14] . | 18 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Education [Q10_15] | 19 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Science and research [Q10_16] | 19 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Social security [Q10_17] .. | 20 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Public health services [Q10_18] | 20 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Work environment and working conditions [Q10_19] | 20 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Environmental protection [Q10_20] | 21 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between population groups [Q10_21] | 21 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between regions [Q10_22] | 22 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Citizens' right to justice [Q10_23] | |

22

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Equality between men and women [Q10_24] 23

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: National sovereignty [Q10_25] 23

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finnish security policy [Q10_26] 24

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's relationship to Russia [Q10_27] 24

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Nordic co-operation [Q10_28] 25

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's image abroad [Q10_29] 25

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments [Q10_30] 25

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland [Q10_31] 26

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Public morals in general [Q10_32] 26

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Functioning of democracy [Q10_33] 27

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Citizen's possibilities to influence things [Q10_34] 27

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of crime [Q10_35] .. 28

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of bureaucracy [Q10_36] 28

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Religious/spiritual life [Q10_37] 29

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of salaried employees [Q10_38] 29

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of workers [Q10_39] 30

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of farmers [Q10_40] 30

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of pensioners [Q10_41] 30

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs [Q10_42] 31

What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of children and families with children [Q10_43] 31

3. Indexes

| | |
|--|----|
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of women [Q10_44] | 32 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of the youth [Q10_45] | 32 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Your own circumstances and life [Q10_46] | 33 |
| Even though joining the EU may mean tough times for Finland, it is better to join than stay outside the Union [Q11_1] | 33 |
| In Finland, the EU membership issue has turned into a disagreeable political game, and the issue itself is in danger of being forgotten [Q11_2] | 34 |
| The importance of neutrality has changed so much that it is no longer sensible to strictly adhere to the principle [Q11_3] | 34 |
| If Finland does not join the EU now it will be able to negotiate better terms of accession later [Q11_4] | 35 |
| It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU as long as they are just and well-considered [Q11_5] | 35 |
| EU membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need [Q11_6] | 36 |
| It would be fatal for Finland not to join the EU now because it would mean having membership negotiations at the same time as Eastern European countries [Q11_7] | 36 |
| EU membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland [Q11_8] | 37 |
| Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EU member states cooperate [Q11_9] | 37 |
| Civil servants and politicians who promote EU membership think only about the interests of commerce and business community, forgetting the interests of ordinary citizens [Q11_10] . | 38 |
| Even though it is officially an advisory referendum, all Members of Parliament should abide by the outcome [Q11_11] | 38 |
| EU member states are independent, sovereign countries which have voluntarily decided to cooperate in certain matters [Q11_12] | 39 |
| The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration [Q11_13] | 39 |
| EU membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership [Q11_14] | 40 |
| There is enough reliable information available to everybody about issues related to the EU membership [Q11_15] | 40 |
| If the referendums in Sweden and Norway reject EU membership, Finland should also stay outside the Union even if Finns themselves vote in favour of the membership [Q11_16] | 41 |
| Many EU member states have as good or better social security than Finland [Q11_17] | 41 |
| A small political elite has long ago decided that Finland will join the EU, and the referendum is | |

| | |
|---|----|
| a merely facade [Q11_18] | 42 |
| EU membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement [Q11_19] | 42 |
| The Finnish media is not objective in EU membership matters and restricts publication of anti-EU material [Q11_20] | 43 |
| Finland will retain its national identity even as an EU member [Q11_21] | 43 |
| The decision about EU membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades [Q11_22] | 44 |
| EU membership would weaken trade unions and lessen their influence in our country [Q11_23] | 44 |
| In the decision about Finland's EU membership, too much emphasis has been placed on agriculture [Q11_24] | 45 |
| Assistance from the EU Structural Funds would mean considerable financial aid for the poorest regions in Finland [Q11_25] | 45 |
| The EU will develop into a full-fledged federation where nation states no longer have any significance [Q11_26] | 46 |
| The main issue of Finland's EU membership is not the economy or the extent of our sovereignty, but whether we want to be part of the west or the east [Q11_27] | 46 |
| If Finland were an EU member, our trade unions would be able to influence important working life issues on an international level [Q11_28] | 47 |
| As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EU member than to stay outside the Union [Q11_29] | 47 |
| Finland's EU membership is a threat to the future of my home region [Q11_30] | 48 |
| As far as security is concerned, EU membership would not offer Finland any kind of life insurance - instead, it would increase the tension on our eastern border [Q11_31] | 48 |
| EU membership would invigorate Finnish economy and speed the recovery from recession [Q11_32] | 49 |
| In all circumstances, Finland must be capable of ensuring its own security, others cannot help us [Q11_33] | 49 |
| Even though the EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development [Q11_34] | 50 |
| In the long run, all European countries, including the former socialist countries, will join the EU [Q11_35] | 50 |
| Finland's rural areas must remain populated, regardless of cost [Q11_36] | 51 |
| If Finland were to remain outside the Union, the country would be defined as a fringe area isolating itself from Western Europe [Q11_37] | 51 |
| As a member of the EU, Finland would eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU) [Q11_38] | 52 |
| Even if Finland remained outside the EU, it would still have to conform to most EU decisions | |

