KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD3123 AVOIN TIEDE JA TUTKIMUSAINEISTOT: TUTKIJAKYSELY 2015 QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD3123 OPEN ACCESS AND RESEARCH DATA: RESEARCHER SURVEY 2015

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: http://www.fsd.uta.fi/

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: http://www.fsd.uta.fi/

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: http://www.fsd.uta.fi/

#### **Background information**

1. The respondent's organisation

environmental science

0	Aalto University	0	University of the Arts
0	University of Helsinki	0	University of Tampere
0	University of Eastern Finland	0	University of Turku
0	University of Jyväskylä	0	University of Vaasa
0	University of Lapland	0	National Institute for Health and Welfare
0	Lappeenranta University of Technology	0	Finnish Institute of Occupational Health
0	University of Oulu	0	UKK Institute
0	Hanken School of Economics	0	Åbo Akademi University
2. Ger	nder		
0	Female		
0	Male		
0	Other		
3. Prir	mary field of study		
0	Pharmacy	0	Economic and social history
_	Dentistry		
0	•		History of science and ideas
O	Public health science	0	Archaeology
0	Sport sciences	0	History
0	Medicine	0	Church history
0	Health science	0	Ethnology
0	Statistics	0	Cultural history
0	Occupational health science and	0	Finnish/Nordic history

#### Digital data and preservation

In this questionnaire, digital research data refers to datasets that are stored in a digital format and consist of files containing numerical or textual data, pictures, maps, transcriptions etc.

4. Does your organisation (at the university/institute-level) have rules or guidelines that govern						
	Binding rules	Recommendation	n No	l don't know		
the preservation of digital research data?	0	0	0	0		
sharing digital research data with users other than the original researcher/research group?	0	0	0	0		
5. Had you, before this questionnaire, heard about guidelines, recommendations or requirements to provide open access to digital research data for the scientific community for scientific use?						
		Yes	No	)		
EU guidelines?		0	0			
Guidelines of the Ministry of Education and Cultu	re?	0	0			
Recommendations of funders (e.g. Academy of F	inland or	TEKES)?	0			
Recommendations and requirements of publishe	rs?	0	0			
Recommendations of universities?		0	0			
6. Did you know about the services provided (FSD) before this survey?	by the Fir	ınish Social Scier	nce Data Ar	chive		
○ Yes						
O No, but I was aware of the FSD's existence	e					

O No, this is the first time I hear about the FSD

You can select more than one alternative.								
☐ I have searched for information on the FSD w	☐ I have searched for information on the FSD website							
☐ I have used data archived at the FSD								
☐ I have deposited data at the FSD								
☐ I have not used the services, but it is likely I w	ill use ther	n in the fut	ure					
☐ I have not used the services and it is unlikely	I will use th	nem in the	future					
8. How common do you think the following practi	ces are at	your dep	artment/sc	chool/unit?	•			
After research is complete, digital research data	Very common	Fairly common	Not very common	Not at all common	Can't say			
remain in the care of researchers who originally created them.	0	0	0	0	0			
are destroyed.	0	0	0	0	0			
are deposited/archived in your department/ school/unit.	0	0	0	0	0			
are deposited/archived in your university/institute in a centralised manner.	0	0	0	0	0			
are deposited in a separate organisation that specialises in research data archiving.  Which organisation?	0	0	0	0	0			
No new digital research data are collected for the research we do. (E.g. theoretical research or reuse of data and statistics collected by others)	0	0	0	0	0			

. Generally speaking, to what extent are the following statements about digital research data true for your department/school/unit?					
After research is complete	To a grea	at To a fair extent	To little extent	Not at a	III
researchers who collected the data use them in further research.	0	0	0	0	
if requested, the data are shared with researche who study the same topic.	ers O	0	0	0	
the data are available for students of the departr /school/unit to be used in seminar papers and the	\ /	0	0	0	
the data remain practically unused.	0	0	0	0	
10. Is it normal practice in your field to create a dappear plan is being developed?	ata managem	nent plan wl	nen a res	earch	
Normal practice     Not a normal	I practice				
,	es depending plan is being	-	•		
11. Barriers to archiving and reusing digital resea extent do the following statements describe the			-		ıt
Data cannot be archived for reuse because		Fairly To			Not at al
the intellectual property rights of data belong to someone else than the researchers.	0	0	0	0	0
the person/organisation who delivered the data required that the data be destroyed after research is comple	. , ,	0	0	0	0
the data are personal property of the researcher/research group.	0	0	0	0	0
outsiders might not be able to interpret the data correctly.	0	0	0	0	0
the data contain several distinct, separate parts that are difficult to combine.	at O	0	0	0	0
during data collection, no agreements on the archivor of data are made with the research participants.	ving O	0	0	0	0

# 12. Reuse and archiving of data that contain personal identifiers is regulated by privacy legislation. To what extent do the following statements describe the situation in your field of study?

	Very well	Fairly well	To some extent	Not very well	Not at all well
Removing personal identifiers from data does not substantially restrict the opportunities to conduct analyses on them.	0	0	0	0	0
Removing personal identifiers from data substantially restricts the opportunities to use data in a new research setting.	0	0	0	0	0
Reuse of research data with personal identifiers could be accomplished through a secure remote access service.	0	0	0	0	0

### 13. How great an influence do the following factors have on the fact that digital research data, produced by completed research projects, are not be used in your field of study?

