

FSD2414

Finnish Attitudes towards Alcohol 2006

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Attitudes towards Alcohol 2006 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (18.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD2414 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

University of Jyväskylä: Finnish Attitudes towards Alcohol 2006 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-18). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD2414>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	2
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	27
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	27
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	30
A	Questionnaire in Finnish	33

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Attitudes towards Alcohol 2006

Titles and data version in Finnish: Suomalaisten alkoholiasenteet 2006

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (18.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

University of Jyväskylä. Research Centre for Health Promotion

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Finnish Centre for Health Promotion

Date of deposit

4.3.2009

Keywords

advertising; alcohol; alcohol policy; alcohol use; alcoholism; attitudes; drinking behaviour

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: health behaviour; social welfare policy

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Attitudes towards Alcohol

Finnish Attitudes towards Alcohol surveys, conducted every two years by SOSTE Finnish Federation for Social Affairs and Health, chart the opinions of Finns aged 15-79 on alcohol use and alcohol policy. Until 2010, the surveys were carried out by the Finnish Centre for Health and Welfare (Tekry ry). The first survey was conducted in 2006. Views have been studied on, among others, Finnish drinking culture, alcohol taxation, drink drive limits, alcohol advertising, and regulation of alcohol purchase and consumption. The data are collected by telephone interviews.

Abstract

The survey charted Finnish attitudes towards alcohol consumption and various alcohol policy measures with the help of 14 questions.

Questions covered, for example, the current level of alcohol consumption, general attitudes towards alcohol use, official supervision, limit for drink driving, alcohol locks, alcohol tax, alcohol advertising, alcohol use of close relatives, personal experiences of threat or harassment from an intoxicated person, dealing with people who are very intoxicated or passed out in public, and allocation of resources in alcohol awareness.

Background variables included the respondent's gender, age, education, occupational status, household composition, occupational status of the household head, employment status, household size, household annual income, place of residence according to various classifications, and number of children in the household.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finnish people aged 18 - 79 living in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: May 2006

Data collector(s): Marketing Radar Ltd

Data producer(s): University of Jyväskylä. Research Centre for Health Promotion

Mode of data collection: Telephone interview

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2006

Time method of the data collection: Cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 55 variables and 1004 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Multistage

Over 1,000 Finnish people aged over 18 were interviewed for the survey. The interviews were conducted via telephone omnibus. Altogether 1,004 people responded. The omnibus sample was drawn using multistage stratified sampling methods. The sample received from the Population Register Centre was divided into quotas according to the respondents' age, gender and municipality of residence. In every household, the person who met the conditions established by the quotas (i.e. gender and age) was interviewed.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

The variable indicating the municipality of residence has been removed from the data.

Related publications

Peltovuoma, Sami & Varamäki, Ritva & Koponen, Jaana (2006). Suomalaisten alkoholiasenteet. Helsinki: Terveystieteiden tutkimuskeskus. Terveystieteiden tutkimuksen julkaisuja; 8/2006.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD2414?lang=en&study_language=en

Related material

Peltovuoma, Sami & Varamäki, Ritva & Koponen, Jaana (2006). Suomalaisten alkoholiasenteet. Helsinki: Terveystieteiden tutkimuskeskus. Terveystieteiden tutkimuksen julkaisuja; 8/2006.

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

The data contain a weight variable (w1) which weights the data by age, gender and region.

Special Permissions

Users of the data shall send the Suomen sosiaali ja terveystieteiden tutkimuskeskus (SOSTE) a copy of all reports, theses, articles, other publications or material based on or using the data. If printed, to the mail address: SOSTE Suomen sosiaali ja terveystieteiden tutkimuskeskus, Tutkimus, Yliopistonkatu 5, 00100 HELSINKI, FINLAND. If electronic, to the e-mail address: tutkimus@soste.fi.

Restrictions

The dataset is (C) available for research only (including e.g. Master's, licentiate and doctoral theses).