3. Indexes

| | |
|---|----|
| and regulations [Q11_39] | 52 |
| EU membership would give Finland the best chance to promote the development of Russia and the Baltic countries [Q11_40] | 53 |
| As a small country, in practice Finland would have no chance to influence EU decisions [Q11_41] | 53 |
| Even though the advantages and disadvantages of EU membership have been widely discussed, most Finns would not notice any difference in their daily lives [Q11_42] | 54 |
| EU membership would help Finland to control the activities of international criminals in our country [Q11_43] | 54 |
| In a military crisis EU membership would oblige Finnish young men to participate in military actions of the European army [Q11_44] | 55 |
| As an EU member, Finland would be able to independently decide the level of its social security [Q11_45] | 55 |
| If Finland joins the EU, a significant number of public sector jobs will be lost [Q11_46] ... | 56 |
| There is no point in underestimating Finland's chances to influence EU decision-making, since Finland can influence both by its vote and by making proposals and actively participating in discussions [Q11_47] | 56 |
| EU constitutes an environmental threat to Finland because in an EU idealizing free trade and economic growth environmental directives are mostly left unimplemented [Q11_48] | 57 |
| If Finland joins the EU, the President of Finland should represent the country in EU summit meetings because he/she conducts Finland's foreign policy [Q11_49] | 57 |
| It should be the Prime Minister and not the President who represents Finland in EU summit meetings because this would enhance parliamentarism [Q11_50] | 58 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Open about its goals and activities [Q12_1] | 58 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes peace in Europe [Q12_2] | 59 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Takes environmental protection seriously [Q12_3] | 59 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Democratic [Q12_4] | 60 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Withholds things [Q12_5] | 60 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Diminishes disparity in welfare and in the standard of living between European countries [Q12_6] | 61 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Acts on the terms of powerful countries [Q12_7] | 61 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Disregards citizens' opinions [Q12_8] | 62 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Necessary to prevent | |

| | |
|--|----|
| Germany's intention to gain supremacy [Q12_9] | 62 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Overemphasises economic values, neglects social values [Q12_10] | 63 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Responsible [Q12_11] | 63 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Bureaucratic [Q12_12] | 63 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Efficiently managed [Q12_13] | 64 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes the welfare of Europe as a whole [Q12_14] | 64 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Develops rapidly [Q12_15] | 65 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Standardizes the culture and social conditions of different countries too much [Q12_16] | 65 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Constitutes a threat to the existence of small states [Q12_17] | 66 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Modern-day Soviet Union, utopia doomed to failure [Q12_18] | 66 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes interaction between citizens of different countries [Q12_19] | 67 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Unreliable contracting party which one cannot even get rid of [Q12_20] | 67 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: System which enhances co-operation and distribution of work, beneficial for all [Q12_21] | 68 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Functions well only when the economy is strong, is disunited during economically difficult times [Q12_22] | 68 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Strengthens Europe's position in global competition [Q12_23] | 69 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Declining system/becoming out-dated [Q12_24] | 69 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Part of an inescapable development towards global integration [Q12_25] | 70 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes the interests of business and financial community, not those of ordinary people [Q12_26] | 70 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Firm supporter of the Western civilisation and values [Q12_27] | 71 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Threatens national cultures [Q12_28] | 71 |
| Your prediction of the outcome of the referendum: The percentage of votes in favour of the EU | |

3. Indexes

| | |
|--|----|
| membership will be... [Q13_1] | 72 |
| Your prediction of the outcome of the referendum: The percentage of votes against the EU membership will be... [Q13_2] | 72 |
| Respondent's gender [BV1] | 73 |
| Respondent's age group [BV2] | 73 |
| Number of inhabitants in the respondent's municipality of residence [BV3] | 73 |
| Respondent's province of residence [BV4] | 74 |
| Respondent's vocational education [BV5] | 74 |
| Respondent's economic activity and occupational group [BV6] | 75 |
| Respondent's industry of employment [BV7] | 75 |
| Respondent's trade union membership [BV8] | 76 |
| If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [BV9] | 76 |

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

| | |
|---|----|
| A small political elite has long ago decided that Finland will join the EU, and the referendum is a merely facade | 42 |
| Are you for or against the support programme for agriculture? | 7 |
| As a member of the EU, Finland would eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU) .. | 52 |
| As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EU member than to stay outside the Union | 47 |
| As a small country, in practice Finland would have no chance to influence EU decisions ... | 53 |
| As an EU member, Finland would be able to independently decide the level of its social security | 55 |
| As far as security is concerned, EU membership would not offer Finland any kind of life insurance - instead, it would increase the tension on our eastern border | 48 |
| Assistance from the EU Structural Funds would mean considerable financial aid for the poorest regions in Finland | 45 |
| Civil servants and politicians who promote EU membership think only about the interests of commerce and business community, forgetting the interests of ordinary citizens | 38 |
| Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EU member states cooperate | 37 |
| EU constitutes an environmental threat to Finland because in an EU idealizing free trade and economic growth environmental directives are mostly left unimplemented | 57 |
| EU member states are independent, sovereign countries which have voluntarily decided to cooperate in certain matters | 39 |
| EU membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland | 37 |
| EU membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership | 40 |
| EU membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement | 42 |
| EU membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need | 36 |
| EU membership would give Finland the best chance to promote the development of Russia and the Baltic countries | 53 |
| EU membership would help Finland to control the activities of international criminals in our country | 54 |
| EU membership would invigorate Finnish economy and speed the recovery from recession | 49 |
| EU membership would weaken trade unions and lessen their influence in our country | 44 |
| Even if Finland remained outside the EU, it would still have to conform to most EU decisions and regulations | 52 |

3. Indexes

| | |
|--|----|
| Even though it is officially an advisory referendum, all Members of Parliament should abide by the outcome | 38 |
| Even though joining the EU may mean tough times for Finland, it is better to join than stay outside the Union | 33 |
| Even though the advantages and disadvantages of EU membership have been widely discussed, most Finns would not notice any difference in their daily lives | 54 |
| Even though the EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development | 50 |
| Finland will hold a referendum on the country's EU membership in October. Do you think Finland should join the EU as proposed in the accession agreement? | 6 |
| Finland will retain its national identity even as an EU member | 43 |
| Finland's EU membership is a threat to the future of my home region | 48 |
| Finland's rural areas must remain populated, regardless of cost | 51 |
| FSD case id | 6 |
| FSD edition number | 5 |
| FSD study number | 5 |
| How certain are you that you will vote in the EU membership referendum? | 9 |
| How firm is your view on the membership issue? | 6 |
| How interested are you personally of Finland's EU membership and the European integration? | 8 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Acts on the terms of powerful countries | 61 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Bureaucratic | 63 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Constitutes a threat to the existence of small states | 66 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Declining system/becoming out-dated | 69 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Democratic | 60 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Develops rapidly | 65 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Diminishes disparity in welfare and in the standard of living between European countries | 61 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Disregards citizens' opinions | 62 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Efficiently managed | 64 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Firm supporter of the Western civilisation and values | 71 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Functions well only | |

