

FSD3305

**EVA Survey on Finnish Values and
Attitudes Autumn 2018**

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

EVA Survey on Finnish Values and Attitudes Autumn 2018 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2021.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (6.3.2019) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD3305 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA): EVA Survey on Finnish Values and Attitudes Autumn 2018 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2019-03-06). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD3305>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	4
2	Variables	7
3	Indexes	83
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	83
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	92
A	Questionnaire in Finnish	101

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: EVA Survey on Finnish Values and Attitudes Autumn 2018

Titles and data version in Finnish: EVAn arvo- ja asennetutkimus syksy 2018

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (6.3.2019) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA)

Copyright statement for the data

In accordance with the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Haavisto, Ilkka (Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA))

Date of deposit

10.10.2018

Keywords

foreign policy; immigration; personal safety; social change; social security; social status; social structure; social welfare finance; trust; values

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: Social sciences

CESSDA Classification: Migration; Social behaviour and attitudes; Social change; Social welfare policy

Series description

The data belong to the series:

EVA Surveys on Finnish Values and Attitudes

Since 1984, the Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA) has conducted biennial surveys studying changes in Finnish attitudes, values, and perceptions of the present and the future. The series, formerly known as Finnish National Attitudes, aims at measuring and analysing citizens' views on Finnish society. The data allow a detailed empirical analysis and systematic follow-up of change in public opinion. Each dataset contains both new themes and recurring themes with questions repeated over the years.

Themes covered include democracy, market economy, welfare, environment, society and politics, Finland's international position, economic depression, economic growth, Finnish identity, and views on the future. The surveys are funded by EVA and have usually been carried out by Yhdyskuntatutkimus. The FSD collections also include surveys on values and attitudes of corporate executives (for example, FSD1091), in which some of the questions presented in this series have been repeated.

Abstract

The survey charted the values and attitudes of Finnish people. The main themes of the autumn 2018 survey included social services reformation, immigration, trust in different actors in Finnish society, recent societal changes, security and Finland's foreign policy.

First, the respondents were presented with attitudinal statements regarding different spheres of society, for instance, public services, immigration, the Finnish workforce, NATO membership, Russia and the United States, climate policy, the functionality of democracy, and whether politicians care about ordinary people's lives and opinions.

As regards reforming social services and welfare, respondents' opinions were charted on, for instance, the gratuitousness of welfare, basic security, universal basic income, and the funding and level of social benefits. Views on immigration were charted with attitudinal statements regarding, for instance, strictness of Finnish policy on aliens, social benefits received by immigrants, increased crime due to immigrants, and immigration as an aid to shortage of workforce.

Next, the respondents' views were examined on equality and their own position in Finnish society (e.g. in occupational life, as a taxpayer, in the eyes of authorities). Their trust in different types of actors in society was also charted (e.g. Parliament, church, judicial system, police, enterprises, banks, social media, Wikipedia, the market economy, newspaper press, Google). In addition, the respondents were asked to estimate whether Finland had changed positively

or negatively in the previous 10 years in different areas (e.g. Finland's position in Europe, democracy, disparity in income and well-being, standard of education, social security). The next questions charted the respondents' views on the severity of different threats, e.g. armed attack against Finland, cyber attacks, terrorist attack, financial crisis, foreign efforts to interfere with Finnish elections, global warming, pandemic diseases, being a victim of violence. The respondents were asked to evaluate how well different actors (e.g. president, prime minister, foreign minister, the current Government) had handled foreign policy. Finally, the respondents' views on Finland's EU membership and the Euro were examined as well as how the respondents would vote if a referendum were held on Finland's EU membership.

Background variables included gender, age group, type of municipality of residence, region of residence, education level, economic activity and occupational status, type of employer, occupational sector, type of contract, political party preference (which party R would vote for if parliamentary elections were held at the time of the survey), federation of trade unions membership, and self-perceived social class.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Persons aged 18-70 residing in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 24.9.2018 – 4.10.2018

Data collector(s): Taloustutkimus

Mode of data collection: Self-administered questionnaire: Web-based (CAWI)

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2018

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Response rate: 25

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 171 variables and 2009 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Multistage

Respondents of the survey were randomly drawn among the internet panel of Taloustutkimus to form a sample representative of the Finnish population aged 18-70 (excluding the Åland Islands) with respect to age, gender, region of residence, education and occupation/occupational status. Invitations to respond to the survey were sent to the target group by email, and the respondents filled out a dynamic questionnaire in a protected online environment provided by Taloustutkimus. During data collection, four reminder emails to respond were sent purposively to people in different groups who had not yet responded or had not finished responding: 18-34-year-old males on 27 September 2018, 35-49-year-old females on 27 September 2018, 50-64-year-old females on 28 September 2018, and 18-34-year-olds on 1 October 2018. In total, 2009 filled questionnaires were received, whereas 8000 invitations to respond were sent. During the analysis stage of the research, the data were weighted at Taloustutkimus to correspond to

1. Study description

the Finnish population aged 18-70 according to the respondent's age, gender, type of residential area, education, occupational status, employment sector, and political party preference (which party R would vote for in parliamentary elections).

The internet panel of Taloustutkimus, randomly drawn from Finland's population register, consists of citizens aged 15-79 who have entered their demographic and sociographic information when registering to the panel and have given their consent to Taloustutkimus to invite them to participate in various surveys. Responding to any survey is voluntary and participants can leave the panel any time they want.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

During the archiving process, open-ended responses to the comment field at the end of the questionnaire were removed from the data.

Related publications

Alpimaa, Markku (2020) Talouspoliittiset asennemuutokset Suomessa vuosina 1984-2020 EVA:n arvo- ja asennetutkimusten valossa. Turku: Turun yliopisto. Sosiologian pro gradu -tutkielma. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi-fe20201223102803>

Haavisto, Ilkka (2019). Härmä sulaa. Suomalaisten maahanmuuttoasenteet pehmenevät, mutta muutos on hidas [verkkodokumentti]. Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta. EVA Analyysi 68. https://www.eva.fi/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/eva_analyysi_no_68-1.pdf [viitattu 4.12.2019].

Haavisto, Ilkka (2019). Poliitiikan pudotus. Valtioon luotetaan mutta poliittisia toimijoita epäillä [verkkodokumentti]. Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta. EVA Arvio 15. <https://www.eva.fi/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/eva-arvio-015.pdf> [viitattu 4.12.2019].

Hautamäki, Tuomas (2020). Suomalaisten suhtautuminen maahanmuuttoon ja ulkomaalaisiin. Oulu: Oulun yliopisto, luonnontieteellinen tiedekunta, matematiikka. Kandidaatintyö. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi-fe202005302247>

Metelinen, Sami (2018). Suomen Nato-jäsenyyden kannatus on pysynyt likimain ennallaan [verkkodokumentti]. Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta. <https://www.eva.fi/blog/2018/11/28/suomen-nato-jasenyyden-kannatus-on-pysynyt-likimain-ennallaan/> [viitattu 19.12.2018].

Metelinen, Sami (2018). Ulkopoliitiikan johtotähti. Maailmanpolitiikan aallokossa suomalaiset luottavat presidentin suuntavaistoon. Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta. EVA-analyysi. <https://www.eva.fi/blog/2018/11/23/89-suomalaisista-antaa-presidentille-hyvan-arvosanan-ulkopolitiikan-hoidosta-hallituksen-arvosana-huonontunut/> [viitattu 19.12.2018].

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD3305?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

The data contain a weight variable (paino) which weights the data to be representative of the Finnish population aged 18-70 in terms of age, gender, type of residential area, education, occupational status, occupational sector, and political party preference.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	2009
minimum	3305.00
maximum	3305.00
mean	3305.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	2009
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	2009
minimum	1.00
maximum	2009.00
mean	1005.00
standard deviation	580.09

[Q1A_1] Comprehensive social security schemes and the extensive safety net of the welfare state make people lazy and unenterprising

Question

Comprehensive social security schemes and the extensive safety net of the welfare state make people lazy and unenterprising

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	193	9.6	9.6
Agree to some extent	2	561	27.9	27.9
Difficult to say	3	305	15.2	15.2
Disagree to some extent	4	603	30.0	30.0
Strongly disagree	5	347	17.3	17.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_2] Welfare benefits are viewed as acquired rights and are used as a matter of course even by those who have no real need for them

Question

Welfare benefits are viewed as acquired rights and are used as a matter of course even by those who have no real need for them

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	577	28.7	28.7
Agree to some extent	2	747	37.2	37.2
Difficult to say	3	294	14.6	14.6
Disagree to some extent	4	311	15.5	15.5
Strongly disagree	5	80	4.0	4.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_3] Even though good social security and public services are costly, Finnish welfare state is always good value for money

Question

Even though good social security and public services are costly, Finnish welfare state is always good value for money

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	831	41.4	41.4
Agree to some extent	2	845	42.1	42.1
Difficult to say	3	143	7.1	7.1
Disagree to some extent	4	159	7.9	7.9
Strongly disagree	5	31	1.5	1.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_4] People residing in Finland should in all circumstances have the right to basic subsistence guaranteed by society

Question

People residing in Finland should in all circumstances have the right to basic subsistence guaranteed by society

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	551	27.4	27.4
Agree to some extent	2	712	35.4	35.4
Difficult to say	3	299	14.9	14.9
Disagree to some extent	4	350	17.4	17.4
Strongly disagree	5	97	4.8	4.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_5] Public welfare services and benefits should be targeted mainly at those most in need and the worst-off

Question

Public welfare services and benefits should be targeted mainly at those most in need and the worst-off

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	355	17.7	17.7
Agree to some extent	2	715	35.6	35.6
Difficult to say	3	283	14.1	14.1
Disagree to some extent	4	504	25.1	25.1
Strongly disagree	5	152	7.6	7.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_6] I am interested in politics and follow it actively

Question

I am interested in politics and follow it actively

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	456	22.7	22.7
Agree to some extent	2	851	42.4	42.4
Difficult to say	3	186	9.3	9.3
Disagree to some extent	4	376	18.7	18.7
Strongly disagree	5	140	7.0	7.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_7] No party promotes issues that are important to me

Question

No party promotes issues that are important to me

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	293	14.6	14.6
Agree to some extent	2	531	26.4	26.4
Difficult to say	3	361	18.0	18.0
Disagree to some extent	4	648	32.3	32.3
Strongly disagree	5	176	8.8	8.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_8] Political parties are drifting further and further away from the problems of ordinary citizens**Question**

Political parties are drifting further and further away from the problems of ordinary citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	798	39.7	39.7
Agree to some extent	2	745	37.1	37.1
Difficult to say	3	238	11.8	11.8
Disagree to some extent	4	204	10.2	10.2
Strongly disagree	5	24	1.2	1.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_9] Democracy functions so well in Finland that there are no grounds for talking about citizens' lack of influence**Question**

Democracy functions so well in Finland that there are no grounds for talking about citizens' lack of influence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	69	3.4	3.4
Agree to some extent	2	416	20.7	20.7
Difficult to say	3	387	19.3	19.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree to some extent	4	809	40.3	40.3
Strongly disagree	5	328	16.3	16.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_10] Finland needs strong leaders who can restore order, discipline and respect for proper values

Question

Finland needs strong leaders who can restore order, discipline and respect for proper values

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	485	24.1	24.1
Agree to some extent	2	644	32.1	32.1
Difficult to say	3	296	14.7	14.7
Disagree to some extent	4	381	19.0	19.0
Strongly disagree	5	203	10.1	10.1
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_11] Morality in Finnish political life is unnecessarily criticised since most of our politicians are honest and conscientious people

Question

Morality in Finnish political life is unnecessarily criticised since most of our politicians are honest and conscientious people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	50	2.5	2.5
Agree to some extent	2	467	23.2	23.2
Difficult to say	3	443	22.1	22.1
Disagree to some extent	4	684	34.0	34.0
Strongly disagree	5	365	18.2	18.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_12] The elite in our society only promote their own interests and do not care about the circumstances of ordinary Finns

Question

The elite in our society only promote their own interests and do not care about the circumstances of ordinary Finns

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	781	38.9	38.9
Agree to some extent	2	710	35.3	35.3
Difficult to say	3	191	9.5	9.5
Disagree to some extent	4	276	13.7	13.7
Strongly disagree	5	51	2.5	2.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_13] Interest groups have too much influence on Finland's political decision-making

Question

Interest groups have too much influence on Finland's political decision-making

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	378	18.8	18.8
Agree to some extent	2	594	29.6	29.6
Difficult to say	3	541	26.9	26.9
Disagree to some extent	4	386	19.2	19.2
Strongly disagree	5	110	5.5	5.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_14] The Finnish media has too strong an influence on public opinion

Question

The Finnish media has too strong an influence on public opinion

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	398	19.8	19.8
Agree to some extent	2	633	31.5	31.5
Difficult to say	3	453	22.5	22.5
Disagree to some extent	4	411	20.5	20.5
Strongly disagree	5	114	5.7	5.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_15] Nowadays, decision-makers get more information about public opinion through civic organizations than through political parties

Question

Nowadays, decision-makers get more information about public opinion through civic organizations than through political parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	203	10.1	10.1
Agree to some extent	2	797	39.7	39.7
Difficult to say	3	691	34.4	34.4
Disagree to some extent	4	267	13.3	13.3
Strongly disagree	5	51	2.5	2.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_16] It has become increasingly usual in politics to oversimplify and misrepresent issues and to downright lie about them

Question

It has become increasingly usual in politics to oversimplify and misrepresent issues and to downright lie about them