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	2414.00
maximum	2414.00
mean	2414.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	1.00
maximum	1004.00
mean	502.50
standard deviation	289.97

[Q1] Are the current alcohol consumption levels a problem in Finland?

Question

Are the current alcohol consumption levels a problem in Finland?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	682	67.9	67.9
No	2	292	29.1	29.1
Can't say	3	30	3.0	3.0
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q2] Should public attitudes harden towards alcohol consumption and binge drinking?

Question

Should public attitudes harden towards alcohol consumption and binge drinking?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	689	68.6	68.6
No	2	284	28.3	28.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	3	31	3.1	3.1
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q3] Should there be more official supervision on the sale of alcohol to prevent alcohol use by minors?

Question

Should there be more official supervision on the sale of alcohol to prevent alcohol use by minors?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	775	77.2	77.2
No	2	201	20.0	20.0
Can't say	3	28	2.8	2.8
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q4] Should the legal limit for drink-driving be lowered to 20 mg of alcohol per 100 ml of blood (0,2 mg per ml) as in Sweden and Norway?

Question

Should the legal limit for drink-driving be lowered to 20 mg of alcohol per 100 ml of blood (0,2 mg per ml) as in Sweden and Norway?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	606	60.4	60.4
No	2	372	37.1	37.1
Can't say	3	26	2.6	2.6
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q5] Should breath alcohol ignition interlock devices be gradually made mandatory in all new cars? (alcolock = the vehicle will only start if the driver has breathed into the device and his/her alcohol level is not over zero)

Question

Should breath alcohol ignition interlock devices be gradually made mandatory in all new cars? (alcolock = the vehicle will only start if the driver has breathed into the device and his/her alcohol level is not over zero)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	541	53.9	53.9
No	2	423	42.1	42.1
Can't say	3	40	4.0	4.0
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q6] Has the Finnish Government done enough to limit alcohol-related harm?

Question

Has the Finnish Government done enough to limit alcohol-related harm?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	243	24.2	24.2
No	2	659	65.6	65.6
Can't say	3	102	10.2	10.2
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q7] Should taxes on alcohol be raised in Finland to limit increased alcohol-related harm?

Question

Should taxes on alcohol be raised in Finland to limit increased alcohol-related harm?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	467	46.5	46.5
No	2	498	49.6	49.6
Can't say	3	39	3.9	3.9
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q8] Should image advertising of alcohol be banned and only price and product information allowed?

Question

Should image advertising of alcohol be banned and only price and product information allowed?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	639	63.6	63.6
No	2	324	32.3	32.3
Can't say	3	41	4.1	4.1
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q9] Should sponsorship by alcohol industry and alcohol advertising be banned in sports events?

Question

Should sponsorship by alcohol industry and alcohol advertising be banned in sports events?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	617	61.5	61.5
No	2	355	35.4	35.4
Can't say	3	32	3.2	3.2
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q10] Have you ever been concerned about the alcohol consumption of someone close to you?

Question

Have you ever been concerned about the alcohol consumption of someone close to you?

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	611	60.9	60.9
No	2	392	39.0	39.0
Can't say	3	1	0.1	0.1
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q11] Do you find it appropriate that health care staff routinely ask people about their drinking habits, giving advice if needed?

Question

Do you find it appropriate that health care staff routinely ask people about their drinking habits, giving advice if needed?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	889	88.5	88.5
No	2	99	9.9	9.9
Can't say	3	16	1.6	1.6
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q12] Have you or anyone close to you ever been threatened or harassed by an intoxicated person?

Question

Have you or anyone close to you ever been threatened or harassed by an intoxicated person?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	488	48.6	48.6
No	2	511	50.9	50.9
Can't say	3	5	0.5	0.5
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q13] Should heavily intoxicated persons / passed out drunks be taken to the hospital or to a police cell?

Question

Should heavily intoxicated persons / passed out drunks be taken to the hospital or to a police cell?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
To the hospital	1	382	38.0	38.0
To a police cell	2	497	49.5	49.5
Can't say	3	125	12.5	12.5
		1004	100.0	100.0

[Q14] Should the resources of substance abuse care be directed more to the prevention of problems or handling existing problems?