| | |
|--|----|
| when the economy is strong, is disunited during economically difficult times | 68 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Modern-day Soviet Union, utopia doomed to failure | 66 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Necessary to prevent Germany's intention to gain supremacy | 62 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Open about its goals and activities | 58 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Overemphasises economic values, neglects social values | 63 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Part of an inescapable development towards global integration | 70 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes interaction between citizens of different countries | 67 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes peace in Europe | 59 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes the interests of business and financial community, not those of ordinary people | 70 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Promotes the welfare of Europe as a whole | 64 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Responsible | 63 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Standardizes the culture and social conditions of different countries too much | 65 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Strengthens Europe's position in global competition | 69 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: System which enhances co-operation and distribution of work, beneficial for all | 68 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Takes environmental protection seriously | 59 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Threatens national cultures | 71 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Unreliable contracting party which one cannot even get rid of | 67 |
| How well does the following correspond with your own views of the EU: Withholds things | 60 |
| How well informed do you feel you are of these issues? | 8 |
| If Finland does not join the EU now it will be able to negotiate better terms of accession later | 35 |
| If Finland joins the EU, a significant number of public sector jobs will be lost | 56 |
| If Finland joins the EU, the President of Finland should represent the country in EU summit meetings because he/she conducts Finland's foreign policy | 57 |

3. Indexes

| | |
|--|----|
| If Finland were an EU member, our trade unions would be able to influence important working life issues on an international level | 47 |
| If Finland were to remain outside the Union, the country would be defined as a fringe area isolating itself from Western Europe | 51 |
| If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? | 76 |
| If the referendums in Sweden and Norway reject EU membership, Finland should also stay outside the Union even if Finns themselves vote in favour of the membership | 41 |
| In a military crisis EU membership would oblige Finnish young men to participate in military actions of the European army | 55 |
| In all circumstances, Finland must be capable of ensuring its own security, others cannot help us | 49 |
| In Finland, the EU membership issue has turned into a disagreeable political game, and the issue itself is in danger of being forgotten | 34 |
| In the decision about Finland's EU membership, too much emphasis has been placed on agriculture | 45 |
| In the long run, all European countries, including the former socialist countries, will join the EU | 50 |
| In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland will join the EU over the next few years? | 9 |
| It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU as long as they are just and well-considered | 35 |
| It should be the Prime Minister and not the President who represents Finland in EU summit meetings because this would enhance parliamentarism | 58 |
| It would be fatal for Finland not to join the EU now because it would mean having membership negotiations at the same time as Eastern European countries | 36 |
| Many EU member states have as good or better social security than Finland | 41 |
| Number of inhabitants in the respondent's municipality of residence | 73 |
| Respondent's age group | 73 |
| Respondent's economic activity and occupational group | 75 |
| Respondent's gender | 73 |
| Respondent's industry of employment | 75 |
| Respondent's province of residence | 74 |
| Respondent's trade union membership | 76 |
| Respondent's vocational education | 74 |
| The decision about EU membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades | 44 |
| The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration | 39 |
| The EU will develop into a full-fledged federation where nation states no longer have any sig- | |

| | |
|--|----|
| nificance | 46 |
| The Finnish media is not objective in EU membership matters and restricts publication of anti-EU material | 43 |
| The importance of neutrality has changed so much that it is no longer sensible to strictly adhere to the principle | 34 |
| The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common economic policy 11 | |
| The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common foreign policy 10 | |
| The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Common security and defence policy | 10 |
| The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: European citizenship (supplementing national citizenship) | 12 |
| The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 pushed forward deeper European integration. What would be your opinion if the following integration policy applied to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu) | 11 |
| The main issue of Finland's EU membership is not the economy or the extent of our sovereignty, but whether we want to be part of the west or the east | 46 |
| There is enough reliable information available to everybody about issues related to the EU membership | 40 |
| There is no point in underestimating Finland's chances to influence EU decision-making, since Finland can influence both by its vote and by making proposals and actively participating in discussions | 56 |
| To what extent does your opinion on the EU membership depend on the support programme for Finnish agriculture? | 7 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Arts and culture | 18 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Business conditions in general 15 | |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of children and families with children | 31 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of farmers . | 30 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of pensioners 30 | |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of salaried employees | 29 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of the self-employed and entrepreneurs | 31 |

3. Indexes

| | |
|--|----------|
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of the youth | 32 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of women | ..32 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Circumstances of workers | . 30 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Citizen's possibilities to influence things |27 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Citizens' right to justice | ... 22 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Competition in business life | 15 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between population groups | 21 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Differences in the standard of living between regions | 22 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Education | 19 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Employment | 14 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Environmental protection | . 21 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Equality between men and women | 23 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Export and foreign trade | .. 14 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland as a target for foreign investments | 25 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's image abroad | ... 25 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finland's relationship to Russia | 24 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Finnish security policy | 24 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Functioning of democracy | .27 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: General living conditions in Finland | 12 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: General spiritual and intellectual climate in Finland | 26 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Interest level | 16 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of bureaucracy |28 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of crime | 28 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Level of taxation | 16 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: National sovereignty |23 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Nordic co-operation | 25 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Price level in general | 17 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Price of food | 17 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Public health services |20 |

| | |
|---|----|
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Public morals in general .. | 26 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Quality of food | 18 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Religious/spiritual life | 29 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Science and research | 19 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Social security | 20 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Standard of living and material welfare | 13 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Steady development of national economy | 13 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Wage level | 16 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Work environment and working conditions | 20 |
| What kind of impact would Finland's EU membership have on: Your own circumstances and life | 33 |
| Your prediction of the outcome of the referendum: The percentage of votes against the EU membership will be... | 72 |
| Your prediction of the outcome of the referendum: The percentage of votes in favour of the EU membership will be... | 72 |

Appendix A

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD2191 EVAn EU-asennetutkimus syksy 1994

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD2191 Finnish EU Attitudes Autumn 1994

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Pyydämme Teitä vastaamaan jokaiseen kysymykseen rengastamalla sen vaihtoehdon, joka vastaa Teidän henkilökohtaista mielipidettänne.

