

KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD3810 STN-TUTKIMUSOHJELMIEN 2015-2021 LOPPUARVIOINTI: KONSORTIOIDEN JÄSENTEN ITSEARVIOINTIKYSELY 2022

QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD3810 EVALUATION OF STRATEGIC RESEARCH PROGRAMMES 2015-2021: SELF-ASSESSMENT SURVEY FOR CONSORTIA MEMBERS 2022

TÄMÄ KYSELYLOMAKE ON OSA YLLÄ MAINITTUA YHTEISKUNTATIETEELLISEEN TIETOARKISTOON ARKISTOITUA TUTKIMUSAINESTOA.

KYSELYLOMAKETTA HYÖDYNTÄVIEN TULEE VIITATA SIIHEN ASIANMUKAISESTI LÄHDEVIITTEELLÄ.

THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FORMS A PART OF THE ABOVE MENTIONED DATASET, ARCHIVED AT THE FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE.

IF THE QUESTIONNAIRE IS USED OR REFERRED TO IN ANY WAY, THE SOURCE MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED BY MEANS OF AN APPROPRIATE BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION.

DETTA FRÅGEFORMULÄR UTGÖR EN DEL AV DEN OVANNÄMDA DATAMÄNGDEN, ARKIVERAD PÅ FINLANDS SAMHÄLLSVETENSKAPLIGA DATAARKIV.

OM FRÅGEFORMULÄRET ÄR UTNYTTJAT ELLER REFERERAT TILL MÅSTE KÄLLAN ANGES I FORM AV BIBLIOGRAFISK REFERENS.

[Saatekirje]

Please scroll down for the English version

Hyvä [Etunimi] [Sukunimi],

Strategisen tutkimuksen neuvoston (STN) rahoittama ohjelma [Ohjelman nimi ja kesto] on päättynyt ja ohjelman arviointi on käynnistymässä. Tavoitteena on arvioida ohjelman jo toteutunutta ja mahdollisesti tulevaisuudessa toteutuvaa tieteellistä ja yhteiskunnallista vaikuttavuutta sekä tuottaa tietoa strategisen tutkimuksen ohjelmien kehittämiseksi. Arvioinnin tavoitteet ja toteutus on kuvattu pääpiirteittäin [strategisen tutkimuksen verkkosivuilla](#).

Pyydämme teitä täyttämään oheisen itsearviointikyselyn, jolla kartoitamme päättäneiden ohjelmien onnistuneisuutta sekä ohjelmarahoituksen yleisiä kehittämistarpeita. Kyselyn kohderyhmänä ovat päättäneissä ohjelmissa rahoitettujen konsortioiden johtajat, työpakettien ja tutkimusryhmien vetäjät, vuorovaikutusvastaavat sekä koordinaattorit. Te olette toimineet avainroolissa strategisen tutkimuksen toteuttajina, joten näkemyksenne ja kokemuksenne ovat meille ensiarvoisen tärkeitä!

Pyydämme vastaamaan kyselyyn viimeistään 16.5.2022. Pääsette kyselyyn tästä linkistä:

[linkki]

Linkki on henkilökohtainen, mutta jos ette pysty itse vastaamaan kyselyyn, voitte pyytää jotakuta toista vastaamaan puolestanne. Kysely on englanninkielinen.

Käsitlemme vastauksia luottamuksellisesti. Julkaisemme kyselyn tärkeimmät tulokset osana strategisen tutkimuksen ohjelma-arviointia tilastoina, kuviolina, yhteenvetoina ja nostoina, joista ei voi tunnistaa yksittäisen vastaajan antamia tietoja. Ohjelma-arvioinnin tavoiteltu valmistumisaika on vuoden 2023 alkupuolella.

Suunnittelemme myös avaavamme kyselyssä syntyvän, anonymiksi käsitellyn aineiston tutkijoiden ja muiden kiinnostuneiden käyttöön. Seuraamme aineiston avaamisessa Tietoarkiston laatiman [Aineistonhallinnan käsikirjan](#) ohjeita.

