


FSD3142

**Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and
National Defence 2016**

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence 2016 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2022.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (10.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD3142 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Advisory Board for Defence Information (ABDI): Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence 2016 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-10). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD3142>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

- 1 Study description** **1**
 - 1.1 Titles 1
 - 1.2 Subject description 1
 - 1.3 Structure and collection of the data 3
 - 1.4 Use of data 3

- 2 Variables** **5**

- 3 Indexes** **51**
 - 3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence 51
 - 3.2 Variables in alphabetical order 56

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence 2016

Titles and data version in Finnish: Turvallisuuspolitiikka- ja maanpuolustustutkimus 2016

Alternative title: MTS-syystutkimus 2016

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (10.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Advisory Board for Defence Information (ABDI)

Copyright statement for the data

In accordance with the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Santala, Heli (Ministry of Defence. Advisory Board for Defence Information (ABDI))

Date of deposit

7.12.2016

Keywords

armed forces; conflict; conflict resolution; conscription; defence; defence and state security policy; European integration; foreign policy; foreign relations; international alliances; international conflict resolution; military expenditure; military operations; national security; public expenditure; refugees

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: Social sciences

CESSDA Classification: Conflict, security and peace; Political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence

The Finnish Opinions on Security Policy and National Defence series includes all surveys conducted by the Advisory Board for Defence Information (ABDI). Their focus is on security policy, foreign policy and national defence. The FSD collections cover data from 1992 onwards. The surveys contain a set of core questions repeated over the years.

Abstract

The annual survey charted Finnish public opinion on foreign policy, defence policy, security, military alliances, military service, and international armed conflicts at the time of the survey. Some questions examined views on factors affecting safety and security.

Opinions were charted on the success of foreign policy in the previous few years, funds allocated to the Defence Forces, whether Finland should resort to armed defence in case of any sort of attack, and how the global security situation would develop in the next five years. Views were probed on the current, conscription-based defence system and whether women should also participate in conscription. The respondents were asked whether Finland should form military alliances and whether Finland should apply for NATO membership.

Some questions pertained to issues affecting the safety and security of Finland. These included, among others, Finland's EU membership, potential NATO membership, participation in the EU defence and in international crisis management, and military non-alignment.

Satisfaction with defence policy in the previous few years was investigated. The respondents were also asked about Russia's recent actions and their possible impacts on Finland's security. Views were charted on Finnish military cooperation in the EU, with NATO, other Nordic countries, and the United States. The respondents were asked to evaluate what effect several countries and organizations, such as Russia, China, US, UN, and EU had on Finland's security.

Views were studied on Finland's preparedness for the prevention of various security threats, such as armed threat, infectious diseases and epidemics, environmental hazards, uncontrolled

immigration, political pressure from other states, economic crisis, terrorism, and attacks against information systems and networks.

Concern caused by certain phenomena and issues was charted, such as the economic situation in Europe, global warming, proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, international terrorism, cybercrime, increase in the number of asylum-seekers, racism, and current conflicts in Ukraine, Syria, and Turkey. Finally, the respondents were asked about their trust in the European Union.

Background variables included, among others, the respondent's gender, age, economic activity and occupational status, marital status, occupation of the household head, household composition, ages of children living at home, gross annual income of the household, level of education, type of accommodation, region of residence, number of inhabitants in the municipality of residence, Internet use, political party choice in the previous parliamentary elections, and political party choice if the parliamentary elections were held at the time of answering.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: People aged 15-79 living in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 22.9.2016 – 11.10.2016

Data collector(s): Taloustutkimus

Mode of data collection: Face-to-face interview: Computer-assisted (CAPI/CAMI)

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2016

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 107 variables and 1000 cases.

Sampling procedure: Non-probability: Quota

Quotas based on age, gender, region and municipality type distributions. For this study, interviews were conducted in 93 municipalities, 52 of which were urban municipalities.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

To protect confidentiality, the 'municipality of residence' background variable [BV19] was removed from the data. The original case id [ID] was also removed.

Related publications

Finländarnas åsikter om Finlands utrikes-, säkerhets- och försvarspolitik 2016 (2016) [online]. Helsingfors: Planeringskommissionen för försvarsinformation PFI. PFI Pressmeddelanden och översikter 2/2016. http://www.defmin.fi/files/3574/PFI_opinionsundersokning_december_2016.pdf [hämtad 8.12.2016].

Finns' Opinions on Foreign and Security Policy, Defence and Security Issues 2016 (2016) [online]. Helsinki: Advisory Board of Defence Information, ABDI. Bulletins and reports December, 2016. [http://www.defmin.fi/files/3579/ABDI_\(MTS\)_December_2016_Report_in_english.pdf](http://www.defmin.fi/files/3579/ABDI_(MTS)_December_2016_Report_in_english.pdf) [cited 8 Dec. 2016].

Gustafsberg, Harri & Nyman, Markku (2018). Yksilöresilienssi ja yhteiskunnan turvallisuus. Tampere: Mentoritiimi.

Suomalaisten mielipiteitä ulko- ja turvallisuuspolitiikasta, maanpuolustuksesta ja turvallisuudesta 2016 (2016) [verkkodokumentti]. Helsinki: Maanpuolustustiedotuksen suunnittelukunta MTS. Tiedotteita ja katsauksia 2/2016. http://www.defmin.fi/files/3573/MTS_mielipidietutkimusraportti_jouku [viitattu 8.12.2016].

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD3142?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

The data contain a weight variable (paino), matching the sample to the distributions of the 15-79 year-old population (in thousands)

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1000
minimum	3142.00
maximum	3142.00
mean	3142.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1000
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1000
minimum	1.00
maximum	1000.00
mean	500.50
standard deviation	288.82

[Q1] In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its foreign policy over the past few years?