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	923	45.9	45.9
Agree to some extent	2	809	40.3	40.3
Difficult to say	3	190	9.5	9.5
Disagree to some extent	4	80	4.0	4.0
Strongly disagree	5	7	0.3	0.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_17] Increasing immigration to Finland brings us useful international influences

Question

Increasing immigration to Finland brings us useful international influences

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	180	9.0	9.0
Agree to some extent	2	696	34.6	34.6
Difficult to say	3	465	23.1	23.1
Disagree to some extent	4	397	19.8	19.8
Strongly disagree	5	271	13.5	13.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_18] Finns should effectively protect their unique culture from the ever-increasing internationalisation

Question

Finns should effectively protect their unique culture from the ever-increasing internationalisation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	481	23.9	23.9
Agree to some extent	2	693	34.5	34.5
Difficult to say	3	304	15.1	15.1
Disagree to some extent	4	395	19.7	19.7
Strongly disagree	5	136	6.8	6.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_19] The wariness Finnish people show towards foreigners is wise guardedness, not ignorance or racism

Question

The wariness Finnish people show towards foreigners is wise guardedness, not ignorance or racism

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	498	24.8	24.8
Agree to some extent	2	621	30.9	30.9
Difficult to say	3	252	12.5	12.5
Disagree to some extent	4	473	23.5	23.5
Strongly disagree	5	165	8.2	8.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1A_20] Finland's ageing and diminishing population means that Finland needs to make immigration easier

Question

Finland's ageing and diminishing population means that Finland needs to make immigration easier

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	159	7.9	7.9
Agree to some extent	2	600	29.9	29.9
Difficult to say	3	430	21.4	21.4
Disagree to some extent	4	481	23.9	23.9
Strongly disagree	5	339	16.9	16.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_1] Labour shortage in certain specialty fields in Finland should be eased with foreign labour

Question

Labour shortage in certain specialty fields in Finland should be eased with foreign labour

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	301	15.0	15.0
Agree to some extent	2	929	46.2	46.2
Difficult to say	3	377	18.8	18.8
Disagree to some extent	4	275	13.7	13.7
Strongly disagree	5	127	6.3	6.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_2] Finland's increasing labour shortage can only be solved with the help of foreign labour**Question**

Finland's increasing labour shortage can only be solved with the help of foreign labour

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	74	3.7	3.7
Agree to some extent	2	452	22.5	22.5
Difficult to say	3	398	19.8	19.8
Disagree to some extent	4	685	34.1	34.1
Strongly disagree	5	400	19.9	19.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_3] The fact that competent and qualified Finns emigrate is a bigger problem than immigration to Finland**Question**

The fact that competent and qualified Finns emigrate is a bigger problem than immigration to Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	283	14.1	14.1
Agree to some extent	2	734	36.5	36.5
Difficult to say	3	530	26.4	26.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree to some extent	4	337	16.8	16.8
Strongly disagree	5	125	6.2	6.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_4] If more foreigners worked in Finland, our country would benefit from the useful international influences they bring

Question

If more foreigners worked in Finland, our country would benefit from the useful international influences they bring

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	241	12.0	12.0
Agree to some extent	2	870	43.3	43.3
Difficult to say	3	463	23.0	23.0
Disagree to some extent	4	288	14.3	14.3
Strongly disagree	5	147	7.3	7.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_5] Even though Finland has to carry some of the responsibility for helping refugees escaping war and persecution, the current level of immigration is too high

Question

Even though Finland has to carry some of the responsibility for helping refugees escaping war and persecution, the current level of immigration is too high

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	589	29.3	29.3
Agree to some extent	2	459	22.8	22.8
Difficult to say	3	375	18.7	18.7
Disagree to some extent	4	409	20.4	20.4
Strongly disagree	5	177	8.8	8.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_6] Finland and the EU as a whole should tighten their immigration policy

Question

Finland and the EU as a whole should tighten their immigration policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	650	32.4	32.4
Agree to some extent	2	571	28.4	28.4
Difficult to say	3	360	17.9	17.9
Disagree to some extent	4	303	15.1	15.1
Strongly disagree	5	125	6.2	6.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_7] The requirement of the so-called 'labour availability consideration' before employers are allowed to hire foreign employees should be abolished

Question

The requirement of the so-called 'labour availability consideration' before employers are allowed to hire foreign employees should be abolished

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	147	7.3	7.3
Agree to some extent	2	386	19.2	19.2
Difficult to say	3	909	45.2	45.2
Disagree to some extent	4	350	17.4	17.4
Strongly disagree	5	217	10.8	10.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_8] Asylum seekers and employment-based immigration are two completely different issues that should be kept carefully separate

Question

Asylum seekers and employment-based immigration are two completely different issues that should be kept carefully separate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	1029	51.2	51.2
Agree to some extent	2	673	33.5	33.5
Difficult to say	3	172	8.6	8.6
Disagree to some extent	4	120	6.0	6.0
Strongly disagree	5	15	0.7	0.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_9] The debate on immigration in Finland is not outspoken enough nor frank about the problems caused by immigration

Question

The debate on immigration in Finland is not outspoken enough nor frank about the problems caused by immigration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	582	29.0	29.0
Agree to some extent	2	670	33.3	33.3
Difficult to say	3	335	16.7	16.7
Disagree to some extent	4	349	17.4	17.4
Strongly disagree	5	73	3.6	3.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_10] Xenophobia and racist discussion have become commonplace in Finland

Question

Xenophobia and racist discussion have become commonplace in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	351	17.5	17.5
Agree to some extent	2	691	34.4	34.4
Difficult to say	3	331	16.5	16.5
Disagree to some extent	4	458	22.8	22.8
Strongly disagree	5	178	8.9	8.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_11] Finland should join NATO**Question**

Finland should join NATO

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	212	10.6	10.6
Agree to some extent	2	218	10.9	10.9
Difficult to say	3	677	33.7	33.7
Disagree to some extent	4	323	16.1	16.1
Strongly disagree	5	579	28.8	28.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_12] I would support Finland's membership in NATO if the Government and the President were in favour of it**Question**

I would support Finland's membership in NATO if the Government and the President were in favour of it

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	235	11.7	11.7
Agree to some extent	2	291	14.5	14.5
Difficult to say	3	573	28.5	28.5
Disagree to some extent	4	358	17.8	17.8
Strongly disagree	5	552	27.5	27.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_13] Finland should remain outside NATO, even if Sweden joined it

Question

Finland should remain outside NATO, even if Sweden joined it

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	399	19.9	19.9
Agree to some extent	2	339	16.9	16.9
Difficult to say	3	685	34.1	34.1
Disagree to some extent	4	319	15.9	15.9
Strongly disagree	5	267	13.3	13.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_14] Finnish decision-makers focus too much on international issues, forgetting domestic problems

Question

Finnish decision-makers focus too much on international issues, forgetting domestic problems

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	351	17.5	17.5
Agree to some extent	2	595	29.6	29.6
Difficult to say	3	403	20.1	20.1
Disagree to some extent	4	551	27.4	27.4
Strongly disagree	5	109	5.4	5.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_15] In foreign policy, environmental questions have already become even more important than security policy

Question

In foreign policy, environmental questions have already become even more important than security policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	350	17.4	17.4
Agree to some extent	2	606	30.2	30.2
Difficult to say	3	450	22.4	22.4
Disagree to some extent	4	416	20.7	20.7
Strongly disagree	5	187	9.3	9.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_16] Finland should not participate in international debates which could jeopardise our good relationship with Russia

Question

Finland should not participate in international debates which could jeopardise our good relationship with Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	121	6.0	6.0
Agree to some extent	2	376	18.7	18.7
Difficult to say	3	474	23.6	23.6
Disagree to some extent	4	755	37.6	37.6
Strongly disagree	5	283	14.1	14.1
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_17] Even though Russia has its own problems, Finns have no reason to take a negative attitude to this big neighbour

Question

Even though Russia has its own problems, Finns have no reason to take a negative attitude to this big neighbour

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	191	9.5	9.5
Agree to some extent	2	631	31.4	31.4
Difficult to say	3	413	20.6	20.6
Disagree to some extent	4	558	27.8	27.8
Strongly disagree	5	216	10.8	10.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_18] The actions and policies of the United States in international politics are legitimate and deserve the support of Finns**Question**

The actions and policies of the United States in international politics are legitimate and deserve the support of Finns

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	55	2.7	2.7
Agree to some extent	2	142	7.1	7.1
Difficult to say	3	377	18.8	18.8
Disagree to some extent	4	718	35.7	35.7
Strongly disagree	5	717	35.7	35.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_19] Despite its problems, the USA is an essential defender of Western values in the world and an important ally to Finland**Question**

Despite its problems, the USA is an essential defender of Western values in the world and an important ally to Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	190	9.5	9.5
Agree to some extent	2	663	33.0	33.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	504	25.1	25.1
Disagree to some extent	4	473	23.5	23.5
Strongly disagree	5	179	8.9	8.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_20] Finland has gone too far into globalisation; from now on we should return our focus to national economy and politics

Question

Finland has gone too far into globalisation; from now on we should return our focus to national economy and politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	272	13.5	13.5
Agree to some extent	2	490	24.4	24.4
Difficult to say	3	419	20.9	20.9
Disagree to some extent	4	565	28.1	28.1
Strongly disagree	5	263	13.1	13.1
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_21] In the current situation, there is a risk that Finland turns inwards and is left out of international development

Question

In the current situation, there is a risk that Finland turns inwards and is left out of international development

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	148	7.4	7.4
Agree to some extent	2	461	22.9	22.9
Difficult to say	3	415	20.7	20.7
Disagree to some extent	4	728	36.2	36.2
Strongly disagree	5	257	12.8	12.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_22] In Finland, we need to accept that a person's salary may be so small that they need additional social security benefits to achieve decent livelihood

Question

In Finland, we need to accept that a person's salary may be so small that they need additional social security benefits to achieve decent livelihood

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	91	4.5	4.5
Agree to some extent	2	243	12.1	12.1
Difficult to say	3	225	11.2	11.2
Disagree to some extent	4	631	31.4	31.4
Strongly disagree	5	819	40.8	40.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_23] All Finns have the responsibility to work for the common good

Question

All Finns have the responsibility to work for the common good

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	711	35.4	35.4
Agree to some extent	2	890	44.3	44.3
Difficult to say	3	211	10.5	10.5
Disagree to some extent	4	167	8.3	8.3
Strongly disagree	5	30	1.5	1.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q1B_24] To fund the welfare state, all people residing in Finland have the responsibility to work and pay taxes

Question

To fund the welfare state, all people residing in Finland have the responsibility to work and pay taxes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	872	43.4	43.4
Agree to some extent	2	818	40.7	40.7
Difficult to say	3	162	8.1	8.1
Disagree to some extent	4	131	6.5	6.5
Strongly disagree	5	26	1.3	1.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1] Which is closer to your views on social security: Eligibility for social security benefits must always have a clear reason / Social security of e.g. 500 - 600 euros could be paid to everyone without any special reason

Question

Which is closer to your views on social security: Eligibility for social security benefits must always have a clear reason / Social security of e.g. 500 - 600 euros could be paid to everyone without any special reason

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A: Eligibility for social security benefits must always have a clear reason (e.g. unemployment, small income, illness)	1	696	34.6	34.6
	2	510	25.4	25.4
	3	226	11.2	11.2
	4	304	15.1	15.1
B: Social security of e.g. 500 - 600 euros could be paid to everyone without any special reason	5	273	13.6	13.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2] Which is closer to your views on social security: Healthy people of working age should do something in return for benefits / Society should not demand anything in return for benefits from healthy people of working age

Question

Which is closer to your views on social security: Healthy people of working age should do something in return for benefits / Society should not demand anything in return for benefits from healthy people of working age

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A: Healthy people of working age should do something in return for benefits (e.g. job seeking, study)	1	846	42.1	42.1
	2	610	30.4	30.4
	3	302	15.0	15.0
	4	155	7.7	7.7
B: Society should not demand anything in return for benefits from healthy people of working age	5	96	4.8	4.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q2_3] Which is closer to your views on social security: Social security should be improved by combining different types of benefits into one benefit / Each type of benefit has its own specific purpose and benefits should not be combined

Question

Which is closer to your views on social security: Social security should be improved by combining different types of benefits into one benefit / Each type of benefit has its own specific purpose and benefits should not be combined

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A: Social security should be improved by combining different types of benefits into one benefit	1	546	27.2	27.2
	2	525	26.1	26.1
	3	410	20.4	20.4
	4	317	15.8	15.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
B: Each type of benefit has its own specific purpose and benefits should not be combined	5	211	10.5	10.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q2_4] Which is closer to your views on social security: Social security should be personal, i.e. determined by the individual's situation / Incomes of other household members should be taken into account when determining the level of benefits

Question

Which is closer to your views on social security: Social security should be personal, i.e. determined by the individual's situation / Incomes of other household members should be taken into account when determining the level of benefits

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A: Social security should be personal, i.e. determined by the individual's situation	1	479	23.8	23.8
	2	544	27.1	27.1
	3	339	16.9	16.9
	4	404	20.1	20.1
B: Incomes of others in the household should be taken into account when determining the level of benefits	5	243	12.1	12.1
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q2_5] Which is closer to your views on social security: We can't spend more money on social security in the future because of public economy constraints / Level of social security needs to be improved even if it meant cuts or tax increases

Question

Which is closer to your views on social security: We can't spend more money on social security in the future because of public economy constraints / Level of social security needs to be improved even if it meant cuts or tax increases

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
A: We can't spend more money on social security in the future because of public economy constraints	1	327	16.3	16.3
	2	440	21.9	21.9
	3	556	27.7	27.7
	4	450	22.4	22.4
B: Level of social security needs to be improved even if it meant cuts or tax increases	5	236	11.7	11.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q2_6] Which is closer to your views on social security: The point is to provide financial assistance, not guide or instruct adults / Focus should be moved by adding guidance alongside financial assistance?

