KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD3325 EUROOPPALAISTEN ILMASTOPALVELUIDEN KÄYTTÄJÄKYSELY (EU-MACS) 2017

QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD3325 EUROPEAN CLIMATE SERVICES USER SURVEY (EU-MACS)

2017

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: http://www.fsd.uta.fi/

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: http://www.fsd.uta.fi/

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: http://www.fsd.uta.fi/

ANNEX 1 - SURVEY

Questionnaire on Barriers and Enabling Conditions on the European Market for Climate Services

This survey aims at identifying barriers in the European market for climate services. According to the IPCC, barriers are obstacles to reaching a certain potential – they make a situation more difficult than it needs to be. In addition, we aim to identify enhancements that enable innovations in order to improve development and use of climate services.

The survey addresses both providers and users of climate services. It does not focus on specific sectors or types of climate services and it does not distinguish for which purposes climate services are provided or used.

The survey is divided into three sections. Questions in the first section address you and your organisation, the second part addresses the barriers and enabling innovations, and the last section focuses on identifying reasons for non-use of climate services.

We do not ask for any personal information. Participation will be completely anonymous. The replies will be stored in an anonymized way, in a password protected storage capacity.

For more information see: http://eu-macs.eu http://eu-macs.eu/

In case of questions about the survey, please email joerg.cortekar@hzg.de

We thank you for your participation and support of EU-MACS on behalf of the whole EU-MACS consortium.

EU-MACS is funded by the European Commission, Grant Agreement No. 730500

A. Who is providing / using climate services?

In this first section of the survey we would like to learn more about you as a provider or user of climate services.

1. I am / I work for an orga	nisation tl	hat is		
Providing climate se this box if you are prov services)			Using climo	ite services only.
→ if 'provider' then cont	tinue with (Q2		
→ if 'user only' then con	tinue with	Q18		
 Please specify the type 	of organi	sation you work for	:	
☐ National Weather Service (or a direct subsidiary)	Unive	ersity or research	Private	ousiness
Public Climate Service Centre (not attached to National Weather Service)	☐ Non-	rofit organisation Industry body		or professional
Public administration / politics	Othe	r:		
 To better understand who value chain of climate se 		c barriers occur, ple	ase position yo	our organisation in the
Data collection		High-performan	nce computing	Model development
☐ Modelling and re-analysis		Climate informa	Climate information and knowledge	
Impact modelling (incl. soc economic impacts)	io-	Consultancy ser (translation layer)	vices	☐ National government/ administration
Regional / federal governadministration	nment /	Local governme	ent /	Civil society, e.g. foundations, associations
International corporations		SME		Other:

Austria	Belgiun	n	■ Bulgaria
Croatia	Cyprus		Czech Republic
Denmark	Estonia		Finland
Germany	Greece	2	Hungary
Ireland	☐ Italy		Latvia
Lithuania	Luxemb	oourg	Malta
☐ The Netherlands	Poland		Portugal
Romania	Slovaki	a	Slovenia
Spain	Swede	n	United Kingdom
other, where:			
6. For what sector(s) do yo	ou mainly pro	ovide climate service	es? (check all that apply)
		and water infrastru	•
Forestry		and water infrastru	uctures)
☐ Forestry ☐ (Critical) Infrastructures (incand water supply, and telecorbut excl. roads, rails and water	nmunication	Energy (excl. gr	uctures)
(Critical) Infrastructures (incand water supply, and telecor	nmunication	☐ Energy (excl. gi	octures) rids) ogistics (incl. land, water
(Critical) Infrastructures (incand water supply, and telecorbut excl. roads, rails and water	nmunication erways)	☐ Energy (excl. gr ☐ Transport and I and air) ☐ Building and co	octures) rids) ogistics (incl. land, water
(Critical) Infrastructures (incand water supply, and telecorbut excl. roads, rails and water and water supply).	nmunication erways)	☐ Energy (excl. gr ☐ Transport and I and air) ☐ Building and co	octures) rids) ogistics (incl. land, water instruction anagement / Desaster
☐ (Critical) Infrastructures (incand water supply, and telecor but excl. roads, rails and water ☐ Tourism☐ Finance and (re-) insurance	nmunication erways)	☐ Energy (excl. gr ☐ Transport and I and air) ☐ Building and co ☐ Catastrophe more Risk Reduction	octures) rids) ogistics (incl. land, water instruction anagement / Desaster ment
 ☐ (Critical) Infrastructures (incand water supply, and telecorbut excl. roads, rails and water supply. ☐ Tourism ☐ Finance and (re-) insurance. ☐ Health care incl. pharmace. 	nmunication erways)	☐ Energy (excl. gr ☐ Transport and I and air) ☐ Building and co ☐ Catastrophe marks Reduction ☐ Waste manage	rids) ogistics (incl. land, water instruction anagement / Desaster ment
 ☐ (Critical) Infrastructures (incand water supply, and telecorbut excl. roads, rails and water supply. ☐ Tourism ☐ Finance and (re-) insurance ☐ Health care incl. pharmace ☐ Biodiversity and nature conditions. 	erways) et euticals enservation	Energy (excl. grants) Transport and I and air) Building and compared to the	rids) ogistics (incl. land, water instruction anagement / Desaster ment