Question

In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its foreign policy over the past few years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	33	3.3	3.3
Fairly poorly	2	208	20.8	20.8
Fairly well	3	647	64.7	64.7
Very well	4	50	5.0	5.0
Can't say	5	62	6.2	6.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q2] What is your opinion on the defence forces funding?

Question

What is your opinion on the defence forces funding?

Frequencies

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Funding should be decreased significantly	1	24	2.4	2.4
Funding should be somewhat decreased	2	65	6.5	6.5
Funding should be kept at present level	3	428	42.8	42.8
Funding should be somewhat increased	4	317	31.7	31.7
Funding should be increased significantly	5	123	12.3	12.3
Cannot say	6	43	4.3	4.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q3] If Finland were attacked, do you think the Finns should in all circumstances take up arms in defence of their country, even if the outcome seemed uncertain?

Question

If Finland were attacked, do you think the Finns should in all circumstances take up arms in defence of their country, even if the outcome seemed uncertain?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	730	73.0	73.0
No	2	190	19.0	19.0
Can't say	3	80	8.0	8.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q4] Considering the present state of the world, do you think that over the next five years Finns and Finland will live in a more secure or insecure world?

Question

Considering the present state of the world, do you think that over the next five years Finns and Finland will live in a more secure or insecure world?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
In a more secure world	1	142	14.2	14.2
In a less secure world	2	573	57.3	57.3
There will be no change	3	274	27.4	27.4
Can't say	4	11	1.1	1.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q5] In your opinion, should the Finnish defence system should be based on ...

Question

In your opinion, should the Finnish defence system should be based on ...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A universal conscription for men and a voluntary conscription for women	1	685	68.5	68.5
A universal conscription for everyone, regardless of gender	2	114	11.4	11.4
A voluntary conscription for everyone, regardless of gender	3	137	13.7	13.7
A professional army	4	55	5.5	5.5
Can't say	5	9	0.9	0.9
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q6] Should Finland keep the present universal conscription, adopt a selective conscription, or give up the conscription army altogether and adopt a professional army with a smaller number of personnel?

Question

Should Finland keep the present universal conscription, adopt a selective conscription, or give up the conscription army altogether and adopt a professional army with a smaller number of personnel?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Keep the present universal conscription system	1	792	79.2	79.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Change to selective conscription with smaller reserves	2	109	10.9	10.9
Change from universal conscription to professional army	3	86	8.6	8.6
Can't say	4	13	1.3	1.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q7] In your opinion, should Finland continue its policy of military non-alignment or enter a military alliance?

Question

In your opinion, should Finland continue its policy of military non-alignment or enter a military alliance?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Remain militarily non-aligned	1	578	57.8	57.8
Enter a military alliance	2	304	30.4	30.4
Can't say	3	118	11.8	11.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q8] In your opinion, should Finland seek to become a member of NATO?

Question

In your opinion, should Finland seek to become a member of NATO?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	253	25.3	25.3
No	2	607	60.7	60.7
Can't say	3	140	14.0	14.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q9] If Sweden decided to join NATO, should Finland then apply for NATO membership as well?

Question

If Sweden decided to join NATO, should Finland then apply for NATO membership as well?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	347	34.7	34.7
No	2	539	53.9	53.9
Can't say	3	114	11.4	11.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q10_1] How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's EU membership

Question

How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's EU membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases security	1	533	53.3	53.3
Has no effect	2	337	33.7	33.7
Decreases security	3	105	10.5	10.5
Can't say	4	25	2.5	2.5
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q10_2] How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Increase in Finland's international economic cooperation

Question

How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Increase in Finland's international economic cooperation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases security	1	477	47.7	47.7
Has no effect	2	395	39.5	39.5
Decreases security	3	70	7.0	7.0
Can't say	4	58	5.8	5.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q10_3] How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's potential NATO membership

Question

How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's potential NATO membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases security	1	315	31.5	31.5
Has no effect	2	208	20.8	20.8
Decreases security	3	357	35.7	35.7
Can't say	4	120	12.0	12.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q10_4] How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's participation in the EU's common defence

Question

How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's participation in the EU's common defence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases security	1	592	59.2	59.2
Has no effect	2	257	25.7	25.7
Decreases security	3	81	8.1	8.1
Can't say	4	70	7.0	7.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q10_5] How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's participation in Nordic defence cooperation

Question

How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's participation in Nordic defence cooperation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases security	1	790	79.0	79.0
Has no effect	2	150	15.0	15.0
Decreases security	3	19	1.9	1.9
Can't say	4	41	4.1	4.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q10_6] How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Participation of Finnish troops in crisis management operations in war zones

Question

How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Participation of Finnish troops in crisis management operations in war zones

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases security	1	432	43.2	43.2
Has no effect	2	420	42.0	42.0
Decreases security	3	96	9.6	9.6
Can't say	4	52	5.2	5.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q10_7] How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Military non-alignment of Finland

Question

How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Military non-alignment of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases security	1	438	43.8	43.8
Has no effect	2	305	30.5	30.5
Decreases security	3	179	17.9	17.9
Can't say	4	78	7.8	7.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q10_8] How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Increase in foreign ownership in Finnish economic life

Question

How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Increase in foreign ownership in Finnish economic life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases security	1	81	8.1	8.1
Has no effect	2	449	44.9	44.9
Decreases security	3	392	39.2	39.2
Can't say	4	78	7.8	7.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q11] In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its defence policy over the past few years?

Question

In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its defence policy over the past few years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	19	1.9	1.9
Fairly poorly	2	129	12.9	12.9
Fairly well	3	730	73.0	73.0
Very well	4	65	6.5	6.5
Can't say	5	57	5.7	5.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q12] How would you evaluate the effect of recent developments in Russia on the security of Finland? Recent developments in Russia affect Finnish security...