Vastaamme mielellämme mahdollisiin kysymyksiin.

Vastauksistanne kiittäen,

Päivi Tikka
Johtaja, Strategisen tutkimuksen vastuualue
Suomen Akatemia

Katri Huutoniemi
Tiedeasiantuntija, Strategisen tutkimuksen vastuualue
Suomen Akatemia
[yhteystiedot poistettu]

[sama englanniksi]

Dear XX,

The strategic research programme [Programme name] has ended, and the programme evaluation is about to begin. The aim is to examine the achieved and expected scientific and societal impact of the programme and to produce information that the SRC can use to develop future programmes. The objectives and implementation of the evaluation are described on [our website](#).

We kindly ask you to fill in the self-evaluation questionnaire to help us collect information on the success of the completed programmes and on needs to develop the SRC programme funding. The questionnaire is targeted at the consortium PIs, work package and team leaders, interaction coordinators and administrative coordinators. You have played key roles in implementing strategic research, and therefore your views and experiences are most important to us!

Please fill in the questionnaire by 16 May 2022. Go to the questionnaire by clicking on this link:

[\[link\]](#)

The link is personal. If you cannot respond yourself, feel free ask someone else to answer the questionnaire for you. The questionnaire is in English.

All responses will be treated confidentially. As part of programme evaluations, we will publish the key results of the questionnaire as statistics, figures, summaries and highlights. Information provided by an individual respondent cannot be identified from the results. The programme evaluation is scheduled to be completed in early 2023.

We also plan to make the anonymised data generated in the questionnaire available to researchers and other interested parties. The data will be made available in line with the [Data Management Guidelines of the Finnish Social Science Data Archive](#).

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Best regards,

Päivi Tikka
Director, Division of Strategic Research
Academy of Finland

Katri Huutoniemi
Science Adviser, Division of Strategic Research
Academy of Finland
[contact details removed]

Welcome to the self-evaluation questionnaire for members of strategic research consortia!

The questionnaire is designed to collect information on, and to self-evaluate, the programmes funded by the Strategic Research Council (SRC) between 2015 and 2021.

The questionnaire contains 17–23 questions (depending on your role in a consortium) on the following themes:

- Background information on respondent
- Effects and added value of SRC funding
- Interactions with societal stakeholders
- Programme evaluation process

See the [privacy policy statement](#) for the questionnaire.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Katri Huutoniemi
Science Adviser, Division of Strategic Research
Academy of Finland
[contact details removed]

1. I agree to the collection of my data for the above use. *

If you choose "no", you cannot fill in the questionnaire.

Yes

No

2. Select the SRC programme you were part of. *

- A Climate-Neutral and Resource-Scarce Finland, PIHI (2015–2021)
- Equality in Society, EQUA (2015–2021)
- Disruptive Technologies and Changing Institutions, TECH (2015–2021)
- Changing Society and Active Citizenship, CITIZEN (2017–2021)

3. Select the consortium you were part of.

- [EL-TRAN](#) – Transition to a Resource Efficient and Climate Neutral Electricity System
- [SmartSea](#) – Gulf of Bothnia as Resource for Sustainable Growth
- [FORBIO](#) – Sustainable, Climate-Neutral and Resource-Efficient Forest-Based Bioeconomy
- [ScenoProt](#) – Novel Protein Sources for Food Security and Climate
- [CloseLoop](#) – Closing the Loop for High-Added-Value Materials
- [CHILDCARE](#) – Finnish Childcare Policies: In/Equality in Focus
- [WeAll](#) – Social and Economic Sustainability of Future Worklife: Policies, Equalities and Intersectionalities in Finland
- [TITA](#) – Tackling Inequalities in Time of Austerity
- [PSE \(Oma linja\)](#) – My Path
- [WIP](#) – Work, Inequality and Public Policy
- [ARTSEQUAL](#) – Arts as Public Service: Strategic Steps towards Equality
- [COMBAT \(PointCloud\)](#) – Competence-Based Growth Through Integrated Disruptive Technologies of 3D Digitalization, Robotics, Geospatial Information and Image Processing/Computing – Point Cloud Ecosystem
- [ROSE](#) – Robots and the Future of Welfare Services
- [SET \(Smart Energy Transition\)](#) – Smart Energy Transition - Realizing its Potential for Sustainable Growth for Finland's Second Century
- [DDI \(Digital Disruption of Industry\)](#) .
- [PVN](#) – Platform Value Now: Value Capturing in the Fast Emerging Platform
- [BCDC Energia](#) – Cloud Computing as an Enabler of Large Scale Variable Distributed Energy Solutions
- [BIBU](#) – Tackling Biases and Bubbles in Participation
- [CORE](#) – Collaborative Remedies for Fragmented Societies
- [PALO](#) – Participation in Long-Term Decision-Making