Question

Which is closer to your views on social security: The point is to provide financial assistance, not guide or instruct adults / Focus should be moved by adding guidance alongside financial assistance?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A: The point is to provide financial assistance, not guide or instruct adults	1	210	10.5	10.5
	2	288	14.3	14.3
	3	374	18.6	18.6
	4	718	35.7	35.7
B: Focus should be moved by adding guidance alongside financial assistance	5	419	20.9	20.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_1] How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland's immigration policy is too strict and unwelcoming

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland's immigration policy is too strict and unwelcoming

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	105	5.2	5.2
Fairly well	2	286	14.2	14.2
Difficult to say	3	466	23.2	23.2
Fairly poorly	4	696	34.6	34.6
Very poorly	5	456	22.7	22.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_2] How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners deprive Finns of many jobs

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners deprive Finns of many jobs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	101	5.0	5.0
Fairly well	2	168	8.4	8.4
Difficult to say	3	388	19.3	19.3
Fairly poorly	4	883	44.0	44.0
Very poorly	5	469	23.3	23.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_3] How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners are a source of enrichment to Finland's culture and spiritual climate

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners are a source of enrichment to Finland's culture and spiritual climate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	211	10.5	10.5
Fairly well	2	732	36.4	36.4
Difficult to say	3	430	21.4	21.4
Fairly poorly	4	367	18.3	18.3
Very poorly	5	269	13.4	13.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_4] How well do the following describe your personal views: Of those seeking asylum, only few are in real and pressing need of it

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Of those seeking asylum, only few are in real and pressing need of it

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	457	22.7	22.7
Fairly well	2	479	23.8	23.8
Difficult to say	3	512	25.5	25.5
Fairly poorly	4	384	19.1	19.1
Very poorly	5	177	8.8	8.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_5] How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants benefit our economy

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants benefit our economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	175	8.7	8.7
Fairly well	2	573	28.5	28.5
Difficult to say	3	564	28.1	28.1
Fairly poorly	4	409	20.4	20.4
Very poorly	5	288	14.3	14.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_6] How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland's working life needs more and more immigrants

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland's working life needs more and more immigrants

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	222	11.1	11.1
Fairly well	2	708	35.2	35.2
Difficult to say	3	464	23.1	23.1
Fairly poorly	4	404	20.1	20.1
Very poorly	5	211	10.5	10.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_7] How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants receive better social benefits than Finns**Question**

How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants receive better social benefits than Finns

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	437	21.8	21.8
Fairly well	2	440	21.9	21.9
Difficult to say	3	434	21.6	21.6
Fairly poorly	4	326	16.2	16.2
Very poorly	5	372	18.5	18.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_8] How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants do not have enough respect for Finnish habits and life style**Question**

How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants do not have enough respect for Finnish habits and life style

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	619	30.8	30.8
Fairly well	2	579	28.8	28.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	371	18.5	18.5
Fairly poorly	4	351	17.5	17.5
Very poorly	5	89	4.4	4.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_9] How well do the following describe your personal views: As the number of foreigners increases, crime and unrest will increase also

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: As the number of foreigners increases, crime and unrest will increase also

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	540	26.9	26.9
Fairly well	2	632	31.5	31.5
Difficult to say	3	424	21.1	21.1
Fairly poorly	4	331	16.5	16.5
Very poorly	5	82	4.1	4.1
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_10] How well do the following describe your personal views: Finnish attitudes toward foreigners are racist to a great extent

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Finnish attitudes toward foreigners are racist to a great extent

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	289	14.4	14.4
Fairly well	2	765	38.1	38.1
Difficult to say	3	381	19.0	19.0
Fairly poorly	4	400	19.9	19.9
Very poorly	5	174	8.7	8.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_11] How well do the following describe your personal views: On the whole foreigners are decent and sympathetic people

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: On the whole foreigners are decent and sympathetic people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	296	14.7	14.7
Fairly well	2	891	44.4	44.4
Difficult to say	3	498	24.8	24.8
Fairly poorly	4	240	11.9	11.9
Very poorly	5	84	4.2	4.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_12] How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants only want to benefit from our social security and standard of living

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants only want to benefit from our social security and standard of living

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	446	22.2	22.2
Fairly well	2	552	27.5	27.5
Difficult to say	3	419	20.9	20.9
Fairly poorly	4	443	22.1	22.1
Very poorly	5	149	7.4	7.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_13] How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland must accept foreigners if only to fulfil its international obligations

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland must accept foreigners if only to fulfil its international obligations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	245	12.2	12.2
Fairly well	2	665	33.1	33.1
Difficult to say	3	358	17.8	17.8
Fairly poorly	4	399	19.9	19.9
Very poorly	5	342	17.0	17.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_14] How well do the following describe your personal views: People from different ethnic groups can never adjust themselves to Finnish circumstances

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: People from different ethnic groups can never adjust themselves to Finnish circumstances

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	250	12.4	12.4
Fairly well	2	407	20.3	20.3
Difficult to say	3	380	18.9	18.9
Fairly poorly	4	607	30.2	30.2
Very poorly	5	365	18.2	18.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_15] How well do the following describe your personal views: Marriages with foreigners will improve Finns' genetic heritage

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Marriages with foreigners will improve Finns' genetic heritage

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	213	10.6	10.6
Fairly well	2	425	21.2	21.2
Difficult to say	3	671	33.4	33.4
Fairly poorly	4	364	18.1	18.1
Very poorly	5	336	16.7	16.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_16] How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigration rules should be more strict than they are now

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigration rules should be more strict than they are now

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	426	21.2	21.2
Fairly well	2	449	22.3	22.3
Difficult to say	3	466	23.2	23.2
Fairly poorly	4	461	22.9	22.9
Very poorly	5	207	10.3	10.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_17] How well do the following describe your personal views: Closing the borders would put Finland's reputation as a civilized state to shame

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Closing the borders would put Finland's reputation as a civilized state to shame

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	501	24.9	24.9
Fairly well	2	504	25.1	25.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	285	14.2	14.2
Fairly poorly	4	383	19.1	19.1
Very poorly	5	336	16.7	16.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q3_18] How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners have a lot to give to our country

Question

How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners have a lot to give to our country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very well	1	244	12.1	12.1
Fairly well	2	787	39.2	39.2
Difficult to say	3	483	24.0	24.0
Fairly poorly	4	338	16.8	16.8
Very poorly	5	157	7.8	7.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q4_1] How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? In general, politicians understand and respect the life style of people like me

Question

How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? In general, politicians understand and respect the life style of people like me

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	120	6.0	6.0
Agree to some extent	2	477	23.7	23.7
Difficult to say	3	386	19.2	19.2
Disagree to some extent	4	620	30.9	30.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly disagree	5	406	20.2	20.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q4_2] How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The media gives an appropriate and truthful image of the occupation/field in which I work

Question

How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The media gives an appropriate and truthful image of the occupation/field in which I work

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	224	11.1	11.1
Agree to some extent	2	735	36.6	36.6
Difficult to say	3	579	28.8	28.8
Disagree to some extent	4	360	17.9	17.9
Strongly disagree	5	111	5.5	5.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q4_3] How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? Decision-makers are interested in what I think and they listen to me

Question

How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? Decision-makers are interested in what I think and they listen to me

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	44	2.2	2.2
Agree to some extent	2	256	12.7	12.7
Difficult to say	3	436	21.7	21.7
Disagree to some extent	4	746	37.1	37.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly disagree	5	527	26.2	26.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q4_4] How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? I feel that other people respect me

Question

How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? I feel that other people respect me

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	331	16.5	16.5
Agree to some extent	2	1002	49.9	49.9
Difficult to say	3	436	21.7	21.7
Disagree to some extent	4	186	9.3	9.3
Strongly disagree	5	54	2.7	2.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q4_5] How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The media gives an appropriate and truthful image of people in a similar life situation as me

Question

How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The media gives an appropriate and truthful image of people in a similar life situation as me

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	137	6.8	6.8
Agree to some extent	2	726	36.1	36.1
Difficult to say	3	469	23.3	23.3
Disagree to some extent	4	501	24.9	24.9
Strongly disagree	5	176	8.8	8.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q4_6] How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? In my opinion, I get good value for the taxes I pay

Question

How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? In my opinion, I get good value for the taxes I pay

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	336	16.7	16.7
Agree to some extent	2	901	44.8	44.8
Difficult to say	3	252	12.5	12.5
Disagree to some extent	4	363	18.1	18.1
Strongly disagree	5	157	7.8	7.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q4_7] How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The issues currently debated in politics are important to me

Question

How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The issues currently debated in politics are important to me

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	307	15.3	15.3
Agree to some extent	2	870	43.3	43.3
Difficult to say	3	439	21.9	21.9
Disagree to some extent	4	332	16.5	16.5
Strongly disagree	5	61	3.0	3.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q4_8] How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? Authorities take me seriously and treat me fairly

Question

How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? Authorities take me seriously and treat me fairly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	419	20.9	20.9
Agree to some extent	2	875	43.6	43.6
Difficult to say	3	379	18.9	18.9
Disagree to some extent	4	248	12.3	12.3
Strongly disagree	5	88	4.4	4.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q4_9] How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? I feel equal to others**Question**

How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? I feel equal to others

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	485	24.1	24.1
Agree to some extent	2	892	44.4	44.4
Difficult to say	3	228	11.3	11.3
Disagree to some extent	4	293	14.6	14.6
Strongly disagree	5	111	5.5	5.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_1] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Parliament?**Question**

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Parliament?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	86	4.3	4.3
A fair amount	2	551	27.4	27.4
Difficult to say	3	432	21.5	21.5
Not very much	4	601	29.9	29.9
Very little	5	339	16.9	16.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_2] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Church?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Church?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	178	8.9	8.9
A fair amount	2	611	30.4	30.4
Difficult to say	3	430	21.4	21.4
Not very much	4	434	21.6	21.6
Very little	5	356	17.7	17.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_3] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Judicial system?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Judicial system?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	457	22.7	22.7
A fair amount	2	1002	49.9	49.9
Difficult to say	3	250	12.4	12.4
Not very much	4	232	11.5	11.5
Very little	5	68	3.4	3.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_4] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Trade unions?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Trade unions?

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	150	7.5	7.5
A fair amount	2	668	33.3	33.3
Difficult to say	3	474	23.6	23.6
Not very much	4	442	22.0	22.0
Very little	5	275	13.7	13.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_5] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Employers' organisations/associations?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Employers' organisations/associations?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	45	2.2	2.2
A fair amount	2	520	25.9	25.9
Difficult to say	3	635	31.6	31.6
Not very much	4	572	28.5	28.5
Very little	5	237	11.8	11.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_6] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Political parties?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Political parties?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	18	0.9	0.9
A fair amount	2	266	13.2	13.2
Difficult to say	3	500	24.9	24.9
Not very much	4	815	40.6	40.6
Very little	5	410	20.4	20.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_7] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: (Current) Government?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: (Current) Government?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	84	4.2	4.2
A fair amount	2	377	18.8	18.8
Difficult to say	3	323	16.1	16.1
Not very much	4	591	29.4	29.4
Very little	5	634	31.6	31.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_8] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: (Current) President of the Republic?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: (Current) President of the Republic?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	843	42.0	42.0
A fair amount	2	767	38.2	38.2
Difficult to say	3	196	9.8	9.8
Not very much	4	139	6.9	6.9
Very little	5	64	3.2	3.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_9] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Government officials?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Government officials?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	135	6.7	6.7
A fair amount	2	770	38.3	38.3
Difficult to say	3	505	25.1	25.1
Not very much	4	426	21.2	21.2
Very little	5	173	8.6	8.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_10] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: European Union, EU?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: European Union, EU?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	84	4.2	4.2
A fair amount	2	672	33.4	33.4
Difficult to say	3	505	25.1	25.1
Not very much	4	486	24.2	24.2
Very little	5	262	13.0	13.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_11] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Environmental organisations?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Environmental organisations?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	170	8.5	8.5
A fair amount	2	730	36.3	36.3
Difficult to say	3	476	23.7	23.7
Not very much	4	439	21.9	21.9
Very little	5	194	9.7	9.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_12] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Voluntary and civic organisations in general?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Voluntary and civic organisations in general?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	82	4.1	4.1
A fair amount	2	727	36.2	36.2
Difficult to say	3	760	37.8	37.8
Not very much	4	356	17.7	17.7
Very little	5	84	4.2	4.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_13] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Police?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Police?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	736	36.6	36.6
A fair amount	2	968	48.2	48.2
Difficult to say	3	160	8.0	8.0
Not very much	4	96	4.8	4.8
Very little	5	49	2.4	2.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_14] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Defence Forces?**Question**

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Defence Forces?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	664	33.1	33.1
A fair amount	2	938	46.7	46.7
Difficult to say	3	254	12.6	12.6
Not very much	4	112	5.6	5.6
Very little	5	41	2.0	2.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_15] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Large, publicly traded corporations?**Question**

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Large, publicly traded corporations?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	30	1.5	1.5
A fair amount	2	401	20.0	20.0
Difficult to say	3	565	28.1	28.1
Not very much	4	657	32.7	32.7
Very little	5	356	17.7	17.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_16] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Small businesses, entrepreneurs, own-account workers?**Question**