Question

Should the resources of substance abuse care be directed more to the prevention of problems or handling existing problems?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
To the prevention of problems	1	815	81.2	81.2
To the handling of existing problems	2	155	15.4	15.4
Can't say	3	34	3.4	3.4
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Female	1	507	50.5	50.5
Male	2	497	49.5	49.5
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's age

Question

Respondent's age

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	18.00
maximum	79.00
mean	48.38
standard deviation	17.99

[BV3] Respondent's education

Question

Respondent's education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Primary education	1	156	15.5	15.5
Lower secondary education	2	108	10.8	10.8
Upper secondary education (vocational)	3	254	25.3	25.3
Upper secondary education (general)	4	106	10.6	10.6
Vocational college (post-secondary)	5	159	15.8	15.8
Polytechnic or lower academic degree, B.A.	6	99	9.9	9.9
Higher academic degree, M.A. or higher	7	120	12.0	12.0
Can't say	8	2	0.2	0.2
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Is the respondent the main earner (= the person with the highest income in the household)?

Question

Is the respondent the main earner (= the person with the highest income in the household)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	638	63.5	63.5
No	2	366	36.5	36.5
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Economic activity and occupational status of the main earner

Question

Economic activity and occupational status of the main earner

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Worker	1	111	11.1	30.2
Intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	2	41	4.1	11.2
Lower managerial/professional employee	3	68	6.8	18.5
Higher managerial occupation (employee)	4	27	2.7	7.4
Entrepreneur or self-employed	5	32	3.2	8.7
Farmer	6	10	1.0	2.7
Student	7	7	0.7	1.9
Housewife or house husband	8	0	0.0	0.0
Pensioner/Retired	9	68	6.8	18.5
Something else	10	3	0.3	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	637	63.4	—
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Respondent's economic activity and occupational status

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational status

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Worker	1	227	22.6	22.6
Intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	2	102	10.2	10.2
Lower managerial/professional employee	3	89	8.9	8.9
Higher managerial occupation (employee)	4	30	3.0	3.0
Entrepreneur or self-employed	5	61	6.1	6.1
Farmer	6	18	1.8	1.8
Student	7	108	10.8	10.8
Housewife or house husband	8	21	2.1	2.1
Pensioner/Retired	9	339	33.8	33.8
Something else	10	9	0.9	0.9
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV7] Respondent's employment status

Question

Respondent's employment status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Full-time employed	1	411	40.9	40.9
Part-time employed	2	91	9.1	9.1
Unemployed	3	35	3.5	3.5
Laid-off	4	2	0.2	0.2
Not in employment for other reasons	5	463	46.1	46.1
Don't want to say	6	2	0.2	0.2
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV8] Type of neighbourhood

Question

Type of neighbourhood

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Capital area (Helsinki, Espoo, Kauniainen, Vantaa)	1	203	20.2	20.2
City of Turku	2	36	3.6	3.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
City of Tampere	3	36	3.6	3.6
Some other city/town	4	396	39.4	39.4
Population centre in a rural area	5	172	17.1	17.1
Sparsely populated rural area	6	161	16.0	16.0
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV10] Respondent's living arrangements

Question

Respondent's living arrangements

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Living with parents	1	52	5.2	5.2
Living alone	2	241	24.0	24.0
Living with spouse	3	377	37.5	37.5
Living with spouse and children	4	284	28.3	28.3
Living with children as single parent	5	23	2.3	2.3
Something else	6	27	2.7	2.7
Don't want to say	7	0	0.0	0.0
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV11] Household size

Question

Household size

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	1.00
maximum	10.00
mean	2.46
standard deviation	1.33