1. MAASSAMME JÄRJESTETÄÄN EU-KANSANÄÄNESTYS LOKAKUUN 16. PÄIVÄNÄ.

TULISIKO SUOMEN TEIDÄN MIELESTÄNNE LIITTYÄ EU:n JÄSENEKSI NEUVOTELLUN SOPIMUKSEN MUKAISESTI?

- 1 KYLLÄ
- 2 EI
- 3 EN OSAA SANOA

5. KUINKA KIINNOSTUNUT TE HENKILÖKOHTAISESTI OLETTE MAAMME EU- JÄSENYYTEEN JA EUROOPAN YHDENTYMIKKEHITYKSEEN LIITTYVISTÄ ASIOISTA?

- 1 ERITTÄIN KIINNOSTUNUT, SEURAAAN AKTIIVISESTI
- 2 MELKO KIINNOSTUNUT, SEURAAAN JONKIN VERRAN
- 3 VAIN VÄHÄN KIINNOSTUNUT, EN JUURI SEURAA
- 4 EN LAINKAAN KIINNOSTUNUT, EN SEURAA LAINKAAN
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

2. KUINKA VARMA MIELIPIDE/SELVÄ KANTA TEILLÄ ON ASIASTA?

- 1 TÄYSIN SELVÄ KANTA
- 2 MELKO SELVÄ KANTA
- 3 EI KOVIN SELVÄÄ KANTAA
- 4 OLEN HYVIN EPÄVARMA KANNASTANI
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

6. ENTÄ KUINKA HYVIN TAI HUONOSTI KATSOTTE TUNTEVANNEN KYSEISIÄ ASIOITA? OLETTEKO NIISTÄ MIELESTÄNNE PERILLÄ ...

- 1 ERITTÄIN HYVIN
- 2 MELKO HYVIN
- 3 MELKO HUONOSTI
- 4 ERITTÄIN HUONOSTI
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

3. MISSÄ MÄÄRIN EU-KANTANNE RIIPPUU MAATALOUDEN NS. KANSALLISESTA TUKIPAKETISTA?

- 1 RIIPPUU TÄYSIN
- 2 RIIPPUU JONKIN VERRAN
- 3 EI RIIPU LAINKAAN
- 4 EN OSAA SANOA

7. KUINKA VARMANA PIDÄTTE SITÄ ETTÄ OSALLISTUTTE EU-KANSANÄÄNESTYKSEEN ELI KÄYTTÄ ÄÄNESTÄMÄSSÄ?

- 1 ÄÄNESTÄN VARMASTI
- 2 MELKO VARMASTI
- 3 EHKÄ ÄÄNESTÄN, EHKÄ EN
- 4 TODENNÄKÖISESTI EN ÄÄNESTÄ
- 5 EN VARMASTI ÄÄNESTÄ
- 6 EN OSAA SANOA

4. KANNATATTEKO VAI VASTUSTATTEKO MAATALOUDEN TUKIPAKETTIA?

- 1 KANNATAN TÄYSIN
- 2 KANNATAN JOSSAIN MÄÄRIN
- 3 EN KANNATA ENKÄ VASTUSTA
- 4 VASTUSTAN JOSSAIN MÄÄRIN
- 5 VASTUSTAN JYRKÄSTI
- 6 EN OSAA SANOA

8. KUINKA TODENNÄKÖISENÄ PIDÄTTE SITÄ, ETTÄ SUOMESTA TULEE LÄHIVUOSINA EU:n JÄSEN?

- 1 TOTEUTUU VARMASTI
- 2 HYVIN TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 3 MELKO TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 4 EI KOVIN TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 5 HYVIN EPÄTODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 6 EI VARMASTI TOTEUDU
- 7 EN OSAA SANOA

9. EU ON NYKYISIN PAITSI LAAJENTUMASSA OTTAMALLA UUSIA JÄSENIÄ, MYÖS TIIVISTÄMÄSSÄ JÄSENMAIDENSA VÄLISTÄ INTEG-RAATIOTA ns. MAASTRICHTIN SOPIMUKSEN MUKAISESTI. SOPIMUKSEN KESKEISET PERIAATTEET ON LUETeltu SEURAAVASSA.

MITEN TE SUHTAUDUTTE SIIHEN, ETTÄ NÄMÄ PERIAATTEET TOTEUTETTAISIIN/KOSKISIVAT JOSKUS SUOMEA?

| | ERITTÄIN MYÖNTEI-SESTI | MELKO MYÖNTEI-SESTI | MELKO KIELTEI-SESTI | ERITTÄIN KIELTEI-SESTI | EN OSAA SANOA |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| JÄSENMAIDEN YHTEINEN ULKOPOLITIIKKA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| YHTEINEN TURVALLISUUS- JA PUOLUSTUSPOLITIIKKA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| JÄSENMAIDEN YHTEINEN TALOUSPOLITIIKKA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| JÄSENMAIDEN YHTEINEN VALUUTTA/RAHAYKSIKÖ (ECU) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| YHTEINEN EU-KANSALAISSUUS (OMAN MAAN KANSALAIKSI LISÄKSI) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