7.	Are you engaged in or a apply)	onnected to one	or more of th	e following networks? (Check all that	
_	ERA-NET for Climate vices	Climate Servi	ces	☐ Global Framework for Climate Services (GFCS)	
	Copernicus Climate inge Service (C3S)	Climate Know Brokers	ledge	World Climate Research Programme	
	EUMETNET	☐ Water JPI		Future Earth	
	ECRA	☐ JPI Oceans		Belmont Forum	
	CORDEX	☐ JPI Climate		EIT Climate KIC	
	CMIP	☐ JPI Urban Eur	ope	GCOS	
	GEOSS	☐ JPI FACCE		Others:	
	information? ☐ yes → if 'yes' continue with G → if 'no' continue with G		no		
8a.	Does this process include	:			
	Statistical properties of datasets		Declaration of the sources of the datasets (observation and/or model simulation)		
	Declaration of post-pi	= -	A systematic production and maintenance of metadata per dataset		
	Some kind of certifica	tion			
8b.	Do you provide meta-dat	a on datasets and	l other inform	ation to (prospective) users?	
	Upon request	Sto	ındard practic	e Usually not	

9.	Do you offer advice and/or tools to users information?	to evaluate the fitness-for-purpose of climate
	Yes	Yes, but evaluation is a joint effort of provider and (prospective) user
	No, that is to say we do that in house, without involvement of the user	□No
		barriers do you face in ted to climate services?
	is section we would like to learn about the bard providing climate services.	riers and enabling conditions you face when developing
10.		ypes of climate services have been developed. How roduct you will relate all subsequent questions to?
	Advisory services, risk assessments and decision support tools	Data management, incl. calibrated data sets, data archiving, data certification
	Measurements, incl. instruments and technologies for measurements and calibration	Modelling, including climate, impacts and socio-economics
	Operations (collection and provision of rodata)	aw Processed data, incl. re-analysis
	Publications, e.g. synthesis and assessmen guidance documents, manuals	ts, Capacity building / training
	Other:	
11.	What type(s) of climate data and informat	tion is needed for the selected service?
	Observational data	Seasonal forecasts
	Climate projections and models	Paleoclimatology
	Mapping and analysis tools	☐ Not applicable

Barriers	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
imited financial resources						
Human capital (incl. number and proficiency of staff)						
Organisational setting, incl. established practices and routines, decision- making processes						
Added-value of climate services often unclear differences between expected and actual costs)						
Timeliness of development and provision						
ntellectual property rights						
Business model development (from prototyping to pperationalization)						

Barriers	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
Difficulties to involve different stakeholders (lack of social capital)						
Difficulties to interact with other in-house expertise / other disciplines						
Different cultural settings in science and application, incl. attitudes, priorities and expectations, 'language'						
Infrequent interaction between provider and user						

Barriers	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicabl
Lack of appropriate technology / technological capacity						
Missing standardization of information, incl. layout, terminology etc.						
Lack of scale (both, temporal and spatial) of relevant scientific or technical information						
Timeliness of development and provision						
Coupling of climate and impact models						
Limited / incomplete understanding of target sector						
d you like to add anyt	hing?					1

14. Please let us know, which technological and scientific barriers you have already faced. Please

Barriers	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
Difficulties to describe data cources, methods used to develop dervices etc.						
Difficulties to provide meta information, itrength and weaknesses / imitations of a pervice, uncertainties						
Cooperation petween provider and user during ervice development						
Short-term relationship - missing trust petween provider and user on reliability and quality of services						

Barriers	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
Reliance and / or dependence upon EU, national or regional policies and regulations						
Unclear regulatory / legal requirements						
requirements d you like to add an	ything?					