[BV12] Gross annual income of the household**Question***Gross annual income of the household***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Under 10,000 euros	1	68	6.8	6.8
10,001 - 15,000 euros	2	70	7.0	7.0
15,001 - 20,000 euros	3	54	5.4	5.4
20,001 - 25,000 euros	4	69	6.9	6.9
25,001 - 30,000 euros	5	73	7.3	7.3
30,001 - 35,000 euros	6	44	4.4	4.4
35,001 - 40,000 euros	7	52	5.2	5.2
40,001 - 45,000 euros	8	52	5.2	5.2
45,001 - 50,000 euros	9	44	4.4	4.4
50,001 - 55,000 euros	10	63	6.3	6.3
55,001 - 85,000 euros	11	119	11.9	11.9
Over 85,000 euros	12	50	5.0	5.0
No answer	13	246	24.5	24.5
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV13] Who in the household decides about everyday shopping?**Question***Who in the household decides about everyday shopping?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Respondent	1	461	45.9	45.9
Someone else	2	158	15.7	15.7
Respondent together with other household member(s)	3	385	38.3	38.3
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV14] Province of residence (old province classification)**Question***Province of residence (old province classification)*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	294	29.3	29.3
Turku and Pori	2	133	13.2	13.3
Häme	3	147	14.6	14.7
Kymi	4	55	5.5	5.5
Mikkeli	5	43	4.3	4.3
Kuopio	6	42	4.2	4.2
Keski-Suomi	7	50	5.0	5.0
Vaasa	8	67	6.7	6.7
Pohjois-Karjala	9	34	3.4	3.4
Oulu	10	99	9.9	9.9
Lappi	11	38	3.8	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	2	0.2	–
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV15] Region of residence (NUTS3)**Question***Region of residence (NUTS3)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	290	28.9	28.9
Finland proper (Varsinais-Suomi)	2	84	8.4	8.4
Itä-Uusimaa	3	0	0.0	0.0
Satakunta	4	38	3.8	3.8
Häme	5	38	3.8	3.8
Pirkanmaa	6	92	9.2	9.2
Päijänne Tavastia (Päijät-Häme)	7	40	4.0	4.0
Kymenlaakso	8	36	3.6	3.6
South Karelia (Etelä-Karjala)	9	19	1.9	1.9
Southern Savonia (Etelä-Savo)	10	36	3.6	3.6
Northern Savonia (Pohjois-Savo)	11	42	4.2	4.2
North Karelia (Pohjois-Karjala)	12	34	3.4	3.4
Central Finland (Keski-Suomi)	13	50	5.0	5.0
Southern Ostrobothnia (Etelä-Pohjanmaa)	14	28	2.8	2.8
Ostrobothnia (Pohjanmaa)	15	21	2.1	2.1
Central Ostrobothnia (Keski-Pohjanmaa)	16	18	1.8	1.8
Northern Ostrobothnia (Pohjois-Pohjanmaa)	17	74	7.4	7.4
Kainuu	18	25	2.5	2.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Lapland	19	38	3.8	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV16] Degree of urbanisation of the municipality of residence

Question

Degree of urbanisation of the municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki area (capital area)	1	200	19.9	19.9
Urban municipality	2	446	44.4	44.5
Semi-urban municipality	3	155	15.4	15.5
Rural municipality	4	202	20.1	20.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV17] Major region (NUTS2, old classification)

Question

Major region (NUTS2, old classification)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	290	28.9	28.9
Southern Finland	2	347	34.6	34.6
Eastern Finland	3	137	13.6	13.7
Mid-Finland	4	117	11.7	11.7
Northern Finland	5	112	11.2	11.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV18] Major region (NUTS2, current classification)**Question***Major region (NUTS2, current classification)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa (inc. Helsinki)	1	290	28.9	28.9
Southern Finland	2	217	21.6	21.6
Eastern Finland	3	137	13.6	13.7
Western Finland	4	229	22.8	22.8
Northern Finland	5	130	12.9	13.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV19] Mid-Finland regional classification**Question***Mid-Finland regional classification***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa (inc. Helsinki)	1	290	28.9	28.9
Other southern Finland	2	347	34.6	34.6
Mid-Finland	3	229	22.8	22.8
Northern Finland	4	137	13.6	13.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV20] Province of residence (current classification)**Question***Province of residence (current classification)***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Southern Finland	1	423	42.1	42.2
Western Finland	2	331	33.0	33.0
Eastern Finland	3	112	11.2	11.2
Oulu	4	99	9.9	9.9
Lapland	5	38	3.8	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1004	100.0	100.0