4. What was your primary role in the consortium? *

- Consortium Principal Investigator
- Consortium debuty Principal Investigator
- Research team leader, Work Package leader, or both
- Interaction coordinator
- Other _____

5. In what kind of organisation did you work during the funding period? *

We are pinpointing your role as a consortium partner. If you wish, you can also name the organisation. If you changed organizations during the research programme, respond based on your latest position.

- University _____
- University of applied sciences _____
- Government research institute _____
- (Other) public sector organisation _____
- Think tank, interaction/communication agency _____
- (Other) company _____
- Non-governmental organisation _____
- Foreign organisation _____
- Other _____

6. Did you know the other partners of your consortium before this SRC programme?

- I knew all or most partners before the programme
- I knew one or a few of the partners before the programme
- I did not know the partners before the programme

PART II: EFFECTS AND ADDED VALUE OF SRC FUNDING

7. Assess the effectiveness of your consortium in advancing the following goals of SRC funding, based on your own experiences and impressions. *

	1	2	3	4	5	IDK
Emphasis on societal relevance and impact in (Finnish) society	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Resources for interaction with societal stakeholders	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Coordinated programme activity facilitated by a programme director	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Coordinated activity across SRC programmes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

10. Tell us more about the most important added value of SRC funding.

11. Assess the importance of multidisciplinary collaboration within your consortium. _____ As a consortium partner, how important was the collaboration for the following aspects of your work? *

(1 = unimportant, 5 = very important, IDK = I don't know)

	1	2	3	4	5	IDK
Selection of research focus, definition of research problems	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Understanding and advancing the state of the art	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Research design, data gathering, methods, tools	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Application or generalisation of results	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dissemination, outreach	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Supervision, working practices	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

12. Tell us more about the importance of multidisciplinary collaboration in your consortium.

	1	2	3	4	5	IDK
The interactions were fair.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The interactions were successful overall.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The interactions with the stakeholders will continue.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

16. Tell us more about the consortium's interactions with societal stakeholders.

17. In your view, what should be done to further strengthen the societal relevance and impact of strategic research programmes?

PART V: PROGRAMME EVALUATION PROCESS

Please suggest at least 2–3 members of your consortium to be invited to an evaluation workshop or interview in autumn 2022.

18. Invitee 1

First name	<input type="text"/>
Last name	<input type="text"/>
Email	<input type="text"/>
Role in the consortium	<input type="text"/>

19. Invitee 2

First name

Last name

Email

Role in the consortium

20. Invitee 3

First name

Last name

Email

Role in the consortium

21. Please suggest experts to be invited to the external evaluation of the SRC programme.

Both Finnish and foreign experts from the programme's substance area will be invited to the panel. The panel will assess the scientific and societal impact of the programme. Feel free to suggest persons with broad expertise in either research assessment or impact assessment, or both.

22. We plan to collect the impact stories from completed SRC programmes into an open archive available on the SRC website. Would you permit us to include your consortium's impact stories in the open archive?

*

Yes, they can be published as such

Yes, but we wish to check them first for possible edits

Partly; some stories contain confidential information that cannot be published

No, all stories contain confidential information that cannot be published

Other response:

23. Contact person for the consortium's impact stories

First name	<input type="text"/>
Last name	<input type="text"/>
Email	<input type="text"/>