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Small businesses, entrepreneurs, own-account workers?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	330	16.4	16.4
A fair amount	2	1011	50.3	50.3
Difficult to say	3	466	23.2	23.2
Not very much	4	162	8.1	8.1
Very little	5	40	2.0	2.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_17] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish educational system?**Question**

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish educational system?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	447	22.2	22.2
A fair amount	2	1155	57.5	57.5
Difficult to say	3	211	10.5	10.5
Not very much	4	167	8.3	8.3
Very little	5	29	1.4	1.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_18] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Social security system?**Question**

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Social security system?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	134	6.7	6.7
A fair amount	2	982	48.9	48.9
Difficult to say	3	454	22.6	22.6
Not very much	4	349	17.4	17.4
Very little	5	90	4.5	4.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_19] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Market economy system?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Market economy system?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	117	5.8	5.8
A fair amount	2	600	29.9	29.9
Difficult to say	3	697	34.7	34.7
Not very much	4	434	21.6	21.6
Very little	5	161	8.0	8.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_20] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Banks, banking system?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Banks, banking system?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	180	9.0	9.0
A fair amount	2	885	44.1	44.1
Difficult to say	3	403	20.1	20.1
Not very much	4	413	20.6	20.6
Very little	5	128	6.4	6.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_21] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Social media services (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram etc.)?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Social media services (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram etc.)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	11	0.5	0.5
A fair amount	2	163	8.1	8.1
Difficult to say	3	454	22.6	22.6
Not very much	4	836	41.6	41.6
Very little	5	545	27.1	27.1
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_22] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish Broadcasting Company (YLE)?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish Broadcasting Company (YLE)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	391	19.5	19.5
A fair amount	2	976	48.6	48.6
Difficult to say	3	261	13.0	13.0
Not very much	4	223	11.1	11.1
Very little	5	158	7.9	7.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_23] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Wikipedia?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Wikipedia?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	83	4.1	4.1
A fair amount	2	635	31.6	31.6
Difficult to say	3	693	34.5	34.5
Not very much	4	443	22.1	22.1
Very little	5	155	7.7	7.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_24] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Helsingin Sanomat?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Helsingin Sanomat?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	187	9.3	9.3
A fair amount	2	749	37.3	37.3
Difficult to say	3	523	26.0	26.0
Not very much	4	322	16.0	16.0
Very little	5	228	11.3	11.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_25] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Google?

Question

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Google?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	56	2.8	2.8
A fair amount	2	497	24.7	24.7
Difficult to say	3	749	37.3	37.3
Not very much	4	542	27.0	27.0
Very little	5	165	8.2	8.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_26] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: MTV3?**Question**

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: MTV3?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	53	2.6	2.6
A fair amount	2	700	34.8	34.8
Difficult to say	3	602	30.0	30.0
Not very much	4	482	24.0	24.0
Very little	5	172	8.6	8.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_27] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: The largest daily newspaper in your region?**Question**

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: The largest daily newspaper in your region?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	165	8.2	8.2
A fair amount	2	862	42.9	42.9
Difficult to say	3	507	25.2	25.2
Not very much	4	338	16.8	16.8
Very little	5	137	6.8	6.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q5_28] How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish media in general?**Question**

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish media in general?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	141	7.0	7.0
A fair amount	2	961	47.8	47.8
Difficult to say	3	432	21.5	21.5
Not very much	4	363	18.1	18.1
Very little	5	112	5.6	5.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_1] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Finland's position among European countries

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Finland's position among European countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	70	3.5	3.5
Slight positive change	2	665	33.1	33.1
No change / Can't say	3	851	42.4	42.4
Slight negative change	4	303	15.1	15.1
Major negative change	5	120	6.0	6.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_2] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Market economy and its development

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Market economy and its development

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	41	2.0	2.0
Slight positive change	2	409	20.4	20.4
No change / Can't say	3	892	44.4	44.4
Slight negative change	4	467	23.2	23.2
Major negative change	5	200	10.0	10.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_3] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Performance of democracy, citizens' possibilities to influence decision-making

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Performance of democracy, citizens' possibilities to influence decision-making

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	36	1.8	1.8
Slight positive change	2	519	25.8	25.8
No change / Can't say	3	731	36.4	36.4
Slight negative change	4	505	25.1	25.1
Major negative change	5	218	10.9	10.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_4] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Working conditions, work climate

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Working conditions, work climate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	65	3.2	3.2
Slight positive change	2	504	25.1	25.1
No change / Can't say	3	592	29.5	29.5
Slight negative change	4	603	30.0	30.0
Major negative change	5	245	12.2	12.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_5] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: National security, external threats

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: National security, external threats

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	61	3.0	3.0
Slight positive change	2	443	22.1	22.1
No change / Can't say	3	761	37.9	37.9
Slight negative change	4	600	29.9	29.9
Major negative change	5	144	7.2	7.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_6] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Personal safety, prevalence of crime and violence

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Personal safety, prevalence of crime and violence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	35	1.7	1.7
Slight positive change	2	330	16.4	16.4
No change / Can't say	3	563	28.0	28.0
Slight negative change	4	770	38.3	38.3
Major negative change	5	311	15.5	15.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_7] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Disparity in income and welfare between social groups

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Disparity in income and welfare between social groups

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	27	1.3	1.3
Slight positive change	2	203	10.1	10.1
No change / Can't say	3	381	19.0	19.0
Slight negative change	4	769	38.3	38.3
Major negative change	5	629	31.3	31.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_8] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: State of the environment and nature**Question**

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: State of the environment and nature

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	51	2.5	2.5
Slight positive change	2	472	23.5	23.5
No change / Can't say	3	439	21.9	21.9
Slight negative change	4	677	33.7	33.7
Major negative change	5	370	18.4	18.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_9] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Material welfare, standard of living**Question**

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Material welfare, standard of living

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	150	7.5	7.5
Slight positive change	2	827	41.2	41.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
No change / Can't say	3	469	23.3	23.3
Slight negative change	4	402	20.0	20.0
Major negative change	5	161	8.0	8.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_10] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Mental well-being, happiness

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Mental well-being, happiness

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	47	2.3	2.3
Slight positive change	2	482	24.0	24.0
No change / Can't say	3	522	26.0	26.0
Slight negative change	4	702	34.9	34.9
Major negative change	5	256	12.7	12.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_11] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Public morals in general

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Public morals in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	22	1.1	1.1
Slight positive change	2	191	9.5	9.5
No change / Can't say	3	536	26.7	26.7
Slight negative change	4	937	46.6	46.6
Major negative change	5	323	16.1	16.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_12] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Performance of the judicial system

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Performance of the judicial system

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	40	2.0	2.0
Slight positive change	2	408	20.3	20.3
No change / Can't say	3	1054	52.5	52.5
Slight negative change	4	380	18.9	18.9
Major negative change	5	127	6.3	6.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_13] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Position of businesses, preconditions for operating a business

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Position of businesses, preconditions for operating a business

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	80	4.0	4.0
Slight positive change	2	717	35.7	35.7
No change / Can't say	3	882	43.9	43.9
Slight negative change	4	278	13.8	13.8
Major negative change	5	52	2.6	2.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_14] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Quality and practices of the media / mass communication

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Quality and practices of the media / mass communication

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	44	2.2	2.2
Slight positive change	2	364	18.1	18.1
No change / Can't say	3	543	27.0	27.0
Slight negative change	4	711	35.4	35.4
Major negative change	5	347	17.3	17.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_15] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Level and sufficiency of social security benefits

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Level and sufficiency of social security benefits

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	43	2.1	2.1
Slight positive change	2	297	14.8	14.8
No change / Can't say	3	752	37.4	37.4
Slight negative change	4	645	32.1	32.1
Major negative change	5	272	13.5	13.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_16] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Educational system, quality and development of education

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Educational system, quality and development of education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	61	3.0	3.0
Slight positive change	2	480	23.9	23.9
No change / Can't say	3	502	25.0	25.0
Slight negative change	4	717	35.7	35.7
Major negative change	5	249	12.4	12.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_17] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Technological development and its impact on society**Question**

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Technological development and its impact on society

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	419	20.9	20.9
Slight positive change	2	1048	52.2	52.2
No change / Can't say	3	321	16.0	16.0
Slight negative change	4	173	8.6	8.6
Major negative change	5	48	2.4	2.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_18] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Status of national culture**Question**

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Status of national culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	40	2.0	2.0
Slight positive change	2	464	23.1	23.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
No change / Can't say	3	951	47.3	47.3
Slight negative change	4	412	20.5	20.5
Major negative change	5	142	7.1	7.1
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q6_19] How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Finnish self-esteem

Question

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Finnish self-esteem

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Major positive change	1	78	3.9	3.9
Slight positive change	2	771	38.4	38.4
No change / Can't say	3	718	35.7	35.7
Slight negative change	4	363	18.1	18.1
Major negative change	5	79	3.9	3.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_1] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Armed attack against Finland

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Armed attack against Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	33	1.6	1.6
A fairly serious threat	2	148	7.4	7.4
Difficult to say	3	326	16.2	16.2
Not a very serious threat	4	1002	49.9	49.9
No threat at all	5	500	24.9	24.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_2] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Hybrid threats, destabilising Finnish society in different ways

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Hybrid threats, destabilising Finnish society in different ways

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	208	10.4	10.4
A fairly serious threat	2	752	37.4	37.4
Difficult to say	3	610	30.4	30.4
Not a very serious threat	4	398	19.8	19.8
No threat at all	5	41	2.0	2.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_3] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Terrorist attack in Finland

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Terrorist attack in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	208	10.4	10.4
A fairly serious threat	2	637	31.7	31.7
Difficult to say	3	390	19.4	19.4
Not a very serious threat	4	688	34.2	34.2
No threat at all	5	86	4.3	4.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_4] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: A new global financial crisis

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: A new global financial crisis

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	325	16.2	16.2
A fairly serious threat	2	1085	54.0	54.0
Difficult to say	3	422	21.0	21.0
Not a very serious threat	4	169	8.4	8.4
No threat at all	5	8	0.4	0.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_5] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Gang violence, riots, vandalism

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Gang violence, riots, vandalism

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	127	6.3	6.3
A fairly serious threat	2	490	24.4	24.4
Difficult to say	3	346	17.2	17.2
Not a very serious threat	4	826	41.1	41.1
No threat at all	5	220	11.0	11.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_6] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Foreign electoral intervention

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Foreign electoral intervention

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	128	6.4	6.4
A fairly serious threat	2	544	27.1	27.1
Difficult to say	3	483	24.0	24.0
Not a very serious threat	4	682	33.9	33.9
No threat at all	5	172	8.6	8.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_7] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Global warming

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Global warming

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	768	38.2	38.2
A fairly serious threat	2	714	35.5	35.5
Difficult to say	3	224	11.1	11.1
Not a very serious threat	4	215	10.7	10.7
No threat at all	5	88	4.4	4.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_8] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Being a victim of data theft

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Being a victim of data theft

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	310	15.4	15.4
A fairly serious threat	2	969	48.2	48.2
Difficult to say	3	334	16.6	16.6
Not a very serious threat	4	363	18.1	18.1
No threat at all	5	33	1.6	1.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_9] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Violence by political extremist groups

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Violence by political extremist groups

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	156	7.8	7.8
A fairly serious threat	2	581	28.9	28.9
Difficult to say	3	413	20.6	20.6
Not a very serious threat	4	723	36.0	36.0
No threat at all	5	136	6.8	6.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_10] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Pandemics and contagious diseases

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Pandemics and contagious diseases

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	115	5.7	5.7
A fairly serious threat	2	576	28.7	28.7
Difficult to say	3	497	24.7	24.7
Not a very serious threat	4	742	36.9	36.9
No threat at all	5	79	3.9	3.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_11] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Uncontrolled influx of migrants to Europe

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Uncontrolled influx of migrants to Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	497	24.7	24.7
A fairly serious threat	2	759	37.8	37.8
Difficult to say	3	360	17.9	17.9
Not a very serious threat	4	343	17.1	17.1
No threat at all	5	50	2.5	2.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_12] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Becoming a victim of violence

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Becoming a victim of violence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	96	4.8	4.8
A fairly serious threat	2	439	21.9	21.9
Difficult to say	3	433	21.6	21.6
Not a very serious threat	4	920	45.8	45.8
No threat at all	5	121	6.0	6.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_13] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Breaking into home or robbery of property

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Breaking into home or robbery of property

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	102	5.1	5.1
A fairly serious threat	2	479	23.8	23.8
Difficult to say	3	399	19.9	19.9
Not a very serious threat	4	919	45.7	45.7
No threat at all	5	110	5.5	5.5
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_14] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Cyber attacks against Finland, disrupting information networks

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Cyber attacks against Finland, disrupting information networks

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	312	15.5	15.5
A fairly serious threat	2	1003	49.9	49.9
Difficult to say	3	354	17.6	17.6
Not a very serious threat	4	321	16.0	16.0
No threat at all	5	19	0.9	0.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q7_15] How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Losing job or livelihood

Question

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Losing job or livelihood

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A very serious threat	1	255	12.7	12.7
A fairly serious threat	2	630	31.4	31.4
Difficult to say	3	429	21.4	21.4
Not a very serious threat	4	592	29.5	29.5
No threat at all	5	103	5.1	5.1
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q8_1] How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Finnish foreign policy as a whole

Question

How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Finnish foreign policy as a whole

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Excellent	1	169	8.4	8.4
Fairly good	2	1078	53.7	53.7
Fairly bad	3	427	21.3	21.3
Very bad	4	131	6.5	6.5
Can't say	5	204	10.2	10.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q8_2] How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: President Sauli Niinistö

Question

How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: President Sauli Niinistö

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Excellent	1	1060	52.8	52.8
Fairly good	2	716	35.6	35.6
Fairly bad	3	116	5.8	5.8
Very bad	4	51	2.5	2.5
Can't say	5	66	3.3	3.3
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q8_3] How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Prime Minister Juha Sipilä

Question

How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Prime Minister Juha Sipilä

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Excellent	1	122	6.1	6.1
Fairly good	2	474	23.6	23.6
Fairly bad	3	536	26.7	26.7
Very bad	4	685	34.1	34.1
Can't say	5	192	9.6	9.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q8_4] How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister for Foreign Affairs Timo Soini

Question

How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister for Foreign Affairs Timo Soini

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Excellent	1	70	3.5	3.5
Fairly good	2	398	19.8	19.8
Fairly bad	3	501	24.9	24.9
Very bad	4	898	44.7	44.7
Can't say	5	142	7.1	7.1
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q8_5] How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister of Defence Jussi Niinistö

Question

How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister of Defence Jussi Niinistö

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Excellent	1	191	9.5	9.5
Fairly good	2	705	35.1	35.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly bad	3	445	22.2	22.2
Very bad	4	319	15.9	15.9
Can't say	5	349	17.4	17.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q8_6] How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: PM Sipilä's Government as a whole

Question

How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: PM Sipilä's Government as a whole

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Excellent	1	93	4.6	4.6
Fairly good	2	476	23.7	23.7
Fairly bad	3	580	28.9	28.9
Very bad	4	703	35.0	35.0
Can't say	5	157	7.8	7.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q8_7] How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Officials of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs

Question

How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Officials of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Excellent	1	113	5.6	5.6
Fairly good	2	894	44.5	44.5
Fairly bad	3	309	15.4	15.4
Very bad	4	149	7.4	7.4
Can't say	5	544	27.1	27.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q9A] What is your attitude towards Finland's EU membership nowadays?