[BV21_1] Number of children in the household: 1-year-olds

Question

Number of children in the household: 1-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	2.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.18

[BV21_2] Number of children in the household: 2-year-olds

Question

Number of children in the household: 2-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.04
standard deviation	0.19

[BV21_3] Number of children in the household: 3-year-olds

Question

Number of children in the household: 3-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.02
standard deviation	0.15

[BV21_4] Number of children in the household: 4-year-olds**Question**

Number of children in the household: 4-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.17

[BV21_5] Number of children in the household: 5-year-olds**Question**

Number of children in the household: 5-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.17

[BV21_6] Number of children in the household: 6-year-olds**Question**

Number of children in the household: 6-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.16

[BV21_7] Number of children in the household: 7-year-olds

Question

Number of children in the household: 7-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.04
standard deviation	0.19

[BV21_8] Number of children in the household: 8-year-olds

Question

Number of children in the household: 8-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.16

[BV21_9] Number of children in the household: 9-year-olds

Question

Number of children in the household: 9-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	2.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.19

[BV21_10] Number of children in the household: 10-year-olds**Question**

Number of children in the household: 10-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.18

[BV21_11] Number of children in the household: 11-year-olds**Question**

Number of children in the household: 11-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.18

[BV21_12] Number of children in the household: 12-year-olds**Question**

Number of children in the household: 12-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.18

[BV21_13] Number of children in the household: 13-year-olds

Question

Number of children in the household: 13-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.18

[BV21_14] Number of children in the household: 14-year-olds

Question

Number of children in the household: 14-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.17

[BV21_15] Number of children in the household: 15-year-olds

Question

Number of children in the household: 15-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	2.00
mean	0.04
standard deviation	0.20

[BV21_16] Number of children in the household: 16-year-olds**Question**

Number of children in the household: 16-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	2.00
mean	0.02
standard deviation	0.15

[BV21_17] Number of children in the household: 17-year-olds**Question**

Number of children in the household: 17-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.02
standard deviation	0.15

[BV21_18] Number of children in the household: 18-year-olds**Question**

Number of children in the household: 18-year-olds

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	0.00
maximum	1.00
mean	0.03
standard deviation	0.17

[W1] Weight variable which weights the data according to age, gender and region

Question

Weight variable which weights the data according to age, gender and region

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1004
minimum	5332.00
maximum	16814.00
mean	9999.98
standard deviation	2642.75

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
Are the current alcohol consumption levels a problem in Finland? [Q1]	6
Should public attitudes harden towards alcohol consumption and binge drinking? [Q2]	6
Should there be more official supervision on the sale of alcohol to prevent alcohol use by minors? [Q3]	7
Should the legal limit for drink-driving be lowered to 20 mg of alcohol per 100 ml of blood (0,2 mg per ml) as in Sweden and Norway? [Q4]	7
Should breath alcohol ignition interlock devices be gradually made mandatory in all new cars? (alcolock = the vehicle will only start if the driver has breathed into the device and his/her alcohol level is not over zero) [Q5]	8
Has the Finnish Government done enough to limit alcohol-related harm? [Q6]	8
Should taxes on alcohol be raised in Finland to limit increased alcohol-related harm? [Q7] ..	8
Should image advertising of alcohol be banned and only price and product information allowed? [Q8]	9
Should sponsorship by alcohol industry and alcohol advertising be banned in sports events? [Q9]	9
Have you ever been concerned about the alcohol consumption of someone close to you? [Q10]	9
Do you find it appropriate that health care staff routinely ask people about their drinking habits, giving advice if needed? [Q11]	10
Have you or anyone close to you ever been threatened or harassed by an intoxicated person? [Q12]	10
Should heavily intoxicated persons / passed out drunks be taken to the hospital or to a police	