Question

*How would you evaluate the effect of recent developments in Russia on the security of Finland?
Recent developments in Russia affect Finnish security...*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positively	1	6	0.6	0.6
Fairly positively	2	30	3.0	3.0
Neither positively nor negatively	3	360	36.0	36.0
Fairly negatively	4	486	48.6	48.6
Very negatively	5	87	8.7	8.7
Can't say	6	31	3.1	3.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q13_1] What is your attitude toward military cooperation: In the European Union

Question

What is your attitude toward military cooperation: In the European Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very negative	1	12	1.2	1.2
Fairly negative	2	76	7.6	7.6
Fairly positive	3	582	58.2	58.2
Very positive	4	287	28.7	28.7
Can't say	5	43	4.3	4.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q13_2] What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With NATO

Question

What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With NATO

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very negative	1	66	6.6	6.6
Fairly negative	2	260	26.0	26.0
Fairly positive	3	444	44.4	44.4
Very positive	4	159	15.9	15.9
Can't say	5	71	7.1	7.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q13_3] What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With all Nordic countries**Question**

What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With all Nordic countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very negative	1	3	0.3	0.3
Fairly negative	2	21	2.1	2.1
Fairly positive	3	440	44.0	44.0
Very positive	4	504	50.4	50.4
Can't say	5	32	3.2	3.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q13_4] What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With Sweden**Question**

What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With Sweden

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very negative	1	5	0.5	0.5
Fairly negative	2	26	2.6	2.6
Fairly positive	3	409	40.9	40.9
Very positive	4	532	53.2	53.2
Can't say	5	28	2.8	2.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q13_5] What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With the United States

Question

What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With the United States

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very negative	1	65	6.5	6.5
Fairly negative	2	227	22.7	22.7
Fairly positive	3	495	49.5	49.5
Very positive	4	146	14.6	14.6
Can't say	5	67	6.7	6.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q14_1] What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The UN

Question

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The UN

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A positive effect	1	607	60.7	60.7
No effect at all	2	265	26.5	26.5
A negative effect	3	8	0.8	0.8
Both a positive and a negative effect	4	75	7.5	7.5
Can't say	5	45	4.5	4.5
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q14_2] What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The EU

Question

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A positive effect	1	568	56.8	56.8
No effect at all	2	207	20.7	20.7
A negative effect	3	54	5.4	5.4
Both a positive and a negative effect	4	138	13.8	13.8
Can't say	5	33	3.3	3.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q14_3] What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? NATO

Question

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? NATO

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A positive effect	1	253	25.3	25.3
No effect at all	2	188	18.8	18.8
A negative effect	3	255	25.5	25.5
Both a positive and a negative effect	4	231	23.1	23.1
Can't say	5	73	7.3	7.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q14_4] What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The OSCE

Question

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The OSCE

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A positive effect	1	361	36.1	36.1
No effect at all	2	318	31.8	31.8
A negative effect	3	17	1.7	1.7
Both a positive and a negative effect	4	107	10.7	10.7
Can't say	5	197	19.7	19.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q14_5] What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? Russia

Question

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A positive effect	1	59	5.9	5.9
No effect at all	2	163	16.3	16.3
A negative effect	3	485	48.5	48.5
Both a positive and a negative effect	4	239	23.9	23.9
Can't say	5	54	5.4	5.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q14_6] What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? United States

Question

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? United States

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A positive effect	1	208	20.8	20.8
No effect at all	2	274	27.4	27.4
A negative effect	3	189	18.9	18.9
Both a positive and a negative effect	4	269	26.9	26.9
Can't say	5	60	6.0	6.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q14_7] What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? China

Question

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? China

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A positive effect	1	76	7.6	7.6
No effect at all	2	544	54.4	54.4
A negative effect	3	103	10.3	10.3
Both a positive and a negative effect	4	167	16.7	16.7
Can't say	5	110	11.0	11.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_1] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Armed attack

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Armed attack

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	17	1.7	1.7
Fairly poorly	2	224	22.4	22.4
Fairly well	3	641	64.1	64.1
Very well	4	72	7.2	7.2
Can't say	5	46	4.6	4.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_2] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Availability of energy

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Availability of energy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	25	2.5	2.5
Fairly poorly	2	253	25.3	25.3
Fairly well	3	600	60.0	60.0
Very well	4	67	6.7	6.7
Can't say	5	55	5.5	5.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_3] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Various infectious diseases, epidemics

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Various infectious diseases, epidemics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	16	1.6	1.6
Fairly poorly	2	89	8.9	8.9
Fairly well	3	564	56.4	56.4
Very well	4	295	29.5	29.5
Can't say	5	36	3.6	3.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_4] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Various environmental threats (floods, oil accidents, transports of dangerous goods)

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Various environmental threats (floods, oil accidents, transports of dangerous goods)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	11	1.1	1.1
Fairly poorly	2	146	14.6	14.6
Fairly well	3	658	65.8	65.8
Very well	4	145	14.5	14.5
Can't say	5	40	4.0	4.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_5] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Uncontrolled immigration

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Uncontrolled immigration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	139	13.9	13.9
Fairly poorly	2	453	45.3	45.3
Fairly well	3	359	35.9	35.9
Very well	4	28	2.8	2.8
Can't say	5	21	2.1	2.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_6] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Climate change (global warming)

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Climate change (global warming)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	45	4.5	4.5
Fairly poorly	2	324	32.4	32.4
Fairly well	3	523	52.3	52.3
Very well	4	61	6.1	6.1
Can't say	5	47	4.7	4.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_7] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: International crime

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: International crime

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	76	7.6	7.6
Fairly poorly	2	342	34.2	34.2
Fairly well	3	495	49.5	49.5
Very well	4	40	4.0	4.0
Can't say	5	47	4.7	4.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_8] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Foreign political pressure**Question**

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Foreign political pressure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	43	4.3	4.3
Fairly poorly	2	323	32.3	32.3
Fairly well	3	502	50.2	50.2
Very well	4	36	3.6	3.6
Can't say	5	96	9.6	9.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_9] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Foreign economic pressure**Question**