10. MILLAINEN VAIKUTUS EU- JÄSENYTYDELLÄ KÄSITTÄÄKSENNE OLISI SEURAAVIIN ASIOIHIN MAASSAMME?

| ASIA, JONKA KANNALTA JÄSENYTYDEN VAIKUTUSTA ARVIOIDAAN: | MYÖNTEI- NEN VAI- KUTUS | EI OLEN- NAISTA VAI- KUTUSTA | KIELTEI- NEN VAI- KUTUS | EN OSAA SANOA |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| ELINOLOT MAASSAMME KOKONAISUUTENA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| ELINTASO JA AINEELLINEN VAURAAUS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| KANSANTALouden VAKAA KEHITYS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TYÖLLISYYSTILANNE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| VIENTI JA ULKOMAANKAUPPA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| YRITYSTOIMINNAN VIREYS YLEENSÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| KILPAILU YRITYSTOIMINNASSA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| KORKOTASO | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| VEROTUKSEN TASO | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| PALKKATASO | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| HINTATASO YLEENSÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| ELINTARVIKKEIDEN HINTATASO | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| ELINTARVIKKEIDEN LAATUTASO | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| KULTTUURILOLOT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| KOULUTUSLOLOT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TIEDE JA TUTKIMUSTOIMINTA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| SOSIAALITURVA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TERVEYDENHUOLTO | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TYÖYMPÄRISTÖ JA TYÖLOLOT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELU | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| HYVINVOINTIEROT ERI VÄESTÖRYHMIEN VÄLILLÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| HYVINVOINTIEROT MAAN ERI ALUEIDEN VÄLILLÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| KANSALAISTEN OIKEUSTURVA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| SUKUPUOLTEN TASA-ARVO | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| KANSALLINEN ITSEMÄÄRÄÄMISOIKEUS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| SUOMEN TURVALLISUUSPOLIITTINEN ASEMA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| SUOMEN SUHTEET VENÄJÄÄN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| POHJOISMAINEN YHTEISTYÖ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| SUOMI -KUVA ULKOMAILLA, MAAMME IMAGO | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| SUOMI PÄÄOMIEN SIOITUSKOHTENA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| MAAN HENKINEN ILMAPIIRI | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| YLEINEN YHTEISKUNTAMORAALI | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| DEMOKRATIAN TOIMIVUUS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| YKSILÖN VAIKUTUSMAHDOLLISUUDET | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| RIKOLLISUUDEN MÄÄRÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| BYROKRATIAN MÄÄRÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| USKONNOLLINEN/ HENGELLINEN ELÄMÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TOIMIHENKILÖVÄESTÖN ASEMA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TYÖNTEKIJÄVÄESTÖN ASEMA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| MAATALOUSVÄESTÖN ASEMA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| ELÄKELÄISVÄESTÖN ASEMA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| YRITTÄJIEN ASEMA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| LASTEN JA LAPSIPERHEIDEN ASEMA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| NAISTEN ASEMA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| NUORISON ASEMA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| OMA ASEMANNE JA ELÄMÄNNE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