3. Indexes

cell? [Q13]	11
Should the resources of substance abuse care be directed more to the prevention of problems or handling existing problems? [Q14]	11
Respondent's gender [BV1]	11
Respondent's age [BV2]	12
Respondent's education [BV3]	12
Is the respondent the main earner (= the person with the highest income in the household)? [BV4]	13
Economic activity and occupational status of the main earner [BV5]	13
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status [BV6]	13
Respondent's employment status [BV7]	14
Type of neighbourhood [BV8]	14
Respondent's living arrangements [BV10]	15
Household size [BV11]	15
Gross annual income of the household [BV12]	16
Who in the household decides about everyday shopping? [BV13]	16
Province of residence (old province classification) [BV14]	16
Region of residence (NUTS3) [BV15]	17
Degree of urbanisation of the municipality of residence [BV16]	18
Major region (NUTS2, old classification) [BV17]	18
Major region (NUTS2, current classification) [BV18]	19
Mid-Finland regional classification [BV19]	19
Province of residence (current classification) [BV20]	19
Number of children in the household: 1-year-olds [BV21_1]	20
Number of children in the household: 2-year-olds [BV21_2]	20
Number of children in the household: 3-year-olds [BV21_3]	20
Number of children in the household: 4-year-olds [BV21_4]	21
Number of children in the household: 5-year-olds [BV21_5]	21
Number of children in the household: 6-year-olds [BV21_6]	21
Number of children in the household: 7-year-olds [BV21_7]	22
Number of children in the household: 8-year-olds [BV21_8]	22
Number of children in the household: 9-year-olds [BV21_9]	22
Number of children in the household: 10-year-olds [BV21_10]	23
Number of children in the household: 11-year-olds [BV21_11]	23
Number of children in the household: 12-year-olds [BV21_12]	23

Number of children in the household: 13-year-olds [BV21_13]	24
Number of children in the household: 14-year-olds [BV21_14]	24
Number of children in the household: 15-year-olds [BV21_15]	24
Number of children in the household: 16-year-olds [BV21_16]	25
Number of children in the household: 17-year-olds [BV21_17]	25
Number of children in the household: 18-year-olds [BV21_18]	25
Weight variable which weights the data according to age, gender and region [W1]	26

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Are the current alcohol consumption levels a problem in Finland?	6
Degree of urbanisation of the municipality of residence	18
Do you find it appropriate that health care staff routinely ask people about their drinking habits, giving advice if needed?	10
Economic activity and occupational status of the main earner	13
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
Gross annual income of the household	16
Has the Finnish Government done enough to limit alcohol-related harm?	8
Have you ever been concerned about the alcohol consumption of someone close to you?	9
Have you or anyone close to you ever been threatened or harassed by an intoxicated person?	10
Household size	15
Is the respondent the main earner (= the person with the highest income in the household)?	13
Major region (NUTS2, current classification)	19
Major region (NUTS2, old classification)	18
Mid-Finland regional classification	19
Number of children in the household: 10-year-olds	23
Number of children in the household: 11-year-olds	23
Number of children in the household: 12-year-olds	23
Number of children in the household: 13-year-olds	24
Number of children in the household: 14-year-olds	24
Number of children in the household: 15-year-olds	24
Number of children in the household: 16-year-olds	25
Number of children in the household: 17-year-olds	25
Number of children in the household: 18-year-olds	25
Number of children in the household: 1-year-olds	20
Number of children in the household: 2-year-olds	20
Number of children in the household: 3-year-olds	20
Number of children in the household: 4-year-olds	21
Number of children in the household: 5-year-olds	21
Number of children in the household: 6-year-olds	21
Number of children in the household: 7-year-olds	22