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Foreign economic pressure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	57	5.7	5.7
Fairly poorly	2	385	38.5	38.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly well	3	429	42.9	42.9
Very well	4	26	2.6	2.6
Can't say	5	103	10.3	10.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_10] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Major disasters and accidents

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Major disasters and accidents

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	23	2.3	2.3
Fairly poorly	2	128	12.8	12.8
Fairly well	3	666	66.6	66.6
Very well	4	139	13.9	13.9
Can't say	5	44	4.4	4.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_11] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Economic crisis

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Economic crisis

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	84	8.4	8.4
Fairly poorly	2	513	51.3	51.3
Fairly well	3	336	33.6	33.6
Very well	4	17	1.7	1.7
Can't say	5	50	5.0	5.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_12] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Terrorism

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Terrorism

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	66	6.6	6.6
Fairly poorly	2	293	29.3	29.3
Fairly well	3	527	52.7	52.7
Very well	4	65	6.5	6.5
Can't say	5	49	4.9	4.9
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q15_13] How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Attacks against information networks i.e. cyberthreats

Question

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Attacks against information networks i.e. cyberthreats

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very poorly	1	89	8.9	8.9
Fairly poorly	2	304	30.4	30.4
Fairly well	3	444	44.4	44.4
Very well	4	67	6.7	6.7
Can't say	5	96	9.6	9.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_1] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Prospects for the European economy

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Prospects for the European economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	50	5.0	5.0
Not very concerned	2	187	18.7	18.7
Somewhat concerned	3	606	60.6	60.6
Very concerned	4	137	13.7	13.7
Can't say	5	20	2.0	2.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_2] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Global warming

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Global warming

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	61	6.1	6.1
Not very concerned	2	230	23.0	23.0
Somewhat concerned	3	440	44.0	44.0
Very concerned	4	255	25.5	25.5
Can't say	5	14	1.4	1.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_3] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Security situation in the Baltic Sea region

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Security situation in the Baltic Sea region

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	64	6.4	6.4
Not very concerned	2	256	25.6	25.6
Somewhat concerned	3	476	47.6	47.6
Very concerned	4	181	18.1	18.1
Can't say	5	23	2.3	2.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_4] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Proliferation of weapons of mass destruction

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Proliferation of weapons of mass destruction

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	59	5.9	5.9
Not very concerned	2	274	27.4	27.4
Somewhat concerned	3	376	37.6	37.6
Very concerned	4	269	26.9	26.9
Can't say	5	22	2.2	2.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_5] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: International terrorism

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: International terrorism

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	33	3.3	3.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very concerned	2	215	21.5	21.5
Somewhat concerned	3	400	40.0	40.0
Very concerned	4	340	34.0	34.0
Can't say	5	12	1.2	1.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_6] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Recent developments in Russia

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Recent developments in Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	68	6.8	6.8
Not very concerned	2	195	19.5	19.5
Somewhat concerned	3	453	45.3	45.3
Very concerned	4	259	25.9	25.9
Can't say	5	25	2.5	2.5
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_7] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Increase in the number of immigrants in Finland

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Increase in the number of immigrants in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	98	9.8	9.8
Not very concerned	2	260	26.0	26.0
Somewhat concerned	3	389	38.9	38.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very concerned	4	241	24.1	24.1
Can't say	5	12	1.2	1.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_8] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The global refugee situation

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The global refugee situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	28	2.8	2.8
Not very concerned	2	117	11.7	11.7
Somewhat concerned	3	436	43.6	43.6
Very concerned	4	405	40.5	40.5
Can't say	5	14	1.4	1.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_9] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Political extremist groups

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Political extremist groups

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	40	4.0	4.0
Not very concerned	2	199	19.9	19.9
Somewhat concerned	3	390	39.0	39.0
Very concerned	4	345	34.5	34.5
Can't say	5	26	2.6	2.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_10] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Racism

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Racism

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	69	6.9	6.9
Not very concerned	2	219	21.9	21.9
Somewhat concerned	3	402	40.2	40.2
Very concerned	4	303	30.3	30.3
Can't say	5	7	0.7	0.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_11] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Syria

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Syria

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	55	5.5	5.5
Not very concerned	2	198	19.8	19.8
Somewhat concerned	3	373	37.3	37.3
Very concerned	4	355	35.5	35.5
Can't say	5	19	1.9	1.9
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_12] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Attacks against information networks i.e. cyberthreats

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Attacks against information networks i.e. cyberthreats

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	73	7.3	7.3
Not very concerned	2	275	27.5	27.5
Somewhat concerned	3	434	43.4	43.4
Very concerned	4	180	18.0	18.0
Can't say	5	38	3.8	3.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_13] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Turkey

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Turkey

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	76	7.6	7.6
Not very concerned	2	312	31.2	31.2
Somewhat concerned	3	410	41.0	41.0
Very concerned	4	173	17.3	17.3
Can't say	5	29	2.9	2.9
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_14] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The number of people seeking asylum in Finland

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The number of people seeking asylum in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	99	9.9	9.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very concerned	2	281	28.1	28.1
Somewhat concerned	3	412	41.2	41.2
Very concerned	4	202	20.2	20.2
Can't say	5	6	0.6	0.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_15] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Employment situation in Finland

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Employment situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	24	2.4	2.4
Not very concerned	2	116	11.6	11.6
Somewhat concerned	3	407	40.7	40.7
Very concerned	4	444	44.4	44.4
Can't say	5	9	0.9	0.9
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_16] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Ukraine

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Ukraine

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	83	8.3	8.3
Not very concerned	2	289	28.9	28.9
Somewhat concerned	3	438	43.8	43.8
Very concerned	4	158	15.8	15.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	32	3.2	3.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_17] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Religious extremist groups