Question

What is your attitude towards Finland's EU membership nowadays?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	478	23.8	23.8
Fairly positive	2	557	27.7	27.7
Neutral	3	508	25.3	25.3
Fairly negative	4	280	13.9	13.9
Very negative	5	157	7.8	7.8
Can't say	6	29	1.4	1.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q9B] And what is your attitude towards the fact that our currency was changed from the Finnish mark to the euro?

Question

And what is your attitude towards the fact that our currency was changed from the Finnish mark to the euro?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	582	29.0	29.0
Fairly positive	2	483	24.0	24.0
Neutral	3	426	21.2	21.2
Fairly negative	4	277	13.8	13.8
Very negative	5	216	10.8	10.8
Can't say	6	25	1.2	1.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q9C] Do you think that the euro and the EMU membership are an advantage or disadvantage to Finland in the current economic situation?

Question

Do you think that the euro and the EMU membership are an advantage or disadvantage to Finland in the current economic situation?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A significant advantage	1	390	19.4	19.4
A fair advantage	2	650	32.4	32.4
Neither an advantage or a disadvantage	3	236	11.7	11.7
A fair disadvantage	4	316	15.7	15.7
A significant disadvantage	5	173	8.6	8.6
Can't say	6	244	12.1	12.1
		2009	100.0	100.0

[Q9D] If a referendum on Finland's EU membership was held now, would you be in favour of the membership or against it?

Question

If a referendum on Finland's EU membership was held now, would you be in favour of the membership or against it?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
In favour	1	1116	55.6	55.6
Can't say	2	353	17.6	17.6
Against	3	540	26.9	26.9
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T1] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	1002	49.9	49.9
Female	2	994	49.5	49.5
Other	3	13	0.6	0.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T2] Respondent's age group

Question

Respondent's age group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
18 - 25 years	1	171	8.5	8.5
26 - 35 years	2	368	18.3	18.3
36 - 45 years	3	301	15.0	15.0
45 - 55 years	4	458	22.8	22.8
56 - 65 years	5	474	23.6	23.6
Over 65 years	6	237	11.8	11.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T3] Population in respondent's municipality of residence

Question

Population in respondent's municipality of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Under 4,000 inhabitants	1	86	4.3	4.3
4,000 - 8,000 inhabitants	2	135	6.7	6.7
8,000 - 30,000 inhabitants	3	393	19.6	19.6
30,000 - 80,000 inhabitants	4	415	20.7	20.7
Over 80,000 inhabitants	5	980	48.8	48.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T4] Respondent's region of residence (NUTS3)

Question

Respondent's region of residence (NUTS3)

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	705	35.1	35.1
Eastern Uusimaa	2	14	0.7	0.7
Southwest Finland	3	234	11.6	11.6
Satakunta	4	64	3.2	3.2
Tavastia	5	64	3.2	3.2
Pirkanmaa	6	262	13.0	13.0
Päijät-Häme	7	55	2.7	2.7
Kymenlaakso	8	50	2.5	2.5
South Karelia	9	47	2.3	2.3
Southern Savonia	10	20	1.0	1.0
Northern Savonia	11	75	3.7	3.7
North Karelia	12	42	2.1	2.1
Central Finland	13	117	5.8	5.8
Southern Ostrobothnia	14	60	3.0	3.0
Ostrobothnia, Vaasa Coastal	15	33	1.6	1.6
Central Ostrobothnia	16	20	1.0	1.0
Northern Ostrobothnia	17	87	4.3	4.3
Kainuu	18	15	0.7	0.7
Lapland	19	45	2.2	2.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T5] Type of respondent's employer (current or latest)

Question

Type of respondent's employer (current or latest)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
State	1	171	8.5	8.5
Municipality, joint municipal authority	2	417	20.8	20.8
Publicly owned corporation	3	162	8.1	8.1
Private (or own) business	4	950	47.3	47.3
Voluntary or civic organisation/association	5	82	4.1	4.1
Other employer	6	92	4.6	4.6
Not in paid work	7	135	6.7	6.7
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T6] Respondent's working hours

Question

Respondent's working hours

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Full-time	1	1118	55.6	59.7
Half-time (around 20 hours a week)	2	18	0.9	1.0
Part-time	3	193	9.6	10.3
Other working hours	4	545	27.1	29.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	135	6.7	—
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T7] Respondent's job contract type

Question

Respondent's job contract type

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Permanent or indefinite contract	1	1404	69.9	74.9
Fixed-term	2	470	23.4	25.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	135	6.7	—
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T8] Respondent's basic education

Question

Respondent's basic education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Primary education (pre-1972)	1	108	5.4	5.4
Primary school or lower secondary school	2	848	42.2	42.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Upper secondary education (matriculation examination)	3	1053	52.4	52.4
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T9] Respondent's vocational/professional education

Question

Respondent's vocational/professional education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
No vocational education	1	193	9.6	9.6
Vocational course, other short vocational training	2	119	5.9	5.9
Vocational school (upper secondary level)	3	432	21.5	21.5
College level vocational education (post-secondary non-tertiary)	4	603	30.0	30.0
University of applied sciences degree (Bachelor's)	5	215	10.7	10.7
University degree (Bachelor's or Master's)	6	447	22.2	22.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T10] Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Higher managerial employee	1	52	2.6	2.6
Lower managerial/professional employee	2	255	12.7	12.7
Intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	3	275	13.7	13.7
Worker	4	601	29.9	29.9
Entrepreneur, self-employed, own-account worker	5	96	4.8	4.8
Farmer, agricultural entrepreneur	6	8	0.4	0.4
Student	7	148	7.4	7.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Pensioner/retired	8	415	20.7	20.7
Housewife/house husband, homemaker	9	20	1.0	1.0
Unemployed	10	98	4.9	4.9
Other	11	41	2.0	2.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T11] Respondent's current or latest industry of employment**Question***Respondent's current or latest industry of employment***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agriculture and forestry	1	47	2.3	2.3
Industry, manufacturing, construction	2	361	18.0	18.0
Private services	3	724	36.0	36.0
Public services	4	813	40.5	40.5
Not in paid work	5	64	3.2	3.2
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T12] Are you a member of a federation of trade unions?**Question***Are you a member of a federation of trade unions?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
No	1	1173	58.4	58.4
Yes	2	836	41.6	41.6
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T12B] Which federation of trade unions/professional organisations are you a member of?**Question***Which federation of trade unions/professional organisations are you a member of?*

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SAK (Central organisation of Finnish Trade Unions)	1	314	15.6	37.6
STTK (Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	2	180	9.0	21.5
AKAVA (Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals)	3	331	16.5	39.6
Central Union of Agr. Producers and Forest Owners (MTK)	4	11	0.5	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1173	58.4	–
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T13] If the parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which political party would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which political party would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	1	122	6.1	6.1
National Coalition Party (KOK)	2	231	11.5	11.5
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	3	253	12.6	12.6
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	214	10.7	10.7
Green League (VIHR)	5	224	11.1	11.1
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	6	25	1.2	1.2
Christian Democrats (KD)	7	42	2.1	2.1
Finns Party (PS)	8	186	9.3	9.3
Blue Reform (SIN)	9	27	1.3	1.3
Other party or group	10	53	2.6	2.6
Would not vote	11	108	5.4	5.4
Can't say	12	427	21.3	21.3
Don't want to say	13	97	4.8	4.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[T14] Which social class would you say you belong to?

Question

Which social class would you say you belong to?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Working class	1	453	22.5	22.5
Lower middle class	2	301	15.0	15.0
Middle class	3	659	32.8	32.8
Upper middle class	4	244	12.1	12.1
Upper class	5	14	0.7	0.7
Do not belong to any class	6	338	16.8	16.8
		2009	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's year of birth (from panel demographic information)**Question**

Respondent's year of birth (from panel demographic information)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	2009
minimum	1949.00
maximum	2000.00
mean	1970.50
standard deviation	14.56

[BV2] Total gross annual income of respondent's household (from panel demographic information)**Question**

Total gross annual income of respondent's household (from panel demographic information)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Under 10,000 euros	1	134	6.7	6.7
10,000 - 20,000 euros/year	2	183	9.1	9.1
20,001 - 30,000 euros/year	3	258	12.8	12.8
30,001 - 40,000 euros/year	4	225	11.2	11.2
40,001 - 50,000 euros/year	5	234	11.6	11.6
50,001 - 60,000 euros/year	6	196	9.8	9.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
60,001 - 70,000 euros/year	7	183	9.1	9.1
70,001 - 80,000 euros/year	8	133	6.6	6.6
80,001 - 90,000 euros/year	9	87	4.3	4.3
Over 90,000 euros/year	10	157	7.8	7.8
Don't want to say	11	219	10.9	10.9
Can't say	12	0	0.0	0.0
		2009	100.0	100.0

[PAINO] Weighting coefficient

Question

Weighting coefficient

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	2009
minimum	0.30
maximum	30.11
mean	1.85
standard deviation	2.62

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	7
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	7
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	8
Comprehensive social security schemes and the extensive safety net of the welfare state make people lazy and unenterprising [Q1A_1]	8
Welfare benefits are viewed as acquired rights and are used as a matter of course even by those who have no real need for them [Q1A_2]	8
Even though good social security and public services are costly, Finnish welfare state is always good value for money [Q1A_3]	9
People residing in Finland should in all circumstances have the right to basic subsistence guaranteed by society [Q1A_4]	9
Public welfare services and benefits should be targeted mainly at those most in need and the worst-off [Q1A_5]	10
I am interested in politics and follow it actively [Q1A_6]	10
No party promotes issues that are important to me [Q1A_7]	10
Political parties are drifting further and further away from the problems of ordinary citizens [Q1A_8]	11
Democracy functions so well in Finland that there are no grounds for talking about citizens' lack of influence [Q1A_9]	11
Finland needs strong leaders who can restore order, discipline and respect for proper values [Q1A_10]	12
Morality in Finnish political life is unnecessarily criticised since most of our politicians are honest and conscientious people [Q1A_11]	12
The elite in our society only promote their own interests and do not care about the circumstances of ordinary Finns [Q1A_12]	13

3. Indexes

Interest groups have too much influence on Finland's political decision-making [Q1A_13]	13
The Finnish media has too strong an influence on public opinion [Q1A_14]	13
Nowadays, decision-makers get more information about public opinion through civic organizations than through political parties [Q1A_15]	14
It has become increasingly usual in politics to oversimplify and misrepresent issues and to downright lie about them [Q1A_16]	14
Increasing immigration to Finland brings us useful international influences [Q1A_17]	15
Finns should effectively protect their unique culture from the ever-increasing internationalisation [Q1A_18]	15
The wariness Finnish people show towards foreigners is wise guardedness, not ignorance or racism [Q1A_19]	16
Finland's ageing and diminishing population means that Finland needs to make immigration easier [Q1A_20]	16
Labour shortage in certain specialty fields in Finland should be eased with foreign labour [Q1B_1]	16
Finland's increasing labour shortage can only be solved with the help of foreign labour [Q1B_2]	17
The fact that competent and qualified Finns emigrate is a bigger problem than immigration to Finland [Q1B_3]	17
If more foreigners worked in Finland, our country would benefit from the useful international influences they bring [Q1B_4]	18
Even though Finland has to carry some of the responsibility for helping refugees escaping war and persecution, the current level of immigration is too high [Q1B_5]	18
Finland and the EU as a whole should tighten their immigration policy [Q1B_6]	19
The requirement of the so-called 'labour availability consideration' before employers are allowed to hire foreign employees should be abolished [Q1B_7]	19
Asylum seekers and employment-based immigration are two completely different issues that should be kept carefully separate [Q1B_8]	20
The debate on immigration in Finland is not outspoken enough nor frank about the problems caused by immigration [Q1B_9]	20
Xenophobia and racist discussion have become commonplace in Finland [Q1B_10]	20
Finland should join NATO [Q1B_11]	21
I would support Finland's membership in NATO if the Government and the President were in favour of it [Q1B_12]	21
Finland should remain outside NATO, even if Sweden joined it [Q1B_13]	22
Finnish decision-makers focus too much on international issues, forgetting domestic problems [Q1B_14]	22
In foreign policy, environmental questions have already become even more important than security policy [Q1B_15]	23