11. SEURAAVASSA ON JOUKKO EU-KYSYMYKSESTÄ JULKISUUDESSA ESITETTYJÄ VÄITTEITÄ. OLETTEKO SAMAA VAI ERI MIELTÄ?

| V Ä I T T Ä M Ä T | OLEN TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ | OLEN JOK-SEENKIN SAMAA MIELTÄ | VAIKEA SANOA | OLEN JOK-SEENKIN ERI MIELTÄ | OLEN TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| VAIKKA EU-JÄSENYYS VOI MERKITÄ MAALLEMME TIUKKOJA AIKOJA, VIELÄ VAIKEAMMAKSI ELÄMÄMME MUODOSTUISI EU _n ULKOPUOLELLA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-ASIAN KÄSITTELY ON MUODOSTUNUT MAASSAMME TYMPEÄKSI SISÄ-POLIITTISEKSI PELIKSI, JOSSA ITSE PÄÄASIA ON VAARASSA UNOHTUA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| PUOLUEETTOMUUDEN MERKITYS ON VIIME AIKONA SIINÄ MÄÄRIN MUUT-TUNUT, ETTEI EHDOTON SIITÄ KIINNI PITÄMINEN OLE ENÄÄ JÄRKEVÄÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| MIKÄLI SUOMI JÄTTÄYTYISI NYT EU _n ULKOPUOLELLE, MAAMME SAISI NEUVOTELTUA PAREMMAT JÄSENYSEHDOT MYÖHEMMIN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| ON OIKEASTAAN YHDENTEKEVÄÄ, SÄÄDETTÄÄNKÖ LAKEJAMME EDUSKUNNAS-SA VAIKO EU _{ssa} , KUNHAN LAIT VAIN OVAT OIKEUDENMUKAISIA JA JÄRKEVIÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-JÄSENYYS PAKOTTAA SUOMALAISET NOUDATTAMAAN MONIA SELLAISIA NORMEJA JA YKSITYSKOHTAISIA SÄÄDÖKSIÄ, JOITA EMME HALUA EMMEKÄ TARVITSE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| SUOMEN EU-JÄSENYYDEN SIIRTYMINEN MYÖHEMMÄKSI OLISI KOHTA-LOKASTA, SILLÄ SILLOIN JOUTUISIMME NEUVOTTELEMAAN UNIONIN JÄSENYYDESTÄ YHDESSÄ ITÄ-EUROOPAN MAIDEN KANSSA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-JÄSENHAKEMUS ON LOUKKAUS NIITÄ KOHTAAN, JOTKA OVAT TAISTEL-LEET MAALLEMME ITSENÄISYYDEN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| TEHOKAS YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELU EUROOPASSA ON MAHDOLLISTA VAIN EU-MAIDEN YHTEISVOIMIN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-JÄSENYTTÄ AJAVAT VIRKAMIEHET JA POLIITIKOT AJATTELEVAT VAIN TALOUSELÄMÄN ETUJA JA UNOHTAVAT TAVALLISTEN KANSALAISTEN EDUT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| VAIKKA EU-KANSANÄÄNESTYS ON VIRALLISESTI VAIN NEUVOA-ANTAVA, SEN TULISI OLLA KAIKKIA KANSANEDUSTAJIA SITOVA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU _n JÄSENMAAT OVAT ITSENÄISIÄ JA TÄYSIVALTAISIA VALTIOITA, JOTKA OVAT VAPAAEHTOISESTI PÄÄTTÄNEET HARJOITTA A YHTEISTYÖTÄ TIETYISSÄ ASIOISSA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| ETA-SOPIMUS (EUROOPAN TALOUSALUE) ON SUOMELLE AIVAN RIITTÄVÄ, SEN TIIVIIMPÄÄ INTEGRAATIOTA MAAMME EI TARVITSE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU _n JÄSENMAKSUT OVAT AIVAN LIIAN KORKEAT JÄSENYYDESTÄ SAATAVIIN ETUIHIN NÄHDEN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| MAAMME EU-JÄSENYYTEEN LIITTYVISTÄ ASIOISTA ON JOKAISEN SAATAVILLA RIITTÄVÄSTI LUOTETTAVAA TIETOA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| MIKÄLI RUOTSIN JA NORJAN EU-KANSANÄÄNESTYKSET PÄÄTYVÄT EI-TU-LOKSEEN, MYÖS SUOMEN TULISI JÄTTÄYTYÄ EU _n ULKOPUOLELLE VAIKKA OMA KANSANÄÄNESTYKSEMME OLISI PÄÄTYNYT KYLLÄ-TULOKSEEN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| MONISSA EU-MAISSA ON YHTÄ HYVÄ TAI PAREMPI SOSIAALITURVA KUIN SUOMESSA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| SUOMEN EU-JÄSENYYDESTÄ ON PÄÄTETTY PIENESSÄ PIIRISSÄ JO KAUA N SITTEN JA ASIESTA JÄRJESTETTÄVÄ KANSANÄÄNESTYS ON PELKKÄÄ SILMÄNLUMETTA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-JÄSENYYS ANTAA SUOMELLE ENEMMÄN VAIKUTUSVALTAA EUROOPAN ASIOISSA KUIN ETA-SOPIMUS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| MAAMME TIEDOTUSVÄLINEET EIVÄT TOIMI EU-KYSYMYKSESSÄ TASAPUO-LISESTI, VAAN RAJOITTA VAT EU-VASTAISEN AINEISTON ESILLETULOA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| SUOMI SÄILYTTÄÄ KANSALLISEN OMALEIMAISUUTENSA EU _n JÄSENEÄKIN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-RATKAISU ON SUOMEN TÄRKEIN JA KAUASKANTOISIN LINJAVALINTA VUOSIKYMMENIIN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-JÄSENYYS HEIKENTÄISI AMMATTIYHDISTYSLIIKKEEN ASEMAA JA VAIKUTUSMAHDOLLISUUKSIA MAASSAMME | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| MAATALOUSASIA T PAINOTTUVAT AIVAN LIIKAA SUOMEN EU-RATKAISUSSA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU _n RAKENNERAHASTOISTA SAATAVA TUKI MERKITSISI TUNTUVAA TALOUDELLISTA APUA MAAMME KÖYHIMMILLE ALUEILLE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