Number of children in the household: 8-year-olds	22
Number of children in the household: 9-year-olds	22
Province of residence (current classification)	19
Province of residence (old province classification)	16
Region of residence (NUTS3)	17
Respondent's age	12
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status	13
Respondent's education	12
Respondent's employment status	14
Respondent's gender	11
Respondent's living arrangements	15
Should breath alcohol ignition interlock devices be gradually made mandatory in all new cars? (alcolock = the vehicle will only start if the driver has breathed into the device and his/her alcohol level is not over zero)	8
Should heavily intoxicated persons / passed out drunks be taken to the hospital or to a police cell?	11
Should image advertising of alcohol be banned and only price and product information allowed? 9	
Should public attitudes harden towards alcohol consumption and binge drinking?	6
Should sponsorship by alcohol industry and alcohol advertising be banned in sports events? .9	
Should taxes on alcohol be raised in Finland to limit increased alcohol-related harm?	8
Should the legal limit for drink-driving be lowered to 20 mg of alcohol per 100 ml of blood (0,2 mg per ml) as in Sweden and Norway?	7
Should the resources of substance abuse care be directed more to the prevention of problems or handling existing problems?	11
Should there be more official supervision on the sale of alcohol to prevent alcohol use by mi- nors?	7
Type of neighbourhood	14
Weight variable which weights the data according to age, gender and region	26
Who in the household decides about everyday shopping?	16

Appendix A

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD2414 Suomalaisten alkoholiasenteet 2006

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD2414 Finnish Attitudes towards Alcohol 2006

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Haastattelututkimuskysymykset

Seuraavaksi on joitakin alkoholiin ja sen käyttöön liittyviä kysymyksiä.

Vastatkaa vain kyllä tai ei seuraaviin kysymyksiin: Kyllä – Ei – Eos

1. Onko alkoholin nykyinen kulutustaso Suomessa ongelma?
2. Tulisiko yleisen asenteen tiukentua alkoholin juomista ja humalakäyttäytymistä kohtaan?
3. Onko alkoholinmyynnin viranomaisvalvonnan lisääminen tarpeellista alaikäisten alkoholin käytön ehkäisemiseksi?
4. Tulisiko rattijuopumuksen rajaa Suomessa laskea 0,2 promilleen kuten Ruotsissa ja Norjassa?
5. Tulisiko alkolukko säätää vähitellen pakolliseksi kaikkiin uusiin autoihin? (alkolukko = ajamaan pääsee vasta kun on puhaltanut nollat alkometriin)
6. Onko Suomen nykyinen hallitus tehnyt riittävästi alkoholiongelmien vähentämiseksi?
7. Pitäisikö alkoholiveroa Suomessa nostaa kasvaneiden haittojen vähentämiseksi?
8. Pitäisikö alkoholin mielikuvamainonta kieltää ja sallia ainoastaan hinta- ja tuotetietojen esittely?
9. Tulisiko alkoholiteollisuuden sponsorointi ja mainonta urheilutapahtumien yhteydessä estää?
10. Oletteko koskaan ollut huolestunut jonkun läheisenne alkoholin käytöstä?
11. Onko mielestänne sopivaa, että terveydenhuoltohenkilöstö kysyy vastaanotolla rutiininomaisesti asiakkaan alkoholin käytöstä ja antaa tarvittaessa neuvontaa?
12. Oletteko Te tai joku läheisenne koskaan joutunut humalaisen henkilön uhkailun tai ahdistelun kohteeksi?

Entä mitä mieltä olette seuraavista asioista. Valitkaa mielestänne parempi vaihtoehto:

13. Pitäisikö hyvin juopuneet/sammuneet henkilöt kuljettaa sairaalaan vai putkaan selviämään?

Sairaalaan / Putkaan / Eos

14. Pitäisikö päihdetyön resursseja suunnata nykyistä enemmän ongelmien ennaltaehkäisyyn vai ongelmien hoitoon?

Ongelmien ennalta ehkäisyyn / Ongelmien hoitoon / Eos