Finland should not participate in international debates which could jeopardise our good relationship with Russia [Q1B_16]	23
Even though Russia has its own problems, Finns have no reason to take a negative attitude to this big neighbour [Q1B_17]	23
The actions and policies of the United States in international politics are legitimate and deserve the support of Finns [Q1B_18]	24
Despite its problems, the USA is an essential defender of Western values in the world and an important ally to Finland [Q1B_19]	24
Finland has gone too far into globalisation; from now on we should return our focus to national economy and politics [Q1B_20]	25
In the current situation, there is a risk that Finland turns inwards and is left out of international development [Q1B_21]	25
In Finland, we need to accept that a person's salary may be so small that they need additional social security benefits to achieve decent livelihood [Q1B_22]	26
All Finns have the responsibility to work for the common good [Q1B_23]	26
To fund the welfare state, all people residing in Finland have the responsibility to work and pay taxes [Q1B_24]	27
Which is closer to your views on social security: Eligibility for social security benefits must always have a clear reason / Social security of e.g. 500 - 600 euros could be paid to everyone without any special reason [Q2_1]	27
Which is closer to your views on social security: Healthy people of working age should do something in return for benefits / Society should not demand anything in return for benefits from healthy people of working age [Q2_2]	28
Which is closer to your views on social security: Social security should be improved by combining different types of benefits into one benefit / Each type of benefit has its own specific purpose and benefits should not be combined [Q2_3]	28
Which is closer to your views on social security: Social security should be personal, i.e. determined by the individual's situation / Incomes of other household members should be taken into account when determining the level of benefits [Q2_4]	29
Which is closer to your views on social security: We can't spend more money on social security in the future because of public economy constraints / Level of social security needs to be improved even if it meant cuts or tax increases [Q2_5]	29
Which is closer to your views on social security: The point is to provide financial assistance, not guide or instruct adults / Focus should be moved by adding guidance alongside financial assistance? [Q2_6]	30
How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland's immigration policy is too strict and unwelcoming [Q3_1]	30
How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners deprive Finns of many jobs [Q3_2]	31
How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners are a source of enrichment to Finland's culture and spiritual climate [Q3_3]	31

3. Indexes

How well do the following describe your personal views: Of those seeking asylum, only few are in real and pressing need of it [Q3_4]	32
How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants benefit our economy [Q3_5]	32
How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland's working life needs more and more immigrants [Q3_6]	32
How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants receive better social benefits than Finns [Q3_7]	33
How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants do not have enough respect for Finnish habits and life style [Q3_8]	33
How well do the following describe your personal views: As the number of foreigners increases, crime and unrest will increase also [Q3_9]	34
How well do the following describe your personal views: Finnish attitudes toward foreigners are racist to a great extent [Q3_10]	34
How well do the following describe your personal views: On the whole foreigners are decent and sympathetic people [Q3_11]	35
How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants only want to benefit from our social security and standard of living [Q3_12]	35
How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland must accept foreigners if only to fulfil its international obligations [Q3_13]	36
How well do the following describe your personal views: People from different ethnic groups can never adjust themselves to Finnish circumstances [Q3_14]	36
How well do the following describe your personal views: Marriages with foreigners will improve Finns' genetic heritage [Q3_15]	36
How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigration rules should be more strict than they are now [Q3_16]	37
How well do the following describe your personal views: Closing the borders would put Finland's reputation as a civilized state to shame [Q3_17]	37
How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners have a lot to give to our country [Q3_18]	38
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? In general, politicians understand and respect the life style of people like me [Q4_1]	38
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The media gives an appropriate and truthful image of the occupation/field in which I work [Q4_2]	39
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? Decision-makers are interested in what I think and they listen to me [Q4_3]	39
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? I feel that other people respect me [Q4_4]	40
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The media gives an appropriate and truthful image of people in a similar life situation as me [Q4_5]	40

How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? In my opinion, I get good value for the taxes I pay [Q4_6]	41
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The issues currently debated in politics are important to me [Q4_7]	41
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? Authorities take me seriously and treat me fairly [Q4_8]	41
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? I feel equal to others [Q4_9]	42
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Parliament? [Q5_1]	42
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Church? [Q5_2]	43
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Judicial system? [Q5_3]	43
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Trade unions? [Q5_4]	43
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Employers' organisations/associations? [Q5_5]	44
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Political parties? [Q5_6]	44
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: (Current) Government? [Q5_7]	45
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: (Current) President of the Republic? [Q5_8]	45
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Government officials? [Q5_9] .	46
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: European Union, EU? [Q5_10]	46
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Environmental organisations? [Q5_11]	46
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Voluntary and civic organisations in general? [Q5_12]	47
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Police? [Q5_13]	47
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Defence Forces? [Q5_14]	48
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Large, publicly traded corporations? [Q5_15]	48
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Small businesses, entrepreneurs, own-account workers? [Q5_16]	48
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish educational system? [Q5_17]	49
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Social security system? [Q5_18]	49
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Market economy system? [Q5_19]	50
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Banks, banking system? [Q5_20]	50
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Social media services (Facebook,	

3. Indexes

Twitter, Instagram etc.)? [Q5_21]	51
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish Broadcasting Company (YLE)? [Q5_22]	51
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Wikipedia? [Q5_23]	51
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Helsingin Sanomat? [Q5_24] ..	52
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Google? [Q5_25]	52
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: MTV3? [Q5_26]	53
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: The largest daily newspaper in your region? [Q5_27]	53
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish media in general? [Q5_28]	53
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Finland's position among European countries [Q6_1]	54
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Market economy and its development [Q6_2]	54
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Performance of democracy, citizens' possibilities to influence decision-making [Q6_3]	55
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Working conditions, work climate [Q6_4]	55
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: National security, external threats [Q6_5]	56
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Personal safety, prevalence of crime and violence [Q6_6]	56
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Disparity in income and welfare between social groups [Q6_7]	56
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: State of the environment and nature [Q6_8]	57
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Material welfare, standard of living [Q6_9]	57
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Mental well-being, happiness [Q6_10]	58
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Public morals in general [Q6_11]	58
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Performance of the judicial system [Q6_12]	59
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Position of businesses, preconditions for operating a business [Q6_13]	59
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Quality and practices of the media / mass communication [Q6_14]	60

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Level and sufficiency of social security benefits [Q6_15]	60
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Educational system, quality and development of education [Q6_16]	60
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Technological development and its impact on society [Q6_17]	61
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Status of national culture [Q6_18]	61
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Finnish self-esteem [Q6_19]	62
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Armed attack against Finland [Q7_1]	62
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Hybrid threats, destabilising Finnish society in different ways [Q7_2]	63
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Terrorist attack in Finland [Q7_3]	63
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: A new global financial crisis [Q7_4]	64
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Gang violence, riots, vandalism [Q7_5]	64
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Foreign electoral intervention [Q7_6]	65
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Global warming [Q7_7]	65
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Being a victim of data theft [Q7_8]	66
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Violence by political extremist groups [Q7_9]	66
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Pandemics and contagious diseases [Q7_10]	67
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Uncontrolled influx of migrants to Europe [Q7_11]	67
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Becoming a victim of violence [Q7_12]	68
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Breaking into home or robbery of property [Q7_13]	68
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Cyber attacks against Finland, disrupting information networks [Q7_14]	69

3. Indexes

How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Losing job or livelihood [Q7_15]	69
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Finnish foreign policy as a whole [Q8_1]	70
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: President Sauli Niinistö [Q8_2]	70
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Prime Minister Juha Sipilä [Q8_3]	70
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister for Foreign Affairs Timo Soini [Q8_4]	71
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister of Defence Jussi Niinistö [Q8_5]	71
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: PM Sipilä's Government as a whole [Q8_6]	72
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Officials of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs [Q8_7]	72
What is your attitude towards Finland's EU membership nowadays? [Q9A]	73
And what is your attitude towards the fact that our currency was changed from the Finnish mark to the euro? [Q9B]	73
Do you think that the euro and the EMU membership are an advantage or disadvantage to Finland in the current economic situation? [Q9C]	74
If a referendum on Finland's EU membership was held now, would you be in favour of the membership or against it? [Q9D]	74
Respondent's gender [T1]	74
Respondent's age group [T2]	75
Population in respondent's municipality of residence [T3]	75
Respondent's region of residence (NUTS3) [T4]	75
Type of respondent's employer (current or latest) [T5]	76
Respondent's working hours [T6]	77
Respondent's job contract type [T7]	77
Respondent's basic education [T8]	77
Respondent's vocational/professional education [T9]	78
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group [T10]	78
Respondent's current or latest industry of employment [T11]	79
Are you a member of a federation of trade unions? [T12]	79
Which federation of trade unions/professional organisations are you a member of? [T12B] ..	79
If the parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which political party would you vote for? [T13]	80

Which social class would you say you belong to? [T14]	80
Respondent's year of birth (from panel demographic information) [BV1]	81
Total gross annual income of respondent's household (from panel demographic information) [BV2]	81
Weighting coefficient [PAINO]	82

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

All Finns have the responsibility to work for the common good	26
And what is your attitude towards the fact that our currency was changed from the Finnish mark to the euro?	73
Are you a member of a federation of trade unions?	79
Asylum seekers and employment-based immigration are two completely different issues that should be kept carefully separate	20
Comprehensive social security schemes and the extensive safety net of the welfare state make people lazy and unenterprising	8
Democracy functions so well in Finland that there are no grounds for talking about citizens' lack of influence	11
Despite its problems, the USA is an essential defender of Western values in the world and an important ally to Finland	24
Do you think that the euro and the EMU membership are an advantage or disadvantage to Finland in the current economic situation?	74
Even though Finland has to carry some of the responsibility for helping refugees escaping war and persecution, the current level of immigration is too high	18
Even though good social security and public services are costly, Finnish welfare state is always good value for money	9
Even though Russia has its own problems, Finns have no reason to take a negative attitude to this big neighbour	23
Finland and the EU as a whole should tighten their immigration policy	19
Finland has gone too far into globalisation; from now on we should return our focus to national economy and politics	25
Finland needs strong leaders who can restore order, discipline and respect for proper values	12
Finland should join NATO	21
Finland should not participate in international debates which could jeopardise our good relationship with Russia	23
Finland should remain outside NATO, even if Sweden joined it	22
Finland's ageing and diminishing population means that Finland needs to make immigration easier	16
Finland's increasing labour shortage can only be solved with the help of foreign labour	17
Finnish decision-makers focus too much on international issues, forgetting domestic problems	22
Finns should effectively protect their unique culture from the ever-increasing internationalisation	15
FSD case id	8
FSD edition number	7

FSD study number	7
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? Authorities take me seriously and treat me fairly	41
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? Decision-makers are interested in what I think and they listen to me	39
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? I feel equal to others	42
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? I feel that other people respect me	40
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? In general, politicians understand and respect the life style of people like me	38
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? In my opinion, I get good value for the taxes I pay	41
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The issues currently debated in politics are important to me	41
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The media gives an appropriate and truthful image of people in a similar life situation as me	40
How do you personally perceive your current position in Finnish society? The media gives an appropriate and truthful image of the occupation/field in which I work	39
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Disparity in income and welfare between social groups	56
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Educational system, quality and development of education	60
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Finland's position among European countries	54
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Finnish self-esteem	62
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Level and sufficiency of social security benefits	60
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Market economy and its development	54
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Material welfare, standard of living	57
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Mental well-being, happiness	58
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: National security, external threats	56
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Performance of democracy, citizens' possibilities to influence decision-making	55
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Performance of the judicial system	59

3. Indexes

How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Personal safety, prevalence of crime and violence	56
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Position of businesses, preconditions for operating a business	59
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Public morals in general	58
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Quality and practices of the media / mass communication	60
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: State of the environment and nature	57
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Status of national culture	61
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Technological development and its impact on society	61
How do you think that Finnish society has changed in the past 10 years: Working conditions, work climate	55
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Banks, banking system?	50
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Church?	43
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: (Current) Government?	45
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: (Current) President of the Republic?	45
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Defence Forces?	48
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Employers' organisations/associations?	44
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Environmental organisations? .	46
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: European Union, EU?	46
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish Broadcasting Company (YLE)?	51
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish educational system? ...	49
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Finnish media in general?	53
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Google?	52
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Government officials?	46
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Helsingin Sanomat?	52
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Judicial system?	43
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Large, publicly traded corporations?	48
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Market economy system?	50
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: MTV3?	53

How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Parliament?	42
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Police?	47
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Political parties?	44
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Small businesses, entrepreneurs, own-account workers?	48
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Social media services (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram etc.)?	51
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Social security system?	49
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: The largest daily newspaper in your region?	53
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Trade unions?	43
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Voluntary and civic organisations in general?	47
How much do you trust the following institutions or actors: Wikipedia?	51
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: A new global financial crisis	64
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Armed attack against Finland	62
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Becoming a victim of violence	68
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Being a victim of data theft	66
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Breaking into home or robbery of property	68
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Cyber attacks against Finland, disrupting information networks ..	69
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Foreign electoral intervention	65
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Gang violence, riots, vandalism	64
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Global warming	65
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Hybrid threats, destabilising Finnish society in different ways ..	63
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Losing job or livelihood	69
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Pandemics and contagious diseases	67
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally	