| V Ä I T T Ä M Ä T | OLEN TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ | OLEN JOK- SEENKIN SAMAA MIELTÄ | VAIKEA SANOJA | OLEN JOK- SEENKIN ERI MIELTÄ | OLEN TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| TULEVAISUUDESSA EUsta MUODOSTUU TIUKKA LIITTOVALTIO, JOSSA KANSALLISVALTIOILLA EI OLE ENÄÄ MERKITYSTÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| PERUSKYSYMYKSI SUOMEN EU-JÄSENYTYDESSÄ EI OLE TALOUS EIKÄ EDES ITSEMÄÄRÄÄMISOIKEUTEMME ASTE, VAAN SE HALUAMMEKO KUULUA ITÄÄN VAI LÄNTEEN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-JÄSENYTYDEN MYÖTÄ MAAMME AY-LIIKE PÄÄSISI VAIKUTTAMAAN KANSAINVÄLISELLÄ TASOLLA TÄRKEISIIN TYÖELÄMÄN KYSYMYKSIIN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EPÄVAKAAN VENÄJÄN NAAPURINA SUOMEN OLISI TURVALLISEMPAA OLLA EUn JÄSEN KUIN JÄÄDÄ EUn ULKOPUOLELLE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| SUOMEN EU-JÄSENYTYS ON UHKA KOTIMAAKUNTANI TULEVAISUUDELLE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-JÄSENYTYS EI OLISI SUOMELLE MIKÄÄN TURVALLISUUSPOLIITTINEN HENKI-VAKUUTUS, VAAN PÄINVASTOIN LOISI UUTTA JÄNNITYSTÄ ITÄRAJALLEMME | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-JÄSENYTYS MERKITSISI PIRISTYSRUISKETTA SUOMEN TALOUDELLE JA NOPEUTTAISI MAAMME IRTIPÄÄSYÄ LAMASTA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| SUOMEN ON KAIKISSA OLOISSA KYETTÄVÄ ITSE VASTAAMAAN TURVALLISUUDESTAAN, MUISTA EI OLE SIINÄ APUA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| VAIKKA EUlla ONKIN OMAT PULMANSI, SE ON JOKA TAPAUKSESSA AINOJA VOIMA, JOKA VOI OHJATA JA HALLITA EUROOPAN KEHITYSTÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| PITKÄLLÄ AIKAVÄLILLÄ EU TULEE KATTAMAAN KÄYTÄNNÖSSÄ KAIKKI EUROOPAN MAAT ENTISET SOSIALISTIMAAT MUKAANLUKIE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| SUOMEN MAASEUTU ON PIDETTÄVÄ ASUTTUNA KUSTANNUKSISTA RIIPPUMATTA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| MIKÄLI SUOMI JÄISI EUn ULKOPUOLELLE, MAAMME LEIMAUTUISI LÄNSI-EUROOPASTA ERISTÄYTYVÄKSI REUNA-ALUEEKSI | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EUn JÄSENEÄ MAAMME LIITTYE ENNEN PITKÄÄ MYÖS JONKIN SOTILASLIITON (NATO, WEU) JÄSENEKSI | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EUn ULKOPUOLELLAKIN MAAMME JOUTUISI NOUDATTAMAAN USEIMPIA EUn PÄÄTÖKSIÄ JA SÄÄDÖKSIÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EUn JÄSENEÄ MAANA SUOMI VOISI PARHAITEN EDISTÄÄ MYÖS VENÄJÄN JA BALTIAN MAIDEN KEHITYSTÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| SUOMELLA EI OLISI PIENENÄ MAANA KÄYTÄNNÖSSÄ MINKÄÄNLAISTA MAHDOLLISUUTTA VAIKUTTAA EUn PÄÄTÖKSIIN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| VAIKKA EU-JÄSENYTYDEN EDUISTA JA HAITOISTA KIISTELLÄÄN KOVASTI, TODELLISUUDESSA SUURIN OSA SUOMALAISISTA EI HUOMAISI MINKÄÄNLAISTA MUUTOSTA OMASSA ARKIELÄMÄSSÄÄN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU-JÄSENYTYS AUTTAISI SUOMEA PITÄMÄÄN KURISSA KANSAINVÄLISTEN RIKOLLISTEN TOIMINTAA MAASSAMME | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| SOTILAALLISISSA KRIISITILANTEISSA EU-JÄSENYTYS VELVOITTAISI SUOMALAISET NUORUKAISET OSALLISTUMAAN SOTATOIMIIN EUROARMEIJAN RIVEISSÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EUn JÄSENEÄ SUOMI SAISI ITSENÄISESTI MÄÄRÄTÄ SOSIAALITURVANSI TASON | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| MIKÄLI SUOMI LIITTYE EUn JÄSENEKSI, JULKISEN SEKTORIN TYÖPAIKAT VÄHENEVÄT MAASTAMME MERKITTÄVÄSTI | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| SUOMEN VAIKUTUSMAHDOLLISUUKSIA EUn PÄÄTÖKSENTEKOON EI OLE SYYTÄ VÄHÄTELLÄ, SILLÄ MAAMME VOI VAIKUTTAA RATKAISUIHIN PAITSI ÄÄNIOUUEDELLAAN, MYÖS JÄRKEVILLÄ ALOITTEILLA JA AKTIIVISELLÄ KESKUSTELULLA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| EU ON SUOMELLE YMPÄRISTÖUHKA, SILLÄ VAPAAKAUPPAA JA TALOUSKASVUA IHANNOIVASSA EUssa YMPÄRISTÖDIREKTIIVIT JÄÄVÄT PELKIKSI PAPERIEKSI | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| MIKÄLI SUOMI LIITTYE EUn JÄSENEKSI, TASAVALLAN PRESIDENTIN TULISI EDUSTAA MAATAMME EUn HUIPPUKOKOUKSISSA, KOSKA PRESIDENTTI JOHTAA MAAN ULKOPOLITIIKKAA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| PÄÄMINISTERIN EIKÄ PRESIDENTIN OLISI EDUSTETTAVA MAATAMME EUn HUIPPUKOKOUKSISSA, KOSKA PARLAMENTARISMI TOTEUTUU NÄIN PAREMMIN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

12. ERI IHMISILLÄ ON ERILAISIA KÄSITYKSIÄ JA MIELIKUVIA EUROOPAN UNIONISTA. MITEN SEURAAVAT OMINAISUUDET JA LUONNEHDINNAT SOPIVAT KUVAAMAAN TEIDÄN HENKILÖKOHTAISTA KÄSITYSTÄNNE EUsta?

| | SOPII HY- VIN | TOISAALTA SOPII, TOI- SAALTA EI | SOPII HUO- NOSTI | EN OSAA SANOA |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| KERTOO AVOIMESTI TAVOITTEISTAAN JA TOIMINNASTAAN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| LUJITTAA RAUHAN SÄILYMISTÄ EUROOPASSA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| OTTAA YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELUN VAKAVASTI | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| DEMOKRAATTINEN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| SALAILEE ASIOITA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TASOITTAO EUROOPAN MAIDEN HYVINVOINTI- JA ELINTASOEROJA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TOIMII VAHVOJEN EHDOLLA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| EI VÄLITÄ JÄSENMAIDENSA KANSALAISTEN MIELIPITEISTÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TARPEELLINEN SAKSAN YLIVALTAPYRKIMYSTEN ESTÄMISEKSI | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| KOROSTAA LIIKAA TALOUDELLISIA ARVOJA, LAIMINLYÖ SOSIAALISIA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| VASTUULLINEN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| BYROKRAATTINEN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TEHOKKAASTI JOHDETTU | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| EDISTÄÄ KOKO EUROOPAN HYVINVOINTIA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| NOPEASTI KEHITTYVÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| YHDENMUKAISTAA LIIAKSI ERI MAIDEN OLOJA JA KULTTUUREJA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| UHKA PIENTEN MAIDEN KANSALLISELLE OLEMASSAOLOLLE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| NYKYAJAN NEUVOSTOLIITTO, EPÄONNISTUMAAN TUOMITTU UTOPIA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| LÄHENTÄÄ/EDISTÄÄ ERI MAIDEN KANSALAISTEN VUOROVAIKUTUSTA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| EPÄLUOTETTAVA SOPIMUSKUMPPANI, JOSTA EI EDES PÄÄSE EROON | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| KAIKKIA HYÖDYTTÄVÄ TYÖNJAKO- JA YHTEISTOIMINTAJÄRJESTELMÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| TOIMII VAIN TALOUDELLISESTI HYVINÄ AIKAINA, RIITAUTUU HUONOINA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| VAHVISTAA EUROOPAN ASEMAA MAAILMANLAAJUISESSA KILPAILUSSA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| JÄÄMÄSSÄ AJASTAAN JÄLKEEN/TAANTUVA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| OSA VÄISTÄMÄTÖNTÄ MAAILMANLAAJUISTA INTEGRAATIOKEHITYSTÄ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| PALVELEE TALOUSELÄMÄN TARPEITA, EI TAVALLISTEN IHMISTEN | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| LÄNSIMAISEN SIVISTYKSEN JA ARVOMAAILMAN VANKKA PUOLUSTAJA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| KANSALLISTEN KULTTUURIEN KUIHDUTTAJA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

13. EU-KANSANÄÄNestyksen lopputuloksesta on esitetty julkisuudessa erilaisia arvioita ja ennusteita. Viimeksi kuluneen vuoden aikana toteutettujen mielipidemittausten tulokset ovat vaihdelleet huomattavasti.