	Influences greatly	Influences a fair amount	Does not influence much	Influences very little	Can't say
Data cannot be used to answer present-day research questions.	0	0	0	0	0
Privacy issues: identifiers and originally define purpose of use prevent reuse.	d O	0	0	0	0
Other issues related to legislation, for example intellectual property rights.	, O	0	0	0	0
Ownership and usage rights of data have not been agreed on during data collection.	0	0	0	0	0
IT issues: data are corrupted or file formats / software have become outdated.	0	0	0	0	0
Issues related to data use: the content of data and files are inadequately documented and organised.	0	0	0	Ο	0
Research ethical issues (please specify in the field below).	0	0	0	0	0
Other, which? (Please specify in the field below	v). 🔾	0	0	0	0
Please specify, which research ethical issues of other factors have influence on the reuse of research data or prevent it:	or				

	4. Would you be prepared to deposit your own research data for archiving, to be reused by other researchers?							
0	Yes							
0	Maybe							
0	No							
If you	u answered 'yes' or 'maybe', what kind of data would you consider depositing?							
	re situations where the data collector wants to restrict the reuse of archived data. For , only parts of the data may be delivered for reuse in some cases.							
	ere is reason for restricting the use of a dataset, how do you think this should be done? can select more than one alternative.							
	Data archive delivers the data to the person requesting access to it according to the terms set in the deposit agreement. (For example, only for research purposes or also for study and teaching purposes).							
	The researcher who originally created the data reviews applications him/herself and decides who can access the data.							
	The researcher who originally created the data reviews applications him/herself and decides on a case-by-case basis whether all or only parts of the data will be delivered for reuse. (For example, only certain variables).							

What is your opinion on potential advantages of data reuse? Assess how significant each of the following advantages might be.

## 16. How significant an *advantage* do you regard the following when thinking about increasing the reuse of digital research data? *Select one option on each row.*

	Significant advantage	Fairly significant advantage	Not a very significant advantage	Not an advantage at all
Reduces duplicate data collection.	0	0	0	0
Research funding is used more efficiently, as data can be used in several research projects.	0	0	0	0
Data archiving enables more varied research designs (e.g. longitudinal comparison).	0	0	0	0
Increases interaction and networking within the scientific community.	0	0	0	0
Facilitates verification (and repeatability) of research results.	0	0	0	0
Facilitates development of research methods.	0	0	0	0
Researchers who have created the data obtain academic credentials for data sharing, for example through citations to data or merits added to a curriculum vitae.	e, O	Ο	0	0
Makes data more equally available for different research groups.	0	0	0	0
Improves the quality of teaching.	0	0	0	0
Increases the social impact of research.	0	0	0	0
Archiving research data for reuse promotes long- term preservation and use of cultural heritage.	0	0	0	0
Other advantage, which:	0	0	0	0
Other advantage, which:	0	0	0	0
Other advantage, which:	0	0	0	0

What is your opinion on potential disadvantages of data reuse? Assess how significant each of the following disadvantages might be.

### 17. How significant a *disadvantage* do you regard the following when thinking about increasing the reuse of digital research data? Select one alternative on each row.

			Significant disadvantage	Fairly significant disadvantage	Not a very significant disadvantage	Not a disadvantage at all
	Inco	mpetence in data reuse and the resulting error	rs. O	0	0	0
		earchers have to spend time on making data able for reuse (conversion, sorting, documentate	ion).	0	0	0
	Rese	earchers have to spend time on advising reuse ata.	ers O	0	0	0
		a reuse increases disclosure risks and research cal risks.	<sup>1</sup> O	0	0	0
	shar	s of 'competitive advantage' when researchers e data they have collected themselves with the ntific community.		0	0	0
	infor	earch participants have not been told that the mation collected from them will be archived for e by the scientific community.	r O	0	0	0
	Othe	er disadvantage, which:	_	0	0	0
	Othe	er disadvantage, which:	- 0	0	0	0
	Othe	er disadvantage, which:	_	0	0	0
18	-	our opinion, in what way should depositing re? You can select more than one alternati		e benefit resea	archers in the	
		Better visibility for own research.				
		Improved opportunities to receive funding for	own research.			
		Added merit to curriculum vitae.				
		A merit comparable to a publication in a resea	archer's list of p	publications.		
		Data reuse numbers as part of a researcher's citations/reusers the greater the merit.	list of publicat	ions – the large	er the number o	of
		In some other way, how?				

19. What would be a good channel for sharing information about research data management and open access? You can select more than one alternative.					
National resource centres/organisations (CSC, FSD, biobanks etc.)					
Own research organisation in a centralised manner (e.g. research administration at organisation level)					
Own research organisation in a decentralised manner (e.g. research unit level)					
University libraries and research institute libraries					
Undergraduate education in universities					
Postgraduate education in universities					
Staff training in universities/research institutes					
Own research unit					
Funders (e.g. Academy of Finland, Finnish Work Environment Fund, TEKES)					
Research ethics boards					
Learned societies / Academic associations					
Professional associations of researchers					
Scientific journals and publishers					
Guidebooks and textbooks					
Research seminars, meetings and conferences					

20. Which of the following do you think are data management and open access? You			_		ch
☐ Information package on a website					
☐ Short training session or seminar					
☐ 1-2 -day training					
☐ Printed guide or textbook					
☐ Tailored article in a journal of own field	of study				
☐ Information sent through an email list					
Other, which:					
21. Thinking about your own field of study, would be for carrying out the archiving and		•		•	;
, ,	Very		Neither suitable	Fairly	Very
	suitable	suitable		unsuitable	•
International data archive (e.g. PubMed)	0	0	0	0	0
National data archive	0	0	0	0	0
University or research institute locally	0	0	0	0	0
Original researcher or research group	0	0	0	0	0
Finally, we would like to ask for your feedbaresearch data. We welcome any opinions y	-		thoughts related	d to reuse of	digital
——————————————————————————————————————				<del>.</del>	
If you want to participate in the prize drawrite your contact details below.	aw for the o	chance to	o win a tablet co	mputer, ple	ase