barriers?						
Innovation	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
Improved high- performance computing capacity						
Improved methodologies for science-stakeholder interactions, e.g. to foster two way dialogue						
Improved funding						
Open data policies to increase accessibility of information						
Internal capacity building, e.g. setting up working groups, hiring / capacitating staff						
Are there any other importe ervices activities?	ant innovati	ions that help	oed to over	come barriei	rs in relation	n to your climate
8. Would you like to ac	ld another	type of serv	rice?		☐ no	
→ if 'yes' then conti	inue with Q	10 (and go	through sect	ion B again;	max. three	times, then loops
→ if 'no' survey end	ds for provi	ders				

17. What innovations of the past years would you consider most relevant to overcome the indicated

→ Section A continues for users! (followed, if answer to Q1 is 'user')

19. Please specify the type of	f organisation you work for :							
University or Research Performing Organization	Private business		Non profit organisation					
☐ Public administration / polit	ics Industry or profession	al body	Other:					
20. In which country (or countries) is your organisation located?								
Austria	Belgium	☐ Bulgaria						
☐ Croatia	Cyprus	Czech Re	epublic					
□ Denmark	☐ Estonia	Finland						
Germany	Greece	Hungary						
☐ Ireland	☐ Italy	Latvia						
Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta						
☐ The Netherlands	Poland	Portugal						
Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia						
□ Spain	Sweden	United K	ingdom					
other, where:								

21. In which city is your organisation located?

22. In which sector(s) do you) work? (cne	ck all that apply)				
Agriculture		•	Water (excl. water way management and water infrastructures)			
Forestry		Energy (excl. grids)				
(Critical) Infrastructures (inc and water supply, and telecom but excl. roads, rails and water	munication	Transport and and air)	logistics (incl. land, water			
☐ Tourism		Building and construction				
Finance and (re-) insurance		Catastrophe management / Desaster Risk Reduction				
Health care incl. pharmace	uticals	Waste management				
Biodiversity and nature con	servation	Education and	training			
Spatial / urban planning		☐ Industry and Trade				
Ecosystems management, incl. soil		Mining / Extraction (incl. oil, gas, coal)				
Other:						
23. Are you engaged in or a apply)	connected to	one or more of th	ne following networks? (Check all that			
☐ ERA-NET for Climate Services	Climate Partnership	Services	☐ Global Framework for Climate Services (GFCS)			
Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S)	Climate Brokers	Knowledge	─ World Climate Research Programme			
EUMETNET	☐ Water .	JPI	Future Earth			
☐ ECRA	☐ JPI Oce	ans	Belmont Forum			
CORDEX	☐ JPI Clim	ate	EIT Climate KIC			
☐ CMIP	☐ JPI Urb	an Europe	GCOS			
GEOSS	☐ JPI FAC	CE	Others:			

Would you like to add another network	or initiative	eş.				
25. Do you use climate services in y	our work?					
yes				n	0	
→ if 'yes' continue with Q26						
→ if 'no' continue with Q40.						
26. What, in your opinion, does 'qua how would you rate it in terms o	=	=			rvice prov	viders, and
Quality characteristics	1	2	3	4	5	Not considere d
The usefulness of the information in our own processes (better results or output)						
The serviceability of the provider regarding information transfer, applicability, and further advice						
The fitness-for-purpose of the provided data for joining with our own data and information (e.g. spatial and temporal resolution, statistical properties, proximity to variables of interest, etc.)						
The cost of acquisition and use of the climate services						
27. Did the acquisition of climate ser	rvices ento	ıil:				
☐ No purchase cost ☐ Mo	dest purch	ase cost	☐ Si	gnificant p	urchase co	ost

28. Did the use of climate ser	vices entail:		
No or no notable extra resource use	Moderate, ye extra resource us		Moderate, yet notable extra resource use for equipment/ software
☐ Moderate, yet notable extra resource use for HR and equipment / software	Significant exuse for HR	tra resource	Significant extra resource use for equipment/ software
Significant extra resource use for HR and equipment / software			
• •		-	n (and post-processing) of climate, with others from the same sector,
No, because our climate se happens irregularly	rvices acquisition	Yes, with a	organisations from same area
☐ No, because it mixes with co commercially sensitive information		Yes, with a	organisations from same sector
No, because our climate serv	vice needs are	Yes, in ord	ler to share costs / save resource
			der to better exploit the climate services

B. What kinds of barriers do you face in your activities related to climate services?

In this section we would like to learn about the barriers and enabling conditions you face when using climate services.