Mikä on teidän veikkauksenne kyllä- ja ei-äänten lopullisesta jakautumasta? Arvioikaa asiaa yksinomaan todennäköisyyden näkökulmasta (ei sen mukaan mitä pidätte toivottavana).

EU-JÄSENYTTÄ KANNATTAVIEN
KYLLÄ-ÄÄNTEN OSUUS
TULEE OLEMAAN

PROSENTTIA

EU-JÄSENYTTÄ VASTUSTAVIEN
EI-ÄÄNTEN OSUUS
TULEE OLEMAAN

PROSENTTIA

(MERKITKÄÄ LUVUT SITEN ETTÄ NIIDEN SUMMAKSI TULEE SATA, ESIMERKIKSI 50 + 50 = 100.)

VASTAAJIEN TAUSTATIEDOT AINEISTON TILASTOLLISTA RYHMITTELYÄ VARTEN

SUKUPUOLI

- 1 MIES
- 2 NAINEN

IKÄRYHMÄ

- 1 18 - 25 VUOTTA
- 2 26 - 35 VUOTTA
- 3 36 - 45 VUOTTA
- 4 46 - 55 VUOTTA
- 5 56 - 65 VUOTTA
- 6 YLI 65 VUOTTA

ASUINKUNNAN KOKO

- 1 ALLE 4 000 ASUKASTA
- 2 4 000 - 8 000 ASUKASTA
- 3 8 000 - 30 000 ASUKASTA
- 4 30 000 - 80 000 ASUKASTA
- 5 YLI 80 000 ASUKASTA

LÄÄNI, JOSSA ASUTTE

- 1 UUDENMAAN LÄÄNI
- 2 TURUN JA PORIN LÄÄNI
- 3 HÄMEEN LÄÄNI
- 4 KYMEN LÄÄNI
- 5 MIKKELIN LÄÄNI
- 6 POHJOIS-KARJALAN LÄÄNI
- 7 KUOPION LÄÄNI
- 8 KESKI-SUOMEN LÄÄNI
- 9 VAASAN LÄÄNI
- 10 OULUN LÄÄNI
- 11 LAPIN LÄÄNI

MILLAINEN AMMATILLINEN KOULUTUS TEILLÄ ON?

- 1 EI AMMATILLISTA KOULUTUSTA
- 2 AMMATTIKURSSI, LYHYT AMMATTIKOULUTUS, TYÖN OHESSA SAATU KOULUTUS
- 3 AMMATTIKOULU, KAUPPAKOULU TAI VASTAAVA
- 4 OPISTOTASOINEN AMMATTIKOULUTUS
- 5 YLIOPISTO- TAI KORKEAKOULUTUTKINTO

AMMATTIRYHMÄ, JOHON KATSOTTE LÄHINNÄ KUULUVANNE

- 1 JOHTAVASSA ASEMASSA TOISEN PALVELUKSESSA
- 2 YLEMPI TOIMIHENKILÖ
- 3 ALEMPI TOIMIHENKILÖ
- 4 TYÖNTEKIJÄ
- 5 YRITTÄJÄ TAI YKSITYINEN AMMATINHARJOITTAJA
- 6 MAATALOUSYRITTÄJÄ
- 7 OPISKELIJA
- 8 ELÄKELÄINEN
- 9 KOTIÄITI /-ROUVA
- 10 TYÖTÖN
- 11 MUU

TOIMIALA, JOLLA TYÖSKENTELETTE

- 1 MAA- JA METSÄTALOUS
- 2 TEOLLISUUS JA RAKENNUSTOIMINTA
- 3 YKSITYINEN PALVELUTOIMINTA
- 4 JULKINEN PALVELUTOIMINTA
- 5 EN OLE MUKANA TYÖELÄMÄSSÄ

KUULUTTEKO JOHONKIN AMMATILLISEEN KESKUSJÄRJESTÖÖN?

- 1 EN KUULU
- 2 KYLLÄ, AMMATILLINEN KESKUSJÄRJESTÖNI ON
 - A) SAK
 - B) STTK
 - C) AKAVA
 - D) MTK

JOS EDUSKUNTAVAALIT PIDETTÄISIIN NYT, MITÄ PUOLUETTA ÄÄNESTÄISITTE?

- 1 KESK
- 2 KOK
- 3 LKP
- 4 RKP
- 5 SDP
- 6 SKL
- 7 SMP
- 8 VASEMMISTOLIITTO
- 9 VIHREÄT
- 10 JOKIN MUU
- 11 EN ÄÄNESTÄISI LAINKAAN
- 12 EN OSAA SANOA
- 13 EN HALUA SANOA

LOMAKKEEN LOPPUUN OLEMME VARANNEET TILAA KOMMENTEILLE JA MIELIPITEILLE. VOITTE KIRJOITAA SIIHEN MITÄ TAHANSA NÄKEMYKSIÄNNE EU-KYSYMYKSESTÄ TAI TÄSTÄ TUTKIMUKSESTA. KAIKKI MIELIPITEET OVAT TERVETULLEITA JA ARVOKKAITA!

KIITOKSET VAIVANÄÖSTÄ!

Palauttakaa tämä lomake oheisessa kirjekuoressa.