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Religious extremist groups

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	91	9.1	9.1
Not very concerned	2	268	26.8	26.8
Somewhat concerned	3	378	37.8	37.8
Very concerned	4	240	24.0	24.0
Can't say	5	23	2.3	2.3
		1000	100.0	100.0

[Q16_18] How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Social inequality in Finland

Question

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Social inequality in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all concerned	1	64	6.4	6.4
Not very concerned	2	218	21.8	21.8
Somewhat concerned	3	419	41.9	41.9
Very concerned	4	273	27.3	27.3
Can't say	5	26	2.6	2.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

**[Q17] How would you rate your trust in the future of the European Union?
Has your trust increased, decreased, or remained the same?**

Question

How would you rate your trust in the future of the European Union? Has your trust increased, decreased, or remained the same?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
It has strengthened	1	13	1.3	1.3
It has weakened	2	501	50.1	50.1
It has remained the same	3	464	46.4	46.4
Can't say	4	22	2.2	2.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Gender

Question

Gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Female	1	498	49.8	49.8
Male	2	502	50.2	50.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Age

Question

Age

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1000
minimum	15.00

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
maximum	79.00
mean	46.79
standard deviation	19.18

[BV3] Respondent's employment status

Question

Respondent's employment status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Employed full-time	1	377	37.7	37.7
Employed part-time	2	95	9.5	9.5
Not in paid work	3	528	52.8	52.8
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Respondent's economic activity and occupational status

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer	1	4	0.4	0.4
Worker	2	216	21.6	21.6
Intermediate level employee	3	71	7.1	7.1
Lower managerial/professional employee	4	102	10.2	10.2
Entrepreneur, self-employed, own account worker	5	46	4.6	4.6
Higher managerial employee	6	14	1.4	1.4
Housewife/house husband, homemaker	7	15	1.5	1.5
Student, pupil	8	156	15.6	15.6
Pensioner/retired	9	311	31.1	31.1
Unemployed	10	65	6.5	6.5
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Respondent's education**Question***Respondent's education***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Primary or lower secondary education	1	204	20.4	20.4
Upper secondary education (vocational)	2	299	29.9	29.9
Upper secondary education (general)	3	88	8.8	8.8
College level vocational education	4	127	12.7	12.7
Polytechnic/university of applied sciences education	5	86	8.6	8.6
University education	6	195	19.5	19.5
Prefer not to say	7	1	0.1	0.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Respondent's marital status**Question***Respondent's marital status***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Married or cohabiting	1	513	51.3	51.3
Single, never married	2	314	31.4	31.4
Divorced or separated	3	120	12.0	12.0
Widowed	4	46	4.6	4.6
Prefer not to say	5	7	0.7	0.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV7] Economic activity and occupational status of the person with the highest income in the household**Question***Economic activity and occupational status of the person with the highest income in the household***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer	1	4	0.4	0.4
Worker	2	254	25.4	25.4
Intermediate level employee	3	89	8.9	8.9
Lower managerial/professional employee	4	125	12.5	12.5
Entrepreneur, self-employed, own account worker	5	64	6.4	6.4
Higher managerial employee	6	25	2.5	2.5
Housewife/house husband, homemaker	7	5	0.5	0.5
Student, pupil	8	85	8.5	8.5
Pensioner/retired	9	294	29.4	29.4
Unemployed	10	55	5.5	5.5
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV8] Is the respondent the person with the highest income in the household?

Question

Is the respondent the person with the highest income in the household?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	649	64.9	64.9
No	2	275	27.5	27.5
Spouses/partners have equal incomes	3	76	7.6	7.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV9] Who in the household is mainly responsible for everyday shopping?

Question

Who in the household is mainly responsible for everyday shopping?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
The respondent	1	531	53.1	53.1
The respondent together with someone else	2	332	33.2	33.2
Someone else than the respondent	3	137	13.7	13.7
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV10] Household composition**Question***Household composition***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
One-person household	1	366	36.6	36.6
Married/cohabiting couple with no children	2	230	23.0	23.0
Other household with adults only (all aged over 18)	3	144	14.4	14.4
Household with children	4	260	26.0	26.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_1] Ages of the children living at home: 0 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 0 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	237	23.7	91.2
Mentioned	1	23	2.3	8.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	—
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_2] Ages of the children living at home: 1 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 1 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	242	24.2	93.1
Mentioned	1	18	1.8	6.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	—
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_3] Ages of the children living at home: 2 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 2 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	235	23.5	90.4
Mentioned	1	25	2.5	9.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_4] Ages of the children living at home: 3 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 3 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	240	24.0	92.3
Mentioned	1	20	2.0	7.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_5] Ages of the children living at home: 4 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 4 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	241	24.1	92.7
Mentioned	1	19	1.9	7.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_6] Ages of the children living at home: 5 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 5 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	236	23.6	90.8
Mentioned	1	24	2.4	9.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_7] Ages of the children living at home: 6 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 6 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	233	23.3	89.6
Mentioned	1	27	2.7	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_8] Ages of the children living at home: 7 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 7 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	235	23.5	90.4
Mentioned	1	25	2.5	9.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_9] Ages of the children living at home: 8 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 8 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	229	22.9	88.1
Mentioned	1	31	3.1	11.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_10] Ages of the children living at home: 9 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 9 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	245	24.5	94.2
Mentioned	1	15	1.5	5.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_11] Ages of the children living at home: 10 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 10 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	233	23.3	89.6
Mentioned	1	27	2.7	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_12] Ages of the children living at home: 11 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 11 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	233	23.3	89.6
Mentioned	1	27	2.7	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_13] Ages of the children living at home: 12 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 12 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	229	22.9	88.1
Mentioned	1	31	3.1	11.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_14] Ages of the children living at home: 13 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 13 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	233	23.3	89.6
Mentioned	1	27	2.7	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_15] Ages of the children living at home: 14 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 14 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	234	23.4	90.0
Mentioned	1	26	2.6	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_16] Ages of the children living at home: 15 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 15 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	219	21.9	84.2
Mentioned	1	41	4.1	15.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_17] Ages of the children living at home: 16 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 16 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	219	21.9	84.2
Mentioned	1	41	4.1	15.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV11_18] Ages of the children living at home: 17 years**Question***Ages of the children living at home: 17 years***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	223	22.3	85.8
Mentioned	1	37	3.7	14.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	740	74.0	–
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV12] Total number of children aged under 18 living in the household**Question***Total number of children aged under 18 living in the household***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	260
minimum	0.00
maximum	8.00
mean	1.92
standard deviation	1.13