30.	Over the past years, would you classify t (check what fits best)	he climat	= =				-
	Advisory services, r decision support tools	isk assessn	nents and	data	ata managem sets, data arc cation		
	Measurements, incl.				odelling, inclu ocio-economic	•	te, impacts
	Operations (collecti	ovision of raw	Processed data, incl. re-analysis				
	Publications, e.g. sy guidance documents, m	d assessments,	Capacity building / training				
	Other:						
31.	What type(s) of clima	ıte data aı	nd information	are nee	eded for the s	elected se	rvice?
	Observational data		☐ Fo	recasts			
	Climate projections and models				leoclimatolog	ΙΥ	
	Mapping and analy	 Napping and analysis tools					
	☐ Not applicable	•		_			
32.	Please let us know, v	-	-	ou face	when using	climate se	rvices. Please rate
	Barriers	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
	Limited science- practice interaction (availability of relevant information)						
	Accessibility of information, incl. open data guidelines						

Would you like to add anything?	

2	1
•	•
J	J

Please let us know, which economic barriers you have already faced. Please rate their importance from 1 (low) to 5 (high).

•	•	• ,				
Barriers	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
Limited financial resources						
Human capital (incl. number and proficiency of staff)						
Organisational setting, incl. established practices and routines, decision- making processes						
Added-value of climate services often unclear						
Timeliness of development and provision						
Dysfunctional definition or distribution of competences and responsibilities						
Missing definition or distribution of competences and responsibilities						
High search costs to find a suitable service						

Please let us know,		ial barriers	you have al	ready faced	d. Please ro	ıte their impo
from 1 (low) to 5 (high	g h). 1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
Difficulties to nvolve different stakeholders (lack of social capital)						
Difficulties to nteract with other n-house expertise / other disciplines						
Different cultural settings in science and application, ncl. attitudes, priorities and expectations, language'						
nfrequent nteraction between provider and user						

Would you like to add anything?

Barriers	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
ack of appropriate echnology / echnological capacity						
Difficulties to find suitable services availability)						
Difficulties to access suitable services						
Missing standardization of information, incl. ayout, terminology etc.						
ack of scale (both, emporal and epatial) relevant ecientific or echnical nformation						
Timeliness of development and provision						
nappropriate format of available services						

Barriers	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
Missing transparency of data sources, methods used to develop services						
Completeness of information incl. metadata, strength and weaknesses / limitations of a service, uncertainties						
Cooperation between provider and user during service development						
Short-term relationship - missing trust between provider and user on reliability and quality of services						

	1	2	3	4	5	Not applicable
teliance and / or lependence upon IU, national or egional policies and regulations						
Inclear regulatory ' legal equirements						

38. What innovations of the past years would you consider most relevant to overcome the indicated barriers? Please rate their importance from 1 (low) to 5 (high) Not Innovation 1 2 3 5 applicable Improved highperformance computing capacity **Improved** methodologies for science-stakeholder interactions Improved funding Capacity-building opportunities and trainings Open data policies to increase accessibility of information Policy reforms Internal capacity building, e.g. setting up working groups, hiring / capacitating staff Guidance documents, manuals, laymans reports etc. Would you like to add anything?

39.	Would you like to add	d another	type of serv	/ice?			
		yes				no	
	→ if 'yes' continue w automatically)	rith Q30 (d	and go throu	gh section B	again; max	. three time	s, then loops ends
	→ if 'no' survey end	s for users					
		C. Why	do you no	t use climat	e services?		
the	indicated that you do not reasons and what, if postive or helpful. What are the main re	ssible, nee	eds to be do	one in order	to make th	e use of cli	mate services more
	(low) to 5 (high).	1	2	3	4	5	Not
		1	2	3	4	3	applicable
	Do not need them / is not required						
	ls not available / do not know where to get them						
	To expensive						
	Provided inappropriately						
	Not understandable (to scientific)						
	Not accessible (due to technical constraints)						
	Need customized solution (no generic ones)						
	Timeliness of provision						

Are	there other reasons for not using climate services?
 41	What climate services that are not yet available would help you to fulfil your job?
	what chinate services that are not yet available woold help you to form your job.
42.	In which way could services already available be improved to better fit your purposes?

You have completed the survey. Thank you very much for your participation.

You can now close the window.