3. Indexes

or for people close to you: Terrorist attack in Finland	63
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Uncontrolled influx of migrants to Europe	67
How serious a threat do you think the following are for Finland in general, for you personally or for people close to you: Violence by political extremist groups	66
How well do the following describe your personal views: As the number of foreigners increases, crime and unrest will increase also	34
How well do the following describe your personal views: Closing the borders would put Finland's reputation as a civilized state to shame	37
How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland must accept foreigners if only to fulfil its international obligations	36
How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland's immigration policy is too strict and unwelcoming	30
How well do the following describe your personal views: Finland's working life needs more and more immigrants	32
How well do the following describe your personal views: Finnish attitudes toward foreigners are racist to a great extent	34
How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners are a source of enrichment to Finland's culture and spiritual climate	31
How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners deprive Finns of many jobs	31
How well do the following describe your personal views: Foreigners have a lot to give to our country	38
How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants benefit our economy	32
How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants do not have enough respect for Finnish habits and life style	33
How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants only want to benefit from our social security and standard of living	35
How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigrants receive better social benefits than Finns	33
How well do the following describe your personal views: Immigration rules should be more strict than they are now	37
How well do the following describe your personal views: Marriages with foreigners will improve Finns' genetic heritage	36
How well do the following describe your personal views: Of those seeking asylum, only few are in real and pressing need of it	32
How well do the following describe your personal views: On the whole foreigners are decent and sympathetic people	35
How well do the following describe your personal views: People from different ethnic groups can never adjust themselves to Finnish circumstances	36

How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Finnish foreign policy as a whole	70
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister for Foreign Affairs Timo Soini	71
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister of Defence Jussi Niinistö	71
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Officials of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs	72
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: PM Sipilä's Government as a whole	72
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: President Sauli Niinistö	70
How would you grade the following actors in terms of managing foreign policy: Prime Minister Juha Sipilä	70
I am interested in politics and follow it actively	10
I would support Finland's membership in NATO if the Government and the President were in favour of it	21
If a referendum on Finland's EU membership was held now, would you be in favour of the membership or against it?	74
If more foreigners worked in Finland, our country would benefit from the useful international influences they bring	18
If the parliamentary elections were held now, the candidate of which political party would you vote for?	80
In Finland, we need to accept that a person's salary may be so small that they need additional social security benefits to achieve decent livelihood	26
In foreign policy, environmental questions have already become even more important than security policy	23
In the current situation, there is a risk that Finland turns inwards and is left out of international development	25
Increasing immigration to Finland brings us useful international influences	15
Interest groups have too much influence on Finland's political decision-making	13
It has become increasingly usual in politics to oversimplify and misrepresent issues and to downright lie about them	14
Labour shortage in certain specialty fields in Finland should be eased with foreign labour ..	16
Morality in Finnish political life is unnecessarily criticised since most of our politicians are honest and conscientious people	12
No party promotes issues that are important to me	10
Nowadays, decision-makers get more information about public opinion through civic organizations than through political parties	14

3. Indexes

People residing in Finland should in all circumstances have the right to basic subsistence guaranteed by society	9
Political parties are drifting further and further away from the problems of ordinary citizens	11
Population in respondent's municipality of residence	75
Public welfare services and benefits should be targeted mainly at those most in need and the worst-off	10
Respondent's age group	75
Respondent's basic education	77
Respondent's current or latest industry of employment	79
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group	78
Respondent's gender	74
Respondent's job contract type	77
Respondent's region of residence (NUTS3)	75
Respondent's vocational/professional education	78
Respondent's working hours	77
Respondent's year of birth (from panel demographic information)	81
The actions and policies of the United States in international politics are legitimate and deserve the support of Finns	24
The debate on immigration in Finland is not outspoken enough nor frank about the problems caused by immigration	20
The elite in our society only promote their own interests and do not care about the circumstances of ordinary Finns	13
The fact that competent and qualified Finns emigrate is a bigger problem than immigration to Finland	17
The Finnish media has too strong an influence on public opinion	13
The requirement of the so-called 'labour availability consideration' before employers are allowed to hire foreign employees should be abolished	19
The wariness Finnish people show towards foreigners is wise guardedness, not ignorance or racism	16
To fund the welfare state, all people residing in Finland have the responsibility to work and pay taxes	27
Total gross annual income of respondent's household (from panel demographic information)	81
Type of respondent's employer (current or latest)	76
Weighting coefficient	82
Welfare benefits are viewed as acquired rights and are used as a matter of course even by those who have no real need for them	8
What is your attitude towards Finland's EU membership nowadays?	73

Which federation of trade unions/professional organisations are you a member of?	79
Which is closer to your views on social security: Eligibility for social security benefits must always have a clear reason / Social security of e.g. 500 - 600 euros could be paid to everyone without any special reason	27
Which is closer to your views on social security: Healthy people of working age should do something in return for benefits / Society should not demand anything in return for benefits from healthy people of working age	28
Which is closer to your views on social security: Social security should be improved by combining different types of benefits into one benefit / Each type of benefit has its own specific purpose and benefits should not be combined	28
Which is closer to your views on social security: Social security should be personal, i.e. determined by the individual's situation / Incomes of other household members should be taken into account when determining the level of benefits	29
Which is closer to your views on social security: The point is to provide financial assistance, not guide or instruct adults / Focus should be moved by adding guidance alongside financial assistance?	30
Which is closer to your views on social security: We can't spend more money on social security in the future because of public economy constraints / Level of social security needs to be improved even if it meant cuts or tax increases	29
Which social class would you say you belong to?	80
Xenophobia and racist discussion have become commonplace in Finland	20

Appendix A

Questionnaire in Finnish

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämnda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Tervetuloa uuteen Internet-paneelitutkimukseen! Painamalla → -painiketta pääsette tutkimuksessa eteenpäin seuraavaan kysymykseen. Tarvittaessa palatkaa edelliseen kysymykseen ← -painikkeesta.

1a. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista väittämistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Vaikea sanoa	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä
Kattava sosiaaliturva ja pitkälle viety yhteiskunnan huolenpito tekevät ihmisistä laiskoja ja aloitekyvyttömiä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yhteiskunnan tuista on tullut saavutettuja etuja, joita käyttävät surutta sellaisetkin, jotka eivät niitä välttämättä tarvitsisi	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vaikka hyvän sosiaaliturvan ja muiden julkisten palvelujen ylläpitäminen maksaa paljon, suomalainen hyvinvointivaltio on aina hintansa arvoinen	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomessa asuvilla on kaikissa oloissa oltava oikeus yhteiskunnan takaamaan perustoimeentuloon	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Julkiset hyvinvointipalvelut ja -tuet tulisi kohdentaa lähinnä vain niitä eniten tarvitseville ja heikoimmassa asemassa oleville	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Olen kiinnostunut politiikasta ja seuran sitä aktiivisesti	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mikään puolue ei aja juuri minulle tärkeitä asioita	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Puolueet ovat ajautuneet yhä kauemmas tavallisen ihmisen ongelmista	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Demokratia toimii Suomessa niin hyvin, että puheet kansalaisten huonoista vaikutusmahdollisuuksista ovat vailla pohjaa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maamme kaipaa vahvoja johtajia, jotka kykenevät palauttamaan yhteiskuntaamme kurin ja järjestyksen sekä oikeiden arvojen kunnioituksen	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Politiikan moraalialia moititaan maassamme suotta, sillä valtaosa poliitikoistamme on rehellisiä ja tunnollisia ihmisiä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Yhteiskuntamme eliitti ajaa vain omia etujaan, eikä välitä tavallisten suomalaisten elinolosuhteista	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Etujärjestöillä on maassamme aivan liian paljon vaikutusvaltaa poliittisessa päätöksenteossa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Toimittajat ohjaavat liikaa mielipiteitä Suomessa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nyky-Suomessa kansalaisten mielipiteet välittyvät päätöksentekijöille paremmin kansalaisliikkeiden kautta kuin puolueiden kautta	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Asioiden yksinkertaistaminen, tahallinen vääristely ja suoranainen valehtelu politiikassa ovat yleistyneet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ulkomaalaisten lisääntyvä muutto Suomeen tuo maahamme hyödyllisiä kansainvälisiä vaikutteita	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomalaisten tulisi tehokkaasti varjella kulttuurinsa omaleimaisuutta yhä lisääntyvää kansainvälistymistä vastaan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomalaisten varauksellinen suhtautuminen ulkomaalaisiin on viisasta varovaisuutta, ei tietämättömyyttä tai rasismia	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maamme väestön ikääntyminen ja uhkaava vähentyminen edellyttävät ulkomaalaisten Suomeen muuton helpottamista	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

1b. Entä mitä mieltä olette seuraavista väittämistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Vaikea sanoa	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä
Maassamme tietyillä kapeilla erikoisaloilla esiintyvää työvoimapulaa tulisi helpottaa ulkomaalaisen työvoiman avulla	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomen kasvava työvoimapula on ratkaistavissa vain ulkomaalaisen työvoiman avulla	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suurempi ongelma kuin ulkomaalaisten tulo Suomeen maallemme on pätevien ja kyvykkäiden suomalaisten muutto ulkomaille	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Ulkomaalaisten lisääntyvä työskentely Suomessa toisi maahamme hyödyllisiä kansainvälisiä vaikutteita	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vaikka Suomen on osaltaan kannettava vastuuta sodan ja vainon kohteeksi joutuneista pakolaisista, nykyisen suuruinen maahanmuutto on liikaa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomen ja koko EU:n pitäisi tiukentaa maahanmuuttopolitiikkaansa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Niin sanottu ulkomaisen työvoiman tarvehankinta tulee poistaa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Turvapaikanhakijat ja työperäinen maahanmuutto ovat kaksi täysin eri asiaa, jotka tulisi pitää tarkoin erillään	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomessa käytävä keskustelu maahanmuutosta ei ole riittävän suorapuheista ja ongelmia avoimesti esille tuovaa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Muukalaisvihasta ja rasistisesta puheesta on tullut Suomessa lähes jokapäiväistä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomen tulisi liittyä NATOon	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kannattaisin Suomen NATO-jäsenyyttä, jos maamme ylin valtiojohto puoltaisi sitä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomen tulisi pysyttävä NATO:n ulkopuolella siinäkin tapauksessa, että Ruotsi liittyisi puolustusliittoon	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomen päättäjät keskittyvät liiaksi kansainvälisiin kysymyksiin unohtaen kotimaan ongelmat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ilmastokysymykset ovat ulkopoliitikassa jo turvallisuuspolitiikkaakin tärkeämpiä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomen ei pitäisi ottaa kantaa sellaisiin kansainvälisiin kysymyksiin, jotka asettaisivat hyvät Venäjä-suhteemme vaakalaudalle	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vaikka Venäjällä on omat ongelmansa, suomalaisilla ei ole nykyisin mitään syytä suhtautua suureen naapuriinsa kielteisesti	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yhdysvallat toimii maailmanpolitiikassa oikein ja ansaitsee myös suomalaisten tuen	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ongelmistaan huolimatta Yhdysvallat on länsimaisten arvojen keskeinen puolustaja maailmassa ja Suomellekin tärkeä kumppani	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Suomi on mennyt liian pitkälle mukaan globalisaatioon, jatkossa maamme pitäisi palata kohti kansallisempaa taloutta ja politiikkaa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nykytilanteessa on vaara, että Suomi käpertyy sisäänpäin ja jää syrjään kansainvälisestä kehityksestä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomessa on hyväksyttävä se, että palkka voi jäädä niin alhaiseksi, että riittävään toimeentuloon tarvitaan lisäksi sosiaaliturvaa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kaikilla suomalaisilla on velvollisuus tehdä työtä yhteiseksi hyväksi	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Jokaisella Suomessa asuvalla on velvollisuus tehdä työtä ja maksaa veroja hyvinvointiyhteiskunnan rahoittamiseksi	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2. Suomessa tullaan lähitulevaisuudessa uudistamaan sosiaaliturvaa (esimerkiksi työttömyys-, asumis-, opinto- ja toimeentulotuet). Miten sinä suhtaudut asiaan? Seuraavassa esitetään kuusi väittämäparia. Kumpi väittämistä (A vai B) vastaa paremmin omaa näkemystäsi? Anna vastauksesi asteikolla 1–5 (1= Väittämä A vastaa selvästi paremmin, 5= Väittämä B vastaa selvästi paremmin).