[BV13] Annual gross income of the household (incomes of all family members summed up before taxes)**Question***Annual gross income of the household (incomes of all family members summed up before taxes)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Under 10,001 euros/year	1	89	8.9	8.9
10,001-15,000 euros/year	2	69	6.9	6.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
15,001-20,000 euros/year	3	60	6.0	6.0
20,001-25,000 euros/year	4	68	6.8	6.8
25,001-30,000 euros/year	5	62	6.2	6.2
30,001-35,000 euros/year	6	62	6.2	6.2
35,001-40,000 euros/year	7	60	6.0	6.0
40,001-45,000 euros/year	8	49	4.9	4.9
45,001-50,000 euros/year	9	43	4.3	4.3
50,001-55,000 euros/year	10	39	3.9	3.9
55,001-60,000 euros/year	11	22	2.2	2.2
60,001-70,000 euros/year	12	47	4.7	4.7
70,001-80,000 euros/year	13	50	5.0	5.0
80,001-90,000 euros/year	14	22	2.2	2.2
Over 90,000 euros/year	15	76	7.6	7.6
Can't/Prefer not to say	16	182	18.2	18.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV14] Number of persons in the household (including the respondent)

Question

Number of persons in the household (including the respondent)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1000
minimum	1.00
maximum	10.00
mean	2.18
standard deviation	1.35

[BV15] Housing type

Question

Housing type

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Detached house/farmhouse	1	322	32.2	32.2
Rowhouse/semi-detached house	2	258	25.8	25.8
Block of flats	3	420	42.0	42.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV16] Number of inhabitants in the respondent's municipality of residence

Question

Number of inhabitants in the respondent's municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Over 100,000	1	418	41.8	41.8
50,001 - 100,000	2	133	13.3	13.3
30,001 - 50,000	3	94	9.4	9.4
10,000 - 30,000	4	183	18.3	18.3
Under 10,000 inhabitants	5	172	17.2	17.2
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV17] Type of the respondent's municipality of residence

Question

Type of the respondent's municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Urban	1	789	78.9	78.9
Other municipality	2	211	21.1	21.1
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV18] Major region (NUTS2)

Question

Major region (NUTS2)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki-Uusimaa	1	299	29.9	29.9
Southern Finland	2	165	16.5	16.5
Western Finland	3	240	24.0	24.0
Eastern Finland	4	296	29.6	29.6
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV20] The respondent's region of residence (NUTS3)

Question

The respondent's region of residence (NUTS3)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	299	29.9	29.9
Finland proper (Varsinais-Suomi)	2	78	7.8	7.8
Satakunta	4	59	5.9	5.9
Tavastia proper (Kanta-Häme)	5	5	0.5	0.5
Pirkanmaa	6	101	10.1	10.1
Päijänne Tavastia (Päijät-Häme)	7	5	0.5	0.5
Kymenlaakso	8	23	2.3	2.3
South Karelia (Etelä-Karjala)	9	54	5.4	5.4
Southern Savonia (Etelä-Savo)	10	20	2.0	2.0
Northern Savonia (Pohjois-Savo)	11	70	7.0	7.0
North Karelia (Pohjois-Karjala)	12	57	5.7	5.7
Central Finland (Keski-Suomi)	13	37	3.7	3.7
Southern Ostrobothnia (Etelä-Pohjanmaa)	14	30	3.0	3.0
Ostrobothnia (Pohjanmaa)	15	13	1.3	1.3
Central Ostrobothnia (Keski-Pohjanmaa)	16	14	1.4	1.4
Northern Ostrobothnia (Pohjois-Pohjanmaa)	17	90	9.0	9.0
Kainuu	18	1	0.1	0.1
Lapland	19	44	4.4	4.4
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV21] Do you have access to and use a mobile phone?

Question

Do you have access to and use a mobile phone?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	995	99.5	99.5
No	2	5	0.5	0.5
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV22] How often do you use the Internet?

Question

How often do you use the Internet?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Daily or almost daily (at least 20 days a month)	1	814	81.4	81.4
About 3-4 days a week (12-19 days a month)	2	34	3.4	3.4
About 1-2 days a week (4-11 days a month)	3	30	3.0	3.0
About 1-3 days a month	4	15	1.5	1.5
Less frequently	5	8	0.8	0.8
Not at all	6	99	9.9	9.9
Can't say	7	0	0.0	0.0
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV23] The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for in the 2015 PARLIAMENTARY elections?

Question

The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for in the 2015 PARLIAMENTARY elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	1	121	12.1	12.9
National Coalition Party (KOK)	2	117	11.7	12.5
Centre Party (KESK)	3	126	12.6	13.4
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	58	5.8	6.2
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	5	15	1.5	1.6
Finns Party (PS)	6	86	8.6	9.2
Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)	7	25	2.5	2.7
Green League (VIHR)	8	102	10.2	10.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Does not want to answer	9	52	5.2	5.5
No, did not vote	10	160	16.0	17.1
Does not have the right to vote	11	29	2.9	3.1
Can't say	12	28	2.8	3.0
Other	13	18	1.8	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	63	6.3	—
		1000	100.0	100.0

[BV24] The candidate of which political party or group would you most likely vote for, if the PARLIAMENTARY elections were held now?