	1	2	3	4	5
A: Sosiaaliturvan saamiselle pitää aina olla selvästi osoitettava syy (työttömyys, pienituloisuus, sairaus, vanhemmuus, opiskelu jne.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Yhteiskunnan pitää edellyttää terveeltä ja työikäiseltä vastiketta sosiaaliturvalle (työnhakua, opintoja, suostumista aktivointitoimiin jne.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Sosiaaliturvaa pitäisi uudistaa yhdistämällä mahdollisimman monta tukimuotoa yhdeksi tueksi	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Sosiaaliturvan pitää olla yksilökohtaista, eli määräytyä henkilökohtaisen tilanteen mukaan riippumatta siitä, paljonko esimerkiksi puoliso ansaitsee	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Sosiaaliturvaan ei voida tulevaisuudessa käyttää juurikaan nykyistä enempää rahaa, koska julkinen talous on tiukoilla (mm. kestävyysvaje)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Sosiaaliturvan tarkoitus on antaa rahallista taloudellista tukea, ei ohjata tai neuvoa aikuisia ihmisiä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

B: Esimerkiksi 500-600 euron suuruinen sosiaaliturva (perustulo tai kansalaispalkka) voitaisiin maksaa kaikille ilman erillistä syytä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Yhteiskunnan ei pidä vaatia terveeltä ja työikäiseltä sosiaaliturvan saajalta aktiivisuutta tai muuta vastiketta	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Sosiaaliturvan jokaisella eri etuudella on oma käyttötarkoituksensa, eikä niitä pidä yhdistää	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Sosiaaliturvan etuuksien tasoa määrittellessä pitää ottaa huomioon muiden samassa kotitaloudessa asuvien tulot	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Sosiaaliturvan tasoa pitää parantaa siinäkin tapauksessa, että se merkitsisi leikkauksia joihinkin muihin menoihin tai verojen korottamista	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Sosiaaliturvan painopistettä pitäisi siirtää lisäämällä taloudellisen tuen rinnalle ohjausta ja neuvontaa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3. Maahanmuutto herättää maassamme paljon keskustelua. Seuraavassa on lueteltu eräitä asiaa koskevia yleistäviä väitteitä ja luonnehdintoja. Miten ne vastaavat sinun henkilökohtaisia näkemyksiäsi?

	Erittäin hyvin	Melko hyvin	Vaikea sanoa	Melko huonosti	Erittäin huonosti
Maamme ulkomaalaispolitiikka on liian tiukkaa ja torjuvaa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ulkomaalaiset vievät liiaksi työpaikkoja suomalaisilta	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ulkomaalaiset ovat rikkaus maamme kulttuurille ja henkiselle ilmapiirille	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vain harvat turvapaikkaa hakevat ovat todellisessa hädässä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maahanmuuttajat hyödyttävät maamme taloutta	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Työelämä tarvitsee enenevästi maahanmuuttajia	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pakolaiset saavat paljon paremmat sosiaaliedut kuin suomalaiset	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tulijat eivät kunnioita riittävästi maamme tapoja ja elämänmuotoa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rikollisuus ja levottomuudet lisääntyvät ulkomaalaisten myötä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Suomalaisten suhtautumisessa ulkomaalaisiin on paljon rasismia	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ulkomaalaiset ovat yleisesti ottaen kunnollisia ja sympaattisia ihmisiä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tulijat haluavat vain hyötyä elintasostamme ja sosiaaliturvastamme	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomen tulee ottaa vastaan ulkomaalaisia jo täyttääkseen kansainväliset velvoitteensa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Erilaisista etnisistä ryhmistä peräisin olevat eivät koskaan voi sopeutua oloihimme	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Avioliitot ulkomaalaisten kanssa parantavat kansamme geeniperimää	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ulkomaalaisten maahanmuuttoa tulisi rajoittaa nykyisestä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tiukka 'rajat kiinni'-politiikka on häpeäksi maamme maineelle sivistysvaltiona	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ulkomaalaisilla on paljon myönteistä annettavaa maallemme	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4. Millaiseksi koet henkilökohtaisesti oman asemasi suomalaisessa yhteiskunnassa tällä hetkellä? Mitä mieltä olet seuraavista väittämistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Vaikea sanoa	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä
Poliitikot yleisesti ottaen ymmärtävät ja kunnioittavat kaltaisten ihmisten elämäntapaa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ammatistani/alasta, jolla työskentelen annetaan mediassa asiallinen ja oikea kuva	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Päätöksentekijöitä kiinnostaa, mitä mieltä minä olen ja he kuuntelevat minua	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tunnen, että muut ihmiset kunnioittavat minua	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Media kuvaa kaltaisessani elämäntilanteessa olevia ihmisiä asiallisesti ja oikein	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Saan mielestäni hyvän vastineen maksamilleni veroille	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Juuri nyt politiikassa pinnalla olevat kysymykset ovat minulle tärkeitä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Viranomaiset ottavat asiani vakavasti ja kohtelevat minua reilusti	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Tunnen olevani tasa-arvoinen muiden kanssa

5. Seuraavassa on lueteltu joukko yhteiskunnan toimijoita ja asioita. Kuinka suurta luottamusta tunnet niitä kohtaan?

	Hyvin suurta	Melko suurta	Vaikea sanoa	Melko vähäistä	Hyvin vähäistä
Eduskunta	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kirkko	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Oikeuslaitos	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ammattiyhdistysliike	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Työnantajajärjestöt	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Poliittiset puolueet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maan (nykyinen) hallitus	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tasavallan (nykyinen) presidentti	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Valtion virkamiehistö	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Euroopan unioni, EU	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ympäristöjärjestöt	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yleensä kansalaisjärjestöt	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Poliisi	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Puolustusvoimat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suuryritykset, pörssiyritykset	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pienyritykset, yksityisyrittäjät	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maamme koulutusjärjestelmä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sosiaaliturvajärjestelmä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Markkinatalousjärjestelmä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pankit, pankkijärjestelmä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sosiaalinen media (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram jne.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yleisradio YLE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Wikipedia	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Helsingin Sanomat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Google	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
MTV3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Oman maakuntasi johtava sanomalehti	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maamme tiedotusvälineet yleensä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

6. Maailma muuttuu ja Suomi sen mukana. Alla on lueteltu joukko suomalaisen yhteiskunnan osa-alueita ja muita asioita. Miten ne ovat mielestäsi viimeisten kymmenen vuoden aikana muuttuneet?

	Suuri myönteinen muutos	Pieni myönteinen muutos	Ei muutosta/vaikea sanoa	Pieni kielteinen muutos	Suuri kielteinen muutos
Suomen asema Euroopan maiden joukossa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Markkinatalousjärjestelmä ja sen kehityspiirteet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Demokratian toimivuus, kansalaisten vaikutusmahdollisuudet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Työolot, työpaikkojen ilmapiiri	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ulkoinen turvallisuus, maamme turvallisuuspoliittinen asema	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sisäinen turvallisuus, rikollisuuden ja väkivallan määrä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tulo- ja hyvinvointierot väestöryhmien kesken	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Luonnon ja ympäristön tila	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Aineellinen hyvinvointi, elintaso	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Henkinen hyvinvointi, ihmisten onnellisuus	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yleinen yhteiskuntamoraali	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Oikeusjärjestelmän toimivuus	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yritysten asema/toimintaedellytykset	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Median/tiedonvälityksen taso ja toimintatavat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sosiaaliturvan taso ja kattavuus	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Koulutusjärjestelmä, koulutuksen taso/kehitys	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Teknologinen kehitys ja sen vaikutukset yhteiskuntaan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kansallisen kulttuurin asema	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suomalaisten itsetunto	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

7. Turvallisuus ja erilaisiin uhkiin varautuminen on ajankohtainen puheenaihe. Kuinka suurina sinä pidät seuraavia uhkia yleisemmin Suomen tai henkilökohtaisesti itsesi/läheistesi kohdalla?

	Hyvin suuri uhka	Melko suuri uhka	Vaikea sanoa	Melko pieni uhka	Olematon uhka
Sotilaallinen hyökkäys Suomeen	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hybridivaikuttaminen, suomalaisen yhteiskunnan horjuttaminen eri keinoin	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Terrori-isku Suomessa	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Uusi laajamittainen talouskriisi maailmalla	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Jengisodat, mellakat, tuhotyöt	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vaaleihin vaikuttaminen maamme rajojen ulkopuolelta	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ilmaston lämpeneminen	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tietovarkauden kohteeksi joutuminen	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Poliittisten ääriryhmien väkivalta	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pandemiat ja tarttuvat taudit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hallitsematon siirtolaisaalto Eurooppaan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Väkivallan kohteeksi joutuminen	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kotiin tai muuhun omaisuuteen kohdistuva ryöstö	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kyberiskut Suomeen, tietoverkkojen lamauttaminen	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Työn ja toimeentulon menettäminen	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8. Perustuslakimme mukaan Suomen ulkopoliitikkaa johtaa tasavallan presidentti yhteistoiminnassa valtioneuvoston (hallituksen) kanssa. Silti myös muilla toimijoilla on oma roolinsa asiassa. Millaisen arvosanan ulkopoliitiikan hoidosta antaisitte seuraaville?

	Erittäin hyvä	Melko hyvä	Melko huono	Erittäin huono	En osaa sanoa
Maamme ulkopoliitikka kokonaisuutena	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Presidentti Sauli Niinistö	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pääministeri Juha Sipilä	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ulkoministeri Timo Soini	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Puolustusministeri Jussi Niinistö	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nykyinen Sipilän hallitus kokonaisuutena	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ulkoministeriön virkamiehistö	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

9a. Miten suhtaudut nykyisin maamme EU-jäsenyyteen?

- Erittäin myönteisesti
- Melko myönteisesti
- Neutraalisti_
- Melko kielteisesti_
- Erittäin kielteisesti_
- En osaa sanoa

9b. Entä siihen, että rahayksikkömme vaihdettiin markasta euroksi?

- Erittäin myönteisesti
- Melko myönteisesti
- Neutraalisti_
- Melko kielteisesti_
- Erittäin kielteisesti_
- En osaa sanoa

9c. Onko eurosta ja EMU-jäsenyydestä hyötyä vai haittaa Suomelle nykyisessä taloustilanteessa?

- Paljon hyötyä_
- Jonkin verran hyötyä_
- Ei hyötyä eikä haittaa_
- Jonkin verran haittaa_

- Paljon haittaa_
- En osaa sanoa

9d. Jos kansanäänestys Suomen EU-jäsenyydestä järjestettäisiin nyt, äänestäisitkö jäsenyyden puolesta vai sitä vastaan?

- Puolesta_
- En osaa sanoa_
- Vastaan

Kysymme vielä joitakin taustatietojanne tulosten tilastollista ryhmittelyä varten. Sukupuoli

- Mies
- Nainen
- Muu

Ikäryhmä

- 18-25 vuotta
- 26-35 vuotta
- 36-45 vuotta
- 45-55 vuotta
- 56-65 vuotta
- Yli 65 vuotta

Asuinkunnan koko

- Alle 4000 asukasta
- 4000-8000 asukasta
- 8000-30 000 asukasta
- 30 000-80 000 asukasta
- Yli 80 000 asukasta

Maakunta, jonka alueella asutte

- Uusimaa
- Itä-Uusimaa
- Varsinais-Suomi
- Satakunta
- Häme
- Pirkanmaa
- Päijät-häme
- Kymenlaakso
- Etelä-Karjala
- Etelä-Savo
- Pohjois-Savo
- Pohjois-Karjala

- Keski-Suomi
- Etelä-Pohjanmaa
- Pohjanmaa (Vaasan rann.)
- Keski-Pohjanmaa
- Pohjois-Pohjanmaa
- Kainuu
- Lappi

Nykyinen tai viimeisin työnantajanne.

- Valtio
- Kunta tai kuntayhtymä
- Julkismisteinen yritys
- Yksityinen (tai oma) yritys
- Järjestö tai yhdistys
- Jokin muu työnantaja
- En ole ollut mukana työelämässä

If = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, Only ask 'T6'

If = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, Only ask 'T7'

Oletteko...

- Kokopäivätyössä
- Puolipäivätyössä
- Osa-aikatyössä
- Muu työaikamuoto

Onko työsuhteenne...

- Vakituinen
- Määräaikainen

Millainen peruskoulutus Teillä on?

- Kansakoulu
- Keski- tai peruskoulu
- Ylioppilastutkinto

Millainen ammatillinen koulutus Teillä on?

- Ei ammatillista koulutusta
- Ammattikurssi, muu lyhyt ammattikoulutus
- Ammattikoulu
- Opistotasoinen ammattikoulutus
- Ammattikorkeakoulututkinto
- Yliopisto- tai korkeakoulututkinto

Ammattiryhmä, johon katsotte lähinnä kuuluvanne.

- Johtavassa asemassa toisen palveluksessa
- Ylempi toimihenkilö
- Alempi toimihenkilö
- Työntekijä
- Yrittäjä tai yksityinen ammatinharjoittaja
- Maatalousyrittäjä
- Opiskelija
- Eläkeläinen
- Kotiäiti/koti-isä
- Työtön
- Muu

Toimiala, jolla työskentelette tai viimeksi työskentelite.

- Maa- ja metsätalous
- Teollisuus ja rakennustoiminta
- Yksityiset palvelut
- Julkiset palvelut
- En ole ollut mukana työelämässä

Kuulutteko johonkin ammatilliseen keskusjärjestöön?

- En kuulu
- Kyllä

If = 2, Only ask 'T12b'

Mihin kuulutte...

- SAK
- STTK
- Akava
- MTK

Jos eduskuntavaalit pidettäisiin nyt, minkä puolueen ehdokasta äänestäisitte?

- KESK
- KOK
- SDP
- Vasemmistoliitto
- Vihreät
- RKP
- Kristillisdemokraatit
- Perussuomalaiset
- Siniset
- Jokin muu puolue tai ryhmittymä
- En äänestäisi lainkaan
- En osaa sanoa
- En halua sanoa

Mihin yhteiskuntaluokkaan katsotte lähinnä kuuluvanne?

- Työväenluokkaan
- Alempaan keskiluokkaan
- Keskiluokkaan
- Ylempään keskiluokkaan
- Yläluokkaan
- En katso kuuluvani mihinkään luokkaan

KOMMENTTEJA? Seuraavaan voitte kirjoittaa mitä tahansa näkemyksiänne maamme asioista tai tästä tutkimuksesta. Kaikki mielipiteet ovat tervetulleita ja arvokkaita!

KIITOKSET VAIVANNÄÖSTÄ!