Question

The candidate of which political party or group would you most likely vote for, if the PARLIAMENTARY elections were held now?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	1	136	13.6	14.5
National Coalition Party (KOK)	2	104	10.4	11.1
Centre Party (KESK)	3	118	11.8	12.6
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	61	6.1	6.5
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	5	12	1.2	1.3
Finns Party (PS)	6	50	5.0	5.3
Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)	7	21	2.1	2.2
Green League (VIHR)	8	138	13.8	14.7
Does not want to answer	9	46	4.6	4.9
Would not vote	10	49	4.9	5.2
Does not have the right to vote	11	8	0.8	0.9
Can't say	12	180	18.0	19.2
Other	13	14	1.4	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	63	6.3	—
		1000	100.0	100.0

[PAINO] Weight variable

Question

Weight variable

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1000
minimum	0.63
maximum	19.41
mean	4.28
standard deviation	2.85

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its foreign policy over the past few years? [Q1]	6
What is your opinion on the defence forces funding? [Q2]	6
If Finland were attacked, do you think the Finns should in all circumstances take up arms in defence of their country, even if the outcome seemed uncertain? [Q3]	7
Considering the present state of the world, do you think that over the next five years Finns and Finland will live in a more secure or insecure world? [Q4]	7
In your opinion, should the Finnish defence system should be based on ... [Q5]	8
Should Finland keep the present universal conscription, adopt a selective conscription, or give up the conscription army altogether and adopt a professional army with a smaller number of personnel? [Q6]	8
In your opinion, should Finland continue its policy of military non-alignment or enter a military alliance? [Q7]	9
In your opinion, should Finland seek to become a member of NATO? [Q8]	9
If Sweden decided to join NATO, should Finland then apply for NATO membership as well? [Q9]	10
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's EU membership [Q10_1]	10
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Increase in Finland's international economic cooperation [Q10_2]	10
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's potential NATO membership [Q10_3]	11

3. Indexes

How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's participation in the EU's common defence [Q10_4]	11
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's participation in Nordic defence cooperation [Q10_5]	12
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Participation of Finnish troops in crisis management operations in war zones [Q10_6]	12
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Military non-alignment of Finland [Q10_7]	12
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Increase in foreign ownership in Finnish economic life [Q10_8]	13
In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its defence policy over the past few years? [Q11]	13
How would you evaluate the effect of recent developments in Russia on the security of Finland? Recent developments in Russia affect Finnish security... [Q12]	14
What is your attitude toward military cooperation: In the European Union [Q13_1]	14
What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With NATO [Q13_2]	14
What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With all Nordic countries [Q13_3]	15
What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With Sweden [Q13_4]	15
What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With the United States [Q13_5]	16
What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The UN [Q14_1]	16
What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The EU [Q14_2]	16
What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? NATO [Q14_3]	17
What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The OSCE [Q14_4]	17
What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? Russia [Q14_5]	18
What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? United States [Q14_6]	18
What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? China [Q14_7]	18
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Armed attack [Q15_1]	19
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Availability of energy [Q15_2]	19
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Various infectious diseases, epidemics [Q15_3]	20

How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Various environmental threats (floods, oil accidents, transports of dangerous goods) [Q15_4]	20
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Uncontrolled immigration [Q15_5]	21
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Climate change (global warming) [Q15_6]	21
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: International crime [Q15_7]	21
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Foreign political pressure [Q15_8]	22
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Foreign economic pressure [Q15_9]	22
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Major disasters and accidents [Q15_10]	23
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Economic crisis [Q15_11]	23
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Terrorism [Q15_12]	24
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Attacks against information networks i.e. cyberthreats [Q15_13]	24
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Prospects for the European economy [Q16_1]	25
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Global warming [Q16_2]	25
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Security situation in the Baltic Sea region [Q16_3]	25
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Proliferation of weapons of mass destruction [Q16_4]	26
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: International terrorism [Q16_5]	26
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Recent developments in Russia [Q16_6]	27
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Increase in the number of immigrants in Finland [Q16_7]	27
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The global refugee situation [Q16_8]	28
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Political extremist groups [Q16_9]	28
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Racism [Q16_10]	29

3. Indexes

How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Syria [Q16_11]	29
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Attacks against information networks i.e. cyberthreats [Q16_12]	29
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Turkey [Q16_13]	30
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The number of people seeking asylum in Finland [Q16_14]	30
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Employment situation in Finland [Q16_15]	31
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Ukraine [Q16_16]	31
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Religious extremist groups [Q16_17]	32
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Social inequality in Finland [Q16_18]	32
How would you rate your trust in the future of the European Union? Has your trust increased, decreased, or remained the same? [Q17]	33
Gender [BV1]	33
Age [BV2]	33
Respondent's employment status [BV3]	34
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status [BV4]	34
Respondent's education [BV5]	35
Respondent's marital status [BV6]	35
Economic activity and occupational status of the person with the highest income in the household [BV7]	35
Is the respondent the person with the highest income in the household? [BV8]	36
Who in the household is mainly responsible for everyday shopping? [BV9]	36
Household composition [BV10]	37
Ages of the children living at home: 0 years [BV11_1]	37
Ages of the children living at home: 1 years [BV11_2]	37
Ages of the children living at home: 2 years [BV11_3]	38
Ages of the children living at home: 3 years [BV11_4]	38
Ages of the children living at home: 4 years [BV11_5]	38
Ages of the children living at home: 5 years [BV11_6]	39
Ages of the children living at home: 6 years [BV11_7]	39
Ages of the children living at home: 7 years [BV11_8]	39

Ages of the children living at home: 8 years [BV11_9]	40
Ages of the children living at home: 9 years [BV11_10]	40
Ages of the children living at home: 10 years [BV11_11]	40
Ages of the children living at home: 11 years [BV11_12]	41
Ages of the children living at home: 12 years [BV11_13]	41
Ages of the children living at home: 13 years [BV11_14]	41
Ages of the children living at home: 14 years [BV11_15]	42
Ages of the children living at home: 15 years [BV11_16]	42
Ages of the children living at home: 16 years [BV11_17]	42
Ages of the children living at home: 17 years [BV11_18]	43
Total number of children aged under 18 living in the household [BV12]	43
Annual gross income of the household (incomes of all family members summed up before taxes) [BV13]	43
Number of persons in the household (including the respondent) [BV14]	44
Housing type [BV15]	44
Number of inhabitants in the respondent's municipality of residence [BV16]	45
Type of the respondent's municipality of residence [BV17]	45
Major region (NUTS2) [BV18]	45
The respondent's region of residence (NUTS3) [BV20]	46
Do you have access to and use a mobile phone? [BV21]	46
How often do you use the Internet? [BV22]	47
The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for in the 2015 PARLIAMEN- TARY elections? [BV23]	47
The candidate of which political party or group would you most likely vote for, if the PARLIA- MENTARY elections were held now? [BV24]	48
Weight variable [PAINO]	48

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Age	33
Ages of the children living at home: 0 years	37
Ages of the children living at home: 1 years	37
Ages of the children living at home: 10 years	40
Ages of the children living at home: 11 years	41
Ages of the children living at home: 12 years	41
Ages of the children living at home: 13 years	41
Ages of the children living at home: 14 years	42
Ages of the children living at home: 15 years	42
Ages of the children living at home: 16 years	42
Ages of the children living at home: 17 years	43
Ages of the children living at home: 2 years	38
Ages of the children living at home: 3 years	38
Ages of the children living at home: 4 years	38
Ages of the children living at home: 5 years	39
Ages of the children living at home: 6 years	39
Ages of the children living at home: 7 years	39
Ages of the children living at home: 8 years	40
Ages of the children living at home: 9 years	40
Annual gross income of the household (incomes of all family members summed up before taxes)	43
Considering the present state of the world, do you think that over the next five years Finns and Finland will live in a more secure or insecure world?	7
Do you have access to and use a mobile phone?	46
Economic activity and occupational status of the person with the highest income in the household	35
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
Gender	33
Household composition	37
Housing type	44
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's EU membership	10

How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's participation in Nordic defence cooperation	12
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's participation in the EU's common defence	11
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Finland's potential NATO membership	11
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Increase in Finland's international economic cooperation	10
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Increase in foreign ownership in Finnish economic life	13
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Military non-alignment of Finland	12
How do you think the following affect the security of Finns and Finland? Participation of Finnish troops in crisis management operations in war zones	12
How often do you use the Internet?	47
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Armed attack	19
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Attacks against information networks i.e. cyberthreats	24
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Availability of energy	19
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Climate change (global warming)	21
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Economic crisis	23
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Foreign economic pressure	22
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Foreign political pressure	22
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: International crime	21
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Major disasters and accidents	23
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Terrorism	24
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Uncontrolled immigration	21
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Various environmental threats (floods, oil accidents, transports of dangerous goods)	20
How well prepared do you think Finland is for countering the following security threats: Various	

3. Indexes

infectious diseases, epidemics	20
How would you evaluate the effect of recent developments in Russia on the security of Finland? Recent developments in Russia affect Finnish security... ..	14
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Attacks against information networks i.e. cyberthreats	29
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Employment situation in Finland	31
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Global warming	25
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Increase in the number of immigrants in Finland	27
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: International terrorism	26
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Political extremist groups	28
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Proliferation of weapons of mass destruction	26
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Prospects for the European economy	25
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Racism	29
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Recent developments in Russia	27
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Religious extremist groups	32
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Security situation in the Baltic Sea region	25
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: Social inequality in Finland	32
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The global refugee situation	28
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The number of people seeking asylum in Finland	30
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Syria	29
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Turkey	30
How would you evaluate the following factors? How concerned are you about the future because of: The situation in Ukraine	31
How would you rate your trust in the future of the European Union? Has your trust increased,	

decreased, or remained the same?	33
If Finland were attacked, do you think the Finns should in all circumstances take up arms in defence of their country, even if the outcome seemed uncertain?	7
If Sweden decided to join NATO, should Finland then apply for NATO membership as well?	10
In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its defence policy over the past few years?	13
In your opinion, how well has Finland managed its foreign policy over the past few years? ..	6
In your opinion, should Finland continue its policy of military non-alignment or enter a military alliance?	9
In your opinion, should Finland seek to become a member of NATO?	9
In your opinion, should the Finnish defence system should be based on	8
Is the respondent the person with the highest income in the household?	36
Major region (NUTS2)	45
Number of inhabitants in the respondent's municipality of residence	45
Number of persons in the household (including the respondent)	44
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status	34
Respondent's education	35
Respondent's employment status	34
Respondent's marital status	35
Should Finland keep the present universal conscription, adopt a selective conscription, or give up the conscription army altogether and adopt a professional army with a smaller number of personnel?	8
The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for in the 2015 PARLIAMEN-TARY elections?	47
The candidate of which political party or group would you most likely vote for, if the PARLIA-MENTARY elections were held now?	48
The respondent's region of residence (NUTS3)	46
Total number of children aged under 18 living in the household	43
Type of the respondent's municipality of residence	45
Weight variable	48
What is your attitude toward military cooperation: In the European Union	14
What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With all Nordic countries	15
What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With NATO	14
What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With Sweden	15
What is your attitude toward military cooperation: With the United States	16
What is your opinion on the defence forces funding?	6
What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? China ..	18

3. Indexes

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? NATO . . 17

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? Russia . 18

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The EU 16

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The OSCE
17

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? The UN 16

What kind of an effect do you think the following have on the security of Finland? United States
18

Who in the household is mainly responsible for everyday shopping? 36